The Response of Magnesium, Silicon, and Calcium Isotopes to Rapidly Uplifting and Weathering Terrains: South Island, New Zealand
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Silicate weathering is a dominant control on the natural carbon cycle. The supply of rock (e.g., via mountain uplift) has been proposed as a key weathering control, and suggested as the primary cause of Cenozoic cooling. However, this is ambiguous because of a lack of definitive weathering tracers. We use the isotopes of the major cations directly involved in the silicate weathering cycle: magnesium, silicon and calcium. Here we examine these isotope systems in rivers draining catchments with variable uplift rates (used as a proxy for exposure rates) from South Island, New Zealand. Overall, there is no trend between these isotope systems and uplift rates, which is in contrast to those of trace elements like lithium or uranium. Li and Si isotopes co-vary, but only in rapidly uplifting mountainous terrains with little vegetation. In floodplains, in contrast, vegetation further fractionates Si isotopes, decoupling the two tracers. In contrast, Mg and Ca isotopes (which are significantly affected by the weathering of both carbonates and silicates) exhibit no co-variation with each other, or any other weathering proxy. This suggests that lithology, secondary mineral formation and vegetation growth are causing variable fractionation, and decoupling the tracers from each other. Hence, in this context, the isotope ratios of the major cations are significantly less useful as weathering tracers than those of trace elements, which tend to have fewer fractionating processes.
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INTRODUCTION

Chemical weathering of silicate rocks is a dominant controlling process on atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations, on timescales ranging from the seasonal to the multi-millennial. On short timescales, weathering provides nutrients (such as P, Fe, K, etc.) for the growth of organic carbon in coastal waters, and, critically, also the clay particles and highly reactive iron that assists in organic carbon burial (Lalonde et al., 2012; Schrumpf et al., 2013; Barber et al., 2014; Hawley et al., 2017). On longer timescales, the more “traditional” aspect of silicate weathering comes into play, which is the transport of bicarbonate and carbonate-forming cations (e.g., Ca and Mg) from the continents to the oceans, where they sequester carbon by precipitating marine carbonates (Walker et al., 1981; Berner et al., 1983; Berner, 2003). Because of this, there is significant interest in determining
and quantifying the processes that control silicate weathering. These broadly divide into two endmembers, which consist of a climate-based control (via temperature and the hydrological cycle) on weathering rates (Berner et al., 1983; Gislasdotir et al., 2006; Gislasdotir et al., 2009), and (instead of temperature) a rock supply-driven control on weathering rates (Raymo et al., 1988; Raymo and Ruddiman, 1992; Hilley et al., 2010). The former would provide a feedback mechanism that would act to stabilize the climate, while the latter provides a method to change the equilibrium climate state. It generally appears that different weathering regimes exist in different terrains and climates (West et al., 2005), making it difficult to determine the overarching controls.

One of the key time periods for which these controls are debated is the Cenozoic, where it has been proposed that cooling was caused by enhanced weathering rates due to Himalayan uplift (Raymo and Ruddiman, 1992; Misra and Froelich, 2012), although this hypothesis remains controversial. It is certainly difficult to reconcile Cenozoic marine carbonate isotope records (e.g., Sr, Os, and Li; Ravizza, 1993; McArthur et al., 2001; Hathorne and James, 2006) with the uplift of a single mountain belt from the mass balance perspective (Li and West, 2014; Wanner et al., 2014). One method for testing the impact of rapidly uplifting mountain belts on weathering in the past is to examine modern analogs. South Island, New Zealand provides such a rapidly uplifting terrain. Usefully, the different sides of the island have very different uplift rates, with the west coast representing mountainous terrains, while the east coast represents a much flatter floodplain (Robinson et al., 2004). In particular, uplift rates were determined for individual sample areas by Robinson et al. (2004), and used as a proxy for the exposure rate of fresh rock. This study takes a multi-tracer approach to examining the weathering processes in this natural laboratory. We use the isotopes of elements directly involved in the silicate weathering and carbon cycle, namely magnesium, silicon and calcium. We combine these with previously measured trace element isotope ratios which have been shown to reflect different aspects of weathering processes: uranium isotopes (Robinson et al., 2004) and lithium isotopes (Pogge von Strandmann and Henderson, 2015). In both cases, Li and U isotopes formed correlations with the uplift (or exposure) rate, demonstrating that these trace elemental proxies can provide useful information on weathering and the carbon cycle.

Magnesium is an element directly involved in the carbon cycle, via weathering of Mg-silicates, precipitation of Mg-rich carbonates (both dolomites and high-Mg calcites) and the exchange of Ca for Mg at mid-ocean ridges (Holland, 2005). Therefore Mg isotopes have the potential to provide information on weathering (Tipper et al., 2006b, 2012a; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2008, 2014a, 2019b; Foster et al., 2010; Higgins and Schrag, 2010; Teng et al., 2010; Opfergelt et al., 2014; Chapela Lara et al., 2017). However, the study of global rivers has revealed a large range in dissolved Mg isotope ratios (Tipper et al., 2006a, 2008, 2010, 2012a,b; Brenot et al., 2008; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2008, 2012; Wimpenny et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2014; Teng, 2017; Oelkers et al., 2019a), and it has become clear that, like all major elements, Mg and its isotopes are affected by a wide range of processes. The balance of carbonate to silicate in a catchment plays a significant role, with carbonates being isotopically lighter and more isotopically variable than silicates (Tipper et al., 2006a,b, 2008, 2012a; Hippler et al., 2009; Wombacher et al., 2011; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2014a, 2019b). In addition, Mg isotopic fractionation occurs due to the silicate weathering process itself, owing to both preferential incorporation and adsorption of Mg isotopes by secondary minerals (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2008, 2012; Huang et al., 2012; Opfergelt et al., 2012a, 2014; Tipper et al., 2012a; Liu et al., 2014; Oelkers et al., 2019a). Finally, the uptake of Mg by plants causes variable isotope fractionation (Black et al., 2006; Bolou-Bi et al., 2010, 2012).

Silicon is another element directly involved in silicate weathering and the carbon cycle. In principle, silicon isotope behavior during weathering is simpler than that of Mg isotopes, given that Si is dominated by silicate weathering. The formation of secondary silicate and adsorption of dissolved Si onto iron oxy-hydroxides (Delstanch et al., 2009) are both associated with the preferential uptake of light isotopes, driving river waters and seawater to isotopically heavier values that the initial primary silicate rock (De la Rocha et al., 2000; Ziegler et al., 2005; Georg et al., 2006a, 2007; Opfergelt et al., 2009, 2013; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2012, 2014b; Frings et al., 2015, 2016; Hatton et al., 2019). Dissolution of secondary weathering products will, in turn, release the lighter isotopes back into solution (Fontorre et al., 2013). In addition, primary productivity (e.g., diatoms) and plant growth exert an influence, and preferentially take up light isotopes (Opfergelt et al., 2006).

The final major cation directly involved in the carbon cycle is calcium. There are similarities between Ca isotopes and Mg isotopes, in that both are controlled by the dissolution of isotopically light carbonates and isotopically heavy silicates, as well as the precipitation of silicate secondary minerals and plant growth (Tipper et al., 2006a, 2008; Farkas et al., 2007; Hindshaw et al., 2013; Moore et al., 2013; Fantle and Tipper, 2014; Kasemann et al., 2014; Jacobson et al., 2015; Perez-Fernandez et al., 2017). However, Ca isotopes tend to be harder to unambiguously interpret, because the isotopic range in carbonates and silicates are relatively wider than for Mg isotopes, and far closer (and overlapping) in isotopic space (Fantle and Tipper, 2014). Nevertheless, Ca isotopes have been used to examine weathering processes in the geological record (Kasemann et al., 2005, 2008, 2014; Farkas et al., 2007; Blättler et al., 2011; Holmden et al., 2012; Fantle and Tipper, 2014), as well as quantification of carbonate precipitation rates (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2019a).

Here we examine the Mg, Si and Ca isotope ratios from rivers from South Island, New Zealand, to test whether the isotopes of the major elements can provide useful information on weathering regimes, especially when comparing to trace element isotope ratios.

**FIELD AREA**

Rivers were sampled from multiple catchments around South Island, New Zealand, divided between the East and West of the island (Figure 1; Robinson et al., 2004). In general, the individual catchment areas are small, so rivers flow through
a relatively narrow range of rainfall and uplift environments. Robinson et al. (2004) developed a hydrologically accurate digital elevation model, which allows estimates of the average rainfall and uplift rate for each catchment, by using digitized rainfall and uplift maps (Table 1). The western coast has significantly more rainfall than the east (an average of 8,000 compared to 1,600 mm/year), and a higher uplift rate (5.8 ± 1.5 compared to 1.9 ± 0.8 mm/year). Overall there is a positive correlation ($r^2 = 0.61$) between uplift and rainfall, and uplift/rainfall ratios are higher in the East. Hydrothermal springs were sampled at Hanmer Springs, to assess the effects of hydrothermal processes, although these springs do not drain into any of the studied rivers. The weathering lithologies are relatively complex, but are dominantly comprised of Mesozoic graywackes and schists (Jacobson and Blum, 2003; Jacobson et al., 2003). Most rivers drain these lithologies (Figure 1), with some, largely at the coast, also having some input from Cenozoic sediments, which mainly consist of siliciclastics of various grain sizes (Geological Map of New Zealand, 2012). The primary lithology of each sample is given in Table 1.

As well as splitting samples into being from the East and West coasts, we also examine areas by vegetation type. Very broadly, and detailed in Table 1, we can divide into three main vegetation types: (1) glacial, permanent snow or rock with native forests, which largely coincides with the samples from the west coast; (2) shrubs or bare ground, which coincides with east coast samples from the Clarence River, and (3) dry open grassland.

**METHODS**

All data are reported in Table 1. Elemental concentrations were measured by quadrupole ICP-MS, as described in Pogge von Strandmann and Henderson (2015). We have since reassessed the Si concentrations (which tend to have relatively poor accuracy and precision using ICP-MS). Dissolved Si (DSi) concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically using a Hach Lange DR3900 (Ultra Low Range Method 8186). Accuracy and precision (±1 and ±3%, respectively) were assessed using repeat measurements of a standard solution and duplicate sample measurements. The DSi concentrations reported in Table 1 are therefore the updated concentrations.

In addition, three new samples were analyzed for elemental concentrations and Si isotopes. These samples were collected during the sampling campaign of Robinson et al. (2004), but were not analyzed for U isotopes or uplift patterns in that study. For these samples, elemental concentrations were determined by analytical triplicate measurements by ICP-OES at the University of Bristol, calibrated against certified multi-element standards, with precision of <0.2, <0.02, <0.07 ppm for Ca, K, Mg, and Na, respectively (1sd).

**Mg Isotopes**

Mg isotope were purified by passing samples through a two-column procedure using AG50W X-12 cation exchange resin, using 2 M HNO$_3$ as an eluent. Splits were collected either side of the main collection bracket and independently checked for Mg concentrations. These splits contained <0.01% of the sample's Mg, showing that >99.9% of Mg was collected. Samples were analyzed at the Bristol Isotope Group, using a Thermo Neptune MC-ICP-MS, following the procedures outlined elsewhere (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2011b, 2012, 2019b). The accuracy and precision of this method can be demonstrated by a number of inter-laboratory comparison studies on different standard types, as well as standard compilations (Foster et al., 2010; Teng et al., 2015; Shalev et al., 2018). Seawater measured alongside the analyses made during this study give $\delta^{26}$Mg = −0.83 ± 0.05 (2sd,
| River          | Latitude | Longitude | Uplift rate (mm/year) | Rainfall (mm/year) | Vegetation | Primary lithology | Li (nmol/l) | Na (µmol/l) | Mg (µmol/l) | Al (µmol/l) | Si (µmol/l) | K (µmol/l) | \(\delta^{28}\)Si  | \(\delta^{23}\)Ca | \(\delta^{238}\)U/\(\delta^{235}\)U | Li  \(\delta\) | Mg  \(\delta\) | Ca  \(\delta\) | Si  \(\delta\) | K  \(\delta\) | \(\delta\) | Li  \(\delta\) | Mg  \(\delta\) | Ca  \(\delta\) | Si  \(\delta\) | K  \(\delta\) | \(\delta\) |
|----------------|----------|-----------|----------------------|-------------------|------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| EAST           |          |           |                      |                   |            |                  |             |             |             |             |             |             |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| CL1 Clarence   | S 42° 12’ 53.6 E 172° 44’ 28.5 | 2.3 | 1,280 | Shrub, tussock, bare ground | Greywacke  | 167 | 31.4 | 1.76 | 13.2 | 74.1 | 3.19 | 148 | 22.0 | -0.74 | 0.03 | 0.90 | 0.08 | 0.89 | 2.577 |
| CL2 Clarence   | S 42° 19’ 32.7 E 172° 46’ 14.4 | 2.1 | 1,194 | Greywacke  | 85.5 | 20.2 | 7.28 | 11.9 | 104 | 4.45 | 243 | 28.3 | -0.66 | 0.04 | 1.25 | 2.774 |
| CL3 Clarence   | S 42° 25’ 24.5 E 172° 47’ 44.6 | 2.1 | 1,136 | Greywacke  | 35.3 | 19.0 | 5.71 | 4.10 | 117 | 4.22 | 208 | 34.7 | -0.67 | 0.04 | 0.93 | 0.09 | 0.79 | 2.548 |
| CL4 Clarence   | S 42° 24’ 00.1 E 172° 57’ 36.7 | 2.2 | 1,114 | Greywacke  | 44.6 | 23.9 | 12.7 | 13.6 | 125 | 7.96 | 404 | 26.2 | -0.68 | 0.04 | 0.96 | 2.519 |
| CL5 Clarence mouth S 42° 09’ 51.3 E 173° 54’ 42.7 | 3.7 | 938 | Dry open grassland or grass/shrub mosaic | Greywacke  | 290 | 35.3 | 12.2 | 4.09 | 140 | 7.55 | 212 | 22.6 | -0.72 | 0.04 | 0.58 | 0.06 | 1.07 | 2.861 |
| WT2 Waitaki    | S 44° 43’ 55.5 E 170° 28’ 42.0 | 1.5 | 2,378 | Greywacke  | 66.9 | 11.2 | 3.21 | 1.37 | 78.9 | 2.23 | 150 | 24.9 | -0.53 | 0.04 | 0.54 | 0.07 | 1.29 | 1.316 |
| WT3 Waitaki    | S 44° 55’ 38.5 E 171° 06’ 04.4 | 1.2 | 2,014 | Cenozoic sediments | 83.6 | 11.6 | 4.16 | 3.50 | 65.3 | 3.06 | 196 | 25.9 | -0.70 | 0.03 | 0.96 | 1.297 |
| WT1 Waitaki    | S 44° 28’ 19.4 E 169° 59’ 15.5 | 0.6 | 1,317 | Greywacke  | 50.2 | 26.7 | 10.2 | 7.91 | 122 | 10.0 | 285 | 30.7 | -0.75 | 0.04 | 0.97 | 0.01 | 0.78 | 1.500 |
| RAK Rakatai    | S 43° 45’ 09.2 E 172° 01’ 49.8 | 2.1 | 3,320 | Cenozoic sediments | 115 | 14.9 | 10.8 | 11.4 | 94.0 | 3.70 | 351 | 27.2 | -0.65 | 0.03 | 1.00 | 1.411 |
| WAR Wairau     | S 41° 26’ 39.3 E 173° 58’ 02.3 | 1.8 | 1,129 | Cenozoic sediments | 225 | 23.8 | 9.17 | 3.9 | 147 | 2.95 | 206 | 22.3 | -0.65 | 0.02 | 1.12 | 1.946 |
| CU Clutha      | S 45° 49’ 34.4 E 169° 31’ 52.3 | 1.1 | 1,556 | Schist  | 59.7 | 61.4 | 26.3 | -0.44 | 0.02 | 0.70 | 0.06 | 1.37 | 1.081 | 1.34 |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| WA1 Waitau     | S 45° 37’ 58.1 E 171° 11’ 59.7 | 5.2 | 5,283 | Cenozoic sediments | 136 | 47.7 | 15.6 | 15.5 | 103 | 20.1 | 412 | 24.5 | -0.62 | 0.02 | 0.86 | 0.08 | 0.94 | 1.092 |
| POE Poenua     | S 43° 09’ 39.6 E 170° 30’ 17.2 | 7.4 | 6,300 | Glacier/permanent snow, rock | Cenozoic sediments | 386 | 10.6 | 5.66 | 4.91 | 96.5 | 9.54 | 206 | 13.2 | -0.85 | 0.03 | 1.06 | 0.07 | 0.61 | 1.232 |
| HS2 Haast      | S 44° 00’ 56.8 E 169° 23’ 01.7 | 4.3 | 8,924 | Schist  | 44.6 | 15.5 | 6.07 | 4.03 | 46.3 | 5.63 | 210 | 23.6 | -0.61 | 0.05 | 0.82 | 0.07 | 0.83 | 1.171 |
| HS1 Haast      | S 43° 56’ 11.5 E 169° 07’ 43.7 | 4.8 | 10,063 | Schist  | 124 | 28.1 | 8.93 | 4.01 | 59.0 | 13.3 | 216 | 18.7 | -0.51 | 0.01 | 0.77 | 0.08 | 0.76 | 1.185 |
| WHT Whataroa   | S 43° 17’ 20.5 E 170° 24’ 13.5 | 7.4 | 9,277 | Schist  | 539 | 33.7 | 4.00 | 19.6 | 114 | 6.64 | 153 | 7.6 | -0.52 | 0.04 | 1.40 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 1.158 |
| MRF Marua falls |              |            |                  |                  |            | 139 |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| PLR Pelorus river |            |            |                  |                  |            | 214 |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |
| Rpt Hammer springs |            |            |                  |                  |            | 121000 |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |                |

Lithium and uranium isotope ratios are from Pogge von Strandmann and Henderson (2015) and Robinson et al. (2004). External (long-term) analytical uncertainty is ±0.5% on \(\delta^{7}\)Li, ±0.07‰ on \(\delta^{238}\)Mg, ±0.15‰ on \(\delta^{30}\)Si and ±0.14‰ on \(\delta^{44}\)Ca.
n = 3), in keeping with the long-term values and precision. The long-term external analytical uncertainty using this method is ±0.07‰ (2sd) on δ²⁶Mg.

**Si Isotopes**

Sample purifications were filtered (0.2 micron Pall Acrodisc) and then purified by passing through pre-conditioned AG50W X-12 cation exchange resin, and eluting with 18 MΩ.cm Milli-Q water to produce a final solution of 2 ppm Si (Georg et al., 2006b). The samples were spiked with an intensity-matched Mg solution to correct for internal mass bias and 100 µl of 0.1 M H₂SO₄ (Romil UpA) to account for potential anionic matrix mass bias (Cardinal et al., 2003; Hughes et al., 2011). The samples were then analyzed for all stable Mg and Si isotopes at the Bristol Isotope Group, using a Thermo Neptune MC-ICP-MS, following the procedures outlined elsewhere (Hatton et al., 2019). Machine blank, Mg mass bias and standard-sample bracketing (relative to NBS28/ RM8546) corrections were all carried out offline. Replicate measurements of reference standard Diatomite and sponge specimen LMG08 yielded values of δ²⁹Si = +0.64 ± 0.11‰; δ³⁰Si = +1.25 ± 0.15‰ (2sd; n = 35) and δ²⁹Si = −1.76 ± 0.11‰; δ³⁰Si = −3.41 ± 0.15 ‰ (2sd, n = 59), respectively, in good agreement published interlaboratory comparisons (Reynolds et al., 2007; Hendry et al., 2011). Replicate measurements of a freshwater standard from the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) Isotope Geosciences Laboratory gave an average value of δ²⁹Si = +0.46 ± 0.06‰ and δ³⁰Si = 0.91 ± 0.08‰ (2sd; n = 3) in good agreement with previous literature values (Panizzo et al., 2016). Full duplicate sample δ³⁰Si measurements all agreed within ± 0.08‰. The δ²⁹Si and δ³⁰Si values for all standards and samples were linearly related, with a gradient of 0.5126 ± 0.0073 (95% confidence), which lies between kinetic and thermodynamic equilibrium mass-dependent fractionation. The long-term external analytical uncertainty using this method is ±0.15‰ (2sd).

**Ca Isotopes**

Around 10 µg of calcium was purified through a two-stage column procedure, the first column containing AG50 X12 resin, which removes most matrix elements, and the second containing Sr-spec resin, which removes any Sr. Splits collected before and after the Ca elution peak contained <0.5% of the Ca, indicating column yields of >99.5%. Analyses were performed on a Nu Instruments MC-ICP-MS at Oxford, relative to the standard SRM-915a. Sr isobaric interference was monitored at mass 43.5, and used to correct the ⁴²Ca, ⁴³Ca, and ⁴⁴Ca intensities. This column and mass spectrometric methodology has been detailed in a series of studies (Halicz et al., 1999; Reynard et al., 2010; Blättler et al., 2011; Perez-Fernandez et al., 2017; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2019a). Seawater measured by this method yields δ⁴⁴/⁴⁰Ca values of 1.92 ± 0.14‰ (2sd, n = 16), in keeping with other studies (Hippler et al., 2003; Hindshaw et al., 2013), giving a long-term external analytical uncertainty of ±0.14‰.

**RESULTS**

All of the river waters plot along a mixing line between Ca/Na and Mg/Na ratios (Pogge von Strandmann and Henderson, 2015), broadly implying mixing between silicate and carbonate endmembers. Previously analyzed rivers from South Island (Jacobson et al., 2003) are reported to be dominated by weathering reactions involving plagioclase and calcite, with kaolinite the dominantly forming clay mineral (together with minor amounts of smectite).

Magnesium concentrations range between ~2 and 164 µmol/l, spanning both the range shown by global large rivers (Gaillardet et al., 1999), but also the range shown by mountainous rivers from New Zealand itself (Jacobson et al., 2003), as well as the Himalayas (Tipper et al., 2008) or glaciated rivers from Iceland (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2008). Mg isotopic ratios (δ²⁶Mg) range between −0.85 and −0.44‰, somewhat isotopically heavier (and closer to the composition of silicate rocks) than the global riverine mean of −1.09‰ (Tipper et al., 2006b).

Dissolved silicon (DSi) concentrations range between 61 and 214 µmol/l, also on the low side of the global riverine range (Fring et al., 2015, 2016), but similar to those previously measured from New Zealand (Jacobson et al., 2003). The δ³⁰Si values range between 0.09 and 1.39‰, plotting about the riverine mean of 1.25 ± 0.68‰ (Fring et al., 2016).

Calcium concentrations range between 135 and 412 µmol/l, generally at the lower end of the range of global rivers
FIGURE 3 | Isotope ratios of Mg (B), Si (C), and Ca (D) plotted against uplift rates, which are used as a proxy for fresh rock exposure rates. The trends of Li (A); Pogge von Strandmann and Henderson, 2015] and U isotopes [E]; Robinson et al., 2004] relative to uplift rates are also shown. Overall, the figure suggests that isotopes of trace elements may provide more weathering information than those of major elements. The error bars represent the long-term 2sd external uncertainty.

(Gaillardet et al., 1999), but similar to previously measured rivers from New Zealand (Jacobson et al., 2003). Riverine $\delta^{44/40}$Ca values range from 0.54 to 1.40‰, also around the global mean riverine value of 0.88‰ (Fantle and Tipper, 2014). Ca isotope ratios from our rivers are similar to the range reported from rivers in the center of South Island of 0.5–1‰ (Moore et al., 2013).

The hydrothermal Hanmer Springs was analyzed for several different isotopic systems to assess the effects of hydrothermal processes, although these springs do not drain into any of the studied rivers. The Mg isotope ratio is highly fractionated ($\delta^{26}$Mg of $\sim -2.2\%$), in keeping with the analyses of other hot springs (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2019b). Mg is typically removed from solutions during hydrothermal exchange into secondary minerals (Holland, 2005), causing significant isotope fractionation. Silicon isotopes are also highly fractionated ($\delta^{30}$Si = $-1.3\%$) from bedrock values [$\sim -0.29\%$ Savage et al., 2010], but in this case to values significantly lighter than the bedrock. Previous $\delta^{30}$Si measurements of hydrothermal fluids, including hot springs, range from $\sim -0.5$ to 0.5‰ (Ding et al., 1996; Opfergelt et al., 2013; Geilert et al., 2015). The light value measured here suggests that some other process is driving this system toward lighter isotopic compositions, such as the dissolution of secondary minerals or siliceous precipitates (Geilert et al., 2015). In comparison, the $\delta^{7}$Li value is 5.8‰, which is similar to primary silicate rocks (Pogge von Strandmann and Henderson, 2015).

DISCUSSION

Riverine Magnesium

Generally, riverine Mg isotopes tend to represent mixing between the dissolution of isotopically heavy silicates ($\delta^{26}$Mg = $-0.2\%$) and isotopically light carbonates ($\delta^{26}$Mg = $-5$ to $-0.5\%$) (Teng, 2017). Carbonate precipitation can therefore drive waters isotopically heavy (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2019b). There is also an added fractionation effect by the formation of silicate secondary minerals, which mainly tend to preferentially take up heavy Mg isotopes, driving rivers waters isotopically lighter (Tipper et al., 2006a, 2008, 2012a; Brenet et al., 2008; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2008, 2012; Huang et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2014; Opfergelt et al., 2014; Chapela Lara et al., 2017). Plants also fractionate Mg isotopes with a variable fractionation direct ion, although with a general enrichment in heavy isotopes (Black et al., 2006; Bolou-Bi et al., 2010, 2012).

For Mg isotopes, both the mountainous West and the flatter East coasts have a similar isotopic range, and an identical average ($\delta^{26}$Mg = $-0.62 \pm 0.14$ ($n = 5$) vs. $-0.64 \pm 0.11\%$ ($n = 11$), respectively). There is also no obvious difference between rivers with different primary lithologies ($\delta^{26}$Mg = $-0.68 \pm 0.07\%$, $n = 7$ for greywacke; $-0.52 \pm 0.07\%$, $n = 4$ for schist; $-0.69 \pm 0.09\%$, $n = 5$ for Cenozoic sediments; Table 1). Equally, Mg isotope ratios show no mixing trend between endmembers (Figure 2A), further suggesting no overarching simple control by lithology. During chemical weathering Na is the most mobile major cation, meaning that it has the lowest tendency to be taken up into secondary minerals. Mg/Na ratios should therefore provide information on dissolution of primary minerals vs.
formation and uptake by secondary minerals. However, unlike the isotope ratios of trace elements such as lithium (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017; Murphy et al., 2019), we do not observe a simple relationship between $\delta^{26}$Mg and Mg/Na or Ca/Mg for either coast, which is not necessarily to be expected, given the variable isotope ratios of both silicates and carbonates. Similarly, there is no relationship between $\delta^{26}$Mg and the ratio of divalent to monovalent cations, which has also been used as a proxy for silicate weathering (Tranter et al., 2002; Hatton et al., 2019). Given that silicate and carbonate dissolution have been reported to largely control the elemental composition of New Zealand rivers (Jacobson and Blum, 2003; Jacobson et al., 2003), this lack of correlation would imply that Mg isotopes are not only controlled by mixing between silicate and carbonate dissolution, but also by formation of silicate secondary minerals, which preferentially take up heavy Mg isotopes, and by Mg uptake by plants (Tipper et al., 2006a,b, 2008; Bolou-Bi et al., 2010, 2012). In addition, Mg in these rivers is also variably sourced from rainwater, which largely derives from seawater (Jacobson et al., 2003), and hence would have a $\delta^{26}$Mg $\approx -0.82\%$ (Foster et al., 2010). There is no trend between $\delta^{26}$Mg and rainfall amount, and indeed the sample with the highest rainfall (HS1 with $>10,000$ mm/year; Robinson et al., 2004) has a $\delta^{26}$Mg much higher than that of seawater ($-0.51\%$, compared to $-0.82\%$, respectively).

Interestingly, despite all these potential variations, the $\delta^{26}$Mg of these rivers has a relatively narrow range of $0.4\%$, and the absolute $\delta^{26}$Mg values ($-0.45$ to $-0.85\%$) are relatively close to those of silicates ($-0.23\%$) (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2011b; Hin et al., 2017; Teng, 2017). Overall, then, Mg is largely sourced from silicate dissolution in these rivers, given that both rainwater contribution and clay formation would drive dissolved

**FIGURE 4 |** Cross-plots between the isotope systems analyzed in this and other studies for the different vegetation types (Robinson et al., 2004; Pogge von Strandmann and Henderson, 2015): isotopes of Li vs. Ca (A), Si vs. Mg (B), Si vs. Ca (C), Ca vs. Mg (D), Li vs. Si (E) and Mg vs. U (F). The arrows represent fractionation directions by different weathering and plant formation processes. For Ca isotopes (A), the range of carbonate and silicate rocks is shown. The error bars represent the long-term 2sd external uncertainty.
$\delta^{26}$Mg to even lower values (i.e., further toward the composition of carbonates).

The fairly constant $\delta^{26}$Mg values in the rivers suggest that the sources of Mg remain relatively unchanged across South Island, and hence there is no relationship between $\delta^{26}$Mg and the uplift rate (used here as a proxy for exposure rate—Figure 3B). Hence, unsurprisingly, the simple ratio of silicate rock supply vs. clay formation that control lithium isotopes and result in a negative co-variation of $\delta^7$Li and uplift rates (Figure 3A) (Pogge von Strandmann and Henderson, 2015), do not control Mg behavior. Equally, there is no co-variation between $\delta^{26}$Mg and tracers of either clay formation (Li and Si isotopes, Figure 4B), plant growth (Si and Ca isotopes, Figure 4D) or lithology (Ca isotopes). These other isotope systems are discussed below.

There is, however, an interesting relationship between $\delta^{26}$Mg and ($^{234}$U/$^{238}$U) (Figure 4F). When the U activity ratio is low (close to secular equilibrium) the Mg isotope ratios are highly variable, whereas when ($^{234}$U/$^{238}$U) is high (>1.5), $\delta^{26}$Mg values are fairly constant at ~0.7%. There is no apparent influence by vegetation, as both glacial and vegetated terrains cover the entire range of observed $\delta^{26}$Mg. Uranium activity ratios are controlled by the ratio of physical erosion to mineral dissolution (Henderson, 2002; Chabaux et al., 2003; Robinson et al., 2004; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2006, 2010, 2011a; Andersen et al., 2009; Hindshaw et al., 2018). Relatively high physical erosion rates increase mineral surface area, promoting both recoil of $^{234}$U and the leaching of $^{234}$U from recoil-damaged lattice sites, and driving riverine ($^{234}$U/$^{238}$U) to high values (Figure 3E). In contrast, relatively high dissolution rates will drive river water ($^{234}$U/$^{238}$U) toward the secular equilibrium value of 1, the value of the bulk silicate rock. In other words, when chemical dissolution is relatively high ($^{234}$U/$^{238}$U) close to secular equilibrium], silicate dissolution drives $\delta^{26}$Mg toward the relatively heavy isotope ratio of silicates. In contrast, when water-rock contact times are long (in the flatter floodplain areas in the east of South Island) there is relatively greater clay formation, which on average impose an isotopic fractionation factor of ~0.5% (Wimpenny et al., 2014), hence driving riverine $\delta^{26}$Mg to values ~0.5% lower than silicate rock. As such, there does appear to be a secondary mineral control on Mg isotopes that is, however, not directly comparable to the secondary minerals that control Li or Si isotopes, given the lack of co-variations. This may be due to the composition of the secondary minerals, in that the primary clay mineral, kaolinite (Jacobson et al., 2003), does not contain Mg as a major constituent, meaning that Mg isotopes may be at least partly controlled by fractionation during adsorption (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2012).

**Riverine Silicon**

Riverine Si isotopes are controlled by the ratio of silicate dissolution (causing low isotope ratios) to clay or amorphous silicate precipitation (driving riverine $\delta^{30}$Si high) (De la Rocha et al., 2000; Opfergelt et al., 2012b, 2017; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2012; Frings et al., 2015; Hatton et al., 2019). In addition, uptake of Si by plants or plankton drives the residual river water Si toward heavy values as a result of preferential uptake of lighter isotopes into biogenic silica (De la Rocha et al., 1997; Opfergelt et al., 2011).

All riverine dissolved Si isotope ratios in the New Zealand rivers are isotopically heavier than the bedrock (Savage et al., 2010). While some subglacial rivers in Greenland have $\delta^{30}$Si values that are lower than the bedrock (and are interpreted as the dissolution of secondary weathering products, a process also observed in groundwaters) (Georg et al., 2009; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2014b; Hatton et al., 2019), most glacial and non-glacial rivers are, like these New Zealand rivers, isotopically heavier than the bedrock due to clay formation (Georg et al., 2006a, 2007; Cardinal et al., 2010; Opfergelt et al., 2012b, 2013; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2012; Fontorbe et al., 2013). The flatter east coast shows very little $\delta^{30}$Si variability for a fairly wide range in Si/Na, Si/(Na + K), and DSi concentrations. As such, there is no obvious mixing relationship between clear endmembers (Figure 2B).

There is a relatively weak negative relationship between $\delta^{30}$Si and the uplift rate (Figure 3C; $r^2 = 0.51$, significant $p < 0.05$, Spearman Rank correlation), which is here used as a proxy for the exposure rate of fresh rock (Robinson et al., 2004; Pogge von Strandmann and Henderson, 2015). The lithium isotope ratio, which also traces silicate weathering, also shows a correlation with uplift rate, although it is much stronger ($r^2 = 0.67$, significant $p < 0.01$; Figure 3A) (Pogge von Strandmann and Henderson, 2015). In both cases a higher exposure rate of fresh rock in mountainous areas drives the isotope ratio closer to that of silicate rock. In contrast, the longer fluid-rock contact times that occur in the flatter floodplains promote the formation of clay minerals and CO$_2$ drawdown (West et al., 2002). The weaker correlation with uplift rates for Si isotopes compared to Li isotopes is likely because of the additional effect of plants and freshwater diatoms on Si but not Li, and the kinetics of clay mineral formation structurally binding Si, while Li only substitutes for Mg or Ca, or adsorbs onto mineral surfaces (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2012, 2017).

The co-variation of $\delta^{30}$Si and $\delta^7$Li is thus very strong for the mountainous west coast samples ($r^2 = 0.89$; Spearman correlation >95% significance), which are also samples with very low vegetation ($r^2 = 0.85$), but non-existent for the east coast floodplains (Figure 4E). This is expected, given that both plant (or algal) growth and clay formation are significantly greater on the east coast. In other words, the enhanced dissolution of primary silicates in mountainous regions causes a correlation of Li and Si isotopes (also observed in the Himalayan foothills; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2017). This co-relationship between the two systems can be modeled using a Rayleigh relationship (Vigier et al., 2009; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2012, 2014b, 2017; Murphy et al., 2019), and by assuming a continental crust starting composition (Savage et al., 2010; Sauzéat et al., 2015). Using a kaolinite fractionation factor of $\alpha = 0.979$ for Li isotopes (Pistiner and Henderson, 2003; Hindshaw et al., 2019), and of 0.9989 for Si isotopes (close to values for allophane, which is compositionally similar to kaolinite; Ziegler et al., 2005; Delstanch et al., 2009), we can model the co-isotopic behavior for the western samples with between 20 and 60% of Li and Si removal (Figure 4E). This implies that both Li and
Si in these samples are only being affected by primary silicate dissolution, and the formation of single type of clay mineral (or a combination of minerals with the same fractionation factors). Kaolinite has previously been reported as the dominant clay forming in rivers on South Island (Jacobson et al., 2003).

For the eastern samples no such co-variation exists (Figure 4E). These flatter floodplain areas are more fractionated for both Li and Si isotopes (implying relatively more clay formation, i.e., less congruent weathering due to longer water-rock interaction times), but either multiple secondary minerals with different fractionation factors are causing the isotopic fractionation, or Si isotopes are additionally being affected by uptake by plants and freshwater diatoms.

**Riverine Calcium**

Like Mg isotopes, but unlike Li, Si, and U isotopes, calcium isotopes are also lithology-dependent. Both carbonates and silicates have a relatively wide $\delta^{44}$Ca range (Fantle and Tipper, 2014), but on the whole carbonates are isotopically lighter than silicates, which range from $\sim$0.5 to 1.8‰. Equally, plants also impose variable Ca isotope fractionation (Fantle and Tipper, 2014).

Rather like Mg isotopes, there is no evidence of simple mixing (Figure 2C), and no relationship between this study’s $\delta^{44}$Ca values and any proxies for carbonate vs. silicate dissolution such as Ca/Na, Ca/Mg or divalent to monovalent cation ratios. Further, as for Mg, there is no true distinction between rivers draining different lithologies ($\delta^{44}$Ca = 0.78 ± 0.21‰, $n$ = 7 for greywacke; 1.01 ± 0.34‰, $n$ = 4 for schist; 0.69 ± 0.14‰, $n$ = 5 for Cenozoic sediments; Table 1). Equally, if both Ca and Mg were being controlled by mixing between carbonate and silicate dissolution, there should be a positive correlation between $\delta^{44}$Ca and $\delta^{26}$Mg, because carbonates are isotopically light and silicates isotopically heavy for both systems (Tipper et al., 2006a, 2008; Mavromatis et al., 2012; Fantle and Tipper, 2014; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2014a, 2019b; Geske et al., 2015; Perez-Fernandez et al., 2017).

However, no such relationship is observed, and if anything, the data exhibit a poor negative co-variation (Figure 4D) that also has no relationship to vegetation type.

In contrast, the samples do show negative co-variations between $\delta^{44}$Ca and both $\delta^7$Li and $\delta^{30}$Si (Figure 4C). For Li vs. Ca, this co-variation is only present in the western (mountainous) samples. Given that low $\delta^7$Li and low $\delta^{30}$Si values are caused by more congruent weathering (relatively more dissolution of primary silicates) (Pogge von Strandmann and Henderson, 2015), this implies that the silicate endmember for Ca isotopes would be isotopically fairly heavy. Applying the same Li and Si fractionation factors as for the $\delta^7$Li–$\delta^{30}$Si co-variation (Figures 4A,B) suggests that the $\delta^{44}$Ca of the original silicate material must be $\sim$1.75‰. Although this is just within the global range of silicate rocks (Fantle and Tipper, 2014), no rock or mineral values that heavy have been reported from New Zealand (Moore et al., 2013). Overall, however, this trend toward isotopically heavy Ca isotope ratios with light Li or Si isotope ratios is only driven by a single sample, while the remaining rivers have $\delta^{44}$Ca $< 1.06$‰, similar to those of Moore et al. (2013) from New Zealand. It is difficult to determine why this sample from the Whataroa river is so isotopically heavy. While carbonate precipitation could drive $\delta^{44}$Ca to values even higher than observed here (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2019a), the rivers of South Island have been reported to be undersaturated for carbonates, making it unlikely that they are precipitating (Moore et al., 2013). The other possibilities, therefore, are either the dissolution of an isotopically heavy material, or that the sample is dominated by precipitation with the composition of seawater ($\delta^{44}$Ca $\sim$1.9‰). It has experimentally been observed that calcite dissolution can drive Ca isotope ratios to even isotopically heavier values than observed here, due to the attainment of dynamic mineral-fluid equilibrium (Oelkers et al., 2019b). However, this would be unlikely to cause light Li or Si isotope ratios. Alternatively, dissolution of silicate secondary weathering products (heavy for Ca isotopes, but light for Li and Si isotopes) and/or rainwater contribution could explain this sample. Some elemental ratios in this sample, such as Mg/Na, are identical to that of seawater, suggesting a considerable input from seawater aerosols via precipitation, potentially exacerbated by a high rainfall rate (Robinson et al., 2004). This would potentially decouple Ca from Si isotope ratios in this sample, given that precipitation contains very little Si.

Without this sample, the riverine $\delta^{44}$Ca values are similar to other studies of New Zealand, which concluded that Ca is largely sourced from the dissolution of carbonates (Moore et al., 2013). However, given the lack of co-variation with Ca isotopes, it seems more likely that Ca isotopes are the result of mixing between carbonate and silicate dissolution, in some cases with an additional mixing component from the exchangeable fraction of shallow soils.

Finally, there is no co-variation between this study’s $\delta^{44}$Ca values and uplift (or supply) rate (Figure 3D), with fairly constant isotope ratios across the entire range of uplift rates. Hence, even if these samples are dominated by carbonates, its enhanced dissolution due to more rapid supply rates is not obvious from Ca isotope ratios.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This study has analyzed the isotope ratios of the major cations directly involved in the long-term carbon cycle (Mg, Si, and Ca) from rivers on South Island, New Zealand. These samples have previously been analyzed for Li isotopes and U activity ratios, which in both cases exhibited co-variations with the uplift rates, which are used as a proxy for the rock supply rate to dissolution.

Here, the isotope ratios of the major elements do not co-vary with the uplift rate, indicating more complex controlling processes, including lithology and biology, neither of which affect Li or U isotopes. Li and Si isotopes broadly co-vary, especially in the mountainous west of the island, suggesting that both isotopes are controlled by the ratio of primary silicate mineral dissolution to secondary mineral formation.

In contrast, Mg and Ca isotopes do not co-vary with any physical parameters (e.g., uplift or rainfall rates). Aside from one isotopically heavy sample for Ca, both isotope ratios exhibit fairly little variation. Mg isotopes suggest that the system is dominated by silicate weathering, with clay formation driving isotope ratios lighter. Ca isotopes are also largely controlled by the mix of
lithology. Given that neither Mg nor Ca isotopes co-vary with Li, Si, or U isotopes, it implies that any weathering signal is masked by lithological and biological controls.

Overall, unlike the isotopes of the trace elements Li and U, the isotopes of the major elements Mg, Ca, and Si, in this case, are less useful for determining chemical weathering characteristics. This is largely because multiple processes (e.g., lithology, biology, and secondary mineral formation) tend to affect major elements, in contrast to Li and U, which are controlled by single processes. This, therefore, confirms the adage that major elements have major problems when using them to try to understand weathering processes.
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