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Abstract (200 words max)

The spine is the central skeletal support structure in vertebrates consisting of repeated units of bone, the vertebrae, separated by intervertebral discs that enable the movement of the spine. Spinal pathologies such as idiopathic back pain, vertebral compression fractures and intervertebral disc failure affect millions of people worldwide. Animal models can help us to understand the disease process, and zebrafish are increasingly used as they are highly genetically tractable, their spines are axially loaded like humans, and they show similar pathologies to humans during ageing. However biomechanical models for the zebrafish are largely lacking. Here we describe the results of loading intact zebrafish spinal motion segments on a material testing stage within a micro Computed Tomography machine. We show that vertebrae and their arches show predictable patterns of deformation prior to their ultimate failure, in a pattern dependent on their position within the segment. We further show using geometric morphometrics which regions of the vertebra deform the most during loading, and that Finite Element models of the trunk subjected reflect the real patterns of deformation and strain seen during loading and can therefore be used as a predictive model for biomechanical performance.
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Introduction

The spine consists of a repeated pattern of motion segments (MSs) of bony vertebrae separated by intervertebral discs (IVDs) that enable movement. Back pain and IVD degeneration affect millions of people worldwide (1,2), and vertebral compression fractures are a frequent feature of osteoporosis (3). Biomechanical pathologies of the spine are underpinned by genetic, physiological and environmental pathways that together damage IVD, muscle and the bone, changing the mechanics of the system.

Animal models, typically rodents, are frequently used to study mechanisms of spinal pathology (4). However, quadrupeds are disadvantageous for studying the human spine as gravitational load acts perpendicular to their axial skeleton. Zebrafish are increasingly used as a model for human disease, due to their genetic tractability. Unlike quadrupeds, but similar to humans under gravity (Fig. 1a), their spine is antero-posteriorly loaded as a result of swimming through viscose water (5). Zebrafish are well established as models for skeletogenesis, pathology, and ageing (6), and develop spinal pathologies in response to altered genetics (7) and ageing (8). However, the biomechanics of the zebrafish spine are comparatively poorly characterised.

Finite element analysis (FEA) has proven a pivotal tool in the study of biomechanical subjects (9), and offers a method for biomechanically characterising the zebrafish spine, including intact MS. This technique digitally models an object of known material properties using a series of linked nodes of known number and geometry, that can be subjected to a wide variety of forces outputting the predicted geometry, strain and deformation. Results can be validated by comparison with the results of loading experiments in which a sample is loaded ex vivo (10,11). FEA has been used in zebrafish to test contributions of shape and material properties in joint morphogenesis (12,13) and to study strain patterns in a single vertebra (14).

Here, we describe a novel integrated experimental platform that brings together imaging, modelling and real-world validation to explore the biomechanics of intact zebrafish spinal MSs. We generated an FEA model of the spine, which we validated with a loading experiment using a high-precision material testing stage (MTS) under set loading regimes using micro Computed Tomography (µ-CT). Three-dimensional geometric morphometrics (3D GM) was used to explore patterns of deformation seen in each vertebra during loading. Comparison of results demonstrated that our FEA model accurately predicted the relative patterns of deformation and strain experienced by real samples loaded ex vivo.

Methods
**Zebrafish samples**

1-year old, wild-type (WT) zebrafish were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and dehydrated to 70% EtOH. MSs were acquired by making two cuts in the trunk, between the morphologically homogeneous vertebra 18 and 24 of a total of 33 vertebrae⁵ (Fig. 1a-c).

**In vitro vertebral loading experiment**

Loading experiments were conducted using a custom-built Material Testing Stage (MTS2) in the Bruker SKYSCAN 1272 µCT system. Radiographic visualisation of each MS (n=3) was performed and if required, vertebrae were trimmed to retain three complete vertebrae and associated IVDs (Fig. 1b-d). Samples were stabilized (anterior-up) in the MTS2 using cyanoacrylate glue. The MTS2 was programmed to perform a sequential series of seven scans at a series of increasing loads (Table 1), using 60 KeV X-ray energy, 50 W current, 5 µm isotropic voxel size and a 0.25 mm Aluminium filter. 1501 projections were collected during a 180⁰ rotation, with 400 ms exposure time. Reconstructions were performed using NRecon (Version 1.7.1.0). Surfaces of vertebrae, muscle and IVDs in each dataset were generated using Avizo (Avizo version 8; Vizualisation Sciences Group) (Fig. 1c-e, Table 1) and linear measurements of IVDs and MS lengths made using the “3D Measurement” tool. Vertebral surfaces were further processed in Meshlab (Table 2).

**Finite Element Analysis (FEA)**

An MS surface mesh was created based on a 1-year-old WT specimen µCT scanned using a Nikon XTH 225ST µCT system as described under two conditions; (a) native state and (b) contrast-enhanced following 14 day incubation in 2.5% phosphomolybdic acid (15). Scan (a) was used to segment vertebrae (V18-V24), and scan (b) to segment IVDs. The resulting binary labels from scans (a) and (b) were saved as 8-bit tiff stacks, manually registered in 3D space in Avizo (‘Trackball’ tool) and algorithmically combined (‘Algebra’ tool), creating a single volume of separate materials representing three vertebrae and four IVDs (Fig. 1d-e, Table 2). A 500 µm thick cylinder was created contacting the anterior-most IVD perpendicular to the model axis, to mimic the stainless-steel compressive plate and distribution of forces applied during loading (Fig. 1f).

The complete vertebral surface mesh was imported into Simpleware ScanIP (version 2018.12, Synopsys Inc.) to create an FE model. The model consisted of 1,054,187 linear tetrahedral elements joined at 257,392 nodes comprising four material types: vertebral bone, annulus-fibrosus, nucleus-pulposus and stainless-steel (Fig. 1d-f, Table 2). The model was analysed in Abaqus (2018 version). A custom datum coordinate system was created centred on the antero-posterior axis of the model, and a concentrated force applied to the central node of the anterior face of the compressive plate. This
loading case was repeated in each of 7 steps of a multi-step analysis, with load values matching the
increments applied in the MTS (Table 1). The model was constrained in two locations using boundary
conditions, at the base of the posterior-most IVD (constrained in 3 axes), and at the top of the
compressive plate (constrained in 2 axes, allowing movement along the model’s antero-posterior axis
(Fig. 1f). Deformed meshes from each step were exported as surface files and analysed using 3D-GM
for quantitative comparison between relative and absolute patterns of deformation predicted by FEA
and observed in MTS data.

Three-dimensional geometric morphometrics (3D-GM)
3D-GM analysis of vertebral deformation was performed using the “Geomorph” package for the “r”
statistics software (16). For each loading experiment, we used the first scan (1N load) to create a
template of 3D coordinates for 22 fixed three-dimensional landmarks (Fig. 2a-c) linked by 300 surface
sliding semi-landmarks (using the “buildtemplate” function). By assigning the same landmarks in each
scan (using the “digitsurface” function), we compared the first scan with subsequent scans of the same
vertebra using generalised Procrustes analysis (allowing semi-landmarks to ‘slide’ in order to remove
arbitrary spacing). Resulting shape variables were subjected to principal component analysis (PCA) to
identify the principal patterns of variation between scans of the same vertebra, and isolate trends in
deformation with increasing compressive load.

3. Results and discussion
Vertebral motion segments fail under loading of 12-16N at positions of maximum von Mises strain.
To test the range of compressive loads that the MS could resist until failure, we subjected an MS to
exponentially increasing compressive forces from 1-100N. This specimen failed at 16N whereupon the
central vertebra fractured mid-centrum. A primary loading regime between 1-16N was thus
established (Table 1) for the three primary specimens; occupying the elastic, plastic and failure regions
of the compressive loading profile of a typical MS. Failure was considered when at least one vertebral
centrum fractured across the axis (e.g. Fig. 1j,l). All samples failed between 12-16N upon shallow angle
fracture in the central vertebra, with the smallest specimen (specimen 3) failing at the lowest force
(Fig. 1g,h). This is higher than maximum aquatic forces experienced during swim training by Fiaz et
al.5, which reached ~9.5N. Minor differences in mounting orientation created differences in linear
deformation between right and left sides, but specimens follow similar patterns. Prior to failure, linear
measurements show an increase in IVD antero-posterior thickness (Table 1, bracketed dash line in Fig.
1g) suggesting the IVD acts like a coiled spring that may further contribute to the ultimate strain and
failure of the segment when released via small scale bone fracture (Fig. 1h). The surrounding epaxial
The musculature showed no obvious deformation or damage until the entire MS failed, at which point muscle fibre organisation was lost (Fig 1i-l). Comparison between MTS data and FEA results demonstrated strong spatial correlation between maximum predicted strain and ultimate point of failure in the central vertebra (Fig 1.m-o).

**Morphometric characterization of vertebral compression is predicted by FEA**

We found characteristic patterns of deformation and strain in response to compressive loading of zebrafish vertebrae. 3D-GM results from MTS data follow distinct trends for each vertebrae between the three specimens (Fig.2d,i,n), showing consistent dorso-ventral compression, and lateral compression that is reversed at higher loads potentially due to elastic rebound of the IVD and fracturing along the zygopophyses that occurs at these loads (Fig. 2). This relative pattern is shared between each specimen, although specimen 3 experiences this at lower loads than specimens 1-2, before failing at 12N. Fractures are observed where the arches and zygopophyses contact the centrum, at loads that precede the failure of the segment (Fig 2f, h, k, m, p & r). Comparison with FEA data (blue points in graphs d,i,n) suggest that the FE model accurately predicts these patterns (Fig. 2d,i & n), and that patterns of deformation could explain the first signs of damage prior to failure. In both datasets the anterior vertebra undergoes most deformation, particularly posterior deformation of the arches (Fig. 2 e-h). The central vertebrae and arches show strong torsion (Fig. j-m), increasing through the loading regime leading to the failure of the segment (Fig. 1l,o). The posterior vertebra shows the least deformation and is most isotropic in pattern (Fig. 2o-r), potentially due to protection offered by the anterior IVDs.

Comparison with *ex-vivo* loading of vertebral MSs validates the accuracy of our FEA model for predicting patterns of deformation and strain across these structures. This offers a step towards a digital ‘sandbox’ approach to modelling the effects of genetic, physiological and morphological properties on the reaction and resistance of vertebral MSs to loading. Inputting specific properties of vertebral samples into a validated FE model will allow their effects on the biomechanics of the spine to be quantitatively tested *in silico*, allowing the relative contributions of shape and material properties to be explored and empirically tested. This will aid comparison of mechanical performance between different model systems. As an advantage of the zebrafish system is the wealth of mutants modelling human disease genetics (17), comparisons of mechanical performance between genotype and phenotype will be possible. In the longer term this approach may give insight into biomechanical aspects of...
spinal pathology; allowing identification of ‘at risk sites’ in the spine. This could provide a basis for more specific or earlier interventions than those commonly employed.

Fig Legends

Figure 1. Ex vivo spine loading leads to motion segment failure in a region of high strain predicted by Finite Element Analysis.

a, Schematic of zebrafish motion segment (MS) dissection. b, Material testing stage (MTS) schematic and X-radiograph. c, Orthogonal reconstruction slices showing vertebrae and associated soft tissue. d, Three-dimensional reconstruction of the finite element analysis (FEA) model with colours reflecting different materials. e, Details of the nucleus-pulposus (pink) and annulus fibrosis (blue) from d showing linear measurements of inter-vertebral disk (IVD) thickness. f, Predicted compressive deformation and strain map from FEA; dashed lines indicate axes in which boundary conditions were established. g, Changes to IVD width measurements (bracketed dashed line highlights IVD elastic rebound) and h, changes in MS length with increasing load for the three MTS specimens; direction of arrowhead denotes measurement type. i, j Reconstructions of MTS Specimen 1 compressed to 10N (i), and 16N (j) with central vertebra indicated by * in each. k & l Antero-posterior cross-sections of the central vertebra at 10N (k) and 16N (l). Muscle segmented in red, and bone in grey in i-l. Red dashed line in l denotes angle of fracture at the vertebral centrum. m, o FEA strain maps at 10N (m) and 16N (o). Scale shown in n.

Figure 2. Finite Element and geometric morphometric analyses model deformation patterns prior to failure

a-c Landmarks assigned for Three-dimensional geometric morphometric (3D-GM) analysis. d, i & n Results of principal components analyses (PCA) of landmark deformation under increasing compressive loads for each specimen, and deformation predicted by FEA (key in s). Black bracketed lines indicate reduced lateral compression. e, j & o 3D vector plots with black line vectors representing the direction of landmark deformation and colours highlighting the extent of landmark deformation for each vertebra in Specimen 1 (vector scales magnified by 10; colour scale in t). g, i & q Deformation maps predicted by FEA (scales presented in u). f, h, k, m, p & r Examples of fractures (outlined in red for clarity) occurring at compressive loads before failure; corresponding with deformation patterns predicted in FEA and seen Ex vivo.
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