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The Aberrant Canine Part 1: Aetiology and diagnosis

Abstract: The eruption of the permanent canine, particularly the maxillary tooth is a milestone in dental development. Although often uneventful, occasionally there are disturbances in eruption, the management of which can be one of the more challenging aspects of orthodontics. This article is presented in two parts. Part 1 gives an overview of the possible aetiology, diagnosis of the aberrant canine tooth.

Clinical Relevance: Awareness of the possible sequelae of unerupted canines is important in diagnosis and treatment planning.

Objectives statement: To inform the reader of possible aetiology and diagnosis of the aberrant canine.
1. Introduction

The permanent canine usually erupts uneventfully, but occasionally it may fail to do so. When this occurs there is a potential for the adjacent teeth to be damaged. Even when it does not cause any damage, treatment of the ectopically positioned canine can present a substantial challenge to orthodontist. This paper presents a summary of the development and eruption of the permanent canine, both upper and lower, possible adverse effects of an aberrant position and the different treatment options.

2. Development of the permanent canine

Calcification of the upper and lower permanent canine teeth begins at 4 to 5 months post-partum, with crown formation being complete by the age of 5 years. The lower permanent canine erupts at around 10 years of age (± 6 months) and the upper canine at about 11.5 years (± 6 months). Although the upper permanent canine has a long path of eruption, the crown should be palpable beneath the mucosa in the buccal sulcus by the age of 10 years. Eruption is guided by the distal surface of the lateral incisor root in the case of the maxillary canine, and this can lead to the distal angulation of the lateral incisor. As a result, it is normal to see physiological spacing of the upper incisors in the mixed dentition (Figure 1) often referred to as the ‘ugly duckling’ stage, which then closes as the upper canines erupt, guided by the distal surfaces of the upper lateral incisor roots. Once the canines have fully erupted the intercanine width in both the upper and lower arches is at its greatest and will then only reduce over time.¹
3. Aetiology of the aberrant canine

Aberrant permanent canine position has been reported with an incidence of between 2% \(^1\text{,}^{2}\) and 5%, with over 90% of these teeth being maxillary canines\(^3\). The majority of maxillary permanent canine impactions are either palatal (60 to 85%) or within the line of the arch\(^4\). Initially it was thought that persistence of the deciduous canine was the causative factor\(^5\), but this is more likely to be a consequence of the ectopic crown position of the permanent tooth rather than the principal aetiological factor. There are two main theories to explain impaction of maxillary permanent canines, namely:
• **The Guidance theory**\(^6\). It is thought the crown of the upper canine is guided into position within the arch by the root of the lateral incisor. Alteration of the morphology of the root of the lateral is therefore thought to be associated with an increased risk of canine ectopia.

• **The Genetic theory**\(^2\). Here the developmental position of the upper canine is thought to be under genetic control and ectopia associated with the presence of other dental anomalies, *i.e.* peg or missing lateral incisors\(^5\), which are also considered to be under genetic control (Figure 2). Further evidence to support the genetic theory is the increased incidence in the case of Class II division 2 incisor relationships, especially when all four incisors are retroclined \(^7\).

Although canines may become impacted, sometimes they erupt into the mouth but are transposed with one of the adjacent teeth. This can happen in both the upper and lower arch and is relatively rare, with a reported incidence in the upper arch of 0.3\(^8\). The most common transposition is maxillary canine transposed to first premolar position\(^9\). Lower canine transpositions, most often with the lateral incisor, are even more uncommon with a reported incidence of just 0.03\(^{10,11}\).

Non-eruption of the upper canine tooth is occasionally due to its developmental absence rather than impaction. This again is rare (Figure 3), with a reported incidence of roughly 0.03\(^{12,13}\). A recent systematic review and meta-analysis concluded the overall prevalence of absent upper and lower canines to be 2.5% and 1.3% respectively \(^{14}\).
Figure 2: The upper lateral incisors are peg shaped and the maxillary right canine is palatally ectopic (Note that all four second premolars and three third molars are missing. Hypodontia and microdontia are linked)

Figure 3: DPT radiograph of a patient with a developmentally absent upper right permanent canine

4. When does the presence of an unerupted canine warrant further investigation?

Investigation into the presence and position of a permanent canine is warranted if:

- In the lower arch the deciduous canine is not mobile by the age of around 10 years
- In the upper arch the unerupted permanent canine is not palpable in the buccal sulcus by the age of 10 years.
• A permanent canine remains unerupted more than 6 months after the eruption of the contralateral tooth.

4.1 Clinical indicators of canine presence and position

In addition to palpation, either buccally or palatally/lingually, there are other possible clinical indicators as to the likely position of the unerupted tooth. These include the position and mobility of adjacent teeth. Sometimes the inclination of the adjacent lateral incisor will indicate the position of the unerupted canine, particularly if the unerupted canine crown is pushing against the lateral incisor root (Figures 4 & 5). If the unerupted canine is not palpable, but the retained deciduous canine is mobile, the unerupted tooth is likely to be in a favourable position and probably close to eruption. Sometimes adjacent permanent teeth are mobile as a result of unwanted resorption caused by the ectopic canine.

Figure 4 – Notice on this CBCT view how the upper lateral incisor appears proclined as a result of the labial position of the crown of the unerupted canine. The anterior occlusal radiograph taken prior to the CBCT was suggestive of lateral incisor root resorption.
Figure 5 – an OPT and lower standard occlusal radiograph where the lower left canine is impacted against the root of the lateral incisor, within the line of the arch. Notice how the lower left lateral incisor is tipped distally as a result.

4.2 Radiographic assessment of canine presence and position

The next step in identifying the position of the unerupted canine is a radiographic examination. The most common combinations of radiographs that can be used to locate an ectopically positioned maxillary canine are:

1. An OPT and an anterior occlusal with a 65° to 70° tube shift using vertical parallax
2. Two periapical radiographs taken with a 30° tube shift and using horizontal parallax.
3. An anterior occlusal and a periapical radiograph taken with a 30° tube shift, once again using horizontal parallax.

Of the two parallax techniques, horizontal parallax is reported to be more sensitive than vertical parallax\(^1\).\(^{15}\)

In addition to parallax, in the case of an ectopically positioned lower canine a true 90° mandibular occlusal can sometimes be used on its own or two views at right angles. In orthodontics a DPT and a lateral cephalometric view are commonly used for orthodontic diagnosis and by chance may also help localise an aberrant lower canine (Figure 6). Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) can be used to locate ectopic canines and identify possible resorption of the roots of the adjacent teeth\(^3\) (Figure 4), but this requires specialist equipment and will result in a higher radiation exposure to the patient. Standard lower occlusal radiographs (Figure 5) may not give sufficient information regarding the proximity of the canine crown to the lower incisor roots due to superimposition. Altering the X-ray angulation along the long axis of the lower incisors can give more diagnostic information (Figure 7). Another, less sensitive, method of assessing whether an ectopically positioned canine is palatally or buccally placed is based on its relative magnification on a single OPT radiograph\(^1\).\(^9\). If the canine is palatally placed it will appear larger on the X-ray image, as it is closer to the X-ray source than the rest of the teeth in the arch (Figure 8).
Figure 6 – A DPT and a lateral cephalometric radiograph can also be used to locate an aberrant mandibular canine. Notice how the lower canine is lying horizontal and very low down in the symphysis.
Figure 7 – This lower occlusal radiograph has been taken along the long axis of the lower incisors and shows that there is no resorption of buccal aspect of the lower incisors. A lower standard occlusal radiograph may not have given as much information.
Figure 8 – Notice how the divergence of the X-ray beam can lead to the palatally positioned canine appearing larger on the DPT radiograph

4.3  Radiographic sector analysis of position and eruptive likelihood

When trying to predict the likelihood of success of interceptive treatments for the alignment of the ectopically positioned upper canine, a radiographic sector analysis can be used. Here the radiographic position of the canine crown is described as being in one of five sectors.
(Figure 9). The analysis was described by Ericson and Kurol\textsuperscript{16} and the five canine positions are:

1. Normally positioned
2. Distal half of the lateral incisor root overlapped by the canine crown
3. Mesial half of the lateral incisor root overlapped by the canine crown
4. Distal half of the central incisor root overlapped by the canine crown
5. Mesial half of the central incisor root overlapped by the canine crown

\textbf{Figure 9} – Five sector analysis proposed by Ericson and Kurol\textsuperscript{16}

Both Ericson and Kurol\textsuperscript{16} and Power and Short\textsuperscript{21} reported that the more mesial the canine crown, the less the likelihood of successful interceptive treatment. Ericson and Kurol\textsuperscript{16} reported that when the unerupted canine was in sectors 1 or 2 there was a 90\% chance of correction following interceptive extraction, compared to a 64\% chance if the unerupted canine was in sectors 3, 4 or 5, indicating the relationship between cusp tip and midpoint of the lateral incisor root to be a diagnostic predictor. This is a finding echoed by other
researchers, who have suggested that the mesial position of the canine cusp tip is the most important factor in predicting the success of prospective interceptive treatment\textsuperscript{22}.

However, it is not just the proximity of the unerupted upper canine to the midline that is important. The more parallel it is to and the greater the distance it is from the occlusal plane, the poorer the outcome of any interceptive extraction of the deciduous canine. Interceptive extractions will be discussed in part 2.

5. Conclusion

The eruption of the canine tooth is a significant dental milestone. Often this occurs without incidence, but the aberrant canine can be one of the most challenging treatment scenarios commonly faced by the orthodontist. Early detection can allow interceptive treatment to be performed and can significantly reduce the risk of adverse events, such as root resorption, and the complexity of definitive treatment. The clinician should be aware of the possible sequelae of unerupted canines. This paper has outlined the aetiology and diagnosis of the aberrant canine, part 2 will discuss the various management strategies.

Acknowledgements

The Authors would like to thank Mrs Karen Drage (Consultant Orthodontist, Derriford Hospital, Plymouth) and Mr Christian Day (Consultant Orthodontist, Bristol Dental Hospital, Bristol) for the use of some of their clinical cases


