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In the present issue of the Journal, Geirsson and colleagues present the outcomes of open-distal versus clamp-on technique from the Nordic Consortium for Acute Type A Aortic Dissection (NORCAAD) database including more than thousand patients with 153 of them operated with the clamp-on. The authors had to compare two groups with numerous differences in the pre-operative and operative characteristics: for certain aspects, one could argue that they are not comparable at all. When facing this situation, the use of propensity score (PS) methods appear to be a sensible option and not surprisingly PS is increasingly used in observational cardiovascular studies. When using a PS method two conditions must hold: the first assumption implies that all the variables affecting treatment assignment and outcome have been measured (“no unmeasured confounders assumption”); the second assumption indicates that every subject has a nonzero probability to receive either treatment. In this study there was a risk of violation of these assumptions due to the nature of the disease and the related impact on the surgical treatment chosen. Instead of a PS method, the authors used a multivariable adjusted modelling approach: this approach might be considered “old-fashion” but is not necessarily inferior to PS methods and in certain cases is even better.

With their analysis the authors have shown that the use of open-distal anastomosis is associated with better short and mid-term survival: in an adjusted multivariable Cox model, open-distal was a protective factor (HR 0.36; 95% CI 0.15-0.82). Interestingly, open-distal anastomosis was associated with higher rates of postoperative complications especially cerebro-vascular accidents. However, as noted by the authors, some of the difference observed could be explained by higher rates of intraoperative and earlier death in the clamp-group, thereby excluding specific complications prior to death. Another important finding is related to the fact that the use of open-distal technique increased over the study period and higher volume hospitals used open-distal technique more commonly than lower volume hospitals. In the contemporary era there is growing consensus that the distal anastomosis should be performed using the open-distal technique because of a better visualization of the dissected aorta, proper exclusion of the false lumen, possibility of inspecting and identifying eventual tears in the arch. Moreover, the natural history of aortic dissection teaches us that in most cases there is a distal progression of the aortic disease that often requires further surgical and/or endovascular treatments. Considering this, increasing the extent of aortic replacement during the first operation, such as performing a total arch replacement with the frozen elephant trunk technique, may improve the outcomes reducing the probability of a second surgery. Furthermore, the new standardized
techniques of cerebral protection together with the increasing enrolment of the right axillary artery as site of arterial cannulation have shown significant reduction of post-operative strokes compared with the more traditional deep hypothermic circulatory arrest without any cerebral protection.

The idea of specialized centers with high volume in aortic surgery to treat acute aortic syndrome is now becoming paramount. There is a large consensus that patients affected by acute aortic syndromes may benefit from treatment at dedicated specialized aortic centers with significantly improved outcomes and decreased mortality. Patients undergoing emergency repair of acute aortic dissection by lower-volume surgeons and centers have approximately double the risk-adjusted mortality of patients undergoing repair by the highest volume care providers. Although the present study suggests that in selected circumstances, such as in very sick patients or at lower volume hospitals, clamp-on technique can be used with acceptable results, we think that the future treatment of ATAAD is going towards an open-distal approach with standardized cerebral protection that should more and more be delivered by specialist aortic centers with expertise in this technique. At this stage, the ship has already left the port and there is only marginal room for a way back.
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