Atomic oxygen degradation mechanisms of epoxy composites for space applications
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ABSTRACT

The effects of atomic oxygen on three commercial composite materials, based on two space-qualified epoxy resins (tetraglycidyl-4,4'-diaminodiphenylmethane (TGDDM) cured with a blend of 4,4'-methylenebis(2,6-diethylaniline) and 4,4'-methylenebis(2-isopropyl-6-methylaniline); and a blend of TGDDM, bisphenol A diglycidyl ether (DGEBA), and epoxidised novolak resin initiated by N-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-NN-dimethylurea) are studied. Samples were exposed to a total fluence of (3.82 x 10^20 atom/cm^2), equating to a period of 43 days in low Earth orbit. The flexural rigidity and modulus of all laminates displayed a reduction of 5–10% after the first exposure (equivalent to 20 days in orbit). Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra, obtained during prolonged exposure to atomic oxygen, were interpreted using multivariate analysis to explore the degradation mechanisms.

1. Introduction

Advanced composite materials are promising candidates in space applications, particularly those involving deployable structures and spacecraft structural components, due to their high specific stiffness and strength resulting from their low weight [1]. However, the high vacuum, extreme thermal cycling, vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) radiation, and the risk of atomic oxygen (AO) erosion [2], make the space environment a highly aggressive one: high vacuum (10^-4–10^-5 Pa), UV (100–200 nm), thermal cycling (−150 to 150 °C), AO, charged particles, electromagnetic radiation, micrometeoroids, and man-made debris, severely limiting the durability of composite materials. When deployed in low Earth Orbit (LEO), satellites are particularly prone to AO exposure (with kinetic energy of 5eV, nominal AO flux of around 10^14–10^15 atoms/cm^2 s), which plays a major role in the degradation of polymer based composite materials [3]. Several in-orbit tests have been performed by the European Space Agency (ESA) [4], Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA), and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) [5–8], to understand the AO resistance of various materials, and these have been reviewed [5]. Extensive research has been undertaken to investigate the AO resistance of composite materials using ground-based facilities, but few publications focus on the AO behaviour of space-qualified composites that are already used in orbit. Two kinds of AO are involved in the degradation mechanisms: the ground state (3P) and electronically-excited (1D) form [10]. The ground state form, O (3P), can abstract a hydrogen atom in the polymer structure to form a hydroxyl group with activation energies of 28.9 kJ/mol (where the hydroxyl group is primary), 18.8 kJ/mol (secondary), and 13.8 kJ/mol (tertiary) [10]. It has been determined [10] that AO can attack benzene by two modes: abstraction of a hydrogen atom from benzene to form a hydroxyl radical and a phenyl radical, or addition of AO to form various possible products [2].
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In the present work, the effects of AO exposure on the mechanical properties of three thin laminates, based on two commercial space-qualified resins, are exposed in three successive cycles, and their mechanical, chemical, and surface properties assessed after each cycle. The three laminates examined in this work are designed for space applications and employ two highly crosslinked polymer matrices yielding high glass transition temperatures. Furthermore, the different polymerisation mechanisms (featuring an amine-cured reaction, and a polyetherification reaction) yield different structures after cure which allows comparison of the mechanisms of degradation. The flexural rigidity of thin laminates was expected to be particularly sensitive to surface

Fig. 1. Schematic showing the generic reactions followed by AO \cite{11}.

Fig. 2. Schematic showing the observed reactions between benzene and AO \cite{10}.

Fig. 3. Chemical structures of epoxy resin A: (a) TGDDM cured with (b) 4,4’-methylenebis(2,6-diethylaniline) and (c) 4,4’-methylenebis(2-isopropyl-6-methylaniline); and epoxy resin B: (a) TGDDM, (d) DGEBA, and (e) epoxidised novolak resin initiated by (f) N’-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-N,N-dimethyurea.

rearrangement to a more stable phenolic structure while V and VI are likely to undergo condensation and polymerisation reactions \cite{10}. The excited form, O (1D), can also react with more complex aromatic compounds by abstraction, but is more likely to undergo insertion into the C–H bond of an alkyl substituent with no energy barrier. The reactions between AO and such aromatic compounds are more complex than benzene meaning that the degradation mechanisms are specific to particular substituents.

Epoxy resins are the most commonly used composite matrices in satellite applications \cite{12}, with a range of space-qualified resins now commercially available. Those used in this study are shown in Fig. 3, where both aromatic rings and alkyl moieties can be seen. The principal reaction that the epoxy matrices undergo with AO is believed to be through the biphenyl segment containing a saturated alkyl bridge between the phenyl rings of the epoxy, and the alkyl substituents. During the reaction, volatile fragments, such as short-chain oxidation products, may leave the surface and thus degrade the performance of the materials. This surface degradation is particularly detrimental for deployable structures, which rely on material compliance for compact stowage during launch, by bending and folding ultra-thin composite laminates \cite{13,14}. Various techniques have been studied to increase the AO resistance of materials in LEO. Among these techniques, AO resistant coatings are the most commonly used. This technique provides a barrier for space materials against the AO environment and different coatings have been widely studied in Long Duration Exposure Facility (LDEF) experiment \cite{15,16}. The patented PhotosilTM surface modification process incorporates silicon-containing chemical groups into the sub-surface layer (i.e. up to 1 μm in depth) of the polymer coating. Kleiman et al. \cite{17} reported that this technique can increase the AO resistance without a significant reduction in the thermo-optical properties or mechanical properties of the treated materials, but the high surface strains during stowage and deployment of the structures preclude the use of coatings or shielding that are conventionally employed to protect composite materials in orbit. Polymer modification using chemical means, generally involving the incorporation of silicon atoms through oligosiloxanes chain segments \cite{18} or polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxanes (i.e., POSS reagents), has been studied by a number of researchers to promote the AO resistance of space materials \cite{12,19} and work going in our laboratories is also focused on both approaches.

In the present work, the effects of AO exposure on the mechanical properties of three thin laminates, based on two commercial space-qualified resins, are exposed in three successive cycles, and their mechanical, chemical, and surface properties assessed after each cycle. The three laminates examined in this work are designed for space applications and employ two highly crosslinked polymer matrices yielding high glass transition temperatures. Furthermore, the different polymerisation mechanisms (featuring an amine-cured reaction, and a polyetherification reaction) yield different structures after cure which allows comparison of the mechanisms of degradation. The flexural rigidity of thin laminates was expected to be particularly sensitive to surface
erosion due to AO and was therefore selected to as a parameter to monitor any change in mechanical properties. The test protocol consisted of applying a three-point bend test in the elastic region, subsequently exposing the sample to atomic oxygen, and finally performing the three-point bend test again to assess the change in flexural properties, while the surface morphology was determined after each exposure. Vibrational spectroscopy, coupled with multivariate analysis, was employed to explore the degradation mechanisms experienced by the polymer matrices. The insights gained into the degradation mechanism will aid the design of a new resin system for improved AO resistance.

2. Experimental methods

2.1. Materials

Two commonly used resin systems for commercial applications (supplied by Solvay, UK), are used in this work. They are well characterized by their high glass transition temperature (T_g), thermal stability, and high modulus. The chemical structures of the constituent components are shown in Fig. 3.

The laminates formed from these resins, along with the designations used, are shown in Table 1. LAM01 uses a resin system (Epox A) which contains (a) tetracyclodicyl-4,4’-diaminodiphenylmethane (TGDDM) cured with a blend of (b) 4,4’-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-N,N-dimethylurea). LAM02 and LAM03 use the same resin system (Epox B), which is formulated from a blend of three epoxy resins: (a) TGDDM, (d) bisphenol A diglycidyl ether (DGEBA), and (e) an epoxidised novolak resin, initiated by (f) N’-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-N,N-dimethyleurea).

2.2. Curing procedure

Epox A followed a two-step cure suggested by the supplier [22]: the sample was heated at 2 K/min. before being held isothermally at 130 °C (2 h) and heated again to 180 °C before being held isothermally (2 h). Following cure, the samples were cooled to 60 °C at a rate of -3K/min. Epoxy B also employed a two-step cure suggested by the supplier [23]: initial curing was performed at 135 °C for 1 h at 0.62 MPa pressure while a free-standing post cure was employed at 180 °C for 1 h.

2.3. Exposure test

The AO exposure test was carried out using a radio-frequency plasma asher, located in the Department of Physics, University of Bristol. The plasma was generated in a glass tube (length 300 mm, diameter 105 mm) and the samples were placed within the chamber, on an aluminium panel. The pressure in the main chamber was 100 Pa while gaseous oxygen was pumped into the chamber at around 0.2NL/min; here NL/min refers to “normal litres per minute” and represents the oxygen flux, calculated back to “normal” conditions: 0 °C, 1 atm (1013.5 Pa). AO fluence was calculated based on the mass loss of a reference Kapton™ H polyimide sample using equation (1) [24]:

\[
F = \frac{M_k}{\rho_k A_k E_k}
\]  

(1)

where F is the AO fluence (atom/cm^2), \(M_k\) is the mass loss of Kapton™ H film (g), \(\rho_k\) is the density of Kapton™ H (g/cm^3), \(A_k\) is the area of Kapton™ H film (cm^2), and \(E_k\) is the erosion yield of Kapton™ H (cm^3/atom), which is a constant \(3 \times 10^{24}\) cm^3/atom measured in the LEO environment [24]. Once the AO fluence was calculated, the erosion yield of tested samples can be calculated using equation (2):

\[
E_y = \frac{M}{\rho A F}
\]  

(2)

where \(E_y\) is the erosion yield of tested sample (cm^3/atom), \(M\) is the mass loss of tested sample (g), \(\rho\) is the density of tested sample (g/cm^3), \(A\) is the sample surface area (cm^2), and \(F\) is the AO fluence (atom/cm^2) calculated using equation (1).

The cumulative AO fluence received in each exposure run and its equivalent duration in orbit is shown in Table 2. The equivalent duration in orbit of each exposure was calculated based on the data from a mission in International Space Station (ISS) launched on June 2001, when the sun entered a period of high activity. During this period the AO fluence in ISS was also maintained at a high level, the total AO fluence of this missions is 3.28 \(\times 10^{21}\) atom/cm^2 for one year of exposure [24].

2.4. Characterization methods

Surface morphology. The laminate surfaces were investigated before and after exposure using a Hitachi TM3030Plus table-top microscope (accelerating voltage 15 kV).

Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC). DSC was performed on cured laminates under flowing nitrogen (40 cm^3/min) using a TA Instruments Q200. Samples (ca. 10 mg) were cut and placed in a hermetically sealed aluminium pan. Each sample was then subjected to a heat/cool/heat analysis. First heating ramped from room temperature to 300 °C at a rate of 10 K/min while the second heating use the same ramping rate to determine the glass transition temperature (T_g).

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). TGA was performed using a TA Instruments Q500 apparatus on the cured (unexposed) laminates. Lamine samples (ca. 8 mg) were placed in an open platinum crucible and heated from room temperature to 600 °C with a ramp rate of 10 K/min. in flowing nitrogen (40 cm^3/min) to degrade the matrix, and then heated from 600 °C to 1000 °C with the same ramp rate in air to burn the fibre off.

Thermo-mechanical properties. Dynamic mechanical thermal analysis (DMTA) was performed in single cantilever mode at an amplitude of 15 Hz on each sample (0.3 mm × 12 mm × 36 mm) using a TA Instruments Q800. Five specimens of each laminate were tested by heating at a rate of 10 K/min. from ambient temperature to 250 °C.

Spectroscopic analysis. Analysis of the surfaces of the laminates,
which had been progressively exposed to AO (over six cycles, total AO fluence ca. $1.6 \times 10^{23}$ atom/cm$^2$), was performed under ambient conditions after each exposure to AO using a Perkin—Elmer Series 2000 Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer with a diamond attenuated total reflectance (ATR) accessory; the AO fluence for each cycle is shown in Table 2.

### Table 2
AO fluence for each exposure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test performed</th>
<th>Exposure cycle</th>
<th>Exposure duration (hr)</th>
<th>Total AO fluence ($\times 10^{23}$ atom/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>Equivalent duration in orbit (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Three-point bend and SEM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTIR analysis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.5. Three-point bend test

The three-point bend test was conducted on Shimadzu mechanical test machine with a 1 kN load cell, following procedure A of the ASTM D790-15 standard [25]. The ASTM standard recommends a span to thickness ratio of 16:1. However, Zweben et al. [26] reported that for thin samples, the span to thickness ratio should be more than 60:1 to obtain an accurate result. Thus, the laminates were cut into five 38 mm x 14 mm test specimens (Table 3) and a span of 22 mm was used. The main output of these tests is the effective flexural modulus, equation (3) [25]:

$$E = \frac{PL^3}{4bt^3\delta}$$

(3)

where $E$ is the effective flexural modulus (GPa), $P$ is load (N), $L$ is the support span (m), $\delta$ is the deflection of the centreline of the specimen at the middle of the support span (m), $b$ is the width of specimen tested (m), and $t$ is the thickness of beam tested (m).

Flexural modulus has been used to examine the exural properties in most of the literature cited. However, in this work, it is hard to characterize flexural properties since the magnitude of flexural modulus is affected by the thickness of the laminate sample and, as this parameter displayed some variation (Table 3) and will change with AO exposure. Thus in addition to flexural modulus, the flexural rigidity [26], calculated using equation (4), was also used to characterize the flexural properties of each laminate

$$EI = \frac{PL^3}{48\delta}$$

(4)

where $EI$ is the flexural rigidity (Nm$^2$), $P$ is load (N), $L$ is the support span (m), and $\delta$ is the deflection of the centreline of the specimen at the middle of the support span (m).

### Table 3
Dimensions of all samples tested.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample designation</th>
<th>Width (mm)</th>
<th>Length (mm)</th>
<th>Thickness (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LAM01</td>
<td>13.80</td>
<td>38.38</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAM02</td>
<td>13.43</td>
<td>38.62</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.85</td>
<td>38.20</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAM03</td>
<td>13.17</td>
<td>37.47</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.13</td>
<td>37.25</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>38.47</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.64</td>
<td>37.80</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>38.91</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.6. Principal components analysis

The FTIR data were studied using PCA, which is a multivariate analysis technique that is widely used to study the changes in shift or intensity of spectroscopic data [27–29]. The technique provides a mathematical transformation of the raw data to reduce the dimensionality of the data, thus allowing a better assessment of the differences and similarity of the spectra between various samples. The matrix equation of the PCA model is shown in equation (5):

$$X = TP^T + E$$

(5)

where $T$ is the scores matrix, $P$ the loadings matrix and $E$ the error matrix [27]. In this work, the $X$ matrix represents the raw FTIR data before and after different exposures, and the $T$ and $P$ matrices were generated by the PCA model, which was built using MATLAB software.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Baseline results before exposure

Several chemical and mechanical tests were performed on the unexposed laminates to provide a baseline (Table 4) to compare with the performance after exposure. The mechanical properties of the three laminates vary due to the different resin systems and layups used. LAM01 and LAM02 have comparable glass transition temperatures ($T_g$), since the structures of the two resins and the two laminate configurations are similar. LAM03 used a different fibre in the middle ply, which leads to a lower $T_g$ value compared to the other two laminates.

The mechanical loss angle tangent data (tan $\delta$) are shown as a function of temperature in Fig. 4. The tan $\delta$ response reflects the energy loss and viscous behaviour of the material (i.e. the damping capability), which is determined by the epoxy matrix and the interface between resin and fibres.

LAM01 employs a different resin compared with the other two laminates and has a higher tan $\delta$ value than other two laminates, which indicate that there is more energy dissipated within epoxy A. LAM02 and LAM03 use the same resin system, with the difference in the tan $\delta$ peaks attributed to the difference in the interface between fibre and matrix. A stronger fibre/matrix interface can result in a lower peak height [30]. Thus, the results suggest that the interface between the rigid (and non-reactive) thermoplastic polyamide Kevlar™ and epoxy is stronger than the interface between carbon fibre and the epoxy. The TGA data for all three laminates are shown in Fig. 5.

LAM 01 has the highest fibre volume fraction of the laminates tested. The resin systems in all laminates were completely burned.
off at around 450 °C and Kevlar™ in LAM 03 also start to degrade after around 500 °C. After 600 °C, the gas was switched from nitrogen to air and the carbon fibres start to degrade. A detailed outline of the mass loss with the increase in temperature is shown in Table 5.

### 3.2. Three-point bend

The three thin laminates were exposed in three successive cycles of AO exposure (Table 2), and their flexural properties were assessed after each cycle. The mechanical properties are shown as a function of AO fluence in Fig. 6. Both the flexural rigidity and modulus of all laminates displayed a reduction of around 5% after the first exposure, due to the erosion of the matrix resin; this reduction in flexural properties will be particularly significant for the thin laminates considered here. Although the laminates displayed a general reduction in mechanical properties as a function of AO exposure, LAM01 and LAM03 displayed an increase in the flexural modulus after the third exposure. At this stage, based on five replicate samples there is reasonable evidence to suggest that following exposure the flexural properties initially fall and may recover slightly. However, the results of the data are not unequivocal and the data set would need to be increased significantly to verify this and provide better statistical confidence in the variation in the mechanical properties.

This would, in turn, be carried out in future work, and does not invalidate the results of this study, which is focused on the degradation of the matrices. If the observation is correct and generally representative of other materials, then it is likely that the phenomenon would be due to additional crosslinking of the carbon fibres (graphitisation) and probably has some aspects in common with recent work published on pyrolysed cyanate esters [31], where mechanical performance and resilience (as evidenced by nanoindentation) were markedly increased. However, the experiments were not designed to explore this explicitly and will form the basis of a future, more specific study.

According to data acquired in-orbit during NASA’s Materials International Space Station Experiments (MISSE) programme [24], the resin matrix is significantly more susceptible to the effects of AO than the reinforcing fibres: the erosion yield of epoxy is about 10 times greater than that recorded for pyrolytic graphite (a model for the carbon fibres). Thus, after the majority of the surface resin has been eroded, the exposed carbon fibre is relatively resistant to erosion, although not entirely inert. Therefore, after the first exposure, the flexural properties will not change significantly unless the fibres become seriously eroded and damaged, which requires a higher AO fluence than is achieved in this work.

### 3.3. Surface morphology

Samples were examined using SEM to determine the effects of AO exposure on the surface morphology. Before the samples had been undergone AO exposure, no obvious defects were detected on the surface of three laminates (Fig. 7 (a), (c), and (e)). AO is highly aggressive towards organic materials, as evidenced by the SEM analysis: after the third exposure, when all samples had received
the highest total AO fluence, the surface resins had been severely eroded from all the laminates, exposing the underlying fibres to the AO (Fig. 7(b), (d), and (f)). In addition to the resin erosion, it is also noticeable that the resin in the gaps between the fabric yarn in LAM01 displays significant cracks after AO exposure (Fig. 7(b)), which are not present in either LAM02 or LAM03.

However, even at low AO exposure, a significant amount of surface resin had been removed to reveal the carbon fibres, i.e. even after the first cycle (Fig. 8(a)). A gradual erosion of the surface resin is shown for LAM03, where the surface resin was further eroded in the second exposure (Fig. 8(b)), while in the third exposure the surface resin had been almost completely eroded (Fig. 8(c)).

3.4. FTIR spectroscopy

The SEM data (Fig. 7) show that the pattern of erosion is uneven, evidenced by the “pitting” seen in the residual resin, which is located in the gaps and at the edges between the warp and weft of the carbon fibre yarns in each laminate. These small holes indicate that the erosion due to AO is not uniform, and it occurs at weaker points in the resin (e.g. at structural moieties which are more susceptible to attack such as hydrogen atoms bonded to alkyl fragments) and provides a path for AO to erode the underlying resin still further. A study was carried out using spectral analysis, coupled with multivariate analysis, to explore the chemical nature of the degradation mechanism.

The reaction between the polymer matrices and AO is complex and depends on the chemical nature of the matrices. In this work, two different resin systems were used. Epoxy A with the TGDDM is highly aromatic, highly crosslinked, and the epoxy is tetrafunctional, with glycidyl amine groups containing no methyl groups. The curing agents are aromatic with alkyl substituents. The second formulation, Epoxy B, contains the same tetrafunctional epoxy with a difunctional epoxy based on bisphenol A. However, this second epoxy has two key differences: it contains an isopropyl bridge, which incorporates the less stable methyl group, and is a low molecular weight oligomer (n = 0–1) which contains the less stable methylene and methyne moieties. The curing agent (a chlorinated aromatic compound containing both amide and tertiary amine functional groups) leads to a highly crosslinked network. These chemical differences offer potential for the degradation to follow different mechanistic pathways and spectral analysis is employed to probe this.

3.4.1. Spectral assignments

FTIR data, acquired for two resin systems before exposure, are shown in Fig. 9. As indicated in Section 2.1, the structures of the two cured resin systems contain many common functional groups, and thus the FTIR spectra show strong similarities. Several variations still exist due to the differences in the chemical structures caused by different epoxy resin and curing agent. The inset in Fig. 9 shows a peak fitting of the C–H stretching vibrations in the region of 3000-2850 cm\(^{-1}\) for each resin system; more alkyl species can be observed in LAM 01, which leads to a more complex C–H stretching region in 3000-2850 cm\(^{-1}\). The two peaks present in 1740-1661 cm\(^{-1}\) in epoxy B are assigned to the C=O stretching vibration of the amide group, while epoxy A also shows strong peaks at that wavenumber which may be assigned to C=O stretch. However, this functional group does not exist in the structure of epoxy A, which may be assigned to an unknown component in epoxy A arising from manufacture. The intense band at around 800 cm\(^{-1}\) in epoxy B is assigned to the strongly dipolar C–Cl stretch, which is not present in epoxy A. The detailed assignments of the FTIR spectra are shown in Table 6; these will be applied as a baseline to study any peak changes after exposure to different levels of AO fluence.

3.4.2. Exploring the degradation mechanism of epoxy A using PCA

Fig. 10 shows the FTIR data of LAM01 as a function of AO fluence exposure (the results from the third exposure were removed due to an instrument error). The intensity of most peaks shows a decreasing trend after exposure and the CH stretching vibration
around 3000 cm\(^{-1}\) almost completely degraded after the fifth exposure. Only one peak, at around 1400 cm\(^{-1}\), increased due to the formation of the O–H functional group (this is an O–H bend). However, it is hard to examine the AO performance of different structural moieties directly from the FTIR data. To better understand the degradation mechanism, PCA was applied to the FTIR data to study the effect of AO on the different functional groups of the two resins. The FTIR data will be input into PCA model as the matrix \(X\) in Equation (5).

To further understand the change with exposure, the data set was extracted using the PCA technique and the results are shown in Fig. 11.

The cumulative eigenvalue of each component in Fig. 11(a) shows that five components were obtained. The first three components represent around 98% of the overall FTIR data set, thus, only PC1, PC2, and PC3 were analyzed. Fig. 11(b) and (c) show a change in the score, which refers to the matrix \(T\) in Equation (5), of each component as a function of exposure: the score of PC1...
decreased with the increase of AO fluence. The score of PC2 increased after the first exposure and then started to decrease (it is worth noting that the score of PC2 before exposure was the lowest); both PC1 and PC2 offered a good means of separating the samples on the basis of exposure, while PC3 was less useful in differentiating the samples. Combining the trend of scores and loadings (refer to the matrix $P$ in Equation (5), expressed as a percentage) of each PC, it can be concluded that PC1 is correlated with the overall change in the intensity of each peak, a positive value in the loading plot (Fig. 11(d)) suggesting a decrease in that peak, while the negative value indicates an increase.

PC2 represents the changing rate of each peak, thus a positive value indicates a gradual decrease in the intensity and a negative value indicates a significant decrease. For those wavenumbers where the PC2 loading is higher than PC1 this suggests that the intensity remains constant or increases following the early exposure, and decreases as the exposure progresses. PC3 represents the shift and the presence of newly-formed peak as the AO fluence

---

**Table 6**

Spectral assignments of the two epoxy types used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavenumber/cm$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Functional group</th>
<th>Epoxy A</th>
<th>Epoxy B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3340</td>
<td>O–H stretching vibrations</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000–2850</td>
<td>C–H stretching vibration</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1740–1660</td>
<td>C–O stretching vibration of unknown component</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1740–1720</td>
<td>C–O stretching vibration</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1661</td>
<td>C–O stretching vibration</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1610–1450</td>
<td>Ring–C=C–C stretching vibration</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1461–1455</td>
<td>C–H deformation vibration</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1420–1411</td>
<td>O–H deformation vibration</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1362</td>
<td>C–H wagging vibrations of secondary alcohols</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1320–1296</td>
<td>C–N stretching vibrations</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1240–1170</td>
<td>– C–H in-plane deformation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1150–1075</td>
<td>C–O stretching vibrations</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1040</td>
<td>C–O–C stretching vibrations</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1010</td>
<td>C–O stretching vibrations</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900–650</td>
<td>Ar ring vibrations</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>C–Cl stretching vibrations</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
increases. It is worth noting that the scores of PC1 and PC2 after first and second exposures, and the third and fourth exposures are similar while PC3 varies, which indicates that the changes from first exposure to second exposure, and from third exposure to fourth exposure are dominated by peak shift and formation instead of intensity change. The results of PCA study indicated several chemical or structural changes taking place during the erosion of epoxy A (and these are shown schematically in Fig. 12): the alkyl component degraded quickly during the reaction with AO, thus the intensity of the methyne CH group decreased sharply. The reaction between the AO and the methyne CH formed a number of hydroxyl and carboxyl groups, leading to an increase in the intensity of the O–H deformation vibration (1418 cm⁻¹) as a function of exposure. The O–H stretching vibration decreased in the early stages of exposure and then shifted to lower frequency due to the formation of different types of O–H (i.e. polymeric, hydrogen-bonded species).

The formation of the carboxyl functional group also leads to a shift in the O–H group (the carboxylic acid moiety may dimerise easily) and accounts for the formation of the C–O group. The aromatic –C=C- stretching vibration at 1595 cm⁻¹ and the =C–H in-plane deformation in 1236–1173 cm⁻¹ also decreased sharply due to the change in the distribution of aromatic substitution following AO reaction. The aromatic –C=C- stretching vibrations at 1511 cm⁻¹ and 1486 cm⁻¹ correlate with the both electronic donor and acceptor substituents in the aromatic ring. When the materials react with AO, the donors decreased and acceptors increased, thus the intensity at 1511 cm⁻¹ decreased significantly while intensity at 1486 cm⁻¹ increased during the early stages of exposure. Several peaks, such as the C–N stretching vibration at 1296 cm⁻¹, remained
Fig. 12. Schematic showing range of potential degradation reactions when epoxy A is exposed to atomic oxygen.
unchanged at the early stages of exposure, but began to decrease in intensity as exposure continued. These groups are less reactive with AO in the early stages but will finally experience degradation as the AO fluence increases. A summary of the trend in the changes observed for characteristic peaks is shown in Table 7.

These data are consistent with the study performed by Awaja et al. [20] who reported that increasing exposure to LEO conditions led to rapid formation of C=O, carbonyl and carboxyl species, indicating evidence of chain scission; erosion of the surface resin was also particularly evident. The aliphatic hydrocarbon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intensity Trend</th>
<th>Wavenumber/cm⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decreased sharply</td>
<td>3005-2673, 1664, 1595, 1512, 1460, 1362, 1173, 770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased gradually</td>
<td>1578, 1360, 1233, 1103, 1071, 1043, 1010, 960-650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased in early exposure</td>
<td>1484, 1449, 1296, 871, 718, 679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately increased</td>
<td>1418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak shifted/newly formed</td>
<td>3239, 3070, 2831</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7
Summary of the change trend for each peak in epoxy A.
components in the epoxy matrix (based on a bisphenol A/bisphenol F blend) were also susceptible (significant amounts of C$_3$H$_7$NO$_2^+$ were detected using ToF-SIMS, but positively-charged C$_2$–C$_4$ fragments were also evident).

### 3.4.3. Exploring the degradation mechanism of epoxy B using PCA

The corresponding FTIR data for epoxy B as a function of AO fluence exposure (Fig. 13) show a similar decreasing trend after exposure (the result of third exposure was removed due to an instrument error).

The data were also imported into PCA model as matrix $X$ in Equation (5) to analyse the structural changes in epoxy B with increasing AO fluence and the results are shown in Fig. 14. The cumulative eigenvalue of each component in Fig. 13(a) indicates that the first three components account for approximately 99% of the overall FTIR data set. Fig. 13(b) and (c) show a change in the score of each component as a function of exposure: the trend of the scores of PC1 and PC2 is similar to epoxy A, however, the change in the scores of PC1 and PC2 in epoxy B is more gradual. PC3 shows an increase in its score after the first exposure, and then starts to decrease. One again, PC1 offers the best means of differentiating the samples as a function of exposure. Fig. 13(d) shows the loading for each component and the results suggest that the function of each PC in epoxy B is similar to epoxy A. However, the change of several peaks varies since the two resin systems have different chemical structures and thus different stabilities.

In addition to the increasing intensity of OH deformation vibration in 1423 cm$^{-1}$, the C=O stretching vibration in 1740 cm$^{-1}$ of epoxy B also increased due to the formation of C=O from carbonyl. The intensity of C=O stretching vibration from amide in 1660 cm$^{-1}$ displays a gradual decrease due to the degradation of the amide group. Unlike epoxy A, epoxy B displays a significant decrease in 1039 cm$^{-1}$, due to the reaction between AO and the C—O—C group from ether, which will form C=O function group and increase the intensity of carbonyl in 1740 cm$^{-1}$. The C–Cl stretching vibration around 800 cm$^{-1}$ also decreases due to degradation. Table 8 summarizes the trends in the changes in each peak in epoxy B with increasing AO fluence.

The PCA technique provides a more clear view of the FTIR data and the results suggest that the main changes occurring within the two resin systems include the significant degradation of the alkyl moieties, shifts in the position of the aromatic peaks, formation of OH and C=O groups, etc. The alkyl groups in both resins are almost complete degraded after the fifth exposure, which indicates that alkyl groups are the weak point within the structure. The shift of aromatic signals and formation of the OH and C=O functional groups indicate the abstraction and insertion mechanisms following the reaction with atomic oxygen.

### 4. Conclusions

In this study, the AO degradation behaviour of three thin laminates designed for space applications has been compared to understand the degradation mechanism of composite materials in LEO using PCA technique. The three laminates use two different epoxy resin systems and have comparable mechanical properties before exposure. The key findings of this work are that the mechanical tests suggest that the flexural properties of all laminates degrade after the first exposure due to the erosion of matrix. However, after the first exposure, the flexural properties will not change significantly unless the fibres become seriously eroded and damaged. The SEM images indicate that the resin on the surface of three laminates has been almost entirely eroded after AO exposure. Some residual resin remains in the gaps and at the edges of the carbon fibre yarn. The laminates experienced a gradual erosion of surface resin with the increase of AO fluence. A PCA analysis of the FTIR spectral data suggests that the surface resin on all laminates has suffered significant degradation after exposure, and that the alkyl moieties are the weak points of the structures since they are almost completely eroded after the fifth exposure, which is consistent with other researchers’ work on the mechanism of AO erosion [11]. The application of the PCA method will assist with the future design of new matrix resin systems for this application, by gauging structural moieties in the molecular structure that are particularly susceptible to AO attack.
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