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ABSTRACT

Two In$_{x}$Al$_{1-x}$N layers were grown simultaneously on different substrates (sapphire (0001) and Ga-polar GaN template) but under the same reactor conditions were employed to investigate the mechanism of strain-driven compositional evolution. The resulting layers on different substrates exhibit different polarities and layer grown on sapphire is N-polar. Moreover, for the two substrates, the difference in degree of relaxation of the grown layers was almost 100%, leading to a large In-molar fraction difference of 0.32. Incorporation of In in In$_{x}$Al$_{1-x}$N layers was found to be significantly influenced by strain imposed by the under-layers. The evolutionary process of In-incorporation during subsequent layer growth along [0001], the direction of growth was investigated in detail by Auger electron spectroscopy. It is discovered that In$_{0.60}$Al$_{0.40}$N layer grown directly on sapphire consist of two different regions with different molar fractions: transition and uniform region. According to the detailed cross-sectional transmission electron
microscopy, the transition region is formed near the hetero-interface due to the partial strain release caused by the generation of misfit-dislocations. The magnitude of residual strain in uniform region decides the In-molar fraction. In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layers were analyzed by structural and optical characterization techniques. Our present work also shows that multi-characterization approach to study In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N is prerequisite for their applications as a buffer layer.
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**INTRODUCTION**

As a tunable bandgap ($E_g$) from the infrared (InN, $E_g \sim 0.7$ eV) to the deep ultra-violet (AlN, $E_g \sim 6.2$ eV) ternary In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N has a great potential for optoelectronic and electronic devices [1-5]. This further extend to strain engineering by tuning of lattice-parameters from largest InN ($a = 3.54$ Å, $c = 5.76$ Å) to smallest AlN ($a = 3.11$ Å, $c = 4.98$ Å) either to improve material quality or electronic properties. For example, In$_{0.17}$Al$_{0.83}$N lattice matched to GaN, leads to a reduction in the number of defects, and has been using as a barrier layer since last two decades for applications in high electron mobility transistors (HEMTs), light-emitting diodes, laser diodes, solar cells and highly reflective distributed Bragg reflectors [6-10]. In 2011 Kuzmík and Georgakilas [11] have proposed a potential usage of In-rich In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N thick layer as a buffer layer for strained InN-channel HEMTs, which could be promising for high frequency applications.

Growing the high quality In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layer (either $x \sim 0.17$ or $x > 0.17$) by means of organometallic chemical vapor deposition (OMCVD) technique has always been a...
challenge due to the substantial dissimilarities between physical and chemical parameters of binary nitrides, AlN and InN [12, 13]. Growth of In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N alloy over the entire composition range was pioneered by Starosta [14] using reactive multi-target sputtering on microscope glass slides in 1981. Guo et al. [15] has grown In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N in whole composition range directly on (0001) sapphire by reactive radio-frequency magnetron sputtering in 2003. This is probably owing to the fact that sputtering is a physical rather than a chemical deposition technique. Consequently, thermodynamic equilibrium conditions are not required for the growth of In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layer. Subsequently, number of other techniques have also been reported to grow In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N on conventional GaN buffer layer that include molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) [16-18] and horizontal organometallic chemical vapor deposition (OMCVD) [19-21]. GaN as a buffer layer is an obvious choice for In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N growth because it is used already for high power and high frequency devices. However, parasitic gallium auto-incorporation into In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layer due to memory effect of GaN base layer growth is another reported issue [22].

To our knowledge, magnetron sputtering has been only reported technique for In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N growth on (0001) sapphire and their optical properties have also been studied [15, 23-25]. Nevertheless, a detailed structural investigation is still missing. In the present work, we compared two In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layers grown simultaneously under the same growth conditions by OMCVD but on different substrates: (0001) c-plane sapphire and GaN template. We systematically investigated the epitaxial growth conduction by means of high-resolution X-ray diffraction (HR-XRD) method, high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM), and scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) with atomic resolution. HR-XRD was used to characterize the composition and strain in the In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layers. In-depth In-composition was analyzed by Auger electron
spectroscopy (AES). Our study of In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N on sapphire provides considerable insight into crystal layer growth, which can be translated onto other rhombohedral substrates as well.

**EXPERIMENT**

Samples in this study were grown by low pressure OMCVD, using an AIXTRON 3X2” flip-top (FT) close coupled showerhead (CCS) reactor. Trimethylindium (TMIn), trimethylaluminum (TMAI) and ammonia (NH$_3$) were utilized as In, Al, and N precursors, respectively. N$_2$ was used as a carrier gas. The schematic cross-section of two samples is shown in Figure 1 (a, b). The only difference between the samples A and B lies in the under-layers; however the growth conditions for In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layers were the same. For sample A, In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N was grown directly on sapphire. On the other hand, In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N was grown on GaN template (~2µm GaN grown on sapphire at 1100 °C, then reactor was baked and cleaned prior to the In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N growth) in sample B. For both samples, In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layers were grown in one run. Prior to the In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N growth initiation, substrates were exposed to NH$_3$ flow for 300 s at 1011 °C. Following the nitridation, the growth temperature was ramped down to 730 °C in preparation for In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layer growth. Prior to the In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layer growth for 8440 s, an AlN layer growth was carried out for 30 s. The other reactor conditions used to grow In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layers were: 7x10$^3$ Pa pressure, 5087 V/III ratio, and 10 slm total gas flow rate. Growth temperature and layer thickness were monitored in-situ using LayTec EpiCurve® TT optical (632.7 nm) reflectance.

HR-XRD measurements were performed using Bruker D8 DISCOVER diffractometer equipped with rotating Cu anode and operating at 12 kW. Diffractions
0004 and 1124 of In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N were measured to determine molar fraction, lattice parameters, and strain states. A linear dependence of relaxed lattice parameters ($a_r$, $c_r$) and elastic coefficients ($c_{13}$, $c_{33}$) of In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N on the composition $x$ was employed in the calculation. In the evaluation, the values $a_{GaN} = 0.318907$ nm, $c_{GaN} = 0.51855$ nm (PDF 00-50-0792), $a_{AlN} = 0.311117$ nm, $c_{AlN} = 0.498017$ nm (PDF 03–65-0832), and $a_{InN} = 0.35378$ nm, $a_{InN} = 0.57033$ nm (PDF 00-50-1239) were used as initial lattice parameters of GaN, AlN and InN crystals, respectively. The elastic properties of AlN and InN were described by the stiffness constants $c_{13}^{AlN} = 103$ GPa, $c_{33}^{AlN} = 373$ GPa, and $c_{13}^{InN} = 92$ GPa and $c_{33}^{InN} = 224$ GPa, respectively, calculated by density functional theory [26]. The density of threading dislocations (TDs) in the layers were estimated from the widths (FWHM, $\beta$) of the 0002 and 10$\overline{1}$1 rocking curves (RCs) measured in symmetric and skew geometry, respectively. $\phi$-scans were measured for 10$\overline{1}$1 diffraction of In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N, and 10$\overline{4}$ and 11$\overline{2}$3 diffractions of (0001) sapphire substrate in the range of $0^\circ - 360^\circ$ to assess the orientation relation between In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N lattice and sapphire. Surface morphology of samples was evaluated by using NTEGRA Prima atomic force microscope (AFM) and FEI Quanta 250 FEG scanning electron microscope (SEM). Cross-sectional SEM was also performed to verify the as-grown layers thickness. Elemental depth profile was obtained by Jeol JAMP 9510-F Auger microprobe (using 10 keV electron excitation with 10 nA beam current, 55° tilt and 1 keV Ar$^+$ ions employed for sputtering) taking advantage of its excellent surface sensitivity and identification of light elements. Due to the lack of standard samples, matrix relative sensitivity factor was not known and correspondingly $y$-axis was calibrated in Auger-peak-to-peak height (APPH) fraction using equal relative sensitivity factors [27]. HRTEM was carried out in an FEI CUBED TITAN microscope equipped with a monochromator and a spherical
aberration (Cs) corrected objective lens. It allows chemical analysis using electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) and EDX. The negative Cs mode, which permits to image also light elements, has been used to determine the polarity of the layers in the case of growth on sapphire, which cannot be used as a reference for convergent beam diffraction as it is centro-symmetric. The analysis of the layers crystallographic quality was carried out in the weak beam mode using a JEOL 2010 microscope. Polarized point Raman spectra were measured in $z(xx)\bar{z}$ geometry to observe long-wavelength optical phonon mode behavior by using Renishaw InVia™ Raman spectrometer in confocal mode with 488 nm excitation laser wavelength and ~270 nm spot size on sample. Optical properties were investigated by photoluminescence (PL) and optical absorbance (OA) employing OLYMPUS BX51 microscope equipped with He-Cd laser (325 nm) and Shimadzu UV-VIS-NIR Spectrophotometer SolidSpec-3700, respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The In-incorporation in $\text{In}_x\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{N}$ layer was approximately two times higher when grown on sapphire (In-molar fraction 0.60, sample A) compared to GaN template (In-molar fraction 0.28, sample B). Figure 2 shows a typical $2\theta/\omega$ XRD scan of sample A observed in broad range from 30.5 to 36.5°. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) of In$_{0.60}$Al$_{0.40}$N 0002 peak observed at 33.07° in $2\theta/\omega$ scan is 0.20°. No diffraction peaks are observed at the positions expected for pure InN 0002 at 31.33° and for pure AlN 0002 at 36.14°, which indicates that the obtained 334 nm thick In$_{0.60}$Al$_{0.40}$N layer has a single-phase structure and desired growth orientation. The diffraction pattern indicates a heteroepitaxial relationship between $(0001)_{\text{In}_{0.60}\text{Al}_{0.40}\text{N}}$ and $(0001)_{\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3}$. The rocking curve ($\omega$-scan) of In$_{0.60}$Al$_{0.40}$N 0002 and 1011 diffractions has a FWHM value of 0.20°
and 0.77°, respectively. The corresponding screw and edge dislocation densities calculated according to [27, 28] are $2 \times 10^9$ cm$^{-2}$ and $1 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$, respectively. This indicates that In$_{0.60}$Al$_{0.40}$N layer reported here has better quality than other published MBE grown layers of same In-molar fraction with FWHM of 0.51° [18] and 0.67° [29] measured by ω-scan of 0002 diffraction.

In the case of sample A, the degree of in-plane alignment of In$_{0.60}$Al$_{0.40}$N relative to the (0001) Al$_2$O$_3$ substrate was determined by evaluation of φ-scans as given in Figure 3. Three φ-scans, 10\bar{1}4 and 11\bar{2}3 of the (0001) Al$_2$O$_3$ substrate and 10\bar{1}1 of In$_{0.60}$Al$_{0.40}$N layer were measured in the whole 360° range of the azimuthal angle. Note the threefold symmetry of the 10\bar{1}4 scan that reflects the rhombohedral symmetry of the Al$_2$O$_3$ lattice. It is seen that the positions of the 10\bar{1}1 maxima perfectly coincide with the maxima of 11\bar{2}3 scan of the substrate indicating that the in-plane direction [10\bar{I}0] of In$_{0.60}$Al$_{0.40}$N is parallel with the direction [11\bar{2}0] of Al$_2$O$_3$. That means the In$_{0.60}$Al$_{0.40}$N lattice is 30° rotated with respect to Al$_2$O$_3$, which is the same rotation as observed for GaN on Al$_2$O$_3$ [30] and hence, the same applies to sample B. One can summarize the in-plane heteroepitaxial relationship between In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N grown on Al$_2$O$_3$ as In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N(0001)[10\bar{I}0]||Al$_2$O$_3$(0001)[11\bar{2}0] and we assume that this relationship holds for the whole composition range of In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N. Schematic representation of the interface between the (0001) Al$_2$O$_3$ substrate and the InAlN layer is shown in Figure 3(b).

The designed samples A and B exhibit a large strain difference in In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layers as a consequence of using different under-layers. The precise values of $x$ were calculated from the positions of 0004 and 11\bar{2}4 diffractions in reciprocal space determined by HR-XRD. Hexagonal c-oriented epitaxial layers are transversely isotropic, i.e. the deformation of the layer is completely described by two non-zero strain components:
\[ \varepsilon_{\parallel}(x) = \frac{a - a_r(x)}{a_r(x)}, \quad \varepsilon_{\perp}(x) = \frac{c - c_r(x)}{c_r(x)}, \] (1)

where \(a, c\) and \(a_r, c_r\) are the lattice parameters of strained (and measured) and fully relaxed layer, respectively. These components are connected by the relation

\[ \varepsilon_{\perp} = -2\frac{c_{13}(x)}{c_{33}(x)}\varepsilon_{\parallel}, \] (2)

It has to be pointed out that in addition to the relaxed lattice parameters \(a_r, c_r\), the aspect ratio \(c_r/a_r\) as well as the elastic properties expressed by \(c_{13}/c_{33}\) are functions of the composition \(x\) as indicated above. Therefore the equations (1) and (2) for unknown values of \(a_r, c_r, \varepsilon_{\parallel}\) and \(x\) have to be solved numerically. An efficient and simple iterative procedure for this solution was proposed in Chauhan et al. [27]. It was also shown that for ternary compounds the solution is unique for the given input parameters \(a\) and \(c\) determined experimentally. Using this procedure, the layer composition \(x\) for samples A and B was precisely determined taking correctly into account the in-plane strain component \(\varepsilon_{\parallel}\). For the measured and calculated in-plane lattice parameters \(a\) and \(a_r\) the degree of relaxation \(R\) is standardly evaluated as

\[ R = \frac{a - a_{\text{sub}}}{a_r - a_{\text{sub}}}, \] (3)

where \(a_{\text{sub}}\) is the in-plane lattice parameter of the substrate.

For sample A the values \(\varepsilon_{\parallel} \sim 0\) and \(R \sim 1\) were obtained, i. e. the layer is completely relaxed. In the case of sample B, however, the strain in In\(_x\)Al\(_{1-x}\)N layer is \(-1.4\%\) and \(R \sim 0\), which means the strain in the In\(_x\)Al\(_{1-x}\)N layer is compressive and is almost completely preserved. Considering that In\(_x\)Al\(_{1-x}\)N layers in sample A and B were grown under the same growth conditions, it is reasonable to assume that a large In-molar fraction
difference strongly depends on the kind of the substrate used in the experiment and is closely connected with the residual strain in the layer.

Unlike on polar substrates (SiC), the polar orientation is not obvious in the case when layer grown on non-polar substrates (Al$_2$O$_3$). In this study, the polarity of samples was determined by two techniques: CBED and negative Cs HRTEM. The high resolution analysis with negative Cs has been especially carried out on sample A to determine the polarity, which cannot be determined by convergent beam electron diffraction, because the layer contains a high density of defects. On the other hand for sample B, the polarity is determined by convergent beam diffraction on the GaN template and InAlN followed the same polarity. Under the growth conditions described in experimental section, we obtained In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layers of both types of polarities. N-polar In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layer was obtained for the layer grown on nitridated Al$_2$O$_3$ for 300 s at 1011 °C. However, In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layer of sample B, grown on GaN template was In/Al-polar. A HRTEM image of N-polar In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layer of sample A is displayed in Figure 4, this negative Cs image shows the bond orientation, and clearly demonstrates the N-polarity. The observed influence of long and high temperature nitridation and of hetero-interface on In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layer polarity is consistent with the earlier reported investigations, and detailed explanation can be found elsewhere [31-33].

The high vapor pressure and following low growth efficiency of nitrogen (N) could lead to the formation of InN–In$_2$O$_3$ alloy and resulting bandgap discrepancies have been reported for InN. In order to track the In, N, Al, oxygen (O) and carbon (C) profiles of samples A and B along [0001] direction, AES depth profile was measured and the typical AES profiles are shown in Figure 5. Due to a mixing of atoms sputtered from very thin AlN layer with the atoms originating from adjacent layers at the high sputtering rates
during AES depth profiling, the 1-2 nm thick AlN layer, as shown in Figure 1, is not visible in AES profiles of samples. Evidently, In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layer of sample A consists of two different regions with different molar fractions: a transition region (light blue region) and a uniform region. In the transition region, In- and Al-molar fractions vary, while they remain nearly the same in the uniform region. For sample A, the Al-molar fraction falls and the complimentary In-molar fraction rises rapidly in the initial stage of growth, which corresponds to 50 nm thick transition region (at the depth ≥275 nm). With the progression of growth, the In-molar fraction enters into the uniform region with In-molar fraction of 0.60. The transition region occupies a comparatively small part in In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layers, therefore, the residual strain determined by HR-XRD can be approximately equivalent to the residual strain in the uniform region. The observed decreasing profile of oxygen in the transition region along the growth direction could be attributed to its out-diffusion from sapphire [34, 35]. Carbon content close to surface is mainly due to environmental contamination. AES observations indicate that the layer grown on sapphire is homogeneous, considering the measured in-depth distribution of the elements, and has insignificant amount of oxygen impurity.

In the case of sample B, In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layer growth mechanism seems to be additionally complicated due to the existing Ga profile in the transition regions, which could be out-diffused from the underlying GaN layer [22, 36]. We did not observe unwanted Ga in In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layer of sample A that ruled out growth environment and lingering precursors for being the source of Ga in the transition region of sample B [37]. It is found that In and Al-incorporation in 40 nm thick transition region is complementary to the diminishing Ga profile in the subsequent layer growth. On the contrary to sample A, no uniform region is observed in sample B. This could be attributed to the 17 nm RMS
surface roughness of the layer that may influence depth resolution and leads to the increasing interface widening with sputtered depth. With the progression of growth, we observe gradual and slow increase of In-incorporation. Quantitatively, for In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layers of samples A and B, the large difference of degree of relaxation close to 100% could leads to a large In-molar fraction difference of 0.32 in the uniform region. The compressively strained layer on GaN template results with a 0.28 In-molar fraction, which is close to the previous studies on In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N [38] and In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$N [39] under certain growth conditions. These phenomena can be elucidated by compositional pulling effect (CPE) guided by compressive strain [40].

The observed different thicknesses or growth rates of In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layers of samples A (~0.04 nm/s) and B (~0.02 nm/s), indicate that not only In-incorporation, but also that the growth rate is influenced by different under-lying substrates. The approximately two times higher growth rate and In-molar fraction of In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layer of sample A compared to sample B indicate that In-incorporation efficiency depends on growth rate, which is consistent with the previous reports on In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$N [41] and In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N [42] as well. Typically, the high defect density in a layer arise from the large residual in-plane strain that is generated by the large lattice mismatch to the used substrate (will be discussed in TEM section) and consequently, relaxed In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layer of sample A could provide a favorable accommodation to the larger size of In adatoms. According to Keller et al. [43], polarity of layers influence In-incorporation as well. Whereas in our previous reports [27, 44], we observed that strain relaxation is accompanied by enhanced In-incorporation on In/Al-polar InAl(Ga)N/GaN layers. Therefore, the extent of effectiveness of polarity still need to be demonstrated. In the following, we will focus exclusively on the experimental
analysis of In-rich In\(_x\)Al\(_{1-x}\)N layer grown directly on sapphire (sample A), which is the sample of our interest.

Cross sectional TEM analysis was performed to analyze the growth evolution of In\(_x\)Al\(_{1-x}\)N on sapphire, and subsequently identify dislocations and the possible origin of surface defects. Figure 6 shows a weak beam dark field image recorded with \(g = 0002\), where the interface and the surface of the layer are seen edge-on. In this figure, the following important features can be noticed: First, on the top of sapphire substrate a slightly bright contrast is visible in the In\(_x\)Al\(_{1-x}\)N layer with an extension of around 40 nm, which means that there is a high density of defects. It is worth mentioning here that 1-2 nm AlN layer could be too thin to have an effect on strain, nevertheless, promote strain relaxation in uncovered areas. On top of this area, the individual threading dislocations with \(c\) component are clearly visible and they propagate to the layer surface. Some of them can be seen to induce visible change at the layer surface probably through the formation of pinholes. Finally, the layer surface is not completely flat even at this scale. With an estimate of an average thickness of \(~100\) nm, there are visible 36 dislocations in this image, which gives a density of around \(2.6 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}\).

The picture is more complex in weak beam images recorded using \(g = 10\overline{1}0\) (figures 7) where the bright contrast at the In\(_x\)Al\(_{1-x}\)N/Sapphire interface is increased. This is a clear indication that this interface area contains the highest density of defects especially with displacement vectors (Burgers Vectors) inside the basal planes. Such defects may be \(a\) and \(a+c\) as well as stacking faults [45]. Specifically, the stacking faults are not visible when using \(g = 0002\), or \(g = 11\overline{2}0\) which agrees with the lower contrast exhibited in the interfacial area in the corresponding images. Such stacking faults would
be easily identified using high resolution transmission electron microscopy as has been reported earlier [46, 47].

On top of the nucleation layer, it becomes difficult to determine the density of the threading dislocations, which is much higher than in figure 6 and majority of dislocations are of a type. A close examination of the figure shows that there are many bright bands extended from the top of the interface to the surface. These areas correspond to the walls of a threading dislocations, which are so close that cannot be separated in this mode of imaging [48]. The micrograph recorded with $g = 11\overline{2}0$ is shown in figure 8 and also presented the contrast at the interface but with a lower density, which is a strong indication of the presence of stacking faults close to the interface. Bright bands of closely spaced a type threading dislocations are also clearly exhibited, and the density of these dislocations is extremely high with lines possible to distinguish towards the layer surface.

The relaxation of the misfit strain has been investigated for the growth of the wurtzite GaN buffer layer on sapphire, theoretically [49] as well as experimentally by OMCVD [50] and MBE epitaxy [51]. In the case of conventional buffer GaN on sapphire, the 16% lattice mismatch has been shown to relax rapidly, mainly inside the buffer layer through the formation of multiple types of extended crystallographic defects including basal [50] and prismatic stacking faults [47], but also a strong probability of inversion domains formation [52, 53]. Such high defect densities are mainly confined very close to the interface and with a fast decrease of the defect density up to 6-7 x10^8 cm^{-2} at layer thicknesses of around 0.5 µm [52]. In this report, with 22% lattice mismatch on sapphire, In_{0.6}Al_{0.4}N layer is expected to becomes relaxed very quickly (the theoretical critical thickness is ~0.76 nm) which should be expected to lead to the localization of most of the strain very close to the interface. However, the density of extended defects inside the
epitaxial layer is extremely high, probably in the range of $10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ as seen above. The detailed investigation of this growth evolution is still underway and will be reported separately [54].

To investigate the influence of different substrates on surface morphology $\text{In}_x\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{N}$ layers were analyzed by AFM and SEM measurements. Figure 9 presents the AFM and SEM images of the surface of $\text{In}_x\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{N}$ layer grown on sapphire. $\text{In}_x\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{N}$ layer exhibits grain-like surface morphology with flat tops that wrap around dislocations, which is a characteristic of 2D step-flow (step height $\geq 2$ MLs) growth mode on top of 3D islands. The observed surface morphology is identical to that of InN grown by MBE [55, 56]; being induced by long and high-temperature nitridation and is usually attributed to N-polar layers [31]. The root-mean-square (RMS) surface roughness is $\sim 1$ nm over the scan area of $1\times1 \mu\text{m}^2$, which is lower than previously reported by Guo et al. [15, 23]. However, RMS roughness of $\text{In}_x\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{N}$ layer grown on GaN template is $\sim 17$ nm. It is thus proposed that the growth mechanism is changed in $\text{In}_x\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{N}$ layer grown directly on sapphire because of different surface kinetics.

The quantitative analysis was performed using SPM data visualization and analysis tool Gwyddion [57]. From the topography, an average pinhole density of $2.6 (\pm 1.48) \times 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and an average RMS roughness of $5.95\pm1.4 \text{ nm}$ were estimated over $2.5\times2.5 \mu\text{m}^2$ scanned area. Besides low-roughness granular morphology, distinct planar grains with relatively increased height of 20-24 nm (area) and dislocations decorated at their boundaries are observed. Over a scan area of $12\times12 \mu\text{m}^2$, these grains account for $\sim 25\%$ of the total area with the grain mean height $\sim 20\pm1 \text{ nm}$ for 44% of grain population and 20-30 nm of the rest. Surface diameter of the grains varies between 200 and 500 nm for 80%. These characteristics show some similarities with the schematic
growth of the GaN epilayer which has been described previously to understand the presence of edge-type threading dislocations at the coalesced grain boundaries of nano-islands with small twist angles [58]. From the TEM and X-ray rocking curves analysis, this is confirmed that most of the dislocations are of edge-type. Finally, since the surface can be described as granular, the numerically calculated height-height correlation function (HHCF) can be employed for quantitative estimation of surface roughness and lateral correlation length (Figure 10). The HHCF can be described using a Gaussian distribution defined as

\[
\text{HHCF}(X) = 2\sigma^2 \left[1 - \exp\left(-X^2/\lambda^2\right)\right]
\]

where \(\sigma\) and \(\lambda\) are RMS roughness and lateral correlation length, respectively. This analysis was applied over an area of 30x30 \(\mu\text{m}^2\), and from the fitting of the correlation function, the parameters \(\sigma = 5.66\) nm and \(\lambda = 223.60\) nm were extracted. The roughness extracted is of similar magnitude as roughness calculated conventional way. The correlation length would roughly correspond here to the average grain size.

To validate the inherent optical properties of the as-grown In\(_x\)Al\(_{1-x}\)N layer on sapphire, the absorption edge (AE) energy of the sample was determined by extrapolating the almost linear portion of the optical-absorbance spectrum, as presented in Figure 11. Non-parabolic conduction band could be the probable reason behind the non-linear absorbance plot [18]. The estimated value of 1.68 eV for the intrinsic bandgap energy of In\(_{0.60}\)Al\(_{0.40}\)N layer is consistent with the bandgap of layers fabricated by MBE [18] and OMCVD [59]. However, lower than the layers (~2.8 eV) grown by reactive RF magnetron sputtering [15, 23] and RF-MBE [29], which are close to the theoretical bandgap ~2.88 eV estimated by using Vegard’s law. It is worth mentioning that layer bandgap remains unaffected by the presence of negligible amount of oxygen impurity as
demonstrated in Figure 5 (a). OA spectrum is complemented by a sharp, symmetric PL emission peak of In$_{0.60}$Al$_{0.40}$N located at 1.79 eV (FWHM = 0.20 eV) as shown in Figure 11. An insignificant amount of Stokes shift of ~0.11 eV is observed that is, however, lower than the width of PL peak. We assume that this disagreement could be because of random local fluctuations of locally alloyed layer. The deviation from Vegard’s law could be defined by the bowing parameter b = 4.53 (for PL) and b = 5.15 (for OA) after using 0.7 eV InN bandgap and 6.14 eV AlN bandgap.

To complete the structural characterization of In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layer grown directly on sapphire, which is a less studied system and likewise experimental Raman scattering data, we performed Raman spectroscopy. In Raman spectrum, single-mode behavior of $E_2$(high) phonon (529 cm$^{-1}$), and dual-mode behavior of $A_1$(LO) phonon, InN-like (650 cm$^{-1}$) and AlN-like (726 cm$^{-1}$), are observed for sample A as displayed in Figure 12. The observed two-mode behavior of the $A_1$(LO) phonon in In-rich In$_{0.60}$Al$_{0.40}$N layer agrees well with the works of Kang et al. [20, 60] and Tangi el al. [61]. After using the linear relation between phonon frequency and composition as reported by Kang et al. [20, 60] as a reference, we conclude that the $E_2$(high) (FWHM = 58 cm$^{-1}$) is red-shifted by 8 cm$^{-1}$. On the other hand, InN-like (FWHM = 121 cm$^{-1}$) and AlN-like $A_1$(LO) (FWHM = 63 cm$^{-1}$) phonon frequencies are blue-shifted by 13 and 4 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. According to the theoretical and experimental investigations of Davydov et al. [62] and Bergman et al. [63], the phonon energies depend on Al-molar fraction in Al$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$N and shift towards higher frequencies with increasing Al-molar fraction. This further leads to the phonon line broadening due to elastic scattering of phonons by fluctuations in randomly disordered composition. Phonon frequency shift could also be attributed to the phonon frequency dependence on free carrier concentration and electric field [64, 65].
Olive et al. [66] observed a bowing in $E_2$(high) frequency relationship with In-molar fraction in $\text{In}_x\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{N}$ and showed that frequency of $A_1$(LO) phonon mode is strongly influenced by in-depth composition gradients/strain. In the present work, we conclude that the measured red-shifted $E_2$(high) frequency of In-rich $\text{In}_{0.60}\text{Al}_{0.40}\text{N}$ layer exhibits a single-mode behavior and suffers from the bowing effect. Furthermore, we conclude that the measured $A_1$(LO) frequency of In-rich $\text{In}_{0.60}\text{Al}_{0.40}\text{N}$ layer exhibits two-mode behavior; InN-like $A_1$(LO) frequency is blue-shifted when compared to AlN-like $A_1$(LO) due to the In-rich layer and consequently, more broadened due to elastic scattering of phonons by the random disordered compositional fluctuations. The extent of compositional fluctuations in this sample is still under study and will be reported elsewhere [54]. Further research on the role of the $\text{In}_x\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{N}$ buffer layer is needed to investigation electrical properties.

**CONCLUSION**

We provide an experimental evidence of correlation between In-incorporation and strain in the N-polar $\text{In}_x\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{N}$ layer that can promote growth of thick In-rich $\text{In}_x\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{N}$ layer applicable to a variety of promising application or more specifically, as a buffer in InN channel transistor for THz applications. The different substrates, sapphire and GaN template, strongly affect the strain-relaxation mechanism of $\text{In}_x\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{N}$ layers resulting in an increase of the In-molar fraction from 0.28 for GaN template to 0.60 for sapphire, and growth rate from $\sim$0.02 nm/s to $\sim$0.04 nm/s, respectively. $\text{In}_x\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{N}$ layer on sapphire was fully relaxed. On the contrary, the $\text{In}_x\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{N}$ layer grown on GaN template was completely compressively strained. For $\text{In}_{0.60}\text{Al}_{0.40}\text{N}$ layer we observed optical bandgap variation from 1.68 to 1.79 eV, as measured by OA and PL, respectively. In-rich
In$_{0.60}$Al$_{0.40}$N layer also demonstrated single-mode behavior of $E_2$ (high) phonon, and dual-mode behavior of $A_1$(LO) phonon, InN-like and AlN-like. Along with the above mentioned characterizations that confirmed a good quality of 334 nm thick N-polar In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N polycrystalline layer grown on sapphire, we proposed a novel approach to grow In-rich In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N.
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Figure 1. (a) Schematic layer structure illustration for sample A. The deposition was initiated with nitridation, followed by a 1-2 nm-thick HT-AlN nucleation layer and 334 nm-thick $\text{In}_x\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{N}$ layer. (b) Schematic layer structure illustration for sample B. The layer structure consists of a ~2µm-thick GaN template grown on $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (0001), and $\text{In}_x\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{N}$ layer.
Figure 2. Representative XRD $2\theta/\omega$ scan of $\text{In}_{0.60}\text{Al}_{0.40}\text{N}$ grown on (0001) sapphire.
Figure 3. (a) XRD $\phi$-scans of 1014, 1123 diffraction of (0001) Al$_2$O$_3$ substrate and 1011 diffraction of grown In$_{0.60}$Al$_{0.40}$N layer. (b) Schematic drawing of epitaxial matching relation between Al$_2$O$_3$ and In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N at interface.
Figure 4. Negative Cs HRTEM image of In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N layer of sample A showing aligned columns of N atoms (blue) and In/Al atoms (red). The layer is N-polar, for this image, the Cs was set to -40 µm.
Figure 5. In, N, Al, O and C elemental depth profiles in $\text{In}_x\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{N}$ layers along the growth direction [0001] for (a) sample A and (b) sample B. Thickness of $\text{In}_x\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{N}$ layers of sample A and B is approximately 334 nm and 210 nm, respectively. The light blue area is corresponding to the transition region.
Figure 6. Weak beam dark field cross sectional TEM image of In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N on sapphire: $g = 0002$.

Figure 7. Weak beam dark field cross sectional TEM image of In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N on sapphire: $g = 10\bar{1}0$.

Figure 8. Weak beam dark field cross sectional TEM image of In$_x$Al$_{1-x}$N on sapphire: $g = 11\bar{2}0$. 
Figure 9. (a) AFM and (b) SEM images of the surface of $\text{In}_x\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{N}$ layer on sapphire.

Figure 10. HHCF variation with one of the spatial coordinate X for $\text{In}_x\text{Al}_{1-x}\text{N}$ layer on sapphire. Solid blue line shows the fitting of the overall experimental data (red open circles).
Figure 11. Room temperature optical spectra of In$_{0.60}$Al$_{0.40}$N layer measured by different techniques: (a) optical-absorbance (OA); and (b) photoluminescence (PL).

Figure 12. Room-temperature Raman spectra of In$_{0.60}$Al$_{0.40}$N layer obtained in $z(xx)\bar{z}$ geometry. $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$ consecutively denote $E_2$(high), InN-like $A_1$(LO) and AlN-like $A_1$(LO) phonon modes.
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