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The effect of public health-oriented drug law reform on HIV incidence in people who inject drugs in Tijuana, Mexico: an epidemic modelling study

Annick Borquez, Leo Beletsky, Bohdan Nosyk, Steffanie A Strathdee, Alejandro Madrazo, Daniela Abramovitz, Claudia Rafful, Mario Morales, Javier Cepeda, Dimitra Panagiotoglou, Emanuel Krebs, Peter Vickerman, Marie Claude Boily, Nicholas Thomson, Natasha K Martin

Summary

Background As countries embark on public health-oriented drug law reform, health impact evaluations are needed. In 2012, Mexico mandated the narcomenudeo reform, which depenalised the possession of small amounts of drugs and instituted drug treatment instead of incarceration. We investigated the past and future effect of this drug law reform on HIV incidence in people who inject drugs in Tijuana, Mexico.

Methods In this epidemic modelling study, we used data from the El Cuete IV cohort study to develop a deterministic model of injecting and sexual HIV transmission in people who inject drugs in Tijuana between 2012 and 2030. The population was stratified by sex, incarceration status, syringe confiscation by the police, HIV stage, and exposure to drug treatment or rehabilitation (either opioid agonist treatment or compulsory drug abstinence programmes). We modelled the effect of these exposures on HIV risk in people who inject drugs, estimating the effect of observed and potential future reform enforcement levels.

Findings In 2011, prior to the narcomenudeo reform, 547 (75%) of 733 people who inject drugs in the El Cuete cohort reported having ever been incarcerated, on average five times since starting injecting. Modelling estimated the limited reform implementation averted 2% (95% CI 0·2–3·0) of new HIV infections in people who inject drugs between 2012 and 2017. If implementation reduced incarceration in people who inject drugs by 80% from 2018 onward, 9% (95% CI 4–16) of new HIV infections between 2018 and 2030 could be averted, with 21% (10–33) averted if people who inject drugs were referred to opioid agonist treatment instead of being incarcerated. Referral to compulsory drug abstinence programmes instead of prison could have a lower or potentially negative impact with –2% (95% CI –23 to 9) infections averted.

Interpretation Mexican drug law reform has had a negligible effect on the HIV epidemic among people who inject drugs in Tijuana. However, appropriate implementation could markedly reduce HIV incidence if linked to opioid agonist treatment. Unfortunately, compulsory drug abstinence programmes are the main type of drug rehabilitation available and their expansion could potentially increase HIV transmission.

Funding National Institute on Drug Abuse, UC San Diego Center for AIDS Research.

Copyright © The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY 4.0 license.

Introduction

Public health-oriented drug law reforms were implemented in the late 1990s and early 2000s in the Netherlands, Portugal, and Switzerland, and are currently being implemented in several countries including Mexico, Brazil, Paraguay, Uruguay, Colombia, Malaysia, Canada, and some US states. This move follows mounting evidence that criminalisation fails to reduce drug use, while fuelling crime, violence, and negative health outcomes at substantial economic cost. The harms of punitive drug policies disproportionately affect people who inject drugs. Incarceration and the period post-release have been associated with HIV, tuberculosis, hepatitis B and C, overdose, and mental health disorders in people who inject drugs. Additionally, policing practices including arrest, extortion, and syringe confiscation have been associated with increased risk of blood-borne infection transmission in people who inject drugs.

Studies of the effect of drug law reforms on the health of people who inject drugs are needed, but very few assessments have been done in Latin America and other low-income and middle-income settings, despite growing momentum for such reforms. However, drug law reforms are complex structural interventions for which evaluation through community randomised controlled trials might be legally, ethically, or logistically difficult or unfeasible. Epidemic modelling is a useful method to address this limitation because it allows simulating control scenarios accounting for demographic and epidemic dynamics through time. Three modelling studies have assessed the contribution of incarceration to HIV, hepatitis C, and tuberculosis epidemics in people who inject drugs, but none have
In Tijuana, these entail physically restraining individuals, services were scarce, and in 2014, government funds were affordable and quality evidence-based drug treatment between 2011 and 2017. As recorded in other settings, people who inject drugs in Tijuana are often incarcerated or harassed by the police (eg, via syringe confiscation), exposures associated with receptive needle sharing. The narcomenudeo reform has the potential to reduce incarceration in people who inject drugs, and therefore prevent HIV transmission.

However, findings of early studies indicated little knowledge about the reform among the Tijuana police. Given these contextual circumstances, the effect of the narcomenudeo reform on HIV incidence in people who inject drugs in Tijuana, the city with the highest estimated number of people who inject drugs in Mexico. The reform allows for the possession evaluated the effect of drug law reform on disease incidence.

In 2009, Mexico enacted drug and health law reforms (referred to as the narcomenudeo reform hereafter), which depenalised possession of small amounts of selected drugs for personal consumption and mandated drug treatment on the third apprehension under drug possession thresholds instead of incarceration. The northwest state of Baja California became subject to the full enforcement of the narcomenudeo reform in 2012. The state has the highest prevalence of past-year illicit drug use (4.4% vs 2.7% at the national level) and its largest city, Tijuana, on the Mexico–US border has an estimated 10 000 people who inject drugs. HIV prevalence in people who inject drugs was 3-5% in 2011, and incidence was 1-1 per 100 person-years between 2011 and 2017. As recorded in other settings, people who inject drugs in Tijuana are often incarcerated or harassed by the police (eg, via syringe confiscation), exposures associated with receptive needle sharing. The narcomenudeo reform has the potential to reduce incarceration in people who inject drugs, and therefore prevent HIV transmission.

However, findings of early studies indicated little knowledge about the reform among the Tijuana police. Affordable and quality evidence-based drug treatment services were scarce, and in 2014, government funds were allocated to compulsory drug abstinence programmes. In Tijuana, these entail physically restraining individuals, brought involuntarily by family, friends or the police, in non-medically supervised centres over 3–6 months and subjecting them to non-evidence based interventions, which depenalised possession of small amounts of selected drugs for personal consumption and mandates drug treatment at the third apprehension. Our findings suggest that, so far, the reform has only had a minor effect on HIV incidence because of its limited implementation. However, if properly implemented—such that 80% of people who inject drugs who would have been incarcerated received opioid agonist treatment instead—HIV incidence could be reduced by 21% (95% CI 10–33) between 2018 and 2030. Furthermore, less impact, or even harm, could occur if people who inject drugs were instead referred to compulsory drug abstinence programmes, the main type of drug rehabilitation available in Tijuana.

Implications of all the available evidence

Epidemic modelling, informed by longitudinal studies monitoring policing and incarceration exposures among people who inject drugs and associated risk, supports rigorous evaluations of the effect of drug law reforms on disease epidemics. Appropriately implemented drug law reform leading to reduced incarceration and referral to opioid agonist treatment could prevent HIV and related epidemics among people who inject drugs in Tijuana. This study is highly relevant to other cities in Mexico and Latin America that have HIV epidemics among people who inject drugs, as the rule of law is often weak across the region, hampering reform enforcement, and compulsory abstinence programmes are the main type of drug rehabilitation available and can cause harm.

Methods

Epidemic model structure

We developed a dynamic deterministic compartmental model of injecting and sexual HIV transmission in Tijuana, the city with the highest estimated number of people who inject drugs in Mexico. The reform allows for the possession evaluated the effect of drug law reform on disease incidence.

In 2009, Mexico enacted drug and health law reforms (referred to as the narcomenudeo reform hereafter), which depenalised possession of small amounts of selected drugs for personal consumption and mandated drug treatment on the third apprehension under drug possession thresholds instead of incarceration. The northwest state of Baja California became subject to the full enforcement of the narcomenudeo reform in 2012. The state has the highest prevalence of past-year illicit drug use (4.4% vs 2.7% at the national level) and its largest city, Tijuana, on the Mexico–US border has an estimated 10 000 people who inject drugs. HIV prevalence in people who inject drugs was 3-5% in 2011, and incidence was 1-1 per 100 person-years between 2011 and 2017. As recorded in other settings, people who inject drugs in Tijuana are often incarcerated or harassed by the police (eg, via syringe confiscation), exposures associated with receptive needle sharing. The narcomenudeo reform has the potential to reduce incarceration in people who inject drugs, and therefore prevent HIV transmission.

However, findings of early studies indicated little knowledge about the reform among the Tijuana police. Affordable and quality evidence-based drug treatment services were scarce, and in 2014, government funds were allocated to compulsory drug abstinence programmes. In Tijuana, these entail physically restraining individuals, brought involuntarily by family, friends or the police, in non-medically supervised centres over 3–6 months and subjecting them to non-evidence based interventions, which depenalised possession of small amounts of selected drugs for personal consumption and mandates drug treatment at the third apprehension. Our findings suggest that, so far, the reform has only had a minor effect on HIV incidence because of its limited implementation. However, if properly implemented—such that 80% of people who inject drugs who would have been incarcerated received opioid agonist treatment instead—HIV incidence could be reduced by 21% (95% CI 10–33) between 2018 and 2030. Furthermore, less impact, or even harm, could occur if people who inject drugs were instead referred to compulsory drug abstinence programmes, the main type of drug rehabilitation available in Tijuana.

Implications of all the available evidence

Epidemic modelling, informed by longitudinal studies monitoring policing and incarceration exposures among people who inject drugs and associated risk, supports rigorous evaluations of the effect of drug law reforms on disease epidemics. Appropriately implemented drug law reform leading to reduced incarceration and referral to opioid agonist treatment could prevent HIV and related epidemics among people who inject drugs in Tijuana. This study is highly relevant to other cities in Mexico and Latin America that have HIV epidemics among people who inject drugs, as the rule of law is often weak across the region, hampering reform enforcement, and compulsory abstinence programmes are the main type of drug rehabilitation available and can cause harm.

Methods

Epidemic model structure

We developed a dynamic deterministic compartmental model of injecting and sexual HIV transmission in
people who inject drugs, including incarceration and police harassment (via syringe confiscation; figure 1). The population was stratified by sex, incarceration stage, exposure to syringe confiscation in the past 6 months, and HIV stage (figure 1). We neglected ART because coverage was less than 1% in HIV-infected people who inject drugs in Tijuana. The model was additionally stratified by exposure to drug treatment or rehabilitation (either opioid agonist treatment or compulsory drug abstinence programmes). Injecting and sexual transmission were represented through a system of coupled ordinary differential equations as functions of the number of syringe sharing events or sex acts, the probability of transmission through syringe sharing or by sex act and the HIV prevalence and HIV stage in partners. Syringe sharing contacts were assumed to follow random mixing. Sexual contacts were assumed to be exclusively heterosexual and shared between people who inject drugs and non-injecting partners. Differences in number of sex acts and condom use with stable, casual, and commercial partners were represented. A relative risk of HIV infection through injecting in people who inject drugs exposed to recent syringe confiscation, compulsory drug abstinence programmes, opioid agonist treatment and recent incarceration was applied to reflect their effect on the frequency of receptive sharing events, based on data described in the next section (appendix p 5).

HIV co-infection models (in which the co-infection was transmitted only via syringe sharing or injecting routes) have been successfully used to distinguish the relative contribution of sexual versus injecting risk on HIV in people who injected drugs. Therefore, to more accurately estimate the potential effect of interventions addressing injecting transmission, we also estimated the contribution of sexual transmission to HIV incidence using a simplified model of HIV and syphilis co-infection (appendix pp 16–19).

**Figure 1: Disaggregation of the people who inject drugs population**

By (A) sex, (B) incarceration, (C) syringe confiscation, and (D) HIV status, and the flows and corresponding rates between states within each model dimension, and (E) OAT or CAP to which people who inject drugs are diverted to instead of incarceration under different enforcement scenarios of the Narcomenudeo reform as indicated by the red shading. OAT=opioid agonist treatment. CAP=compulsory abstinence programme. *In prison, no syringe confiscation by the police occurs because there is no interaction with the police and there is no access to either OAT or CAPs.

**Epidemic model parameterisation and calibration**

The model was parameterised using demographic and HIV risk behaviour data from El Cuete IV and calibrated to HIV incidence and prevalence data by sex and incarceration history in people who inject drugs in Tijuana from 2005–15 (table 1). We used data from El Cuete IV to parameterise temporal trends in exposure to incarceration and police (recent (<6 months) incarceration, recent (<6 months) syringe confiscation by the police). Additional prison demography parameters were estimated using a simplified cohort model calibrated to incarceration data from El Cuete IV (appendix pp 10, 11). The relative risk of receptive syringe sharing associated with these exposures was also estimated from El Cuete IV baseline (appendix p 5). Because we found no association between exposure to recent syringe confiscation and incarceration, or effect on receptive syringe sharing, we assumed these mechanisms operated independently. We also assessed temporal trends in current opioid agonist treatment use (yes or no) and recent (<6 months) exposure to compulsory drug abstinence programmes, as these are potentially related to the narcomenudeo reform provision for drug treatment. Because the proportion exposed to recent compulsory drug abstinence programmes was very low...
at baseline (<2%), we examined the potential association between ever exposure to compulsory drug abstinence programmes and receptive syringe sharing at baseline. Similarly, because the proportion on opioid agonist treatment was very low at baseline (3%), we used data from an international meta-analysis19 to parameterise the effect of this treatment on HIV risk. To account for parameter uncertainty, we sampled parameters from assigned prior distributions using Latin Hypercube Sampling (table 2). 120 000 parameter sets were sampled and 204 selected runs producing a log likelihood above the 99th percentile were used for the final analyses. Most of these runs lay between the 95% confidence Intervals (95% CI) of the data (appendix pp 14, 15).

The UCSD and Colegio de la Frontera Norte boards approved El Cuete IV, and all participants provided written informed consent.

### Epidemic modelling analyses

To assess the contribution of incarceration and syringe confiscation to the HIV epidemic in people who inject drugs with no drug law reform (2012–30), we modelled...
a baseline scenario with no change in incarceration or syringe confiscation from 2012 (mandated start of the reform). In view of the low proportion on ART (<1%), opioid agonist treatment (3%) and recent compulsory drug abstinence programmes (<2%) at baseline, we assumed no coverage of these for the baseline scenario.

To estimate the population attributable fraction of potential modifiable variables associated with the narcomenudeo reform, we assessed the population attributable fraction of incarceration and syringe confiscation from 2012 until 2030. This was implemented by setting incarceration rates to zero and eliminating the increased risk in people who inject drugs recently incarcerated and recently exposed to syringe confiscation. We calculated the relative reduction in the number of new infections between 2012 and 2030 in this scenario compared to the baseline scenario. Additionally, we investigated the population attributable fraction of recent incarceration and syringe confiscation in isolation.

To estimate the potential interim effect of the limited enforcement of the narcomenudeo reform up to now (2012–17), we modelled observed changes in policing (ie, syringe confiscation) and recent incarceration since the mandated narcomenudeo reform enforcement in 2012 in people who inject drugs in the El Cuete cohort. We estimated the number of new infections prevented between 2012 and 2017 compared with the baseline scenario.

It is uncertain how full implementation of the narcomenudeo reform could affect the incarceration of people who inject drugs, but in 2011, 80% of incarcerations in the past 6 months were due to possessing drugs or minor infractions associated with drug use. To estimate the potential future effect of the narcomenudeo reform on the HIV epidemic in people who inject drugs, we modelled four scenarios that incorporated observed changes in recent incarceration and syringe confiscation over 2012 to 2017, assuming these remained stable thereafter. The scenarios explored assumed: first, no additional changes; second, 80% reduction in incarceration rates in people who inject drugs in a limited enforcement scenario; third, opioid agonist treatment instead of incarceration for drug-related crimes (80% of incarcerations), assuming a 53% (95% CI 33–68) reduction in injecting-related HIV acquisition risk on opioid agonist treatment for 1 year on average; and finally, compulsory drug abstinence programmes instead of incarceration for drug-related crimes (80% of incarcerations; table 1, appendix).

Sensitivity analyses exploring a lower reduction in incarceration (and associated diversion to opioid agonist treatment or compulsory drug abstinence programmes) and longer or shorter duration on opioid agonist treatment were implemented.

For statistical and epidemic modelling analyses, we used SAS (version 9.4) and Matlab R2015b, respectively.

Role of the funding source
The funders of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Results
In 2011, before the narcomenudeo reform, 547 (75%) of 733 people who inject drugs in the El Cuete cohort in Tijuana reported having ever been incarcerated, on average five times since starting injecting drugs. People who inject drugs recently incarcerated (past 6 months) had a relative risk of 1.30 (95% CI 1.15–1.46) of receptive syringe sharing compared with those never or not recently incarcerated, adjusting for duration of incarceration (table 1). Although the proportion of people who inject drugs reporting recent incarceration fluctuated around an average of 5–8% between 2013 and 2016, no trend was observed over time (appendix pp 2–5). In 2011, nearly 11% of people who inject drugs reported having syringes confiscated by police in the past 6 months, an experience associated with a 1.16 (95% CI 1.03–1.29) relative risk of receptive syringe sharing, compared with those not recently exposed, adjusting for duration of injection (table 1). A decrease in exposure to recent syringe confiscation was recorded between 2012 and 2013 and continued to reach 0% by 2015 (appendix pp 2, 3).

Levels of drug treatment were low and remained negligible between 2011 and 2016. The proportion of people who inject drugs on opioid agonist treatment was 3.1% in 2011, and remained low over time (2.2% in 2016). Only 1.4% of people who inject drugs reported exposure to compulsory drug abstinence programmes in the past 6 months in 2011. Although there were spikes (up to 5%) in the proportion of people who inject drugs reporting recent exposure in 2015 and 2016, no trend could be confirmed, so it is unclear whether the reform led to a consistent rise in...
Discussion

As drug policy reform gathers momentum, rigorous scientific studies are necessary to assess the public health impact of these structural interventions and to improve implementation. Our epidemic modelling study is unique in that it quantifies the effect of drug-law reform on HIV transmission in people who inject drugs. We show that syringe confiscation and incarceration could contribute to more than 10% of new infections in people who inject drugs in Tijuana between 2012 and 2030 and that observed reductions in syringe confiscation between 2012 and 2017 have only prevented a small proportion of new infections. Substantial reductions in incarceration (80%) could prevent nearly one in ten new infections over the next 12 years (2018–30) and this would increase to one in five new infections if opioid agonist treatment was provided to people who inject drugs who would exposure. In 2011, 10·3% of people who inject drugs reported ever exposure to compulsory drug abstinence programmes, which was associated with a 1·14 (95% CI 0·9–1·4) relative risk of receptive syringe sharing compared with those never exposed, adjusting for duration of injection.

Using these findings, modelling suggested that in the absence of the narcomenudeo reform from 2012 to 2030, 10·8% (95% CI 4·8–18·9) of the estimated 1715 (699–3108) new HIV infections in people who inject drugs could be attributed to incarceration and syringe confiscation, correspondingly to 192 (95% CI 42–464) new infections (figure 2). Incarceration alone could contribute 7·1% (95% CI 2·5–13·8) of new infections, whereas syringe confiscation alone could contribute 3·9% (0·2–9·0) of new infections.

The small observed changes in policing experienced by people who inject drugs since the narcomenudeo reform in 2012 (no incarceration changes, but decrease in exposure to recent syringe confiscation by police) has probably resulted in a small decrease in HIV incidence, averting 1·6% (95% CI 0·2–3·3) of new HIV infections in people who inject drugs over this period (2012–17). If syringe confiscation is kept at zero but no additional changes in narcomenudeo reform implementation occur, 5·0% (95% CI 0·7–10·4) of the estimated 1253 (481–2358) new HIV infections could be averted from 2018 to 2030 compared with no reform (figures 3, 4).

If the incarceration rate of people who inject drugs is reduced by 80% from 2018 in addition to continued elimination of syringe confiscation by police as recorded so far, then 8·9% (95% CI 3·8–16·0) of new infections could be averted from 2018 to 2030 compared with no reform (figures 2, 3). Additionally, if people who inject drugs who would have been incarcerated were referred to evidence-based opioid agonist treatment services, 20·8% (95% CI 9·9–32·5) of new infections could be averted (figure 3, 4). Improper reform implementation could limit effect or perhaps even cause harm. Modelling predicts that if people who inject drugs were sent to compulsory drug abstinence programmes instead of prison, this could potentially result in more HIV infections compared with no reform (–2·2% infections averted from 2018 to 2030 [95% CI –23·2 to 9·5]) and be accompanied by a rise in HIV prevalence (figure 4).

Findings of sensitivity analyses showed that while the magnitude of the association varies with lower reductions in incarceration and lower or higher opioid agonist treatment duration, results do not change qualitatively (appendix p 22).
otherwise be incarcerated. Appropriately enforced reform could therefore have a substantial effect on the epidemic. These findings, while unique in terms of assessing drug law reform impact, support previous modelling studies indicating that reductions in incarceration could reduce HIV and hepatitis C transmission in people who inject drugs.24 The narcomenudeo reform in Mexico carries the potential to address structural factors in people who inject drugs that increase their HIV risk. Unfortunately, stark discrepancies between written laws and policing practices in Tijuana have translated into minimal benefits, and potential harms.

Our findings show that if people who inject drugs were instead referred to compulsory drug-abstinence programmes, the main drug-rehabilitation programme available in Tijuana, the effect would be smaller and the number of new HIV infections could rise. The main concern with compulsory treatment is the potential effect of coercion on patients’ wellbeing and treatment success. In Tijuana, this is compounded by the lack of legal specification regarding the type of mandated drug treatment. Indeed, interviews in people who inject drugs in Tijuana found that none of the compulsory drug-abstinence programme referrals were legal, and they often involved police abuse and were associated with traumatic experiences (appendix pp 22, 23).26 Increases in syringe sharing in those exposed to compulsory drug-abstinence programmes could be the result of poor mental health and low self-care after psychological or physical abuses in these centres. Compulsory drug-abstinence programmes exposure in people who inject drugs in Tijuana was also associated with non-fatal overdoses.27 Similarly, studies in China and Thailand found positive associations between exposure to compulsory drug-abstinence programmes and drug paraphernalia sharing28 and avoidance of health care,29 respectively. By contrast, in settings such as Portugal, referral to treatment is recommended but only corresponds to 10 days of personal consumption. These thresholds are very low compared with those established in other settings such as Portugal, which allow for the possession of quantities of coercive on patients' wellbeing and treatment success. In Tijuana, this is compounded by the lack of legal specification regarding the type of mandated drug treatment. Indeed, interviews in people who inject drugs in Tijuana found that none of the compulsory drug-abstinence programme referrals were legal, and they often involved police abuse and were associated with traumatic experiences (appendix pp 22, 23).26 Increases in syringe sharing in those exposed to compulsory drug-abstinence programmes could be the result of poor mental health and low self-care after psychological or physical abuses in these centres. Compulsory drug-abstinence programmes exposure in people who inject drugs in Tijuana was also associated with non-fatal overdoses.27 Similarly, studies in China and Thailand found positive associations between exposure to compulsory drug-abstinence programmes and drug paraphernalia sharing28 and avoidance of health care,29 respectively. By contrast, in settings such as Portugal, referral to treatment is recommended but only imposed on a case-by-case basis.27

Poor knowledge of the reform and drug laws in Tijuana police posed a substantial barrier to reform enforcement. In 2015, less than one in ten police officers correctly identified the possession threshold for heroin,29 just over half correctly recognised syringes to be legal, and two-thirds reported making at least one arrest for syringe possession in the past 6 months. During interviews with high-level police, public health, and drug treatment sector officials, participants expressed confusion about the reform,27 and typically identified mass-media as their source of information. No action was taken to develop, disseminate or implement reform operating procedures (appendix pp 22, 23).30 Between 2015 and 2016, Proyecto Escudo, a novel police education programme framed as occupational health training, was implemented to assist the enforcement of the narcomenudeo reform by shifting police knowledge, attitudes, and practices targeting people who inject drugs thereby promoting police-public health collaboration.31 Preliminary findings suggest improved understanding of the legal status of syringe, heroin, and marijuana possession, along with better attitudes about public health-driven drug law reform.28,30 Future work should assess the effect of this police education programme on HIV transmission in people who inject drugs.

Although the promise of police education is encouraging, numerous barriers to reform implementation exist, including conflict between the narcomenudeo’s provisions on drugs and the local ordinance prohibiting their public consumption or, ambiguously, any deviant behaviour. A spectrum of minor infractions, such as sleeping in public, loitering, or failure to produce identification are punishable. In addition, while the narcomenudeo reform depenalises drug possession under a threshold, it allows detention (up to 48 h) until determination that the amount was below thresholds. These thresholds are very low compared with those established in other settings such as Portugal, which allow for the possession of quantities corresponding to 10 days of personal consumption. Given police incentives are based on metrics such as numbers of arrests made, these are unlikely to decrease.

Another barrier is the lack of affordable and quality drug treatment in Tijuana. Only three evidence-based outpatient opioid agonist treatment providers (total capacity 800 patients, only 150 subsidised) and one public inpatient centre (30 patients capacity) currently operate.31 These providers charge USD$75–250 per month for opioid agonist treatment, unaffordable for most people who inject drugs, 75% of whom earn below $200 per month.32 While expansion of opioid agonist treatment could have synergistic effects on HIV treatment, such as improving ART retention and adherence, access to HIV services is virtually missing for people who inject drugs in Tijuana. Hence, integration of drug treatment and HIV services is unfortunately unlikely to be achieved at present.

As with other modelling studies, our analysis is limited by uncertainty in the underlying data. First, the model parameterisation relies partly on self-reported behaviours in people who inject drugs subject to social desirability bias and recall imprecision. However, the El Cuete IV team is highly experienced at interviewing people who inject drugs and has developed a trusting relationship with the community, so we expect these to be minimised. Second, we relied on the model’s capacity to capture HIV transmission and incarceration dynamics in this population. We modelled multiple epidemic trajectories that fit to these data and represented uncertainty in our findings. We note that we sampled from a wide range of parameter values, and despite obtaining a relatively low number of fits (n=204), diverse epidemic trajectories were simulated propagating underlying parameter uncertainty. Third, our analysis focused on two exposures (incarceration and syringe confiscation) associated with receptive syringe sharing and potentially associated with the...
police reform) and health system (evidence-based imperatives of public health. between the objectives of the security sector and the our study supports the need to explore partnerships and alignment of drug treatment with international standards. Indeed, modelling studies such as ours provide therefore serves as a call to action countries reforming their drug policies to implement monitoring, evaluation, and alignment of drug treatment with international standards. Indeed, modelling studies such as ours provide a useful tool to assess the effect of drug law reform on disease epidemics in Mexico and other countries. Further, our study supports the need to explore partnerships between the objectives of the security sector and the imperatives of public health. Contributors AB, LB, BN, SS, PV, MCB, NT, and NKM contributed to the study design and concept. AM and LB undertook the legal analysis. LB, SAS, DA, CR, MM undertook the analyses on policing associated risk in people who inject drugs and determinants of drug law enforcement in Tijuana. AB, NKM, SAS, PV, MCB undertook the epidemic modelling. BN, DP, EK, JC contributed economic analyses and perspectives. All authors contributed to the writing and critical editing of the manuscript. All authors made substantial contributions to the design and approach, and approve the final version to be published.
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