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Forum “Who is British Music?” Placing Migrants in National Music History¹
Florian Scheding, convenor and editor

Introduction
Florian Scheding

In 2013, trucks and vans were driving across London, bearing the message ‘In the UK illegally? Go home or face arrest.’ These mobile billboards declared the number of arrests that had taken place ‘in your area’ in the previous week and provided a number to which people could text the message ‘HOME’ to initiate voluntary repatriation. In 2016, Theresa May, who had organised this scheme as home secretary, became prime minister, following the upheaval caused by the country’s plebiscite to leave the European Union. One of the main strands of argument of the successful ‘Brexit’ campaign centred on the ‘deep public anxiety … about uncontrolled immigration’² and promised to reduce numbers of immigrants to the country. This desire to control the nation’s borders continued to dominate the official soundscape of Britain’s government. At the 2016 annual Tory conference, May endeavoured to draw clear lines on issues of belonging, territory, citizenship, and the fuzzy notion of

¹ The papers collated in this forum were first presented at the one-day conference ‘Who Is British Music? The Place of Immigrants in British Music History and Historiography’ at the University of Bristol on 6 June 2017. I’m indebted to many helpers that supported this event and would especially like to thank Ensemble Émigré for their wonderful concert that concluded the conference.

British values, discursively excluding not only migrants, but anyone with an international(ist) outlook from the national debate: ‘If you believe you are a citizen of the world’, she posited, ‘you are a citizen of nowhere.’

Arguments against ideologies that propose homogeneous national cultures are widespread. Homi Bhabha issues a hefty warning against monocultural nationalism when he cautions that ‘the very idea of a pure, “ethnically cleansed” national identity can only be achieved through the death, literal and figurative, of the interweavings of history’, and calls instead for ‘a more transnational and translational sense of the hybridity of imagined communities.’ And yet, even discourses such as Bhabha’s imply an unease with the nation that permeates several of the foundational texts of migration and diaspora studies. In his seminal ‘Reflections on Exile’, Edward W. Said explicitly constructs the migrant as the other to the nation. While he acknowledges the deep connection between nationalism and exile, he sees their relation as ‘a dialectic of servant and master’ in which the nation ‘fends off exile, fights to prevent its ravages.’ Hannah Arendt, too, positions the migrant on the margins of history, even as she advocates for the refugee to be moved to the centre of historical discourse. Tariq Modood has discussed the potential of concepts such as multiculturalism to function as ‘a nation making, or, more precisely, a nation-remaking project.’ And yet, he holds out little hope, suggesting that multiculturalism has ‘given up [on] protecting/promoting the project of

---

multicultural Britishness’ and positing the ‘incompatibility between multiculturalism and cosmopolitanism in relation to migration.’\textsuperscript{6} Bleaker still is David Goodhart’s view that migration after World War II has undermined national solidarity and Britishness as a whole.\textsuperscript{7} Extreme views such as Goodhart’s might be exceptions, but they nonetheless point to a current historical debate that Tony Kushner, one of the leading migration historians, has termed the ‘battle of Britishness.’ Kushner illustrates how discourses on migration and national identity are informed by an imagined past of a homogeneous, mono-cultural, pre-immigrant England.\textsuperscript{8} As Laurence Brown has pointed out, Kushner provides a ‘much needed counterpoint’ to recent polemics on post-war immigration that allege precisely such a past.\textsuperscript{9}

Things get even more complicated, perhaps, when we focus on music’s (and musicology’s) relation with the nation. Music and nationalism are uneasy bedfellows, as Philip V. Bohlman has put it.\textsuperscript{10} While song might have the potential to sound the nation into being, the nation is


\textsuperscript{8} Tony Kushner, \textit{The Battle of Britishness: Migrant Journeys, 1685 to the Present} (Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2012).


not a necessary, much less a sufficient, condition of song. Despite our discipline’s love-hate relationship with nationalism as posited by Bohlman, the national occupies a prominent role at the centre of mainstream musicology. In his *Oxford History*, for example, Richard Taruskin frames his discussion of Benjamin Britten against the backdrop of the British homeland. While Taruskin mentions Britten’s transatlantic journeys of the 1940s that saw him connect with an international artistic jet set in New York and elsewhere, he portrays the composer as a man ultimately tied to his native soil who ‘could only fulfil his musical potential in his aboriginal surroundings, in the bosom of … his native society.’ Immigrant composers such as Handel are implicitly excluded from the thrust of British music history, as Taruskin suggests that Britten became the country’s first composer of international standing since the 17th century. A similar emphasis on engagements with the soil permeates the ‘England’ entry in *Grove Music Online*. (There exists no separate entry for ‘Britain’; according to the authors, ‘England has always been the predominant entity within Britain.’)

While the authors, Stephen Banfield and Ian Russell, prominently place Handel in their section on the 18th century, reference what they term musical xenophilia during the 19th century, and give some room to the spreading of British music as part of the imperial project, their main concern is the elusive search for ‘Englishness’. Bearing the headings ‘Englishness in Music’ and ‘English musical identity’, the first and last subsections bracket the substantial

---


12 There are also entries on ‘Scotland’ by Kenneth Elliott, Francis Collinson and Peggy Duesenberry, and on ‘Wales’ by Geraint Lewis, Lyn Davies and Phyllis Kinney. A search for Northern Ireland yields no result but suggests the entries ‘Belfast’ by Peter Downey and ‘Ireland (Irish Éire)’ by Harry White and Nicholas Carolan.
first half of the entry, which is on art and commercial music. Concerning the time period covered by this forum, which spans from the late 19th into the early 21st century, immigrant voices are almost entirely absent from the Grove entry, and the authors freely admit that ‘the discipline’s emphasis on composers and their styles has tended to play into the hands of 19th- and 20th-century nationalism in its search for an intrinsic Englishness.’

The cognitive dissonance is palpable. While the authors problematise ‘Englishness’ and nationalism they ultimately end up following the same line, as though it were some inevitable track they cannot deviate from.

In his contribution to this forum, Erik Levi shows how this search for Englishness manifests itself in recent scholarship on 20th-century British concert music. For example, he points out that in his monograph on the ‘Manchester School’ of the 1960s, Philip Rupprecht only mentions immigrant composers such as Mátyás Seiber and Egon Wellesz in passing, a tokenistic strategy that is shared by the editor of another recent publication, Matthew Riley.

While acknowledging the occasional internationalist striving, Rupprecht instead foregrounds the insularity of the Manchester School and posits a specifically English (rather than internationalist) musical modernism. Paradoxically, research on Egon Wellesz, who spent half his professional life in Britain and serves as Levi’s case study, remains the domain of

---


15 Philip Rupprecht, British Musical Modernism, 1-32.
exile studies, much of it by Austrian-based authors and published in German. This perplexing paradox is also manifest in the field of film and film music studies, as I detail in my section. On the one hand, Richard Farmer and Sarah Street effectively exclude immigrant voices from their narrative of British film history, while, on the other, Tobias Hochscherf, Kevin Gough-Yates, and Tim Bergfelder and Christian Cargnelli centre their discussion on the exilic status of the migrants, thus de facto isolating them from wider debates of British cultural identity.\(^{16}\) It is noteworthy how those titles of the books here listed that mostly exclude immigrants tend to emphasise national belonging (British musical modernism, British national cinema), while those focused on migrants play on semantic denominators of Otherness (continental connection, exile, émigrés).

Discourses outside academia point towards a similar chasm. In 2014, BBC Radio 3 ran a year-long series. Entitled ‘Best of British’, the corporation broadcast a different work by a British composer every day of the year. Only one of them, Handel, was an immigrant. As Tom Western shows in his contribution, such seemingly innocuous editorial decisions matter,

as they erect what Josh Kun has termed aural borders.\textsuperscript{17} Pointing towards mid-20\textsuperscript{th}-century British phonography projects that endeavoured to capture and archive the nation in sound through field recordings, Western explains how acts of sonic bordering erect cultural-political barriers that preclude migrant voices from discursive participation.\textsuperscript{18} Simon Rattle’s eleven CD box set ‘British Music’, released in 2009 on EMI, likewise participates in sonically bordering the nation, as it includes only British-born composers except for Australian-born Percy Grainger, whose father was English. Conversely, the double CD ‘Continental Britons’, released on the Nimbus label in 2006, exclusively features immigrant composers. What emerges is almost a picture of parallel musical histories of British- versus non-British-born musicians, who interact little, if at all. It is striking that Rob Barnett, for example, picks up on this separation. In his review of ‘Continental Britons’, he highlights the foreignness of the featured composers, stranded in Britain almost arbitrarily, as ‘German and Austrian composers who were émigrés to the UK in the 1930s.’\textsuperscript{19}

This sense that migrants might be in the nation, but not be fully part of the nation, to paraphrase Paul Gilroy, has frequently been highlighted. Christina L. Baade, for example, in

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{17} Josh Kun, ‘The Aural Border’, \textit{Theatre Journal} 52 (2000), 1-21.
\end{itemize}
her detailed study of popular music broadcasts on the BBC during World War II, points out that the BBC programme Radio Rhythm Club ‘helped ensure black cultural production, and black British musicians, a place on the national airwaves.’ And yet, ‘nearly all of the experts and critics who contributed to the program were white’, thus situating ‘Negroes, whether Americans, West Indians, or British, as Other to a white British audience.’ At the same time, such acts of Othering afforded a sense of racial authenticity, a point Catherine Tackley elaborates on in this forum, with black musicians alleged to be authentic jazz musicians, regardless of their individual biographies and identities. The Windrush scandal that dominated headlines in British media in spring 2018 is further evidence for the paradoxical treatment particularly of immigrants from Caribbean countries, who, despite being born British subjects and having lived and worked in Britain for decades, were detained, denied legal rights, threatened with deportation, and in some cases wrongly deported. While the arrival of the Windrush on 22 June 1948 has become somewhat of a trope in the history of immigration to Britain, Catherine Tackley rightly highlights that black musicians, for example, were active well before then in British music. Indeed, ground-breaking publications such as Black British Jazz, which Tackley co-edited with Jason Toynbee and Mark Doffman, and Black Popular Music in Britain since 1945 by John Stratton and Nabeel Zuberi combine attention to immigrant stories with a careful handling of


the issue of race.\textsuperscript{22} Even so, they raise questions about the risks of narrating a parallel history of black music in Britain rather than a more integrated history of British jazz music.

The above-mentioned ‘England’ entry in New Grove only mentions immigrant communities in passing, racialising them as ethnic Other and excluding them from the national narrative of British (or English) music. On British Asian music, for example, the authors suggest that ‘Asian and West Indian musics speak on the whole of the originating race rather than the absorbing nationality.’\textsuperscript{23} In his contribution to this volume, Justin Williams directly tackles such assessments, showcasing how Riz MC’s work blurs the lines between clearly demarcated identities on the one hand and first- and second-generation migrants on the other. Williams shows how black and minority ethnic artists respond critically to what Gilroy terms postcolonial melancholia, connecting with other artists from different migrant and non-migrant backgrounds in the process. Despite these connections and the nation-wide exposure of British Asian artists such as Riz MC, for example, a sense of disconnect remains readable in the literature on British Asian music. Sanjay Sharma, for example, has argued that, rather than forming part of the fabric of British music more broadly, ‘from Bhangra to tabla & bass, Asian sounds continue to make a noise which dis-orientates and transforms British culture.’\textsuperscript{24}


Instead of conceiving of British Asian music as British, Helen Kim, too, de-prioritises the national (she mostly drops the word ‘British’), instead writing of a London Asian scene, for example. Indeed, she suggests that many British Asian cultural producers she interviewed favoured ‘narratives [that] inscribe essentialist ideas about Asian authenticity.’ Such essentialist strategies are not, of course, unique to British Asian music. Ian Collinson and David Hesmondhalgh have pointed out how terms such as Britpop not only engendered a neo-nationalist British identity, but displaced minority ethnic British artists into transnational categories such as Asian Kool or World Music. Edited by Jason Toynbee and Byron Dueck, the volume which contains Helen Kim’s chapter, *Migrating Music*, undoubtedly represents a major contribution to broader theoretical discussions regarding themes of movement in the study of music. And while not specifically focussed on British music, the narrative of two further chapters, by Carolyn Landau and Sara Cohen, unfolds in Britain. Before this background, it is striking that the book does not index the word ‘Britain’ or a variation thereof. The index entry on ‘identity’ likewise eschews the term, instead calling on urban denominators of place, notably London and Liverpool. Correspondingly, Cohen’s chapter charts musicians’ journeys across Liverpool, while Landau explores the multi-layered


process of identity making in the case of a Moroccan in London, thus foregrounding diaspora rather than immigrant voices as part of a wider British sonic diversity.\textsuperscript{27}

As this brief bibliographic overview confirms, excellent scholarly investigations of migrations and mobility as crucial factors for music in Britain have been undertaken, but the field is fragmented, with patchy collaboration across discussions of specific musical genres and diasporic communities. In some studies on British music, migrants are almost entirely excluded, although, more recently, conferences with titles that reference ‘British music’ have featured more and welcome attention to immigrant voices.\textsuperscript{28} Conversely, studies that investigate musics of diasporic communities in Britain seldom conceptually extend their discussions beyond the specific community or genre demarcations and against what this might mean for an understanding of ‘British music’ more broadly. There remains a lingering sense that musicology’s subdisciplines have some ground to cover when it comes to collaboration. The conference ‘The State We’re in: Directions in Researching Post-1900 British Music’, held at the University of Surrey on 16-17 April 2015, for example, featured only one session each with papers focussing on popular music and migrant musicians.


\textsuperscript{28} See, for example, papers presented by Ian Maxwell, Monika Hennemann, and Peter Horton and Bettina Muehlenbeck at the ‘Music in Nineteenth-Century Britain Conference’, held in Birmingham, 28-30 June 2017.
respectively. Ethnomusicology was not represented. This disconnect between the subdisciplines can be problematic, and Derek B. Scott points to the case of Ivan Caryll whose work, despite its huge successes at the turn to the 20th century, remains largely unnoticed by musicologists, partly as a result of having fallen in between sub-disciplinary gaps. In fact, Caryll’s case matters as that of a composer who achieved some fame and seemingly never suffered as a result of his immigrant status. While Caryll dabbled in exoticisms, his Belgian heritage is outwardly absent from his artistic contributions to the history of British operetta.

Taking seriously musicology’s political agency, this forum embraces a multi-faceted approach to the history of Britain’s diverse musical immigrants across a wide range of musical styles and genres that span the entirety of the 20th century, reaching into the late 19th and the early 21st centuries. Between them, the papers not only reveal the impact of immigrant composers and second-generation migrants and diasporic communities with global backgrounds on popular music, musical comedy, jazz, concert music, folk music, and film music. In conversation, the individual contributions highlight the connections across genres, the time period, and diverse migrant backgrounds, thus revealing a multi-faceted narrative in which debates concerning ‘the national’ form a current in British musical life and open up questions regarding constructions of a national music history and historiography. The forum thus highlights the contributions of immigrants to British musical life; the extent to which

29 These were papers presented by Catherine Tackley, Justin Williams and Tim Hughes in the session ‘Britain/America’, and papers given by Geoff Thomason and myself in the session ‘Émigrés’.

immigrants are, or are not, narrated as part of British music history and the extent to which their musics have been marginalised or otherwise; and what opportunities this poses for an understanding of what ‘British music’ actually means.

Bringing their music with them, migrants arrived in Britain across the time period covered here from all over the world. Building on the excellent work of colleagues working across musicology’s various subdisciplines—some of this is mentioned above, more is referenced in the individual contributions—this forum seeks to further holistic approaches that fully integrate migration within national music history and historiography and highlight narratives of (musical) diversity. We advocate a holistic discussion of the impact of migrants on British musical life and aim to represent a small step towards providing a more integrated discussion of diverse migrations and migrants in its historicisation of a national cultural history that conceives of the relation between migration and the nation as dialectic. Putting music centre stage, this forum widens the debate on migration as it encourages a discourse that is not restricted solely to economic, legal, and narrow political contexts. Our discipline, perhaps more than any other, has the ability to draw attention to the multitude and heterogeneity of voices and embed them in our historicisation of musical life in Britain. The focus on music allows for an exploration of the impact of highly creative migrants and migrant communities on British cultural history, thus foregrounding questions of national belonging and the sonic-cultural narratisation of the nation.

Performing Multiculturalism in UK Hip-hop: The Case of Riz MC

Justin Williams (University of Bristol)
‘We’re in search of a new national story. It needs updating.’ – Riz MC

With its origins in the 1970s Bronx, hip-hop music and culture has since spread globally as a lucrative and often politically powerful genre of popular music. In the UK, rap music transformed from an imitation of US styles in the 1980s to carving out something more unique. The nation’s most visible form of hip-hop, that has been labelled ‘grime’, emerged out of electronic dance music-based MCing in the early 2000s and has celebrated a renaissance in the mid-2010s, leading to exposure on the 2015 BRIT awards, a BBC late night Prom in the same year, and rapper Skepta winning the Mercury Prize the following year.

Despite this high level of exposure and institutional backing from public service broadcasters such as the BBC (Radio 1Xtra in particular), hip-hop in the UK is vastly misunderstood by the wider public, often conflated with mainstream imagery imported from the United States (which is why much of UK rap is referred to as ‘grime’, to distance itself from the US


32 Recent books on grime include Jeffrey Boakye, Hold Tight: Black Masculinity, Millennials & the Meaning of Grime (London: Influx Press, 2017) and Hettie Collins and Olivia Rose, This is Grime (London: Hodder & Stoughton, 2016). Though it involves rapping, grime practitioners and fans see the genre as separate from rap and other forms of hip-hop, and Riz MCs work would fall under the UK rap banner rather than grime. I use rap and hip-hop in this article interchangeably, noting that rap music is one element in a wider hip-hop culture which includes dance, graffiti and DJ practices.
model). Black and Minority Ethnic (BAME) rap artists arouse suspicion and scepticism from more racist streams of British society, who see British-born 2nd or 3rd generation citizens still as ‘immigrants’. Those who define Britain in mono-ethnic terms, or for those who feel outside such a construction, reflect what Tariq Modood and John Salt call the ‘tacit whiteness’ of national identity in Britain. In contrast to the often-stereotyped conflation of ‘Britishness’ with ‘whiteness’, UK hip-hop tells a different story. For many practitioners and fans who feel part of this imagined community, hip-hop provides a cultural citizenship separate from more traditional notions of citizenship in the UK and elsewhere. In response to the question who British music is, then, I posit that many youth cultures have turned to hip-hop both to express themselves and to gain cultural citizenship where other forms of belonging have seemed unavailable. These alternative voices, while varied and multifaceted, reflect a crucial musical and political voice in the British landscape.

Of the wide range of topics that UK rappers discuss and critique, I will focus on hip-hop’s performance of marginality to critique what Gilroy outlines as ‘postcolonial melancholia’ in the UK, and its resistance through the celebration of multiculturalism. While space does not allow for discussion of the omnipresent immigration debates which led in part to the ‘Brexit’

---


34 Elizabeth Craft has written recently about the musical Hamilton as providing a cultural citizenship for the nation’s immigrants and minorities who may have felt left out of the nation’s history (and present) until now. Elizabeth Titrington Craft, ‘Headfirst into a Political Abyss: The Politics and Political Reception of Hamilton, American Music 38/4 (2018) [forthcoming]. Thanks to Elizabeth for allowing me to look at an advance copy.
outcome of the June 2016 referendum to leave the EU, I will show that rap music can provide a vehicle to address the cultural amnesia found in ethno-nationalist definitions of British identity. Using rapper and actor Riz MC (Rizwan Ahmed) as a case study, I will show how some ethnic minority British citizens navigate a notion of ‘Englishness’ through rap in opposition to and alongside mainstream/stereotypical signifiers in unique ways.\(^{35}\) Grime and hip-hop should be considered part of the British musical landscape, providing a widely-visible vehicle for its practitioners while simultaneously critiquing such systems and structures it helps comprise.

*Postcolonial Melancholia*

Before engaging with Riz MC’s music, it is important to outline the social context to which these rappers’ discourses are responding. In his 2004 book *After Empire*, Paul Gilroy outlines a concept he calls ‘postcolonial melancholia’, a psychological condition mourning the loss of Empire in an unhealthy manner.\(^{36}\) For many, World War II becomes an idealized focal point, highlighting past victories fuelled by a heritage industry focused on that era and its perceived whiteness. Within postcolonial melancholia, the immigrant reminds xenophobic Britain of its imperial past and atrocities committed under the banner of colonialism. The symbolic figure of the ‘immigrant’ as an ethnic minority (either from within or outside Britain), according to Gilroy, lends a sense of discomfort to the nation’s postcolonial melancholia. He notes that,

\(^{35}\) While the larger discussion in the forum pertains to the idea of British music, I shift to a discussion of Englishness and English identity here for the primary reason that this is the unit of discussion that Riz MC engages with.

‘The vanished empire is essentially unmourned. The meaning of its loss remains pending. The chronic, nagging pain of its absence feeds a melancholic attachment.’

Racism, binge drinking, and neurotic repetition of a selective past are symptoms of this condition. Gilroy writes, ‘Indeed, the incomers may be unwanted and feared precisely because they are the unwitting bearers of the imperial and colonial past … The immigrant is now here because Britain, Europe, was once out there.’ We can see these anxieties played out by groups such as the English Defence League, a far-right protest movement that vocalizes opposition to the spread of Islamism and Sharia law in the United Kingdom. Political parties such as the British National Party (BNP) and the UK Independence Party (UKIP) include substantial reduction in immigration numbers as part of their platform. In 2015 and 2016, UKIP’s then leader Nigel Farage was vocal about the ‘migrant crisis’ as a reason to leave the EU, most symbolized by the ‘Breaking Point’ poster which depicted a large queue of predominantly non-white migrants wanting to enter the country. The ever-elusive concept of ‘British culture’ is something that parties like UKIP want to retain, as opposed to what they see as the spread of multiculturalism eclipsing traditionally recognised symbols of the ‘quintessentially British’ and their taken-for-granted associations with whiteness.


38 Gilroy, After Empire, 110.

39 Under the subheading ‘British Culture’, the UKIP 2015 manifesto states: ‘We will not condone the philosophy of multiculturalism because it has failed by emphasizing
Responses from BAME rappers to this anti-multicultural, anti-immigrant narrative are rife in UK hip-hop. For example, Riz MC advocates immigrant contributions to society as positive factors: ‘Who these fugees [refugees], what did they do for me but contribute new dreams to society as positive factors: ‘Who these fugees [refugees], what did they do for me but contribute new dreams taxes and tools, swagger and food to eat.’ He continues ‘Buckingham Palace or Capitol Hill, blood of my ancestors had that all built.’ This verse, from ‘Immigrants, We Get the Job Done’ from the Hamilton Mixtape (2016), points out the labour and cultural contributions of ethnic minorities and migrants to both the US and UK. The track, which features Riz MC (Pakistani-British), Snow tha Product (Mexican-American), Residente (Puerto Rican) and K’naan (Somali-Canadian), discusses the hidden labour of national economies, and rappers speak on behalf of these marginalized and hidden figures.

For many rappers in the UK who represent and enact the lived consequences of Empire, lyrical content often includes a critique of postcolonial melancholia alongside a more introspective reflection on ethnic identities. British-born BAME rappers tell of the lived experience of racism and being made to feel they don’t belong. British-Iraqi Lowkey, for example, raps ‘Still I feel like an immigrant, Englishman amongst Arabs and an Arab amongst Englishmen’. He says in Iraqi that his mother is from Baghdad and father from Dover before switching mid-sentence back to English: ‘And that is the weight that I carry on my shoulders’ (‘Cradle of Civilisation’ (2011)). Rapper Akala questions fixed ethnic categorisation when he states: ‘Apparently I’m second generation black Caribbean and half white Scottish whatever that means… See lately I feel confused with the boxes ‘cause to me

all they do is breed conflict’ (‘Find no Enemy’ (2010)). In terms of gaining cultural
citizenship through hip-hop, rapping becomes a way to perform individualised experiences
while also being part of something more. Riz MC and others, while articulating their own
unique experiences of fractured identities, are also part of a ‘family of resemblances’ found in
hip-hop’s minority politics. Hip-hop thus provides counter-narratives to seemingly more
dominant ideologies such as postcolonial melancholia.

Riz MC

Riz MC is a British actor whose parents are of Pakistani descent. He has been in a number of
television series and films including Four Lions (2010), Nightcrawler (2014), Jason Bourne
(2016), Rogue One: A Star Wars Story (2016), Girls (2017), and he won an Emmy in 2017
for his work on the show The Night Of (2017). One of Riz MC’s earliest forays into music
was the song ‘Post 9/11 Blues’ (2006, under the name MC Riz), which was deemed too
controversial for commercial radio airplay, but was a scathing critique of Bush and Blair’s
involvement in the Iraq war and the new climate of racial profiling after the terrorist attacks

---

40 The term was used by George Lipsitz with reference to Hispanic musicians in Los Angeles.
Lipsitz, Dangerous Crossroads: Popular Music, Postmodernism and the Politics of Place
(London: Verso, 1997). Sarah Hill discusses the hip hop nation as a family of resemblance,
writing with respect to Welsh rap. ‘Blerwythrws?’ The Place of Welsh Pop Music
41 Alice O’Keefe, ‘Rapper asks BBC to play 9/11 song’, The Guardian, 9 April 2006,
www.theguardian.com/media/2006/apr/09/radio.arts and Alice O’Keefe, ‘What Happened to
MC Riz’, The Guardian, 16 April 2006,
www.theguardian.com/uk/2006/apr/16/theobserver.uknews.
of 9/11 in New York City and 7/7 in London. In addition to his solo rap career, he also forms half of the duo the Swet Shop Boys with American-Indian Himanshu Suri, aka Heems. In March 2017, he gave a speech to Parliament on the importance of representing minorities in the media: ‘what people are looking for is a message that they belong, that they are part of something, that they are seen and heard and despite, or perhaps because of the uniqueness of their experience, they are valued. They want to feel represented.’

His South Asian identity is part of a wider tradition of those who have used ‘black music’ to navigate their place in the British landscape. Given that ‘Black British’ in the 1970s and 1980s was used to categorise West Indians and South Asians, the two cultures have felt some solidarity in their ‘non-white’ grouping. But, as Rollefson points out, discussion of South Asian identity is missing from theorizations by Gilroy and others. Rollefson’s work on the Sri Lankan-British rapper M.I.A. notes how she complicates the blackness of black music, and

---

42 One example is their track ‘T5’ (2016) which discusses their experiences of racial profiling at New York City’s JFK airport terminal.

43 Rizwan Ahmed, ‘Channel 4 Diversity Speech’. He prefers the term representation rather than diversity and argues that if people aren’t represented in the media, people can switch off and gravitate toward other fringe narratives (including religious extremism). He continues, ‘I think we need to take a leaf out of the book of our music industry: drum and bass, grime, dubstep, these are world-conquering musical genres that are only possible by tapping into our multiculturalism.’
more broadly, that while the South Asian experience has been largely mediated by ‘black music’, this is far from a simple appropriation.44

The *Englistan EP* was released on St. George’s Day (23 April) 2016, with its title track released as a music video on the Muslim holiday Eid al-Fitr (06 July 2016, celebrating the end of Ramadan). The cover of the EP immediately puts into focus a sense of duality: wearing a cricket jersey with his back to the viewer, we see ‘Engl’ of England on the left and the ‘istan’ of Pakistan on the right. While postcolonial theorists such as Homi Bhabhi have written on the ‘third space’ found in the supposed hybridity of such identities, the cricket jersey is a visual reminder of the doubleness of his identity, the perceivably separate nature of the two worlds. Themes on the album include multiculturalism (‘Englistan’), banking scandals (‘A Few Bob’), mental health (‘Sunburnt’), honour killings (‘Benaz’), the double lives of many second-generation immigrants (‘Double Lives’), and the fallacy of a monoracial British history (‘I Ain’t Being Racist But…’). Riz MC describes his EP as a mixtape ‘all about our society and trying to find a place in it.’45

The title track ‘Englistan’ highlights England’s dualities, the good and the bad, the ‘politeness mixed with violence’ that defines his country. He raps of the ‘green and pleasant land’ and the ‘mean and pleasant land’—that these two sides of English identity go together.

---


To cite one of the many food references in the song, he raps, ‘Racist beef, cakes and tea, all go together like a do-re-mi.’ Multicultural English is described as a ‘kicharee’ (Hindi for mixture), a mix of rice, daal, vegetables and numerous spices: ‘This is England, the bridge we living in, a kicharee simmering, women in hijabs, syringe popstars and the promise of a Patel as a ManU star.’ When he raps ‘Big up the Queen’s Christmas speech and all the shit her kids get for free’ you can see the hybridity of ‘big up’ (Caribbean patois) mixed with an initial pro-monarchy sentiment followed by a critique of it. This is an example of code combining, rather than code switching, something that Nabeel Zubieri discusses with British MC culture in particular.\textsuperscript{46} The end of each chorus has a sampled-sounding voice saying ‘This is England’ in a South Asian accent, reflective of the multi-accentuality of the nation (and in opposition to the ‘BBC English’ received pronunciation accent said to represent stereotypical mainstream Britain).

The music video shows a range of the everyday in local England. It opens with a mosaic of anti-immigrant headlines (including, for example, those from the \textit{Daily Express}: ‘Send in Army to Halt Migrant Invasion’, ‘No End to Migrant Crisis’, ‘Soaring cost of teaching migrant children’). A news commentator speaks over images of these headlines: ‘…if it’s the referendum or whether it’s the barrage of anti-immigrant media coverage in the run up to it, for some, the result has compounded a feeling of isolation, a sense of disconnection from the country they moved to.’ The video then opens to a large park with Riz MC wearing his Englistan shirt, isolated in the large field. The camera quickly switches to a residential area

with terraced brick houses and children playing in the street. Video settings include a mosque, a synagogue, a pub, his house (with his family having tea), a kebab shop, petrol station and other settings of everyday life in England. We hear a bansuri, a side blown flute found in Hindustani classical music as part of the beat to add a sonic signifier of Eastern exoticism. The beat is therefore a mix of Western and Eastern sounds which can mirror the lyrics and visual content from the music video. Ultimately, like many pop songs about England, ambivalence comes to the fore rather than a flag waving patriotism or a scathing disavowal/critique: ‘Is Britain great? Well hey don’t ask me/But it’s where I live and why my heart beats.’

In one interview, Riz MC states that ‘Englistan, as a mixtape, is about stretching the flag so that it’s big enough for all of us. It’s about identity—from what it means to be English today, to what it’s like growing up living a double life, or feeling like you don’t fit in.’ Sonically, hip-hop becomes an important form to say ‘we are here’. In terms of ethnic marginality, this gets to the heart of the double bind that Rollefson writes about in European hip-hop: ‘hip hop is claiming its seat at the table and having its cake, not to eat it— it doesn’t have much of a taste for that cake— but simply to have the cake it was promised. For it turns out that you can have cake— or mithai, or baklava, or flan— and eat it, too, if you aren’t beholden to the notion that there is only one true cake at the table.’ Hip-hop is able to navigate both resistance and belonging, as hip-hop has been a mouthpiece for counter-narratives and
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47 Riz MC raps in Sandys Row Synagogue in the East End of London, established in 1766, which is the oldest active synagogue in the UK.

48 Bulut, ‘Heading for the British Asian Underground’.

marginality around the globe, forming a family of resemblances that spans beyond the level of the national.

Including hip-hop music and culture in wider academic discussions of British music adds value to its practices and the communities its practitioners represent. Diversifying mainstream arts and culture, such as the recent social media-led initiative by UK University students to ‘decolonise the curriculum’ to include non-white playwrights and authors, is one such action that aims to represent multicultural Britain more accurately. Postcolonial melancholia is not just a condition felt to varying degrees by the British public, but has real consequences: since the Brexit vote, hate crime has increased by an average of 41 percent, and it has increased by 326 percent against Muslims specifically.\(^5\) If hip-hop can provide a cultural citizenship for those who feel marginalised, then placing the spotlight on them may go some way to promote inclusivity in the divisive times we are living in.

Realising that ‘who is British music?’ covers a wider range of people than previously assumed gets to the heart of what we value in music studies and elsewhere. If art and culture provide a lens through which to view society, to not value the artistic and cultural products of certain members risks further devaluing and marginalising them. Riz MC and other rappers become ambassadors for the voiceless, with an interest in changing such existing dynamics both within and outside the realms of art and culture.

---

**West Indian Roots and Routes of British Jazz**

---

\(^5\) Riz MC, ‘Channel 4 Diversity Speech’.
Catherine Tackley

On 22 June 1948 the Empire Windrush docked at Tilbury in East London having made the transatlantic journey from the Caribbean. (Passengers had boarded first in Trinidad, before the ship proceeded to Jamaica, Tampico, Havana, and Bermuda). Amongst the passengers were the Trinidadian calypsonians Lord Beginner (Egbert Moore), Lord Woodbine (Harold Philips) and Lord Kitchener (Aldwin Roberts), whose performance of his calypso ‘London is the Place for Me’ on the deck when the ship arrived in Britain was captured by Pathé News.  

Although the arrival of the Windrush is understandably heralded as a significant moment in the history of Britain and the Empire, historians have recently developed a more critical approach to the event. Matthew Mead suggests that ‘the shorthand symbolic usage of the Windrush tends towards the monadic’ and the editors of Before Windrush: Recovering an Asian and Black Literary Heritage within Britain argue that ‘the prehistory of that event becomes more crucial than ever for a country trying to understand the process through which it has become a postcolonial nation state.’ These perspectives illuminate the arrival of the Windrush within the broader context of migration and its impact on British culture and society. Certainly, there were precedents for West Indian migration, albeit not on such a scale. The aforementioned calypsonians in particular found in London a flourishing live


52 Matthew Mead, ‘Empire Windrush: Cultural Memory and Archival Disturbance’, Moveable Type 3 (2007), 114.

music scene with many established West Indian musicians. In this essay I explore the pre-Windrush migration to Britain of West Indian musicians, who were mainly from Jamaica and Trinidad, assessing the impact of their cultural roots and migratory routes on the development of British jazz in the interwar period.

In the early twentieth century, several West Indian musicians came to Britain via the USA. Trumpeter Leslie Thompson, a later migrant direct from Jamaica, recalled that the circumstances of economic stagnation around the turn of the century led Jamaicans to seek work in America, often via Cuba or Panama. By the start of the century violinist and pianist Dan Kildare, whose father was Paymaster General of the Kingston (Jamaica) Constabulary, was resident in America. A contemporary of James Reese Europe who was famous for

54 John Cowley has studied the careers of Lionel Belasco and Sam Manning, calypsonians who were resident in the UK and recorded here before the arrival of the Windrush. See Cowley, ‘Cultural “fusions”: aspects of British West Indian music in the USA and Britain 1918–51’, Popular Music 5 (1985), 81-96.


bringing syncopated music to France with his Hellfighters band during the First World War, Kildare was similarly involved in the Clef Club, which had been set up to advocate black musicians and to act as a booking agency. He assumed the presidency of the organisation in 1913. In imitation of the exhibition dancers Vernon and Irene Castle who performed with Europe’s all-black orchestra, the dancer Joan Sawyer hired Kildare to provide a similar band for her performances at the Persian Garden at the Winter Gardens Theater in New York. As with many American fashions of the day, this trend for black musicians became influential in London, where a group led by Kildare arrived in 1915. Kildare’s West Indian roots are not obviously reflected in the surviving performances and known repertoire of this ensemble. Rather, in accordance with the fashions of the times, Hawaiian references are frequent, and Kildare’s band was billed in explicitly racial terms in Britain as ‘Ciro’s Club Coon Orchestra’. Moreover, the continued presence of the banjo linked this latest manifestation of American popular music performance in Britain unequivocally back to the stereotyped, generalised racial imagery of the minstrel show.  

In the early 1920s, West Indian musicians also performed in Britain with the Southern Syncopated Orchestra (SSO). Formed by the violinist, composer and conductor Will Marion Cook in New York to perform a wide-ranging repertoire of spirituals, plantation songs, ragtime, blues, jazz and light classical pieces, the original group which arrived in Britain in 1919 consisted mainly of African American musicians, including noted saxophonist Sidney

---

Bechet. Once the group was resident in Britain, disputes led to multiple fractures in the personnel. Replacements came direct from the US, but increasingly also drew on diasporic populations in Britain and Europe including those with West Indian connections. Egbert Thompson, a Sierra Leonian raised in Jamaica who developed his career in New York, took over from Cook as leader of the group for a time. Similarly, trumpeter James Briggs from Grenada, George Clapham from St. Kitts, and clarinettist Anthony Rivera from Puerto Rico had all joined up with the SSO to come to Britain having first emigrated to New York. Jamaican-born clarinettist John Russell and trumpeter Joe Smith, as well as Trinidadians Cyril and George Blake (vocalist and drummer respectively) and banjoist Alston Hughes also became members. Latterly, the SSO included some white British musicians such as the trombonist and later bandleader Ted Heath, trombonist John Greer, pianist Billy Mason, drummer Harry Robbins and trumpeter Tommy Smith.58

In this early period then, the precise racial identity of the musicians was not a factor which significantly influenced either the nature of their performances or their employment opportunities in Britain, but their migratory routes via New York, or collaboration with African American musicians in Britain, were often vital in allowing them to gain experience of the music which would secure their success. As we shall see, by 1935 the origins of the performers began to become logistically significant and more explicit in musical performance. But the story of the SSO also makes clear that by the early 1920s there was a growing community of black musicians in London who were well-placed to exploit current fashions in popular music performance.

Alongside those West Indian musicians who had developed their musical skills in America, the structures of the Empire, notably the Exhibition and the military, were significant in bringing musicians directly from the Caribbean to Britain. In the summer of 1905 the band of the West India Regiment from Jamaica performed at the Colonial Exhibition at Crystal Palace in South London.\textsuperscript{59} The following year the Kingston Choral Union came to Britain to perform at the Colonial Products Exhibition at St. George’s Hall in Liverpool, and then toured the country, billed as the ‘Native Choir of Jamaica.’\textsuperscript{60} A notable precedent for the latter was the Fisk Jubilee Singers, an African American choir who toured Britain in the late nineteenth century to raise money for University buildings at Fisk University, a historically black college in Nashville, Tennessee. The Jamaican Choir performed a not dissimilar mix of religious and popular material in formal dress which endeared them to their British audiences.\textsuperscript{61} These types of encounter with black ‘others’ were familiar tropes in Britain. Exhibitions had long provided a way for Europeans to encounter their ‘others’ in a controlled manner, since they allowed a suitable distance to be maintained between the visitor and the exhibit.\textsuperscript{62} However, the close links between the British and West Indian military were more significant than to merely permit invitations for the West India Regiment band to perform at


\textsuperscript{61} Ibid., 20-1.

exhibitions, since a steady stream of West Indian musicians were sent to Britain to study at Kneller Hall, the Royal Military School of Music. Military service enabled West Indian musicians such as trumpeters Leslie Thompson and Leslie ‘Jiver’ Hutchinson, saxophonist Louis Stephenson and numerous others to experience something of life in the ‘mother country.’ The three musicians later settled permanently in Britain where they had significant musical careers.

The importance of the West India Regiment band, which became the Jamaica Military Band when the regiment disbanded in 1927, to the musical life of Kingston, Jamaica cannot be overstated. In particular, the band enjoyed close links with the famous Alpha School which provided an education for poor boys in Kingston, with an emphasis on music. The school boasts an impressive list of musician alumni including saxophonist Joe Harriott, who was to develop a style of free jazz playing in when resident in Britain which ran parallel to that of African-American saxophonist Ornette Coleman. Leslie Thompson, a pupil at the school, recalled that the soldiers were employed as instrumental instructors, and the Regiment band provided an obvious destination for the pupils when they left school.

The few early reports of jazz performance in Jamaica are associated with the Myrtle Bank Hotel, originally constructed to house visitors to the 1891 Jamaica Exhibition and then rebuilt following an earthquake in 1907. Although proficient jazz performers began to emerge from the 1930s, jazz was regarded primarily as music for outsiders and therefore its performance

---


64 Thompson with Green, *Swing from a Small Island*, 16.
would be influenced primarily by their tastes rather than the artistic expression of the musicians involved. The idea that Jamaican audiences were not sufficiently equipped to understand jazz was advanced persistently, and even by the time of independence, jazz continued to be positioned as an adjunct to the musical culture of Jamaica. Rather, independence could encourage jazz musicians to be more original within the context of the development of a local music industry. In 1962 journalist Hartley Neita described how ‘Jamaican jazzmen threw off the colonial cloak they had worn these many years. In the past, our jazzmen looked abroad for their musical ideas’ arguing how it was now possible for Jamaican jazz musicians to be more creative and individual. However, the critic Dermot Hussey remained sceptical:

It is one of the myths about Jamaica that we have jazz musicians, and I must set about destroying it. The only jazz musicians that Jamaica has produced are currently abroad, what we have behind are hotel musicians and club musicians, an occupation merely for them not to grow thin and take up other arts like janitoring and pool-room keeping.

---

65 For more on this, see Daniel Neely, “‘Mento, Jamaica’s Original Music’: Development, Tourism and the Nationalist Frame’, PhD diss., New York University, 2008. In the context of Trinidad, the adoption of jazz was regarded as a symptom of colonialism: ‘The impact of Jazz on the music of Calypso was part of a more comprehensive adoption by Trinidad of the powerful cultures and styles of Harlem and Hollywood’; see Gordon Rohlehr, Calypso and Society in Pre-Independence Trinidad (Port-of-Spain: Gordon Rohler), 117.


Indeed, the successes of Jamaican jazz musicians in Britain were reported with great fanfare in newspapers such as *The Gleaner* in the 1930s and 40s. Amongst the first Jamaicans to make a living from jazz in Britain was saxophonist Joe Appleton, who apparently went AWOL from the West India Regiment and arrived in Britain in 1924. In 1929 he was joined by Leslie Thompson, whose fascinating memoirs have been published by Jeffrey Green.⁶⁸ Thompson had already visited Britain twice, to attend Kneller Hall in 1919 and then with the West India Regiment Band for the British Empire Exhibition at Wembley in 1924. Thompson recalled that his decision to settle permanently in Britain was unusual at the time: ‘That American magnet was still pulling Jamaicans away from the island in the 1920s. Indeed, hardly anyone thought of going to England unless it was on a scholarship, or to study law or medicine. Ordinary working chaps thought of America.’⁶⁹ Having left the military band, Thompson had enjoyed a career as a theatre and cinema musician in Kingston, but had little direct acquaintance with jazz. However, he found that in Britain, as he put it, ‘my face was my fortune’ and that he was in demand as a black trumpeter as Louis Armstrong’s records became better known prior to his 1933 visit. He recalled that ‘I had never heard anything of Louis Armstrong in Jamaica, but … fanatics had his records. … Absolutely marvellous – the style was new to me, and that swing, that beat, was tremendous. … It taught me a lot, and I knew that my concept of trumpet playing was lacking.’⁷⁰ Despite his apparent authenticity, then, Thompson was in a position similar to aspiring white British jazz musicians who were increasingly reliant on records for their experiences of American jazz. Around this time,

⁶⁸ Thompson with Green, *Swing from a Small Island*.

⁶⁹ Thompson with Green, *Swing from a Small Island*, 43.

⁷⁰ Thompson with Green, *Swing from a Small Island*, 71.
young British jazz cognoscenti like Nigel Finch-Hill and Spike Hughes, with whom Thompson performed and recorded, had begun to appreciate and perhaps fetishize the black contribution to jazz. Hughes recalled this discovery,

> The Negro’s music achieved a degree of personal expression rarely found in that of his white colleagues; it had a directness, a suggestion of personal experience translated into terms of music which began to raise jazz from the status of a musical accomplishment to that of an art.  

At this stage, as with previous West Indian immigrants, Thompson’s Jamaican roots had little influence on the nature of his musical output. However, it was in London that he became more politically aware through his interactions with the community of West Indian students, lawyers and doctors, amongst them Harold Moody who led the League of Coloured Peoples. This fuelled his desire to form an all-black band.

It can be no coincidence that Thompson judged that the time was right for this venture following a significant increase in numbers of West Indian musicians in London in the mid-1930s, but the wider context for the migration of musicians in the interwar period is also significant. During the 1920s the British Ministry of Labour introduced increasingly restrictive policies on visiting musicians in response to pressure from the Musicians’ Union. By 1935 American musicians, as ‘aliens’, were restricted to performing only in theatres (rather than for dancing) as soloists or as part of a band accompanying an act and positioned

---

on the stage, thereby ensuring that a band of British musicians was retained in the pit. The established popularity of African American entertainment in Britain fuelled a demand for black musicians to provide apparently ‘authentic’ jazz performances which, as citizens of the British Empire and therefore not subject to the restrictions, West Indian musicians were well placed to provide. Thompson’s group was later taken over by British Guianian Ken ‘Snakeships’ Johnson as the West Indian Dance Orchestra and achieved great success in London before the bombing of the Café de Paris in 1941 caused the band to split.

The Trinidadian brothers Cyril and George ‘Happy’ Blake were vital to the development of West Indian music scene in London in the interwar period. Both were long standing residents of Europe and listed as part of the SSO at the time of a disastrous collision in 1921 involving a boat transporting the group to Ireland, in which several members were killed. After some time in France, the Blakes returned to London and ran a band at the Cuba Club on Gerrard Street in Soho for which they recruited Jamaicans Louis Stephenson and Yorke De Souza, who arrived in Britain in 1935, and subsequently Bertie King and Leslie ‘Jiver’ Hutchinson.

---


These migrations are significant as they are the result of a specific demand for West Indian musicians, rather than general economic reasons. London’s club scene had long been vital to the development of British jazz, since it provided spaces in which visiting American jazz musicians and their British colleagues could interact, largely free from musical, social or legal restrictions. In the 1930s clubs such as the Nest, Jigs and the Bag O’Nails remained popular amongst the large numbers of African American performers who visited Britain and also attracted a white clientele who were keen to interact with them and possibly experience an impromptu cabaret of a more intimate and informal nature than was possible in a theatre. Entrepreneurs were keen to exploit the fashion for black entertainment, providing opportunities for black musicians that brought them to the capital from elsewhere in the UK and, indeed, the British Empire.

At the same time, Latin American and West Indian music, which had also begun to become available on record in Britain, contributed to its popularity beyond the associated diasporic communities. This musical migration produced a vibrant diversity of styles in Soho’s clubs, including West Indian calypso and Cuban rumba as well as jazz, which were often played by the same musicians. The West End policeman Robert Fabian recalled, ‘I learnt all about jazz, boogie-woogie and calypso from my coloured friends years before they became known outside the murky little “coloured clubs”.’ The Cuba Club was an early example of a venue which referenced Latin America and the Caribbean. The black Welsh guitarist Joe Deniz
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remembered the club as a small, dark, tatty basement, most likely of the ‘bottle party’ type in avoidance of licensing laws. Deniz also recalled the mixture of music performed, which included jazz standards such as ‘Honeysuckle Rose’ with the occasional rumba.\textsuperscript{77} Even in this early period there is some evidence of stylistic fusion, as Ken ‘Snakehips’ Johnson’s band was said to have played ‘intriguing Calypso rumbas.’\textsuperscript{78} In time, this fusion of styles began to become more deliberate, and can perhaps begin to be understood as an expression of black British and/or diasporic identity. Obvious examples include Lord Kitchener’s ‘Kitch’s Bebop Calypso’, recorded with Guianaian saxophonist Freddy Grant’s band in London in 1951, which alternates calypso and jazz styles with lyrics that name-check key jazz musicians, and Young Tiger’s 1953 ‘Calypso Be’ which was rather less complimentary of modern jazz (‘This modern music’s got me confused/to tell your friends I’m quite unenthused’), while still drawing on its stylistic features to some extent. Later examples include Joe Harriott’s ‘Calypso Scenes’, which uses a calypso groove as a basis for ‘free’ improvisation, from his 1960 album \textit{Free Form}. More recently, black British bassist Gary Crosby’s group ‘Jazz Jamaica’ has fused West Indian music with jazz.

In Britain in the interwar period, the racial non-specificity was key to the reception of West Indian musicians in Britain, and thus influenced the work that was available to them – Thompson’s statement ‘my face was my fortune’ powerfully reminds us that the generalised assumptions around blackness, inherent musicality and jazz were both limiting of a better understanding of racial diversity but also offered important opportunities to newly-arrived

\textsuperscript{77} Joe Deniz, ‘Interview (with Val Wilmer)’, The Oral History of Jazz in Britain (British Library Sound Archive, 1988).

\textsuperscript{78} ‘Ken Johnson has New Air Ideas’, \textit{Melody Maker}, 2 December 1939, 7.
West Indian musicians. However, there is evidence of an emerging understanding of the specific styles that West Indian immigrants contributed to popular music in Britain, alongside a growing awareness of the origins of jazz as African American music, all of which were present and popular especially within subcultural environments. This led to more explicit combinations of musical styles, initially within the repertoire of bands and venues but then extending to musical fusions within individual numbers. Jazz has provided a common denominator through which these cultural and musical relationships have been articulated, resulting in musical outputs which often and variously reflected roots, both longstanding and newly constructed, and routes, the literal and metaphorical spaces between them.

Egon Wellesz – British or Austrian symphonist?

Erik Levi (Royal Holloway, University of London)

Composer, musicologist, teacher and critic Egon Wellesz arrived in England in 1938 following the Nazi occupation of his native Vienna. Appointed a fellow of Lincoln College Oxford in 1939, University Lecturer in 1943 and Reader in Byzantine Music in 1947, he became a British citizen three years later and was awarded a CBE in 1957. Prior to the Anschluß, he had established an international reputation as a composer and scholar, remaining at the epicentre of Viennese musical life for over thirty years. An almost exact contemporary of Berg and Webern, Wellesz was a composition pupil of Schoenberg and
published the first detailed book on his teacher’s music in 1921. He also studied musicology with Guido Adler, developing academic expertise in a wide range of subjects, from eighteenth-century opera to Byzantine church music.

A founding member of the International Society for Contemporary Music, Wellesz was cosmopolitan in outlook, being amongst the earliest Austrian composers to acknowledge the achievements of Debussy, Ravel and Bartók. He also established very good relations with British musicians, having already visited the country twice before the First World War, the second time to appear as a delegate for the Conference of International Musicologists held in London in 1911. In the 1920s, he encouraged the wider dissemination of British music in Vienna, writing sympathetically, for example, on the work of Vaughan Williams. Welsh composer Grace Williams and the writer Martin Cooper were given scholarships to study composition with him in the early 1930s, and the University of Oxford in 1932 awarded him


80 See, for example, Egon Wellesz, *Der Beginn des musikalischen Barock und die Anfänge der Oper in Wien* (Vienna: Wiener Literarische Anstalt, 1922); *Byzantinische Musik* (Breslau: Hirt, 1927).


an honorary doctorate in music for his services to composition and musicology. Wellesz was justifiably proud to have been the first Austrian musician after Haydn to receive this honour.

This connection with Britain effectively saved his life. Early in March 1938, Wellesz was visiting Amsterdam to hear the Concertgebouw Orchestra perform his orchestral piece *Prosperos Beschwörungen*. The concert coincidentally took place on the same day that Hitler’s armies marched into Austria. Because of his partial Jewish heritage, Wellesz realised the dangers posed by returning to Austria and turned to his academic colleagues in Britain for help. Thanks to their efforts, he was able to move to Oxford and bring his wife and daughters out of Austria. 83

After arriving in Oxford, Wellesz busied himself with intensive musicological activity. 84 But the trauma of his sudden departure resulted in him giving up composition altogether. Further


traumas must have exacerbated the situation. On 1 September 1939, the Reichsmusikammer singled out his entire oeuvre for proscription in the *Erste Liste unerwünschter musikalischer Werke* (see Illustration 1). The following year, he was interned for six months as an ‘Enemy Alien’ on the Isle of Man. He was eventually released thanks to the efforts of the music historian H. C. Colles and Ralph Vaughan Williams, and was able to return to Oxford. Nevertheless, it would be a further three years before he regained the necessary equilibrium to begin composing again. Establishing the same working pattern between his academic and creative work as had been the case in Vienna proved far more difficult, particularly since the University regarded him first and foremost as a musicologist who happened to compose, as opposed to someone who was equally talented in both areas.

This problem was highlighted in the first extended post-war appraisal of Wellesz’s music by his composition pupil, Wilfrid Mellers, which was published in 1947. Mellers acknowledged that the British had not been slow to honour Wellesz’s achievements as a scholar, but was highly critical of his fellow countrymen for allowing ‘the most important creative musician to come to this country from Austria … to remain for more than six years completely neglected.’ According to Mellers, Wellesz was unquestionably ‘the one great exiled European composer we have amongst us’, arguing that he deserved to be recognized in the

way that the Americans to differing degrees had honoured Schoenberg, Hindemith, Bartók, Milhaud and Stravinsky.  

Mellers could not offer any plausible explanation for Wellesz’s current neglect. He speculated as to whether it had something to do with a peculiarly deep-rooted nineteenth-century concept that was inimical to the British which believed ‘it was dangerous for a composer to have brains.’ He could also have pointed to the entrenched insularity and insecurity that had reared its head at this particular moment in British cultural life, especially with regard to the influx of Hitler refugees. Such fears were articulated by Ralph Vaughan Williams when invited to become a patron of the newly formed Anglo-Austrian Music Society in 1942. In a letter to the Society’s secretary, Vaughan Williams expressed alarm at what he termed the ‘late peaceful invasion of this country’ by Austrian musicians, believing that it could ‘entirely devour the tender little flower of our English culture’:

> The Austrians have a great musical tradition, and they are apt to think that it is the only musical tradition, and that everything which is different must be wrong or ignorant; they think moreover that they have a mission to impose their culture wherever they go as being the only one worth having...To try and make England musically a dependency of Austria could kill all musical initiative in this country—

---


To counter this danger, Vaughan Williams exhorted his Austrian colleagues to ‘become Englishmen.’ He urged them to ‘try to assimilate our artistic ideals and then strengthen and fertilize them from your own incomparable art.’ What was entirely unacceptable, however, would be to ‘force a “Little Austria” on England – keeping itself apart from the “untouchables” and having its own musical life without any reference to the life going on around.’

Whether or not he was made aware of Vaughan Williams’s sentiments, there is a good deal of evidence to suggest that after he resumed composing in 1943, Wellesz genuinely attempted to “become an Englishmen.” One obvious signal of this new orientation came through his vocal music which included a setting of Gerald Manley Hopkins’s poem The Leaden and the Golden Echo scored for soprano, violin, clarinet, cello and piano, and the composition of songs after Dryden and Milton for baritone and piano. Another came in an article he wrote in 1945 in which he claimed that for opera to be fully appreciated in this country, it needed to be sung in English and be written by living composers. Only under these conditions, he argued, ‘could the whole audience … be united in the incidents of the action, and… experience the emotions aroused by the drama, as in the theatre.’ Furthermore, he believed that the untapped

---
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resources of English dramatic literature of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries ‘need only be a guiding hand in order to transform them into libretti for generations of operatic composers and to make of the new opera, so eagerly expected by all friends of English music, a reality.’

Wellesz’s article intimates that he was already contemplating a resumption of his operatic career with a setting of an English text. True to the principles expressed in 1945, he would eventually choose to write his sixth opera based on the seventeenth-century novel *Incognita* by William Congreve. It received its only staging in Oxford in 1951. Concurrently with his thoughts about English opera, he had also embarked on an entirely new compositional venture at the relatively late age of 60 by writing a symphony. It was to be the first of nine symphonies that he composed between 1945 and 1972.

The obvious explanation for Wellesz’s post-war decision to write symphonies, particularly when he had avoided doing so in Vienna, was that it was an act of nostalgia indicative of yearning for his lost homeland that at the same time paid homage to his great symphonic forebears, Bruckner and Mahler. The fact that coincidentally other exiled Austrian composers, such as Ernst Toch and Erich Wolfgang Korngold, also turned for the first time to writing in this genre might also support such a notion. We can even point to Wellesz’s own anecdotal evidence that some of the thematic ideas for the early symphonies were generated whilst he was holidaying in the Lake District, an area of the country that vividly reminded him of the Alt-Aussee in Styria.

---

An alternative justification for Wellesz developing an interest in symphonic form was that in 1945, this particular genre was much more widely appreciated in Britain than on the Continent, and that by choosing this path, he would gain greater acceptance here. Wellesz remained acutely aware that musical taste in Britain was much less progressive than in Europe and that it was therefore incumbent upon him to make his musical language more accessible, cleansing it so to speak of too many allusions to the dissonant language of the Second Viennese School – a process that is certainly apparent in his first two symphonies.

Yet Wellesz faced another difficulty in his quest for acceptance. He openly acknowledged that the models for his symphonic style were Bruckner and Mahler, two composers that were distinctly undervalued or misunderstood in Britain at that time. A good indication of the level of hostility accorded to Mahler can be gleaned from an anonymous review that appeared in an anonymous review that appeared in *The Times* of the first British performance of his Fifth Symphony in 1945. The critic lambasted Mahler’s work: ‘It contained diatonic tunes of the utmost banality made to serve for aspiration and grandiloquence…The movements are interminable, a jumble of a huge number of episodes which defy unification.’

Given the general lack of understanding and appreciation of Mahler, it is hardly surprising that the poignancy of the finale Adagio movement of Wellesz’s First Symphony, unmistakably evoking the similar bitter-sweet emotions to the analogous movement in
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Mahler’s Ninth, failed to register. In fact, Wellesz’s First was premiered not in Britain but by the Berliner Philharmoniker under Sergiu Celibidache in 1948. This performance was subsequently transmitted by the BBC a year later, but at 11.10 p.m. which hardly guaranteed it a large audience. Indeed, with only one exception, European rather than British orchestras gave the first performances of Wellesz’s subsequent symphonies (see Table 1). Even the Second, to which Wellesz gave the nickname *The English*, was heard first at the Vienna Festival in 1948, before two London performances took place under Walter Goehr and Sir Adrian Boult.

Wellesz justified calling his Second Symphony *The English* on the unsubstantiated claim that the some of the thematic material from the slow third movement was inspired by English folk music. It is also possible that he naively hoped that the nickname *English* might give the symphony a better chance of approval. But if this was really his intention, the gambit seems not to have paid off. Lewis Foreman has noted the generally critical comments of members of the BBC Reading Panel vetting the score for future broadcast, the most hostile coming from composer William Alwyn: ‘This is a scholarly work – erudite, rather than musical. The slow movement owes much to Mahler (as indeed does the scherzo) …The scoring is generally competent without showing any original flair for orchestration. In construction the work is thoroughly grounded on Brahms. I cannot recommend it with any enthusiasm.’

---

The BBC Reading Panel report dates from 1951, the same year as the ill-fated Festival of Britain opera competition took place. As is well-known, the competition rules required that submissions be entered anonymously. But any plans for the winning operas to be staged were shelved after the adjudicators discovered to their embarrassment that two of them had been composed by former ‘enemy aliens’. Wellesz was not one of the competition winners. His sixth opera *Incognita*, which at least secured a staging by the Oxford University Opera Society in 1951, failed to get past the first round—a humiliating experience for a composer whose previous opera, *Die Bakchantinnen*, received its premiere at the Vienna State Opera in 1932.\(^93\)

Another disappointment came with the BBC’s apparent reluctance to sponsor the premiere of the Third Symphony, which Wellesz had composed between 1950 and 1951. The work was to have been given by the BBC Symphony Orchestra under Sir Adrian Boult. But Wellesz’s publisher was not prepared to issue the score and parts without a cast-iron guarantee of performance. Since the BBC refused to sign such an agreement, a stalemate ensued and the performance never took place. Although very disillusioned by this experience, Wellesz nevertheless moved on to new compositional projects. But from now on, he relied more than

Wien/Gottfried Rabl, CPO 999 997-2’,


\(^93\) For more details about the musical contribution to the 1951 Festival of Britain, see Nathaniel G. Lew, *Tonic to the Nation: Making English Music in the Festival of Britain* (Abingdon: Routledge, 2017).
ever on foreign orchestras to promote his symphonies. It is also notable that during the mid-1950s, his musical language became more brittle, reflecting a closer alliance with Schoenberg particularly through a partial adoption of twelve-note technique. Whether this evolution would have happened as a matter of course or was prompted by the bitter experiences of 1951 remains unclear, but there is little doubt that Wellesz suppressed his former adherence to conventional tonality. Yet becoming more adventurous in musical style did not necessarily guarantee wider acceptance. In many respects, Wellesz’s later music fell between two stools, too bold for the conservative British music establishment, yet insufficiently avant-garde in idiom to have any impact upon a much younger generation of composers who had attempted to move British music away from its former insularity.

Wellesz had to wait until his 80th birthday in 1965 before the BBC made some belated amends for their earlier neglect of him with a sequence of concerts featuring his music. In the same year, the CBSO under Hugo Rignold gave the belated first British performance of the Fifth Symphony, the earliest of his works to bear a stronger indebtedness to Schoenberg. Most of the critics attending this performance wrote warmly about the work, but it was Peter Heyworth in The Observer who was most critical of the British music establishment for the shameful neglect Wellesz had suffered in his adopted homeland:

When one considers the works we contrive to swallow in the good cause of fostering native music, when one reflects how orchestras and conductors sweat, how critics

---

94 In the latter part of 1965 the BBC broadcast Wellesz’ Violin Concerto, Four Songs of Return, Fifth Symphony (two transmissions), Clarinet Quintet and Fifth String Quartet.
labour to uncover some grain of virtue and how audiences suffer in good-humoured silence, it is amazing (not to say scandalous) that a score of the order of this symphony has had to wait nine years for a professional performance in Dr Wellesz’s adopted land. It is not a work that is going to change the course of musical history… But as a thoroughly well-made vigorous four-movement symphony, it stands head and shoulders above the general run of the Cheltenham genre that loomed so massively in the fifties.95

Egon Wellesz contributed a huge amount to the musical well-being of this country after he arrived here in 1938. Tremendously versatile as a scholar and musicologist, he was certainly a pioneer in bringing the previously neglected music of Bruckner and Mahler, not to mention Schoenberg, Berg and Webern, to much wider attention here. As an educationalist, he was largely instrumental in establishing the Oxford music degree by insisting that undergraduates take on a serious study of the history of music, something that had not happened before when the curriculum was exclusively geared towards organists and church musicians. But his great distinction as a scholar seemed to make it much more difficult for him to be recognized as a significant composer. His relationship with British conductors, publishers and the BBC was patchy, and to date not one of his works has been performed at the Proms. Ironically, the reverse situation occurred in post-war Austria where there has been a consistent stream of performances of his work, as well as a number of books dealing with his life and music.96


The only detailed scholarly explorations of his British-composed symphonies have appeared in Austrian journals and most recently in a book charting the history of the Austrian symphony in the 20th century. Finally, the only commercial recordings of these works were made by the Radio Symphony Orchestra in Vienna.


98 Wellesz’s Symphonies were recorded by the Radio-Symphonieorchester Wien under Gottfried Rabl on the CPO label: CPO 9999982 (Nos. 1 & 8); CPO 9999972 (Nos. 2 & 9); CPO 99999992 (Nos. 3 & 5); CPO 9998082 (Nos. 4, 6 & 7).
Can, or should, Wellesz be regarded as a British composer? In his 1963 book *British Composers in Interview*, which featured Wellesz in the second chapter, the Canadian composer Murray Schafer hedged his bets by declaring:

> I am grateful to have been able to include Dr. Egon Wellesz who, although naturalised, could not correctly be described as a British composer. Dr. Wellesz’s contribution is noteworthy, however, for he was one of the original members of the Schoenberg’s Neo-Viennese School [sic!], and largely due to the influence of refugee composers, twelve-note composition has become of great significance to the younger generation of composers.99

Whereas Schafer acknowledged Wellesz as an influential figure, historical surveys of twentieth–century British music published after his death in 1974 largely overlook him.100 Amongst more recent publications, Wellesz is not mentioned at all in Matthew Riley’s 2010 edited volume, *British Music and Modernism 1895-1960*.101 Philip Rupprecht, focusing specifically on the influence of the Manchester Group from the 1950s onwards, concedes that ‘the full complexity of the post-war musical world and its contemporary-music scene in

---


particular is scarcely comprehensible without recognising a generation of émigré musicians who settled in Britain during the 1930s, among them the Schoenberg pupils Egon Wellesz, Erwin Stein, Walter Goehr and Roberto Gerhard.¹⁰² Frustratingly, Ruprecht does not attempt any further elucidation of this influence.

A recent article by musicologist Bojan Bujić, who knew Wellesz for the last ten years of his life, explains why he remained in Oxford after the war, rather than return to Vienna. He concedes that after ten years of exile, Wellesz found himself in a difficult situation:

In England and the United States, he was recognized as a leading scholar of Byzantine chant, but had to struggle in order to recover some of his pre-War reputation as a composer. He considered that Central Europe was his spiritual home, but a return to Vienna never arose as a serious possibility. He felt that some of those whom he suspected of Nazi sympathies had usurped the teaching posts vacated by the refugees, and that there was no longer any place for him there.¹⁰³

No less difficult for Wellesz was his attempt to rebuild his fractured composing career in the very different cultural climate of post-war Britain:


When some of his own symphonies started to be performed, both in Britain and abroad, he felt that he was finally beginning to recover some of his lost reputation and the two signs of recognition that came from Vienna, first the Music Prize of the City of Vienna in 1953 and then, six years later, the order of the Golden Cross of the Republic of Austria pleased him enormously. Yet, I still think that he continued to live in a state of very mixed feelings – on the one hand, proud of these recognitions, but on the other, aware that what he was doing amounted to salvaging fragments of a lost world. The tragedy for him was that the English musical establishment immediately after the Second World War was still too conservative to consider even Wellesz as “one of us”, although Wellesz’s music in the post-War period was by no means radical. Nevertheless, I believe many aspects of his activity passed unrecognized, or they manifested themselves in an indirect way… When he died, so much of what he helped to create was already taken for granted in the musical life of England, and in this sense he probably missed, yet again, the full recognition that was due to him.¹⁰⁴

**Charley and the NHS¹⁰⁵**

Florian Scheding

---

¹⁰⁴ Bujić, ‘Egon Wellesz Remembered in Berlin’.

¹⁰⁵ I am grateful to Kate Guthrie for her helpful comments on an earlier version of this paper.
Following the election in 1945, the year that marked the end of World War II, Clement Attlee’s newly elected Labour government begun to embark on an ambitious set of social reform acts.\textsuperscript{106} Seemingly unfazed by the economic constraints that marked the aftermath of World War II and brought the United Kingdom to the brink of bankruptcy, the so-called ‘post-war consensus’ amongst the two major political parties provided fertile ground for a considerable enlargement of public services and the consolidation of a welfare state aimed to serve all Britons from the cradle to the grave.\textsuperscript{107} Spanning education, housing, health, women’s rights, and worker’s rights, reforms aimed to address what were supposedly the five main problems of British society: disease, want, squalor, ignorance and idleness. The government nationalized 20\% of British industry; set about building twelve new towns to reduce homelessness and overcrowding; legislated all local authorities to provide primary, secondary and further education, guaranteeing the national provision of state-funded secondary schooling for the first time in the country’s history; and introduced national insurance, unemployment benefits, and family allowances.

Perhaps of longest lasting significance was the introduction in 1948 of a comprehensive, universal system of British health care. With rationing an everyday experience for Britons

\textsuperscript{106} See Martin Francis, \textit{Ideas and policies under Labour, 1945-1951: Building a New Britain} (Manchester: Manchester University Press, 1997).

well into the 1950s, the National Health Service (NHS) provided access to doctors, dentists, opticians and hospitals free of charge for all at the point of use. Paradoxically, this reform highlighted how severe the national health crisis had been. Almost from its inception, the NHS faced enormous difficulties. From the day the service began work on 5 July, NHS institutions and facilities were inundated with patients who had previously been unable to afford treatment. Demand for medical care exploded and soon outstretched capacities. By September, prescriptions had nearly doubled, rising from seven million per month before the establishment of the NHS to thirteen and a half million. The NHS was becoming a major factor in government budgets. By 1951, charges were introduced for opticians and dental treatment, causing health minister and architect of the NHS Aneurin Bevan to resign. Personnel, too, were stretched. Before long, the NHS experienced a severe shortage of doctors, nurses, midwives, ancillary workers, and other medical staff—a situation that worsened when, during the first decade after its introduction, 3,500 British doctors emigrated to Canada, the USA and elsewhere in protest because they were unwilling to participate in the new health service. 108 One of the government’s main responses to this staff shortage was migration. The British government recruited nurses, doctors, and ancillary staff, mostly from the Caribbean and the Indian subcontinent, to come to the UK and fill the vacant positions. 109


The strategy of filling vacancies with migrants has been a constant feature in the history of the NHS. By 1980, the proportion of NHS staff with migration backgrounds reached 30% and has never since fallen below this number. In February 2018, the House of Commons Library, the information resource of the lower house of the British Parliament, reported that a minimum of 12.5% of all NHS staff in England do not hold British citizenship and can therefore be presumed to be first-generation migrants. Most of them are citizens of African, Asian, and non-British EU countries. With 7% of NHS employees registered as unknown nationalities and an unknown number of the 82% with British citizenship foreign-born, figures are likely to be greater – up to 18% or more.\footnote{Carl Baker, ‘NHS Staff from Overseas: Statistics’, House of Commons Library Briefing Paper Number 7783, 7 February 2018.} They also depend on the profession. In 2017, the BBC reported that 36% of NHS doctors trained outside the UK.\footnote{‘Reality Check: NHS Staff: How Many Foreign Staff Work in the NHS?’, BBC News, 1 August 2017, \url{www.bbc.co.uk/news/health-40753751}. The usually quoted figure of 27% non-British doctors excludes those 9% from the European Economic Area.} Writing for the Nuffield Trust, Mark Dayan has pointed out that the UK is one of the developed countries that relies most on importing doctors from abroad.\footnote{Mark Dayan, ‘The facts: EU immigration and pressure on the NHS’, Nuffield Trust and Full Fact briefing, 23 May 2016, \url{www.nuffieldtrust.org.uk/resource/fact-check-migration-and-nhs-staff}.} Even MigrationWatch UK, a right-wing think-tank dedicated to lobbying policy-makers against immigration to Britain, concedes that the NHS has been heavily dependent on migrant labour and that the employment of staff trained elsewhere has saved the British government considerable costs with regards to
expensive medical training.\(^{113}\) As Hayden Smith has put it in the *Independent*, ‘the NHS would collapse if it wasn’t for immigrants.’\(^{114}\)

It is instructive to contextualise such numbers against overall migration statistics in Britain. Writing for the Office of National Statistics, Michael Rendall and John Salt report that, overall, proportional numbers of immigrants to the United Kingdom have steadily increased since the mid-20\(^{th}\) century, from 4.2\% of the population in 1951 to 12.7\% in 2011.\(^{115}\) The Migration Observatory, based at the Centre on Migration, Policy and Society at the University of Oxford, states that, in 2015, 13.5\% of the UK population was foreign-born, with 8.9\% non-British citizens.\(^{116}\) In the NHS, then, immigrants are punching above their weight. And they do so with regards to the economy more widely, too. The Centre for Research and Analysis of Migration (CReAM) based at University College London has found that migrants coming to the UK since 2000, for example, have been 43\% less likely to claim benefits or tax credits compared to the British-born workforce and that the European


immigrants amongst them have contributed more than £20bn to public finances between 2001 and 2011. As the authors of the study show, ‘between 1995 to 2011, immigrants endowed the UK labour market with human capital that would have cost about £49 billion if it were produced through the UK education system and contributed about £82 billion to fixed or “pure” public goods.’ The UK born population during the same period had a net fiscal cost of £591 billion.\textsuperscript{117}

In spite of this evidence, British politicians have made direct links between poor NHS performance and immigration. Doing so in fact became a prominent line of argument for those advocating the country’s withdrawal from the European Union. One of the main promises of the campaign to leave the EU, displayed prominently on the so-called ‘battle bus’, was that departure from the Union would save Britain £350 million per week, which could then be used to bolster the underfunded NHS. The other promise was that the country would regain control of its borders and reduce the numbers of immigrants. While those campaigning for continued EU membership remained largely silent on the issues of migration and public health, voices advocating Brexit loudly informed voters that the two issues were directly related. Boris Johnson, who was promoted to foreign secretary after the successful Brexit campaign, claimed ‘We get uncontrolled immigration, which puts unsustainable pressure on our public services.’\textsuperscript{118}


\textsuperscript{118} The quote was repeated frequently, often verbatim, to several newspapers and broadcasters, including by others campaigning for Brexit, such as Priti Patel, who was
The facts contradict such statements, and the suggestion that migrants are a drain on public resources rather than an essential asset to the provision of public health in Britain has been rebuffed continuously and resolutely.\textsuperscript{119} To name one recent example, the Channel 4 News website questioned whether migrants are causing an NHS crisis. Before the background that since 2010, the number of people waiting more than four hours for accident and emergency treatment has seen a nearly six-fold increase, Channel 4 journalist Georgina Lee put forward evidence that ‘there’s no correlation between the proportion of immigrants in an area and the performance of local A&E departments’; that so-called health tourism ‘costs less than a tenth of one per cent of the total NHS budget’; that ‘immigrants are less likely to access health services than the native-born’; and that immigrants make a ‘positive fiscal contribution to the UK’ that bolsters the NHS budget.\textsuperscript{120} That such debates can be found in a public-service TV promoted to Secretary of State for International Development after the referendum (and sacked from the role some 16 months later). One example is Steven Swinford and Laura Donnelly, ‘EU Referendum: Spend Brussels billions on NHS instead, Boris Johnson and Michael Gove Urge’, \textit{Daily Telegraph}, 15 April 2016, www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2016/04/14/eu-referendum-spend-brussels-billions-on-nhs-instead-boris-johns/.

\textsuperscript{119} Amongst several news outlets, the BBC queried Johnson’s claim and found no evidence to support it. See Tamara Kovacevic, ‘Reality Check: How Music Pressure Do EU Migrants Put on the NHS?’, BBC Reality Check, 17 June 2016, www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-politics-eu-referendum-36058513.

station, rather than being restricted to academic journals or dedicated research institutes, illustrates the extent to which migration and the NHS are at the forefront of public discourses in 2018. Indeed, in the commentary section below the Channel 4 online article, the reader ‘s Maddocks’ comments, ‘I truly believe this crisis is caused by eu migrants, it is common sense.’ Such attitudes are not new. As Roberta Bivins has shown, throughout its history, discussions about the NHS align closely with debates about migration.\textsuperscript{121} British debates about immigration since the mid-20\textsuperscript{th} century, then, have been as much informed by the NHS as discussions about the NHS have been linked to migration.

How do migrant artists and musicians figure in all this? Let me rewind to the immediate post-war years. As illustrated by the aforementioned emigration of 3,500 British doctors, who left the country in protest against the introduction of a national health service, not everyone embraced the idea of the NHS with open arms. Before the NHS first opened its doors in the summer of 1948, the government felt that it was prudent to convince Britons of the wide-ranging reforms and embarked on a considerable propaganda campaign. Films were central here, and several shorts were commissioned to advertise the government’s reforms. Prefacing the feature, they reached wide audiences and were shown in cinemas across the nation. This was not, of course, a novel strategy; nor was it unique to the Attlee government. During World War II, the Ministry of Information (MOI) had poured considerable resources into commissioning propaganda films, including shorts and features, which were shown both in Britain and abroad. Indeed, Anthony Aldgate and Jeffrey Richards have suggested that ‘the

\textsuperscript{121} Roberta Bivins, ‘Picturing Race in the British National Health Service, 1948-1988’, \textit{Twentieth Century British History} 28/1, March 2017, 83-109. The production of propaganda films predates World War II, of course, and reaches back into the 1920s advertisement campaign of the Empire Marketing Board, for example, which existed 1926-33.
story of British cinema in the Second World War is inextricably linked with that of the Ministry of Information.'\textsuperscript{122}

Following the upheaval of wartime, which had exposed populations in Britain and beyond to transmissions of propaganda through the new media of film and radio on an unprecedented scale, it is perhaps unsurprising that the British government continued to employ the same strategies to convince voters of its policies. Focussing on America’s Marshall Plan, Maria Fritsche has convincingly argued that the role of film was central in post-war political campaigns and discourses, much as it had been during the war years.\textsuperscript{123} And while a lot of cinema owners were reluctant to show factual government films they feared to be less popular with audiences, it seems that, at least in some cases, such films were well liked, or at least appreciated, by audiences. Referring to productions sponsored by the MOI more generally, Geoff Hurd, for example, has suggested that the 1940s brought about ‘a genuine rapport between British film-makers and their audiences.’\textsuperscript{124}

Two of the small team behind the film I now focus on belong to a group of artists that sought refuge in Britain during the 1930s in order to escape fascist persecution. The co-producer and


director of *Charley in Your Very Good Health* (1948), the second in a series of eight films, was John Halas, born Janós Halasz in Budapest in 1912, and the music was composed by Mátyás Seiber, also Budapest-born, but seven years before Halasz, in 1905. Halasz had co-founded Hungary’s first animation studio, Coloriton, in 1932. Having arrived in London in 1936, he co-founded the production company Halas & Batchelor in 1940, in partnership with the British-born animator and scriptwriter Joy Batchelor. (They married in the same year.) The company was immensely successful, particularly with propaganda shorts made during and after the war for the British government and achieved notable success with the feature length cartoon *Animal Farm* (1954), to which Seiber also wrote the score.

The composer of the *Charley* film music, and notably of the recognisable theme tune that connects all the films in the series, was Seiber. In Budapest, he studied composition with Zoltán Kodály. Following the takeover of the proto-fascist Miklos Horthy regime, Seiber left Budapest to settle in Frankfurt where he accepted a professorship in jazz at the prestigious Hoch Konservatorium. There, his compositional development marks him as a composer of the avant-garde, making him one of the first to employ dodecaphony outside Schoenberg’s circle. In 1933, the Nazi administration dismissed Seiber in an act of ethnic cleansing on account of his Jewish heritage. Seiber left Frankfurt and migrated to London in 1935, where he eventually established a reputation for himself as composer, conductor, and one of the country’s most renowned composition teachers.

The sheer number of refugee artists who exerted influence upon British filmmaking in the mid-century has become something of a trope in exile studies and caused Tobias Hochschef,
for example, to speak of a continental connection. While many artists left the European continent for Hollywood instead—Fritz Lang, Billy Wilder, Marlene Dietrich, Erich Wolfgang Korngold, Hanns Eisler, Berthold Viertel, Elisabeth Bergner, and many others spring to mind—Britain, and London particularly, became a destination for many, amongst them Alexander Korda, Max Schach, Gabriel Pascal, Emeric Pressburger, Friedrich Feher, and Lajos Bíró. Hungarian-born Miklós Rózsa recounts a joke from mid-century that the three Union Jacks hoisted at the Denham studios stood one for each of the three British, non-migrants working on the premises. Musically, too, immigrants changed considerably the history of British film. And yet, despite Erik Levi’s claim that migrant composers such as Allan Gray, Walter Goehr, Hans May and Ernst Hermann Meyer perhaps achieved their greatest successes in the area of film music, their story remains seldom told.

---


127 Miklós Rózsa, Double Life (Tunbridge Wells: Baton Press, 1982), 70.

Indeed, beyond the field of exile studies, migrant voices remain largely absent. Richard Farmer, to name one example, in an otherwise carefully researched book, doesn’t reference immigrant film makers or composers in his detailed discussion of the MOI’s emphasis on propaganda films. Sarah Street dedicates several sections of the first half of her book to British responses to what she calls the ‘Hollywood invasion’ during the 1930s, but the influx of migrant artists during the same period is largely excluded from her discussion of British national cinema. She does mention that Emeric Pressburger, for example, was Hungarian-born, but this, for her, cemented his status as someone with an ‘outsider insight.’ Conversely, Brian McFarlane includes John Halas, one of the protagonists of this article, in his encyclopaedia of British film and Tony Shaw calls Halas & Batchelor ‘Britain’s largest and most respected animation company.’ Despite such appraisals, propaganda shorts aren’t frequently discussed in the literature. While many mid-century short films are today easily accessible on online video platforms, stalwart modernist Hanns Keller’s complaint from 1955 continues to ring true today: ‘so much good work is done in unobserved corners – in “shorts”,

131 Street, *British National Cinema*, 205.
cartoons, documentaries, etc., which never see the light of a press show and are not easy to track down.\footnote{Hans Keller, ‘Recent Film Music’, \textit{The Musical Times} 96:1347 (1955), 265.}

Commissioned by the Central Office of Information (COI, the successor organisation to the MOI from 1946), then, Batchelor and Halas (as co-directors, co-script writers, and co-producers) joined forces with Seiber to produce a series of eight films, each between 8 and 10 minutes long.\footnote{The other seven are \textit{Charley in New Town} (1947), \textit{Charley’s March of Time} (1947), \textit{Robinson Charley} (1947), \textit{Charley’s Black Magic} (1949), \textit{Charley in the New Schools} (1947), \textit{Farmer Charley} (1949), and \textit{Charley Junior’s Schooldays} (1949). Most of the Charley films are readily accessible on YouTube and other video platforms. \textit{Your Very Good Health} is also available on the websites of the Wellcome Trust and the British Film Institute.} Released from 1948 onwards, all of them are based around the cartoon character Charley, a likeable and cheeky but otherwise ordinary man, presumably of working class background. Charley explores post-war Britain on his bike, forming a double act with the narrator to comment on the government’s reforms. At first reluctant to embrace political change, the films show Charley shedding his initial hesitations and even promoting the reforms, notably to his neighbour, posh George. As the Attlee government invited Indian doctors and Caribbean nurses to come to Britain and fill labour shortages in the NHS, migrant artists like Halas and Seiber were approached to make these propaganda films.

By the time the COI commissioned Halas & Batchelor to produce the \textit{Charley} series, they had a fairly long-standing business relationship: according to Vivien Halas and Paul Wells,
the studio had made over one hundred shorts for the government by 1950.\textsuperscript{135} This included, for example, the \textit{Abu} series, which was shown in the Middle East as anti-Nazi propaganda.\textsuperscript{136} Between 1942 and 1947, Seiber had contributed the music to at least 15 propaganda shorts. There is evidence to suggest that this collaboration proved successful beyond a merely functional level. For example, the 1946 eight-and-a-half-minute film \textit{Modern Guide to Health}, which promoted general health including correct posture, exercise, well-fitting clothing, and proper sleep, won first prize at the 1947 Brussels Film Festival. The \textit{Charley} series was received particularly favourably. Two shorts, \textit{Charley in New Town} and \textit{Charley’s March of Time}, both produced in 1947, were selected for the 1948 Cannes Film Festival, with the former also shown at the Edinburgh Film Festival during the same year. \textit{Farmer Charley}, the last in the series, was screened at the Edinburgh Film Festival in 1949, and several shorts produced in the following years were likewise successful internationally.\textsuperscript{137}


\textsuperscript{137} As \textit{Old as the Hills} (1\textsuperscript{st} prize, Venice, 1950), \textit{We’ve Come a Long Way} (2\textsuperscript{nd} prize, Venice, 1951), \textit{Down a Long Way} (Diploma of Merit, Venice, 1955), \textit{To Your Health} (Diploma of Merit in Edinburgh, 1956, 1\textsuperscript{st} prize in Cork, 1957, and Golden Reel Award in Chicago, 1958), and \textit{Speed the Plough} (Certificate of Merit, Cork, 1957). The feature \textit{Animal Farm} was awarded a Diploma of Merit at the 1956 Durban festival.
Targeted to a mass audience like the other Charley films, Your Very Good Health is the second in the series. It demonstrates how Halas and Batchelor mastered the technique of packaging a complex political message into a focussed, humorous and seemingly light-hearted film narrative. Interspersed with energetic action scenes, that see Charley hypothetically falling off his bike or him imagining that his wife falls ill (‘We mothers can’t afford to be ill’, she retorts wryly), the story is narrated in a straightforward and easily intelligible manner. Surprisingly entertaining even today, the film capitalises on animation’s potential to convey a considerable amount of complex information in an enjoyable, even charming, manner, but without losing sight of its topic. Tony Shaw has suggested that Halas’ migration background contributed to the success of films such as Your Very Good Health, pointing to ‘the proselytizing qualities of animation, enhanced by its instant accessibility and apparent ideological innocence, and Halas and Batchelor’s distinctive style, which combined the sentimentality of Disney with an Eastern European graphic boldness and darkness.’

The music was essential to the success of the Charley films and is a prominent feature throughout. First, there is Charley’s recognizable and catchy theme tune which accompanies the opening titles of each of the films in the series, in which he rides across the screen on his bicycle, writing out his name. It also recurs periodically throughout Your Very Good Health, including a rendition an octave higher when the narrative briefly shifts to Charley’s baby son. In addition, there’s some mickey-mousing, for example when a tuba hilariously synchronises Charley’s breathing during an imagined episode of respiratory disease. Seiber’s score perhaps most enhances the action and slapstick scenes, such as the sequence when the narrator asks Charley to imagine what might happen if his bicycle brakes gave out, he fell off his bike, and

---

138 Shaw, *British Cinema and the Cold War*, 95.
needed an ambulance. Overall, and in line with the others of the series, the music contributed to the film’s success, which, as a propaganda achievement, apparently pleased the government.\textsuperscript{139}

Through media and cultural means, migrant artists such as Halas and Seiber thus participated in the political debates of the time through their creativity. Whether they were necessarily supportive of Attlee’s reforms on a private level is a different matter. But their individual attitudes are not germane to my point. Rather, I suggest that through short films such as those of the \textit{Charley} series, immigrant artists like Seiber and Halas played an important part in the history of what were perhaps the most wide-reaching social reforms in 20\textsuperscript{th}-century Britain since World War II. Just like the immigration of large numbers of Caribbean nurses and Indian doctors kept the NHS going in its infancy and still does so today, musicians, composers, and filmmakers, too, are an intrinsic part of the history of an organization so inextricably imbedded in British daily life. There would not be an NHS in the form we know it today without migration. Migrants are an inseparable part of the very fabric of British society. Their contribution may at times seem hidden, but it is still in full view, if only we look and listen.

\textbf{Ivan Caryll, the Belgian Doyen of British Musical Comedy}

Ivan Caryll (1861–1921) was born Félix Marie Henri Tilkin in Liège, Belgium. He first became a student at the conservatoire in his home town, before travelling to France to study singing at the Paris Conservatoire. After moving to London in 1882, he made a basic living by giving piano lessons. He also composed songs and managed to sell some of them to the theatrical manager and impresario George Edwardes. The latter was so impressed with Caryll, he made him musical director of the Gaiety Theatre in succession to the long-serving Wilhelm Meyer Lutz. Meyer Lutz was also an immigrant musician, having left Bavaria for England in 1848, at the age of 19. Indeed, when Caryll took over the Gaiety orchestra, it contained only one English player, a person always alluded to humorously as ‘the foreigner’. Caryll was a dedicated and energetic musical director, and proud of his position as conductor of one of the finest theatrical orchestras of the period. As a stage composer, Caryll rose to fame in the 1890s, and he remained an important and successful musical comedy composer until his death in 1921. The genre of British musical comedy owed a great deal to this immigrant composer, who was a key figure in defining the style of the musical comedies at the Gaiety. In fact, he was rarely alluded to as an immigrant musician, which may be partly explained by the Gaiety’s history of employing musicians from continental Europe, and partly by his own character and personality as a cosmopolitan figure in the musical life of the West End.

---
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George Edwardes is credited with having coined the term ‘musical comedy’ for a new blend of topicality and romance in stage musical entertainment. He had detected that the days of burlesque and the topsy-turvy world of the Savoy operas were reaching an end, and the audience was ready to respond to something fresh and more related to modern life. Edwardes made his first experiments at the Prince of Wales’s Theatre, because he felt the change would be too sudden in the Gaiety, which was regarded as the home of burlesque. His production of *In Town* at the Prince of Wales’s Theatre in 1892 was billed as a ‘musical farce’, but it already had many of the features of what would become known as musical comedy, such as modern dress, and an eclectic score with musical styles ranging from operetta to music hall. The label ‘musical comedy’ was actually applied to the following production at the Prince of Wales’s, which was *A Gaiety Girl*. Neither of these productions had music by Ivan Caryll. The composer of *In Town* was F. Osmond Carr, and the composer of *A Gaiety Girl* was Sidney Jones.

Ivan Caryll was asked to compose the music for the next musical comedy, *The Shop Girl*, in 1894 (once more described as a ‘musical farce’). This was staged at the Gaiety with such unequivocal success that this theatre from then on became the home of musical comedy. Seymour Hicks, who performed in the show before he became a successful actor-manager and producer, wrote in 1910, in a book recounting his early stage career, ‘There are some musical comedies whose music may have been better, though I doubt it, and others may have had better characterization, or a stronger plot, etc., etc., but no other play possessed all its

---

merits in so marked a degree’. Hicks’s wife, the actor and singer Ellaline Terriss declared in her own memoirs, written 45 years later, that *The Shop Girl* was ‘the beginning of a new era not only at the Gaiety Theatre but in the British musical theatre.’

The book of *The Shop Girl* was by H.J.W. Dam, who claimed to have researched his subject in Whiteley’s department store and the Army and Navy stores in order to capture ‘the life of today’. Most of the music was composed by Caryll, with additional numbers supplied by Monckton to lyrics by Adrian Ross. Monckton had studied Law at the University of Oxford. His music was not dissimilar to that of Caryll, and the same held true of their moustaches (Figs. 1a & 1b).

---

142 Hicks, *Twenty-Four Years of an Actor’s Life*, 184.


Caryll handled the trickier concerted sections, such as finales, and did the orchestration. Monckton often came up with the instantly catchier tunes, even though (unlike Caryll) he was a constant reviser of his material.

_The Shop Girl_ was an enormous success, running to 546 performances, and firmly establishing musical comedy as the most popular stage entertainment in the West End. There was a link between the big shops and the theatre, because affluent women from the suburbs would often combine a shopping trip with a visit to the theatre. Both of these activities were respectable, although both might involve chance interactions with men. The two leading actors were Ada Reeve (a music-hall singer) as the shop girl Bessie Brent, and Seymour Hicks (at this time a comedian with little singing experience). Ellaline Terriss, Ellas Hicks’s wife, replaced Reeve, who had already been pregnant when she took on her role.

The first act was set in a department store and the second in a bazaar. Department stores were a feature of cosmopolitan modernity. The opening chorus informs us, ‘Ev’ry product of the planet / Since geology began it’ can be bought on one or other of its ‘mile on mile of floors’. In ‘The Song of the Shop’ we have lines critical of those who behave as social superiors to shop girls. In verse one, they are the women who bully them:

---

Ellaline Terriss had the unusual distinction of having been born in Stanley (the Ship Hotel) on the Falkland Islands. Her father had been an actor in London, but then suddenly decided to become a sheep farmer in a distant location. See Terriss, _Just a Little Bit of String_, 19-24.
They all come down on the Shop Girl,

Weak little meek little Shop Girl.

Ladies of rank,

Who could buy up the bank,

They bully the girl in the shop.

In verse two, they are the men who sexually harass them:

They all make eyes at the Shop Girl,

Neat little sweet little Shop Girl.

Oh, how they stare,

And they frequently dare

To wink at the girl in the shop.

Hicks’s interpolated song ‘Her Golden Hair Was Hanging down Her Back’ was considered risqué, with its insinuation that a young woman has been free with her sexual favours. Hicks and Adrian Ross adapted it from an original by Felix McGlennon, published in New York in 1894. It does not feature in the vocal score published by Hopwood & Crew in early 1895. The variety elements present in musical comedy meant that a song reminiscent of the style of the music hall or American vaudeville did not jar as it would have done in stage work more closely associated with comic opera or operetta. The respectability of women who attended
musical comedy was much more relied upon by theatre managers than that of those who attended the music hall. In the latter environment, hair hanging down a woman’s back carried strong implication of impropriety. Theatre historian Peter Bailey cites a report that appeared in the *Evening News* the month before the premiere of *The Shop Girl*, which stated that women with ‘loose, unbonneted hair’ were being denied admission to the Empire Theatre. This was a variety theatre in Leicester Square, managed by George Edwardes, but which was at this time the subject of scandal regarding prostitution.¹⁴⁶

The possibility that elegant young women in the chorus at the Gaiety Theatre, popularly known as Gaiety Girls, might have an opportunity to marry into the nobility is hinted at in the song ‘The Smartest Girl in Town’.

And the millionaires devotedly adore me,

And the peerage in a body kneels before me,

And the little dancing girl may be married to an Earl,

For you never, never, never, know your luck.

¹⁴⁶ *Evening News*, 13 Oct. 1894, quoted in Bailey, “‘Naughty but Nice’”, 58, note 27. The Empire Theatre was the focus of an anti-prostitution campaign by Laura Ormiston Chant and, indeed, there is a reference to ‘Mrs Chant’ in the version of ‘Her Golden Hair Was Hanging down Her Back’ sung in *The Shop Girl*. 
Women were no longer typically portrayed as passive. Miggles, a shopwalker at the shop, whose job is to supervise the sales staff and help customers, sings a song about being forced to become a vegetarian by his new wife:

For breakfast we had porridge for dinner we had fruits,

Oh woe! woe the day!

And if we had a supper it was principally roots,

Yea, verily yea!

Sadly, after all his suffering, his wife elopes with a butcher.

_The Shop Girl_ witnessed the stage debut of George Grossmith Jr, son of a famous comedian, and known as Gee-Gee to his friends. He played the masher Bertie Hoyd, the cut of whose coat was “quite the thing.” His masher song “Beautiful Bountiful Bertie,” composed by Monckton to Grossmith’s own lyrics, proved to be one of the show’s hit numbers. The term “masher” was given to fashionable, fun-loving men about town, who were often inappropriate in their sexual attentions. Bertie has the onerous duty of escorting a group of foundlings around town (Fig. 2).

*Insert near here: Figure 2: The foundlings in _The Shop Girl_*
*The Shop Girl* was the first musical comedy to have a full chorus line of modern Gaiety Girls. Nevertheless, it played safe by also having a scantily clad burlesque troupe of women from the Frivoliity Theatre who appear in Act 2. Another sign of modern times is the inclusion of a newly wealthy American businessman. He is presented in the song ‘The Millionaire’, in which Bunco Brown tells how he transformed himself from a desperado in the gold mines to ‘plutocratic Brown of Colorado’.

The production of the show followed the usual procedure: Pat Malone and Sydney Ellison took charge of the production until the final rehearsals. Then, Edwardes turned up to have his say, making comments and offering advice that, as always, was found to deliver significant improvements. Edwardes was so pleased with this show, he sent a touring company to Palmer’s Theatre, New York, and another company to the Prince’s Theatre, Melbourne. It was also adapted for performances in continental Europe: for example, as *La Demoiselle de magasin* in Paris (1896), and *Die Ladenmamsell* in Vienna (1897).

Caryll’s next musical comedy was *The Circus Girl*, which opened at the Gaiety on 5 December 1896. James P. Tanner, who was responsible for the book, ensured that it was not all circus oriented, and included a scene at a Paris ball. Caryll once more had the assistance of Monckton, who provided additional numbers. The stars were Ellaline Terris, Seymour Hicks and comedian Connie Ediss. Once more, Monckton succeeded in providing a hit song, with ‘Just a Little Piece of String’ sung by Terris. So, popular was it, she used its title for her autobiography. Ediss sang ‘The Way To Treat a Lady’, which told of her being left in a pub by her husband, who did not even pay for her glass of port and lemonade. *The Circus Girl*
was almost as successful as *The Shop Girl* and ran for 494 performances. It also enjoyed a New York production in 1897.

It has by now become obvious how popular the word ‘girl’ was in the title of a musical comedy. The labelling of young women as ‘girls’, has been described by Peter Bailey as a strategy to frame them as ‘naughty but nice’.\(^{147}\) The Gaiety Girl was not prim or over-zealous in religion and politics, nor intellectually ambitious in the manner of the New Woman of the 1890s. The Gaiety Girl may not have been an example of the New Women, who spurned fashion and regarded marriage as something that stifled careers and ambitions, but she was certainly an example of the modern woman, a woman who knew her own mind and was not inclined to passivity in her dealings with men. This did not deter male admirers: the term ‘Stage Door Johnnies’ was used at this time to refer to those men who would crowd outside the stage door hoping to escort one of the young women to supper.

The last of Caryll’s musical comedies of the 1890s was *The Runaway Girl*, Gaiety, 21 May 1898, and it became another runaway success, with a New York production in the same year. The book was by Seymour Hicks and Harry Nicholls, the lyrics by Aubrey Hopwood and Harry Greenbank, and the music by Ivan Caryll, again with the assistance of Monckton (Fig. 3). The team of people involved in creating the words and music may be interpreted either as indicating that a new kind of art world is emerging, or alternatively, as representing the division of labour that characterizes industrial production methods.

\(^{147}\) Bailey, “‘Naughty but Nice’”, 45.
A Runaway Girl featured Terris, Ediss, and Edmund Payne and Kate Seymour (a couple admired for their dancing and duets). The Times saw this piece as a return to operetta [check the review] with a diminution of variety show elements. It was set in Corsica and Venice. The audience’s love of spectacle was usually satisfied by giving each act a picturesque location. Caryll followed it with The Messenger Boy, which was the first musical comedy to have a male gendered title. It opened at the Gaiety, 3 Feb. 1900, running for 432 performances, and it had 128 performances on Broadway the next year. The book was by James T. Tanner and Alfred Murray, the lyrics by Adrian Ross and Percy Greenbank, additional music was contributed by Monckton.

The Toreador, opened at Gaiety in 1901, and was the final musical comedy produced there before the theatre was demolished and the new Gaiety built nearby. The book was by the same creative team as the Messenger Boy, except that Tanner’s partner in writing the book was Harry Nichols. Again, there was a noticeable shift of focus in this title to the male, and Edwardes’s superstition that ‘girl’ was necessary in a title in order to bring good luck was evaporating. In fact, The Toreador and The Shop Girl enjoyed the two longest runs at the old Gaiety Theatre (675 and 546 performances, respectively). The drama involves Teddy Payne as a footman whose full livery leads him to be mistaken for a toreador, so he is sent to the bull ring. Other performers were Marie Studholme, George Grossmith Jr, and Gertie Millar, who was making her London debut. During the run, Lionel Monckton proposed to her, and they married.

The musical comedy The Toreador offers an interesting example of how an immigrant composer like Caryll can bring something novel to a genre that otherwise seems so typically
British. An illustration is Teresa’s song, ‘Oh, Señor, Pray Be Bold of Heart’. First note the fandango rhythm in the verse section. That was a typical means of representing Spain in later nineteenth-century British songs, such as ‘A Bandit’s Life Is the Life for Me’ (words and music by E. Harper, 1872), and ‘The Bandolero’ (words and music by Leslie Stuart, 1894). However, the refrain is different, and introduces a new dance rhythm to musical theatre (Examples 1 & 2).

<Insert near here: Example 1: ‘Oh, Señor, Pray Be Bold of Heart’, opening of verse (The Toreador, 1901)>

<Follow Ex.1 immediately with Example 2: Refrain ‘For There Both by Day and Night’ (The Toreador, 1901)>

The rhythm is that of the habanera, a Cuban rhythm (the term ‘habanera’ indicates an origin in Havana). This rhythm was much more familiar in Paris, where Caryll, as a French speaking Belgian, studied, and, indeed, he marks it with the French term ‘havanaise’ in the score. There is, of course, a famous example of the rhythm in Bizet’s Carmen. Caryll’s habanera has caught the idiomatic cross rhythm of threes against twos. Not only does Caryll introduce the dance to musical comedy, but in doing so he anticipates the popular enthusiasm for the tango a decade later. Interestingly, when the tango reached Britain, it also arrived via Paris. The habanera and the Argentine tango are closely related musically, and differences tend to be found mainly in the respective dance movements.
Although I have only had space to look at the earlier musical comedies that involved Ivan Caryll and changed perceptions of musical theatre, his achievement in this field of entertainment was extensive, and, added to this, he was the composer of one of the most popular of British twentieth-century light operas, *The Duchess of Dantzic* (1903). After *The Toreador*, his three biggest hits in the West End were *The Orchid* (1903), *Our Miss Gibbs* (1909), and *Kissing Time* (1919). His biggest triumph on Broadway was with *The Pink Lady* in 1911. A run of over 150 performances for a stage work was generally seen as marking a success. Caryll exceed this benchmark twenty-three times in the West End between 1890–1920 (the last time with the 1920 revival of *The Shop Girl*), and fourteen times on Broadway, 1894–1920. The editor of *The Play Pictorial*, B.W. Findon, paid tribute to Caryll in 1909:

> Gaiety music is known the wide world over. Its tunes have been whistled, sung and played in nearly every city of the globe, and since the days of “The Shop Girl” the name of Ivan Caryll has only once been absent from the Gaiety bill.148

Since then musical comedy has become one of the most neglected genres in British theatre history, and Ivan Caryll – who, in 1903 enjoyed the unprecedented achievement of seeing five of his stage compositions run simultaneously in the West End149 – has, sadly, become one of the most neglected of theatre composers.


Aural Borders, Aural Bordering

Tom Western (University of Edinburgh)

Musicology needs migrant histories. Florian Scheding and Erik Levi write of the discipline’s lack of engagement with displacement and its impacts as ‘all but negligent’.\footnote{150} This is reflective of a broader historiographical situation, whereby history is written from the perspective of states and places, placing the figure of the migrant outside of history.\footnote{151} The figure of the refugee, similarly, is constructed as an ahistorical, universal humanitarian subject.\footnote{152} From this footing, national (musical) histories seem inevitable, and national borders delineate objects and areas of study as well as societies, nations and communities.

Yet recent border theory tells us that there are more types of borders now than ever before. It no longer makes sense to think of borders only as lines on maps or physical barriers that separate nation-states. Borders, instead, are technologies of social circulation; and societies are the products of bordering, rather than the other way around. For Thomas Nail, borders are

\begin{itemize}
  \item \footnote{151} Gilles Deleuze and Felix Guattari, \textit{A Thousand Plateaus: Capitalism and Schizophrenia} (Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press, 1987), 23; Thomas Nail, \textit{The Figure of the Migrant} (Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 2015), 4.
\end{itemize}
material technologies that produce social division, acting as filters or sieves that allow the free flow of capital and elites, but catch the global poor. Rather than framing this as a system of inclusion and exclusion, however, Nail argues that the border can be better understood through circulation. Borders are always in motion, and are never finished including and excluding, continuously redirecting flows and things across or away from themselves.¹⁵³

A border, then, is an institution, an experience, a business, a knowledge system, a part of everyday life, and a cultural entity. And a sounding one. I’m not the first person to think about aural borders. Josh Kun considers the border as ‘a field of sound, a terrain of musicality, of static and noise, of melodic convergence and dissonant clashing’, writing of the aural border as an archaeology and genealogy of subjugated knowledges.¹⁵⁴ More generally, he writes of migration as a sonic act as much as a spatial one.¹⁵⁵ But here I’m less interested in the sound cultures that exist at the physical border, and more interested in the ways that music has been used to produce borders.

Territory here becomes conceptually important. Stuart Elden argues for an understanding of territory as a political technology, more to do with relations between power and space, terrain and technique than with notions of land as an inert backdrop for states. Accordingly, for Elden, territory is never static, but is ‘a process, made and remade, shaped and reshaped,

active and reactive’. 156 Moreover, projects of nation building can, and frequently do, take place within well-established territorial borders. 157 So a fuller understanding of territory is built from the techniques used by governments and institutions to measure and manage terrain and populations.

This resonates with another argument from Nail: that borders do not exist solely at the perimeter of a territory but suffuse the social and pop up at any point where a linguistic, ethnic or cultural division occurs. 158 And this, in turn, feeds into my understanding of aural borders. They are works of cultural production. They are the result of sonic labour. So, while sounds cross borders more easily than people, and music does not stop at checkpoints, they are, at the same time, political objects that have been enrolled in securing aural borders. Borders are created within and through culture. History is mobilised and circulated through these endeavours.

**Aural Bordering**

My own research locates a ‘national phonography’ in British nation building after World War II, hearing how the nation and its aural borders were produced through recorded sound. 159 It

---


157 Elden, *The Birth of Territory*, 323.


examines several ethnographic field recording and sound archiving projects that ran concurrently in postwar Britain. National institutions invested recording technologies with the ability to sound and salvage the nation, but this first involved deciding who, what, and when the nation was. This was Britain’s field recording moment: a frenzy of recording work, and a narrative of preservation accompanying it.

This recording moment sat at the intersection of technological change, postwar diplomacy, popular culture, imperial contraction, postcolonial politics, resurgent ideas of national culture, nascent ethnomusicology, national and international broadcasting, and a commercial world music industry. It was an international endeavour: part of a network of scholars, fieldworkers, media workers, archivists, artists and anthologists across Europe, who worked collaboratively and competitively to produce nations in recorded sound. Musical representations of nations came into being through international endeavours, while at the same time denying sonic space to peoples and cultures that had crossed borders.

The logics of national phonography privileged preindustrial histories and located the nation firmly in rural spaces, populating sound archives with precisely imagined pasts and places. Borders were drawn in the field as to what belonged in the nation and what did not. The sounding nation comes into existence through an institutionalised bordering practice. This was not necessarily a new thing after the war. John Picker details how sound in public space in mid-19th-century England was contested, with street musicians denigrated not just for

making noise, but for being an unwanted foreign presence in urban geographies.¹⁶⁰ Music triggered broader anxieties about migrant labour. Ralph Vaughan Williams went even further, arguing in the 1930s for a five-year musical isolation plan, during which time only indigenous music would be allowed.¹⁶¹ National phonography was thus part of a longer history of aural bordering, of attempts to write migrant musicking out of British modernity.

It was also part of a broader repertoire of efforts to maintain a closed sense of national culture in the postwar period, and its contemporaneity with the end of empire is surely not a coincidence. As Robert Winder puts it: ‘at precisely the time when the national character was being diluted, attempts were made to distil it into its “pure” form’.¹⁶² ‘Britishness’ has been consistently delineated against ‘Black’, ‘Ethnic’, ‘Asian’ – people who have been made British, through colonisation and nationality acts, have been treated like invaders on arrival, and continually positioned outside of national culture. Despite Clement Attlee’s 1948 rhetoric that ‘it is traditional that British subjects, whether of Dominion or Colonial origin (and of whatever race or colour) should be freely admissible to the United Kingdom’, this tradition did not have any effect on considerations of what British culture was, or could be.¹⁶³ National character was not thought of in terms of Britain’s cosmopolitan ancestry, but as something rooted in the soil that was being unsettled by postwar migration.


National phonography is thus a fine example of what Stuart Hall describes as the postwar effort to recover a ‘set of cultural origins not contaminated by the colonising experience’.\textsuperscript{164} From this angle, the focus on the rural in postwar field recording can be explained not only through the notion that it is where the deep truths of nationness could be found, but also because it was a means of avoiding recent flows of migration that problematised ideas of pure national culture. The majority of migrants settled in cities, partly due to employment opportunities and the impulse to create communities of familiarity, but partly because they were unwelcome anywhere else. The General Secretary of the National Union of Agricultural Workers argued in 1947 that ‘to bring coloured labour into the British countryside would be a most unwise and unfortunate act’.\textsuperscript{165} Recordists went (literally) out of their way to record people in places unaffected by postwar population change. Exclusive focus on rural areas served to neatly sidestep, or deliberately avoid, cultural pluralism.

The decision not to record British subjects arriving in Britain at the end of empire can thus be read as just one of countless acts of inhospitality. Exclusionist postwar national phonography sits squarely within political attempts through the twentieth century, described by Paul Gilroy, to establish and maintain an essential difference between being British merely by law.


\textsuperscript{165} In Winder, \textit{Bloody Foreigners}, 268.
and being a substantive part of British culture.\textsuperscript{166} Indeed, the sonic politics at play here speak directly to the nationalisms and debates on immigration that populate the current political landscape. Borders are closing, and various voices are calling for the protection of national cultures. Heard as truthful transmissions of national pasts, field recordings are understood as existing outside of mass culture and mediation, feeding into desires for national purity. Recordings are not just the produce of history, however, but are producers of ongoing discourse about history.

\textit{Sounding Citizenship}

Sound and citizenship, then, are connected. Citizenship – far from being some inevitable or natural entity – is a constant process, one that is produced through the senses and their perceived naturalisation.\textsuperscript{167} Social expulsion, too, is often sonic. For example, the idea of the barbarian derives from the Greek, βάρβαρος, describing the onomatopoetic sound of the babbling of the foreigner who does not speak Greek; a sound becoming a means of exclusion from the geopolitical centre.\textsuperscript{168} Similarly, colonial discourse constructs a binary of the quiet religious lives of Europeans in opposition to noisy so-called primitive religions.\textsuperscript{169} The

\begin{footnotesize}
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  \item Paul Gilroy, \textit{There Ain’t No Black in the Union Jack} (Abingdon: Routledge, 2010 [1987]), 46.
  \item Nail, \textit{The Figure of the Migrant}, 46.
\end{enumerate}
\end{footnotesize}
The construal of the listening subject in European nation-states is embedded in discourses of inclusion and exclusion. And the modern state itself has been characterized as ‘a gigantic, monopolizing noise emitter, and at the same time, a generalized eavesdropping device’.

James Mansell, in a recent study of noise in Britain in the first half of the twentieth century, charts how particular sounds and ways of hearing were indexed to good citizenship. Social elites imagined and promoted a sonic community built upon quietness and codes of sonic respectability. The essence of nationness was again situated in rural areas and their associated quietude, in what Mansell terms the ‘apparently timeless and culturally unique acoustics of the English countryside’. So when Roy and Gwen Shaw note a ‘religion of the soil’ that arose at the end of the War, this was arguably an extension of both interwar and wartime thinking. Even so, a new word fusing place, history and memory entered the lexicon. In a 1948 essay on John Betjeman, W.H. Auden coined the term ‘topophilia’ to describe a love of land based on biology, memory and a close connection to place. Topophilia, for Auden,

---

differs from a simple love of nature, as this is lacking in history. Rather, it is history and memory that infuse landscape and environment with meaning, and it is from this topophilia that the nation and its citizenry were produced in this period. National culture was plotted along borders of time and place. And this served to ignore the rhythms of migration that marked the end of war and the waning of empire.

Britain thus took its place in what Liisa Malkki calls the ‘national order of things’: a way of making sense of the world, which serves to naturalise links between peoples, cultures and places through a set of botanical metaphors.\textsuperscript{175} Cultures become rooted in soils; nation-states become lands. The postwar period is, again, important to these ideas, for two main reasons. First, efforts to rebuild diplomatic relations in Europe centred on a discourse of a ‘family of nations’, thus consolidating practices of spatial segmentation and seemingly unquestionable differences\textit{ between} cultures and societies.\textsuperscript{176} Second, this was period of enormous migration, with displacement presenting a challenge to this logic, and migrants and refugees being seen (and heard) as a problem. As Malkki points out, displacement was also strapped to botanical metaphor, specifically the concept of being ‘uprooted’, whereby displacement becomes the disorderly counterpart to the national/natural order of things.\textsuperscript{177}

\textit{Dissonant Heritage}

\textsuperscript{175} Liisa Malkki, ‘National Geographic: The Rooting of Peoples and the Territorialization of National Identity Among Scholars and Refugees’, \textit{Cultural Anthropology} 7/1 (1992), 24-44.

\textsuperscript{176} Malkki, ‘National Geographic’, 28.

\textsuperscript{177} Malkki, ‘National Geographic’, 31-2.
This national order of things produces another concept through which migration is written out of the nation: heritage. Heritage scholars trace how its emergence was closely connected to European nationalism in the late-19th nineteenth century, naturalising connections between identity, history and territory, and establishing what Laurajane Smith terms a ‘doctrine of blood and land’. The legacy of this history remains central to current heritage discourse, and there are three aspects of the way heritage has become institutionalised that are salient here. First, boundaries have been drawn around the concept of heritage that disconnect it from the present, confining heritage to the past, and meaning something can only be defined as such if it is sufficiently historic. Second, another boundary has been drawn that establishes heritage as the domain of experts, with the effect that heritage is always spoken about, and for, by those with the authority to do so, limiting debates about established values and meanings. And third, the primary form of identity associated with heritage is that of the nation, devaluing a diversity of sub-national cultural experiences.

In other words, heritage is the product of bordering, and each of these three aspects of it can be found in national phonography. Recording and archiving those musics identified as traditional has almost always involved employing ideologies of pastness, has been the domain of institutions, and hinges upon indexing sounds to the nation. For Rodney Harrison, the development of multi-ethnic societies thus presents a significant challenge to the concept

---


179 Smith, *Uses of Heritage*, 12.

of national heritage. And he borrows from Stuart Hall’s argument that heritage is part of the educative apparatus of the state, through which a national collective memory is formed. Heritage gets ‘set into stone’ (or in this case printed onto tape, then transferred into 1s and 0s), becoming extremely difficult to shift or revise, and ensuring the endurance of normative narratives about nationhood.

The effects of these processes on many minority groups and diasporic communities are stark. Ashworth, Graham, and Tunbridge explain how ‘the creation of any heritage actively potentially disinherits or excludes those who do not subscribe to, or are not embraced within, the terms of meaning attending that heritage’. Heritage can thus be ‘dissonant’. Gurdeep Khabra makes a similar point, highlighting how music heritage in Britain has excluded most diasporic musics, as though these exist in a separate history from the ‘rest’ of British music – popular, traditional or otherwise. The result of this is that diasporic communities in Britain do not see themselves reflected in mainstream museums and archives, and have instead created ‘informal community networks’ to separately document and express articulations of

---


Arjun Appadurai calls this the ‘migrant archive’, writing of this as a ‘continuous and conscious work of the imagination’, one that serves to reproduce collective identities in a new society. More borders, more bordering. Heritage has a soundtrack, and it arguably is not one that fully reflects Britain’s history as an imperial nation, and its associated history as a country of immigration.

Recently, there has been recognition of the exclusion of many minorities from the nation’s sound archives and heritage discourses, and efforts to bring more voices into the nation. Yet there remains the sense that some traditions are more traditional than others. Aural borders, processes of bordering, and the citizenships that are produced through them are all in constant construction, continuing to marginalise – if not silence altogether – histories of migration and Britain’s colonial past. Indeed, the sounding nation produced through field recording and sound archiving is built on silences. National music has been inscribed through a purification process that selects certain traditions to represent the nation. In a Europe of recrudescent nationalisms and border anxieties, the telling of national histories and the imagined indices between blood and soil do important ideological work. Historical silences

---


187 See, for example, projects associated with the British Library that work with various communities in London to record and archive expressive musical cultures. See Carolyn Landau and Janet Topp Fargion, ‘We’re all Archivists Now’. *Ethnomusicology Forum*, 21/2 (2012), 125-40.
remain profoundly silent, fixed and forgotten. And immigration continues to be heard as a problem, sonically and otherwise, rather than an essential way of understanding the nation and its music.
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