
Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):
10.1089/ars.2017.7294

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research
PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Mary Ann Liebert, Inc Publishers at http://online.liebertpub.com/doi/10.1089/ars.2017.7294. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research
General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/about/ebr-terms
FORUM REVIEW ARTICLE

Title: Oxidative stress in mesenchymal stem cell senescence: regulation by coding and non-coding RNAs

Rosa Vono¹, Eva Jover Garcia², Gaia Spinetti¹, and Paolo Madeddu²

1. IRCCS MultiMedica, Milan, 20138, Italy; 2. Bristol Heart Institute, University of Bristol, BS2 8HW, UK

Abbreviated title: Mechanisms of mesenchymal stem cell senescence

Word count: 6089

Reference numbers: 125

Number of greyscale illustrations: 6

Number of color illustrations: none

Keywords: Mesenchymal stem cells, pericytes, cell therapy, senescence, reactive oxygen species

Corresponding authors:

Gaia Spinetti, PhD
Laboratory of Cardiovascular Research
IRCCS MultiMedica
Via Fantoli 16/15, 20138 Milan, Italy
Tel: +39-02-55406620
e-mail: gaia.spinetti@multimedica.it

Paolo Madeddu, MD
Bristol Heart Institute, School of Clinical Sciences
University of Bristol, Upper Maudlin Road,
Bristol BS2 8HW, United Kingdom
tel/fax: 0044 (0)117 342 3904
e-mail: Paolo.Madeddu@bristol.ac.uk
Abstract

Significance. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), adult stem cells with the potential of differentiation into mesodermal lineages, play an important role in tissue homeostasis and regeneration. In different organs, a subpopulation of MSCs is located near to the vasculature and possibly represents the original source of lineage-committed mesenchymal progenitors. Recent Advances. The plasticity and immune characteristics of MSCs render them a preferential tool for regenerative cell therapy. Critical Issues. The culture expansion needed before MSC transplantation is associated with cellular senescence. Moreover, accelerated senescence of the total and perivascular MSC pool has been observed in humans and mouse models of premature aging disorders. MSC dysfunction is acknowledged as a culprit for the aging-associated degeneration of mesodermal tissues, but the underlying epigenetic pathways remain elusive. This article reviews current understanding of mechanisms impinging upon MSC health, including oxidative stress, Nrf2-ARE activity, sirtuins, non-coding RNAs, and PKCs. Future Directions. We provide evidence that epigenetic profiling of MSCs is utilitarian to the prediction of therapeutic outcomes. In addition, strategies that target oxidative stress-associated mechanisms represent promising approaches to counteract the detrimental effect of age and senescence in MSCs.
**Introduction**

Understanding the aging process and the mechanisms underpinning the development of aging-associated diseases represents one of the most important endeavors of modern medical research. The death rate at all ages has been dramatically reduced in recent decades, resulting in a remarkable increase in life expectancy. However, increased lifespan also correlates with an increasingly old population and an increased number of individuals with chronic pathologies often requiring hospitalization.

Accumulating evidence suggests that stem cells play a crucial role in controlling physiological homeostasis and rate of aging and stem cell exhaustion is considered as one of the hallmarks of aging (67,69). Hence, maintenance of a stem cell health may translate in postponing aging-related diseases. The loss of stem cell activity and acquisition of a senescent phenotype is due to both intrinsic factors, such as DNA damage, telomeres shortening and chromatin modifications (as reviewed in (9)) and external factors involving the stem cell microenvironment (cytokines stimulation (74)) and widespread damage in tissues. Senescence has a dualistic function: from one side protects cells from damages and oncogene activation but from the other limits the possibility for tissue regeneration triggering aging-related deterioration. In the last decades, mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) have been investigated as therapeutic tools for regenerative medicine especially for treating chronic diseases. However, the possible impact of pathologic conditions on MSC viability and function has to be taken into account. In addition, MSCs numerical reduction or dysfunction can be per se cause of pathologies (101). For instance, accelerated attrition of the MSCs pool has been observed in premature aging disorders, including Werner syndrome (WS) and Hutchinson-Gilford progeria
syndrome (66,124). It is thus clear that these cells, although harbouring multilineage regeneration potential, may manifest intrinsic defects compromising their use in regenerative medicine. Conversely, transplantation of young MSCs increases the lifespan and fitness of progeroid phenotype as observed in mice (62). However, the genetic and epigenetic mechanisms underpinning MSC-mediated senescence have not been fully elucidated.

This article reviews current understanding of the contribution of oxidative stress as a trigger of modifications at DNA and RNA leading to MSC aging and senescence (Figure 1). We also discuss how a quality and quantity assessment of these aging-related modifications can help upgrade current regenerative medicine approaches.

**The nature of MSCs and their potential for therapy**

In human, MSCs can be isolated from different adult tissues, including bone marrow where they were first discovered in 1970, skeletal muscle, adipose tissue, umbilical cord, synovium, the circulatory system, dental pulp, amniotic fluid, fetal blood, liver, and lung (as reviewed in (85)). Minimal characterization criteria helped to standardize MSC isolation and allowed the definition of MSCs as plastic adherent lineage-negative cells, expressing CD105, CD73, CD90 and potentially able to differentiate at least to osteocytes, chondrocytes, and adipocytes (42). Recently, new criteria including markers of potency have been recommended (33). Despite their low abundance (in the bone marrow their yield spans between 0.01 to 0.001% of nucleated cells (86)), MSCs are thought to be one of the most useful cell sources for clinical application in tissue regeneration. Indeed, compared to embryonic stem cells, MSCs are safe and non-immunogenic.
Although normally in a quiescent state, MSCs can re-enter the cell cycle and differentiate following specific stimuli like tissue injury. Thus, MSCs are important in guiding the processes of healing and tissue regeneration (83). In addition, MSCs are not only able to give rise to the cell types found in the tissue they were isolated from, but they can differentiate in a variety of mesodermal cell types and also differentiate into cell types of other germinal layers through a process known as transdifferentiation (85).

Great relevance has been attributed to perivascular mesenchymal cells, which possibly represents the original source of lineage-committed mesenchymal progenitors. These cells are better known as pericytes. In vitro, pericytes show typical mesenchymal properties with the capacity to attach to tissue culture plastic, expand for multiple passages and differentiate into osteogenic, chondrogenic, or adipogenic lineages (23). In vivo lineage tracing studies have reported pericytes as progenitors of white adipocytes (102), follicular dendritic cells (59) and skeletal muscle (25,26). Pericytes have also been proposed to give rise to neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes (27) and to play a major role as fibroblast progenitors in fibrotic responses (34,38). However, in a very recent paper from Guimarães-Camboa, the mesenchymal origin and properties of pericytes were called into question using fate mapping experiments in murine models. Using the transcription factor Tbx18 as an embryonic pericyte marker, Guimarães-Camboa and co-workers demonstrated Tbx18-positive cells also co-express pericyte markers CD146 and neural/glial antigen 2 (NG2), but they do not differentiate during the development in any mesenchymal lineage (40). These results challenge the current view of endogenous pericytes as multipotent tissue-resident progenitors and suggest
that the plasticity observed *in vitro* or following transplantation *in vivo* arises from artificial cell manipulations *ex vivo*.

**MSCs in regenerative medicine: state-of-the-art**

MSCs represent a great promise for regenerative medicine. They can help the repair of injured organs and their functional recovery by migrating and homing at the injury site (71,109). This can be achieved by both transplanting purified MSCs from a donor (especially in a syngeneic fashion) or stimulating their activation *in vivo* from the reservoir located *in situ*. Moreover, MSCs can repair injured tissues by directly differentiating to functional cell of the tissue, favouring angiogenesis or paracrinally, stimulating resident progenitors activation (90). Paracrine factors released from transplanted or resident MSCs can also contribute to immunosuppression of the host in order to avoid an immune response. This effect seems to be exerted by both paracrine suppression of lymphocyte T and favouring the transition of macrophage from subtype M1 (pro-inflammatory) to M2 (anti-inflammatory). Moreover, MSCs secretome is also involved in paracrine protection against apoptosis and oxidative stress (reviewed in (64)). In the recent years, the role of microparticles as a component of MSCs secretome has been envisioned as a new tool in regenerative medicine. Those particles promote the horizontal transfer of mRNAs, microRNAs (miRs), and proteins modulating the activity of target cells (84). For instance, studies defined the important role of exosomes in mediating paracrine information transfer to healing myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury in mice (61) and pigs (105). Despite the bulk of information available on the feasibility and therapeutic outcomes MSC-based cell therapy did not obtain the expected success in the clinical practice. This is due to several factors like documented recovery of immunogenicity associated with
MSC differentiation causing late rejection (45), the poor engraftment (58) the short-term therapeutic benefits (95) and the acquisition of a senescent phenotype following several cycles of expansion in vitro. Senescence, in particular, is due to the interplay between stochastic and programmed events (41). MSC senescence is triggered by a plethora of events mostly regulated by epigenetic phenomena which will be herein discussed.

**MSCs are not exempted by experiencing aging and senescence: regulating mechanisms**

Despite their self-renewal ability, MSC expansion is restricted by the Hayflick limit, i.e. the number of times a cell can divide until senescence occurs. At this point cell cycle irreversibly stops even though MSCs are still metabolically active. Morphologically, senescent MSCs lose their typical spindle-like shape and become flat and enlarged with the formation of senescence-associated heterochromatic foci linked to the repression of proliferative genes and hence DNA synthesis. The mentioned morphological changes reflect a functional impairment since cells lose their differentiation potential, thus limiting their therapeutic capacities (114). In a sense, senescence is the recapitulation, in the cell, of aging in individuals. However, controversy still exists regarding the direct contribution of senescent MSCs to organism aging. In any case, senescence is a physiologic event and it is the expression of the lack of perfection in biologic systems. Indeed every time a cell divides DNA damage accumulates at specific chromosomal regions known as telomeres. Telomeres are complexes made of proteins and single-stranded nucleotides located at the end of every chromosome and are more sensitive to replication damage stress than other chromosomal regions (96,99). Their instability
is due to the inability of DNA-polymerase to work on single-stranded sequences. The consequence is a shortening of telomeres at every cell division till reaching a critical threshold which triggers senescence. Telomeres attrition is generally counteracted by telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT), an enzyme able to add DNA sequences at telomeric ends of chromosomes using an RNA template. However, telomerase is often poorly expressed in human MSCs and in any case, cannot counteract other causes of senescence (9) herein described.

Changes in the epigenetic regulation of gene expression have been recently related to senescent phenotype. Koch and coworkers found that DNA methylation varied by 40% between early and late passages, with a general tendency to hypomethylation but, in some cases, also hypermethylation was detected. The same group proposed an Epigenetic-Senescence-Signature (ESS) based on methylated state of specific CpG islets. In particular, they found that two CpG were hypermethylated while four were hypomethylated. This ESS significantly correlated with cell passage and was found with the same characteristics in MSCs from different origins (58). The ESS could thus be a helpful tool to evaluate when MSCs go towards senescence and standardize the good manufacturing practices (GMP) procedures of in vitro expansion prior to cell therapy as further discussed later in this article.

Other causes of MSC senescence are sustained cytokine stimulation and secreted autocrine or paracrine factors, including cytokines, growth factors, proteases, and soluble receptors called Senescence-Associated Secretory Phenotype (SASP). It has been demonstrated that chronic stimulation with antiproliferative cytokines such as Interferon-β (INF-β) and transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) in MSCs induce ROS-mediated p53 or p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} dependent
senescence (74,118). More recently, Jin et al. showed that senescent human umbilical cord blood-derived MSCs secrete SASP molecules among which the most dominant was monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1/CCL2) able to induce senescence through binding to its cognate receptor CCR2. In this case, the MCP-1 release is epigenetically regulated by a decreased level of BMI1, a member of the polycomb repressor complex (PRC1) (49). Hence, MCP-1 could be exploited as a marker of cell senescence both in vitro and in vivo. Since MCP-1 is secreted also by other mural cells such as vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs), a MSC-specific secretome signature needs yet to be identified. Of note, we reported an increase of MCP-1 expression in aortic VSMCs from old rats and humans, pointing at a central role of this chemokine in age- and senescence-associated cellular dysfunctions (100). Lastly, SASP likely contributes to the correlation between senescent cell accumulation and disease onset (125).

Whatever the trigger is, the effectors of MSCs senescence are molecules governing cell cycle progressions like p53 and p16\textsuperscript{ink4a}-pRb. The two pathways act both in a concerted way and independently and different are the stimuli activating one pathway, the other or both (9,65) (Figure 2). \textit{In vitro}, senescence is accelerated by the oxygen tension used for culturing conditions: MSCs are generally cultured at 21\% O\textsubscript{2}, a percentage 4 to 10 times higher than the tension they experience inside the tissue of origin. The excess of oxygen increases the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) which in turn activate senescence-associated pathways (30).

**The oxidative stress theory**

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are physiological by-products of the oxidative metabolism produced during the passage of the reduction equivalents through the
mitochondrial electron transport chain. Low physiological ROS concentration is beneficial to promote proliferation, DNA stability, and cell survival. This is particularly true for MSCs: at steady state, MSCs relies on glycolysis and have a low content of ROS as reviewed in (92). In addition, they also have a high content of glutathione, and an active antioxidant machinery (111). However, as they replicate, intracellular and extracellular senescence-triggering stimuli induce a marked production of ROS. High ROS levels damage proteins and DNA especially at telomeric level inducing the so-called replicative senescence (48) otherwise known as stress-induced premature senescence (108). In this ROS-centric vision of MSC senescence reported as oxidative stress theory, ROS act as a molecular “grenade” affecting all cellular macromolecules at multi-compartmental level triggering senescence-associated pathways (15). The increase in ROS content also correlates with a decrease in cell differentiation except for adipogenic differentiation that results increased. In addition, under high ROS content, the depotentiation of scavenger systems was observed. This phenomenon is due to a decline in the activity of the transcription factor Nrf2, which regulates ROS scavenger expression (70). Under physiological conditions, Nrf2 is sequestered in the cytoplasm bound to Keap1 protein. Increased ROS concentration induces Keap1 detachment and Nrf2 phosphorylation. Huang and co-workers demonstrated that Protein kinase C (PKC) directly leads to Nrf2 activation by phosphorylation (44). Phosphorylated Nrf2 translocates to the nucleus where it promotes transcriptional activation of antioxidants scavenging enzymes (heme oxygenase-1 [HO-1], NAD(P)H:quinoneoxidoreductase 1 [NQO1], catalase, and superoxide dismutase [SOD]) binding to the antioxidant responsive elements (ARE) in their promoter regions (19). However, the Nrf2 expression is drastically reduced in senescent cells and aging in general (5) thus contributing to increased redox
imbalance. Recently, our group found that a specific PKC isoform, PKCβII, plays a central role in diabetic complications. PKC is one of the members of a wide family of serine/threonine kinases. Following activation by growth factors and ROS, PKC becomes active thus regulating diverse pathways through a phosphorylation cascade. In our work, PKCβII amplifies oxidative stress in muscular pericytes (MPs) isolated from diabetic complicated skeletal muscle. Our results suggest that diabetic MPs share common traits with senescent cells since they showed: 1) a slowed replicative capacity, 2) a reduced myogenic differentiation potential counteracted by an increased propensity to adipogenesis, 3) a repression of antioxidant systems and 4) increased ROS burden. Such a functional impairment is attributable to ROS guided activation of the PKCβII-p66Shc signaling pathway (113). In this sense, diabetes not only recapitulates but also exacerbates cell senescence.

**New players in the control of MSC healthy status: non-coding RNAs**

New post-transcriptional regulators associated with senescence include RNA binding proteins (RBPs) and non-coding RNAs both miR and long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) classes. Indeed, it is becoming increasingly clear that in addition to coding genes, also non-coding RNAs regulate gene expression. MiRs are small, about 20 nucleotides in length, double strand RNA found intracellular and extracellular, that interfere with RNA translation via different mechanisms, the better known implying the binding to the 3′UTR sequence of the target gene RNA and the inhibition of translation via destabilization/degradation of the transcript. In the nucleus miR precursors, pri-miRs, are digested by polymerase II and III to give rise to pre-miRs that are sequentially cut by the enzyme Drosha and transported to the cytoplasm where mature miRs are generate by the action of Dicer and enter the machinery of
mRNA control. Aging and oxidative stress have been associated with dysregulation of the fine-tuned miR biogenesis process. Dicer, for example, is reportedly down-regulated in old rat endothelial cells compared to young donor cells (110). The consequent decrease in miRs content impacts on cell capabilities to proliferating, adhering, migrating and networking as shown in an experiment in which Dicer was reciprocally inhibited or over-expressed in young and old endothelial cells respectively. In mice, aging affects the composition of miRs in the adipose tissue, a central player in the control of lifespan and diseases. Of the total detected miRs by Mori and colleagues, 51% decreased and only 10% of miRs increased when comparing 3, 6, and 24-month-old animals. The authors indicate a global decline of the miR-generating machinery including Exportin-5 (the enzyme that transport pri-miRs from the nucleus to the cytoplasm) and Argonaute-2 (a miR-binding protein), but the most affected being Dicer. The same authors evidenced that Dicer Knockout cells show signs of senescence such as β-galactosidase positivity and up-regulation of genes controlling mitochondrial stress pathways (75). Dicer down-modulation was confirmed in preadipocytes from old human donors and in the old nematodes model pointing at an evolutionarily conserved mechanism. Dicer impairment was efficiently reverted by calorie restriction, a strategy that proved beneficial in extending lifespan cross-species (31). Of note, in isolated preadipocytes oxidative stress induced by \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) treatment resulted in Dicer decrease, an effect prevented by insulin treatment.

Also Exportin-5 and Drosha can be influenced by oxidative stress, although the associated mechanisms are still not completely clear (22,103).

On the other hand, IncRNAs are RNAs of >200 nucleotides in length that do not code for proteins (72) comprehending antisense, intronic, and intergenic transcripts but also pseudogenes and retrotransposons. The question whether
IncRNAs are just byproducts or are actively generated to control cell biology is still open. Growing evidence show that they can influence gene expression in multiple complex manners, either interacting with DNA, RNA or RBPs. LncRNA effect is at the transcriptional and post-transcriptional level and they can either influence translation acting as "sponges" for miRs and proteins (13,63).

The role of non-coding RNAs in cellular senescence has been elegantly reviewed recently by Abdelmohsen and Gorospe (1), therefore we will focus here only on some MSC-specific aspects (Figure 3). Overall, miRs are known to control senescence-associated pathways such as the p16/RB, the p53/p21, and secretory moieties related to the aging phenotype. A specific pattern of differentially expressed miRs has been associated with senescence in cells including MSCs (35,60). As mentioned before, one of the issues about non-coding RNA is still related to dissecting whether changes in their profile play an active role in the onset of pathologies or it just mirrors the senescence of cell and tissue becoming dysfunctional. Some miRs have been validated for their crucial function in MSCs. miR-195 is one of them. It has been found elevated in association with MSCs aging and senescence together with miR-140, miR-146a/b, but miR-195 functional role analysis highlighted a direct control in telomere length as further discussed in this article (78). A functional role of the miR-141-3p increase in senescent MSCs was also demonstrated by linking it to prelamininA accumulation via miR-141-3p target ZMPSTE24 (122).

miR-335 and miR-452 are two miRs up-regulated in old MSCs from adipose tissue (81). MiR-335 has a central role in controlling MSCs proliferation and migration as further evidenced by the group of Tomè and coworkers (106,107). The authors link the cellular effect of miR-335 to AP-1 activity and to the regulation of
members of the FOS family. Interestingly, the secretome of miR-335-MSCs was globally augmented pointing at a transition towards the classical SASP condition.

The forced expression of miR-335 in MSCs in vitro recapitulate several aspects of senescence, including the impairment in redox control system, such as the reduction in expression of SOD2 and increase in superoxide generation. In this respect, it is crucial to note that miRs can themselves control the generation of ROS by regulating the Nrf2 pathway as reviewed in (21). On the other hand, in response to oxidative stress, a specific pattern of miRs is generated, the prototype being miR-210 (14,36). After an increase in the level of hypoxia inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) protein and of miR-210, the two factors regulate each other in a feedback loop. This virtuous cycle is needed for MSC survival in high ROS culture conditions (17,54).

In a recent article, miR-210 modulation was linked to the beneficial effect in protecting MSCs from apoptosis of a redox controlling small molecule called zeaxanthin dipalmitate (ZD) (68).

MiR-34a has been largely associated with aging and cardiovascular diseases in particularly affecting the function of cardiovascular cells (7). Confirmation that a miR-34a-dependent modulation of the SIRT-1/FOXO3a pathway is controlling MSCs vitality similarly to other cell types including ECs has been recently demonstrated (46,119,123).

MiRs exert their action in the cell of origin but also in a paracrine fashion after secretion and transfer to a target cells/tissue. This concept has proven true also in the context of senescence where secreted miRs can vehicle their action from endothelial cells (ECs) to MSCs/pericytes via microparticle shuttling (117). The two cell types are in thig connection and are reciprocally influenced as we also recently reviewed. ECs are strongly affected by age and senescence and an SASP condition
could apply to ECs too (11,28) (Figure 4). One of such example of extracellular shuttled miR is miR-31, a senescence-increased miR known to regulate osteogenic differentiation (4) that has been shown to be taken up by MSCs after being released in EC-derived microparticles. The inhibition of miR-31 target gene Frizzled-3 is associated with osteogenic impairment. This effect was paired with an age-associated increase in circulating miR-31 in humans. A similar effect was described for miR-503, a miR that we found to be dysregulated in diabetes, a pathological condition associated with aging and senescence of ECs, that is transferred from ECs to pericytes inducing dysfunction (10,12).

Several hundred IncRNAs are currently known, but their function is still not completely understood. A screening in fibroblast demonstrated that the short form of IncRNAs is upregulated in senescent cells compared to the long size ones. This result reinforces the hypothesis that mRNA stability is altered with aging (2,29). Among the emerged IncRNAs associated with senescence, one that has been investigated in MSCs with functional consequences is HOTAIR, a lncRNA associated with a chromatin locus important for epigenetic changes and that is altered in cardiac disease of aging (37,89). In the article by Kalwa et al. the expression level of HOTAIR is not changed with in vitro senescence, but its modulation alters adipogenic differentiation ability of MSCs. The observed impact on the DNA methylation profile of HOTAIR was accompanied by triple helix formation (RNA-DNA-DNA) in the down-regulated genes after HOTAIR over-expression (51).

We expect that several known and new IncRNAs will come into the list of senescence controlling factors in the next future since this class of molecules is the object of intense research.
Epigenetic screening integration with clinical data has a potential predictive value for identification of responders to cell therapy.

Since MSCs are poorly represented in human tissues, culture expansion is always necessary prior to use MSCs for cell therapy. This raises the question whether the occurrence of senescence can be monitored to avoid administration of senescent, non-mitotic cells, which would drive cell therapy failure. Patient-derived MSCs display heterogeneous reparative capacity, leading to unpredictable therapeutic outcomes and reducing a rigorous clinical application in the whole patient population. As mentioned before, attention is now focused on establishing quality control assessment at different levels of the standard operating procedure for harvesting, isolation, and expansion of cell populations, and on identifying predictors able to distinguish responders versus non-responders thereby informing personalized therapies (Figure 5). Hemodynamic predictors failed to pass the initial validation stage. For instance, the importance of basal contractility indexes such as left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) in influencing the outcome of cell therapy remains controversial. Two recent meta-analyses of bone marrow cell therapy trials in patients with acute MI indicate that patients experienced similar improvement in LVEF regardless of the baseline LVEF. However, improvements in LVESV were more pronounced in patients with lower baseline LVEF (3,8). In contrast, in trials of chronic myocardial ischemia, the increase in LVEF elicited by cell therapy was significant only in the group with lower LVEF at baseline (8). Remarkable advancement in omics technology integrated by the interface with clinical data, e.g. the clinomics, promises to bridge basic biological data and benefit on human health. Clinomics-based interrogation of stem cell heterogeneity may help deconvolute the
heterogeneity of reparative performance thus informing the development of new high-fidelity protocols (104).

Biological markers of MSCs have been already validated as predictors of response to autologous stem cell transplantation in patients with neuronal degenerative diseases (55). There is still a paucity of data regarding the use of epigenetic screening to assess treatment response of cardiovascular MSC therapy. We found that patient's age and smoking habit are negatively associated with therapeutic outcomes of pericyte cell therapy in a mouse model of limb ischemia suggesting that intrinsic and environmental determinants of senescence could impact on cell therapy performance (39).

To identify new epigenetic predictors of therapeutic activity, we performed a whole genome DNA methylation array of the human pericyte populations (39). Methylation regulates gene expression by different mechanisms, acting at the promoter region and gene bodies. We identified 936 unique genes (106 of these involving promoter regions), whose methylation status is correlated with the blood flow recovery from ischemia. In addition, 5461 genes (930 in the promoter region) had a methylation status that correlates with capillary density in the ischemic muscle, and 784 unique genes (of these 89 in the promoter region) were associated with arteriole density. Integration of all the differentially methylated genes associated with the three outcomes identified 304 genes of which, 158 (52%) bear KROX/EGR1-binding sites. The transcription factor KROX/EGR1 couples short-term changes in the extracellular environment to long-term changes in gene expression. It is induced by different growth factors and chemokines, including VEGF and SDF-1 and stimulates microvascular neovascularization through FGF-mediated mechanisms. Moreover, the Arf-EGR-C/EBPβ axis is an important determinant of cellular
responses (senescence or transformation) to oncogenic Ras signaling (91). An analysis of the genomic locations of the 304 genes shared by the three therapeutic outcomes, identified a significant enrichment of the 6p21 loci for a gene network centered on CREB-binding protein. Such a nuclear protein binds to CREB, which restricts cellular senescence and apoptosis (94) and comprises Runx-related transcription factor 1 (RUNX1), which belongs to a gene family implicated in stem cell plasticity (115).

The mechanisms that concentrate methylation to specific sequences and loci in the genome are unknown, although an interaction between DNA methyltransferases and other epigenetic factors has been proposed (87). Interestingly, a similar clustering has been observed in studies of gene polymorphisms. More than 90% of the genome lacks any disease-associate loci according to a meta-analysis of Genome-Wide Association studies (GWAS) of age-associated diseases (47). Surprisingly, a large spectrum of diseases maps to two specific loci 6p21 and INK4/ARF tumor suppressor locus. The former is where the major histocompatibility (MCH) locus resides. Genes at this locus determine a high susceptibility to a variety of auto-immune diseases and diabetes (47). It is not known if mutations at the MCH locus can alter the immune privileged profile of MSCs, which normally express low levels of MCH class I (MHCI). In addition, 6p21 emerged as a new locus associated with coronary artery disease (CAD) at a genome-wide significance from a comprehensive analysis of the extent of pleiotropy of all CAD loci (116). Altogether, these reports indicate that polymorphic variants and epigenetic modifications at 6p21 loci may have a strong impact on age-associated diseases and regenerative processes.
In our study on human pericytes, the majority of differentially methylated CpG sites were associated with a known transcript. Hence, we next investigated the expressional profile using gene arrays (GEO accession number: GSE57964) and RT-PCR analyses. This was followed by a gene set enrichment analysis to identify transcription factors whose targets are significantly enriched among genes correlating with cell therapy outcomes in the limb ischemia model (39). MAZ, a transcription factor that emerged from the DNA methylation analysis described earlier, was associated with a high number (139) of differentially expressed genes. MAZ is a zinc finger transcription factor that binds to GpC rich cis-elements in the promoter regions of numerous mammalian genes and is also able to act as a recruiting scaffold for different proteins, such as methylases and acetylases, to the transcriptional complex, thereby acting as an initiator or terminator of transcription (98). The transcription factor plays a role in VEGF-induced angiogenesis under the control of microRNA-125b, of which MAZ is an inhibitory target (88, 97). Intriguingly, the expression of microRNA-125b in pericytes is inversely correlated with their ability to induce reparative vascularization in the mouse limb ischemia model. The consensus sequence of MAZ-binding sites is very similar to that of Sp1-binding sites. In fact, MAZ and Sp1, an anti-senescence transcription factor, bind to the same cis-elements in the promoters of the genes for endothelial nitric-oxide synthase (eNOS), and the receptor for parathyroid hormone (PTHr). However, MAZ acts as a repressor and Sp1 as an enhancer of eNOS levels, suggesting they have dual functions in the regulation of gene expression. This contrasting action of different zinc-finger proteins binding to the same cis-elements is attributable to their capacity to recruiting different proteins, such as methylases and acetylases, to the transcriptional complex (98). However screening MSCs epigenetic modification alone could be not sufficient to
yield a homogeneous population. Attention should be also devoted to the microenvironment in which MSCs are grown. ROS load is strictly related to the composition of the culture medium and ROS amount holds the balance of power between cell differentiation or stemness, proliferation or cell cycle. As the main nutrients involved in ROS production are glucose and oxygen, their concentration and combination should be precisely defined and keep constant especially during GMP procedures (32,56).

The long road from trigger signals to senescence effectors passes through the control of reactive oxygen species

In senescent MSCs ROS increase is paralleled by a decrease of Sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) mediated by its post-transcriptional modulation(93). Studies reveal that sirtuins (SIRT1-7), a family of histone deacetylase, act as life span regulating proteins and are master regulators of telomeres maintenance (SIRT1 (18) and SIRT6 (120)) and DNA repair following oxidative stress (SIRT6 (80)). SIRT1, a nuclear isoform of SIRT proteins modulates the activity of different proteins involved in cell cycle. p53 is one of the main target (121). In particular, SIRT1 dependent p53 deacetylation induces p53 inactivity and thus favours cell cycle progression (112). However, high ROS levels mediate, from one hand, SIRT1 downregulation, and from the other, the upregulation of p53 protein. In this scenario, p53 is also kept in its acetylated and inactive state due to the lack of SIRT1. In such a condition the cells experience the exit from cells cycle and hence senescence (79).

Another target of SIRT1 activity is FOXO1, a transcription factor involved in the expression of antioxidants enzymes like SODs and catalase. FOXO1 activity is regulated by the posttranscriptional mechanism like acetylation. During senescence,
the low SIRT1 levels impede FOXO1 deacetylation which is necessary for its translocation to the nucleus. As a consequence, the antioxidant machinery under senescence condition is repressed (57). Studies report a direct interaction between SIRT1 and Nrf2, the activity of which ends up with the up-regulation of multiple antioxidant enzymes as previously reported. Analysis of the transcriptional complexes binding to ARE sequence detected histone acetyltransferase (HAT) p300/CBP along with Nrf2 possibly mediating its acetylation. Acetylation of Nrf2 is important to enhance Nrf2 binding to ARE sequences. SIRT1, on the contrary, decreases acetylation of Nrf2 as well as Nrf2-dependent gene transcription (53) as a compensatory mechanism, even though conflicting results exist. Obviously, senescent mesenchymal cells lose such a regulatory mechanisms due to the reduced expression of both proteins. So different effectors, such as FOXO1 and Nrf2 contribute to the increase and maintenance of ROS during senescence and this process is mediated by SIRT1. As discussed in the next paragraph, resveratrol has been proven to activate SIRT1 over-expression in senescent cells (50). It seems that acting at SIRT1 level could be a strategy to potentiate SIRT1 and counteract senescence.

**Strategies to counteract senescence and improve MSC health and therapeutic potentials**

Taking into account all the above-mentioned mechanisms, it appears evident that ROS are the main characters of a play (senescence) with a very intricate plot, regulated thanks to the interaction among Sirtuins, p53, FOXO1, and Nrf2. Strategies to treat or reverse senescence of MSCs could help to counteract aging and cell senescence-associated diseases (Figure 6). The efforts should be directed
both to isolated cells for regenerative medicine and in vivo. A schematic summary of the mechanisms associated with senescence is presented in Table 1.

Recent studies indicate that resveratrol (3,5,4′-trihydroxy-trans-stilbene), a natural phenol produced by several plants in response to injury, do activate SIRT1 by allosteric interactions that increase SIRT1 affinity for both NAD+ and the acetylated substrate (43). In addition, resveratrol treatment improves the osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation potential of MSCs (24). In this sense, resveratrol mimics the effects of calorie restriction and thus could contribute to extending lifespan. Reversing SIRT1 activity could ameliorate different aspect linked to senescence, like increase antioxidant enzyme expression through interaction with FOXO1 and reactivation of telomerase activity to counteracting telomeres attrition. Moreover, resveratrol treatment has been associated with changes in miRs profile, supporting the central role of miRs tuning in controlling cellular fate (76,77).

However, studies in animal models showed that resveratrol supplemented at a later life-stage was ineffective (73). Moreover, long-term exposure to resveratrol increased MSCs senescence. It is becoming clear that resveratrol effects can be beneficial or detrimental depending on the dosage and duration of the treatment. In addition, bioavailability following oral administration in mice and humans should be taken into account to evaluate the good or bad role of resveratrol treatments. Indeed, deeper analysis of blood resveratrol concentration, following oral administration both in mice and in humans revealed it is usually lower than the dosage proved to be effective in vitro thus adding a bias in the interpretation of results (82).

Another approach to counteract senescent is modulation of miRNAs known to target molecules that regulate directly or indirectly senescence. Okada et al recently identified in the bone marrow of old mice a population of mesenchymal cells with
features of young mesenchymal cells (YMSCs). Microarray analysis identified a
different pattern of miRNA in YMSCs with respect to their old counterpart (OMSCs).
Relevant was the identification of miR-195 that was significantly over-expressed in
OMSCs. Interestingly a target gene of mir-195 is telomerase reverse transcriptase
(TERT). Thus, down-regulating miR-195 rejuvenated OMSCs by counteracting
telomeres attrition (78). MiR modulation can be employed to boost the therapeutic
capabilities of MSCs as we showed studying human saphenous vein-isolated
pericytes in a recent work. Transplanted of pericytes in mice infarcted heart resulted
in improved cardiac function, blood flow recovery, and angiogenesis via paracrine
signals activation dependent on miR-132 (52).

More controversial is the role of long non-coding RNA in mediating reversion
of senescence. The lack of knowledge about their specific function and the multiple
ways of interaction with nucleic acids and proteins render difficult to target them.

Clearance of senescent stem cells (SCs) has been exploited in a progeroid
mouse model using a transgenic approach reportedly delays several age-associated
disorders (6). Furthermore, selective clearance of senescent SCs by a
pharmacological agent (ABT263) resulted in the rejuvenation of the stem cell pool in
bone marrow and skeletal muscles (16). In this context, new strategies to foster the
clearance of damaged stem cells are emerging. Recently, Cheng and co-workers
demonstrated that prolonged fasting followed by re-feeding exerts a pro-regenerative
effect on bone marrow hematopoietic stem cells in mice and humans (20). Whether
this strategy could be useful for mesenchymal stem cells remains unexplored.

Conclusions
The response of cells to time (organism age or passages in culture) by slowing proliferation/differentiation and acquiring a secretory phenotype represents the effort to counteract accumulating changes and damages. Senescence may, therefore, begin for protective reasons, but over time, it limits tissue and cells regenerative capabilities. Since MSCs are increasingly recognized as the “guardians” of tissue homeostasis for their plastic response to injury, it is of great value to dissect the mechanisms that govern MSCs health. Moreover, MSCs can be exploited in regenerative medicine approaches after culture expansion. Reviewing the hallmarks of MSC changes associated with senescence highlights a central role of the redox control. The latter is associated with epigenetic changes that can provide a useful tool for selecting regenerative cells.
Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by the British Heart Foundation program grant (RG/13/17/30545) and the Italian Ministry of Health Ricerca Finalizzata (RF-2011-02346867).

Author Disclosure Statement

No commercial associations that might create a conflict of interest in connection with submitted manuscripts exist.
List of abbreviations

ARE: antioxidant responsive elements

CAD: coronary artery disease

ESS: Epigenetic-Senescence-Signature

GMP: good manufacturing practices

GWAS: Genome-Wide Association studies

HAT: histone acetyltransferase

INF-β: Interferon-β

lncRNA: long noncoding RNA

LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction

MCH: major histocompatibility class

MCP-1: monocyte chemoattractant protein-1

miR: microRNA

MPs: muscle pericytes

NG2: neural/glial antigen 2

NOS: nitric oxide synthase

PKC: Protein kinase C

PRC1: polycomb repressor complex

PTH: parathyroid hormone

ROS: reactive oxygen species
RUNX1: Runt-related transcription factor 1

SIRT1: Sirtuin 1

SOD: superoxide dismutase

TERT: telomerase reverse transcriptase

TGF-β: transforming growth factor-β

VSMCs: vascular smooth muscle cells
References


**Figure legends**

**Figure 1: Overview of senescence in MSCs.** MSC senescence is driven by diverse events, which occur as the cell, proliferates, like epigenetic modifications, DNA damage and ROS accumulation. Those events cause an irreversible cell cycle arrest, a change in morphology (spread and enlarged) and an impairment in differentiation ability. In addition, senescent cells produce and secrete a series of senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP) paracrinally mediating senescence of close MSCs.

**Figure 2: MSC senescence: correlation between ROS and senescence regulators.** MSCs (left) show a physiologically low ROS content (black spiky dots in the figure) which is important to regulate cell proliferation and differentiation. After a series of cell divisions, ROS increase, due to an impairment of the radical defense system, and accumulate into the cell (right). ROS increase induces the overexpression of p53 which, once in the nucleus, is easily acetylated (\(^{\text{Ac}}\)p53). Although in functional MSCs nuclear p53 can be deacetylated by SIRT1, in senescent cells SIRT1 is downregulated thus maintaining p53 acetylated and inactive.

**Figure 3: Non-coding RNAs contribution to senescent phenotype.** Several micro RNAs have been demonstrated to regulating senescence-related
mechanisms (left). One of them, miR-31 have been reported to be shed from senescent endothelial cells as microparticle cargo. Once miR-31 is taken up by MSCs is sufficient to induce cellular senescence. Less clear is the contribution of lncRNAs to senescence for which many mechanisms of action are possible as depicted here (right).

**Figure 4: Negative influences.** Endothelial cells are very sensitive to senescence and secrete molecules and microparticles which are paracrine hallmarks of senescence. Those include SASP, microRNAs, exosomes and microvesicles that induce senescence of MSCs. miRNAs are also shed as microparticle cargo. Senescent MSCs in turn influence endothelial cells with similar mechanisms however the involvement of miRNA is not that clear.

**Figure 5: Deconvolution of patient heterogeneity.** Individual variability in drug efficacy and safety is a major challenge in current clinical practice. In the case of autologous cell therapy, the medicinal product is not homogeneous, and its therapeutic activity may vary among cell lines. Integration of clinical data with epigenetic screening can help allocate patients to the right treatment.

**Figure 6: Strategies to reverse senescence.** MSCs senescence can be counteracted efficiently using different approaches: potentiating antioxidant defense, inhibiting senescence associated RNAs through specific antagonists and increasing clearance of senescent cells by phagocytes. These intervention strategies induce the decrease of ROS and DNA damage helping the cell to overcome the cell cycle blockade, improve MSC differentiation potential and favors morphological recovery.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>in vitro</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>in vivo</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High O₂ tension in culture</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>SASP</td>
<td>87;61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA methylation variation</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>PROGERIA</td>
<td>59;63;121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytokines, growth factors</td>
<td>71;115</td>
<td>Exosomes</td>
<td>58;102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SASP</td>
<td>47; 96-122;</td>
<td>(non-coding RNA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NON coding RNA</td>
<td>20;14-34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exosomes</td>
<td>114</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROS</td>
<td>46;105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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