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Abstract

The melting curve of Ni has been determined to 125 GPa using laser-heated diamond anvil cell (LH-DAC) experiments in which two melting criteria were used: firstly, the appearance of liquid diffuse scattering (LDS) during in situ X-ray diffraction (XRD) and secondly, plateaux in temperature vs. laser power functions in both in situ and off-line experiments. Our new melting curve, defined by a Simon-Glatzel fit to the data where $T_M(K) = \left[\left(\frac{P_M^{18.78 \pm 10.20}}{18.78 \pm 10.20} + 1\right)\right]^{1/2.42 \pm 0.66}$ \times 1726, is in good agreement with the majority of the theoretical studies on Ni melting and matches closely the available shock wave melting data. It is however dramatically steeper than the previous off-line LH-DAC studies in which determination of melting was based on the visual observation of motion aided by the laser speckle method. We estimate the melting point ($T_M$) of Ni at the inner-core boundary (ICB) pressure of 330 GPa to be $T_M = 5800 \pm 700$ K ($2\sigma$), within error of the value for Fe of $T_M = 6230 \pm 500$ K determined in a recent in situ LH-DAC study by similar methods to those employed here. This similarity suggests that the alloying of 5-10 wt.% Ni with the Fe-rich core alloy is unlikely to have any significant effect on the temperature of the ICB, though this is dependent on the details of the topology of the Fe-Ni binary phase diagram at core pressures. Our melting temperature for Ni at 330 GPa is \sim 2500 K higher than that found in previous experimental studies employing the laser speckle method. We find that those earlier melting curves coincide with the onset of rapid sub-solidus recrystallization, suggesting that visual observations of motion may have misinterpreted dynamic recrystallization as convective motion of a melt. This finding has significant implications for our understanding of the high-pressure melting behaviour of a number of other transition metals.
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1. Introduction

The inner core of the Earth is perpetually solidifying at the expense of the overlying liquid outer core as the Earth undergoes secular cooling over geological time. The boundary between these two regions (the inner core boundary or ICB) is, by definition, close to the \( P-T \) condition at which the geotherm intersects the solidus of the Fe-rich core alloy. An accurate knowledge of this solidus at the ICB pressure of 330 GPa would provide an anchor for the construction of an accurate geotherm, which would in turn allow us to model more accurately the thermal and chemical structure of the Earth’s core, and by extension, the overlying mantle.

Attempts to estimate the solidus of the core alloy at 330 GPa are complicated by the fact that the composition of the core alloy is itself poorly constrained and the subject of on-going research (e.g.: Fischer et al., 2013; Antonangeli et al., 2010; Aitta, 2010). However, an upper bound is provided by the melting temperature of pure Fe, given that Fe is the dominant component of the core. Although a broad range of techniques have been applied to this end, the resulting estimates for this upper bound on the ICB temperature \( T_{ICB} \) were, until recently, highly contradictory, spanning nearly 3000 K. The lowest published estimate is that of Boehler (1993) at 4850±200 K. This is based on a melting curve for Fe determined from visual observations of motion, interpreted as convection in a melt, up to 200 GPa in the laser-heated diamond anvil cell (LH-DAC). The highest estimate is that of Williams et al. (1987), who estimated a temperature of 7600±500 K by combining similar measurements in the LH-DAC with data from the earlier shock experiments of Brown & McQueen (1986) in which the melting temperature was determined from discontinuous changes in the sound velocity of a shocked Fe sample.

More recently, a consensus has begun to emerge toward the hot end of this range. The most recent \textit{ab initio} molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, based on density functional theory (DFT) lead to an estimate of 6370±100 K (Alfè, 2009) while the state-of-the-art quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) simulations of Sola & Alfè (2009) give 6900±400 K. Both of these estimates compare...
favourably with the value of 6230±500 K extrapolated from the melting curve of Anzellini et al. (2013) which was determined solely on the basis of the appearance of liquid diffuse scattering (LDS) during in situ synchrotron X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements in the LH-DAC. The shock data of Nguyen & Holmes (2004) fall close to this new curve, as does the original point determined by Brown & McQueen (1986). Additionally, novel methods such as the shock melting of pre-heated samples (Ahrens et al., 2002) and the detection of melting by the monitoring of changes in the mean-square displacement of the Fe atom either by nuclear resonant inelastic X-ray scattering (Murphy et al., 2011) or synchrotron Mössbauer spectroscopy in the LH-DAC (Jackson et al., 2013) yield slightly shallower melting curves and thus somewhat lower values of $T_{ICB}$ of ~5700 K that are nevertheless within mutual error of the estimates based on the more traditional methods previously described. These marginally shallower slopes are in good agreement with the most recent shock melting data (Sun et al., 2005; Tan et al., 2005) as well as earlier in situ XRD measurements in the LH-DAC (Ma et al., 2004; Shen et al., 2004; 1998). A more detailed discussion of the Fe melting literature can be found in Anzellini et al. (2013).

Regardless of this apparent consensus, these estimates represent upper bounds on $T_{ICB}$ that will be revised downwards as the effects of alloying elements are included. The relevant alloying elements that must be considered are Ni, of which there may be 5-10 wt.% in Earth’s core, and one or more elements lighter than Fe. The most likely candidates are some subset of Si, O, C, S and H (Aitta, 2010; McDonough, 2004; Allègre, 1995), required to explain the density of both the inner and outer core as determined from seismic studies (Dewaele et al., 2006; Yamazaki et al., 2012; Garai et al., 2011). It is the effect of Ni that is the subject of this study.

The addition of Ni has the potential to reduce $T_{ICB}$ considerably because its experimentally determined melting curves, to date, are very much lower than that of pure Fe: when extrapolated to 330 GPa, the melting curve proposed by Lazor et al. (1993) and that based on the combined datasets of Errandonea et al. (2013; 2001) and Japel et al. (2005) yield melting temperatures of 3300 K and
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3200 K respectively which are 2400-3700 K lower than the range of Fe melting temperatures described above (Fig. 1). Many topologies are possible for the liquidus in the Fe-Ni system, and on the basis of the subsolidus phase relations of Kuwayama et al. (2008) and Tateno et al. (2012), two likely alternatives are shown in Supplementary Figure 1. Assuming melting temperatures of 6230 K for Fe (Anzellini et al., 2013) and 3300 K for Ni (Lazor et al., 1993), a simple linear interpolation indicates that 10 wt.% Ni in the bulk core alloy could reduce the melting temperature by ~300 K. But the depression might be much greater, especially for a topology like that shown in SF1b. Thus, on the basis of the current data for melting of Ni at high pressures, a large melting point depression might be the expectation in the Fe-Ni system. However, as Fig. 1 illustrates, this conclusion suffers from a significant problem: although the existing experimentally determined melting curves for Ni agree closely with one another, there is a very considerable mismatch between these experimental curves and those determined from MD simulations. These have much steeper melting slopes than their LH-DAC experimental counterparts and consequently much higher Ni melting points at 330 GPa: simulations using classical potentials give 5300 K (Bhattacharya et al., 2011), 5900 K (Koči et al., 2006), 6700 K (Weingarten et al., 2009), 6800 K (Zhang et al., 2014b) and 10,000 K (Luo et al., 2010) while extrapolating the only DFT based ab initio study gives 6700 K (Pozzo & Alfè, 2013). The available shock melting data for Ni fall in the middle of this spread of MD melting curves (Fig. 1; Urlin et al., 1966). Although there is huge variation between these MD values, even the lowest (that of Bhattacharya et al., 2011) is ~2000 K higher than the estimates based on the LH-DAC experiments. The absolute reduction in $T_{ICB}$ due to the mixing of Ni with Fe is dependent on the detailed topology of the Fe-Ni system at 330 GPa and it is formally impossible to determine its magnitude from the melting points of the end-members alone. Nonetheless, if these MD melting curves are correct, then for any given topology of the Fe-Ni system, the reduction in $T_{ICB}$ is likely to be significantly smaller than would be expected on the basis of the existing experimental Ni melting curves (Fig. S1). Thus, the
accuracy of any estimate of $T_{ICB}$ (and more generally, the accuracy of any Fe-Ni binary phase diagram at inner core pressures) is strongly dependent upon which of the published melting curves of Ni is correct.

The present study is primarily concerned with the geophysical implications of the melting curve of Ni. However, an additional motivation concerns the high-pressure melting behaviour of the transition metals in general. The striking dissimilarity between the shallow slopes of melting curves determined in LH-DAC experiments and the much steeper slopes determined from MD simulations is not unique to Ni, but is a well-established feature seen in a range of transition metals including Mo, Ta and W (e.g.: Errandonea, 2005). As is the case with Ni, the results of shock melting experiments on these elements (Mo: Nguyen et al., 2014; Hixson et al., 1989; Ta: Brown & Shaner, 1983; W: Hixson & Fritz, 1982) yield temperatures that are much closer to the MD simulations than to the static LH-DAC experiments. This has led to postulated phase diagrams that contain additional high-pressure phases designed to bring the LH-DAC experiments ($P \leq 100$ GPa; e.g. Errandonea et al., 2001) and high pressure shock experiments ($P < 200$ GPa; Nguyen et al., 2014; Hixson et al., 1989) into agreement (e.g.: Errandonea et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2009; Ross et al., 2007a; 2007b). However, these hypotheses fail to explain the huge disparities between the MD simulations (e.g. Cazorla et al., 2007) and shock experiments performed at lower pressures (Zhang et al., 2008) on the one hand and the static LH-DAC experiments on the other at $P \leq 100$ GPa.

An alternative explanation for the discrepancy is that the static LH-DAC melting experiments, in which visual observations of melt motion were used as the primary melting criterion, are not correct. A recent study by Dewaele et al. (2010), in which the melting curve of Ta was determined from the appearance of LDS during in situ XRD in the LH-DAC, found a much steeper melting curve than the earlier LH-DAC studies (Errandonea et al., 2003; 2001) and one that is in reasonable agreement with the MD simulations (Taioli et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2008). This situation is qualitatively similar to the Fe melting curve, in which static melting determinations
based on the appearance of LDS (Anzellini et al., 2013) agree well with the MD simulations (Alfè, 2009; Sola & Alfè, 2009) and shock measurements (e.g.: Nguyen & Holmes, 2004) but are considerably higher than earlier melting curves determined in the LH-DAC using visual observations of melt motion (Boehler, 1993). It seems plausible that what is apparent in Fe and Ta may well turn out to be the case for other elements, such as W, Mo and Ni.

To determine which of the published Ni melting curves are correct, we have collected two sets of melting data, both using the LH-DAC. The first set were performed in situ at beam line ID-27 of the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF) in Grenoble, France (§2.2). In these experiments the appearance of LDS coupled with plateaux in temperature vs. laser power functions were the melting criteria. The second set of measurements were performed off-line at the School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol (§2.3), in which the observation of plateaux in temperature vs. laser power functions was the sole melting criterion (as described in §2.4 and by e.g. Lord et al., 2014; 2010; 2009).
2. Methods

2.1 Sample assemblies

Pressure was generated using Princeton-type symmetric DACs with culets ranging from 250 µm to 150 µm in diameter (the latter bevelled at 8° out to a diameter of 250 µm). Rhenium, initially 250 µm thick was indented to a pressure of 25 GPa and drilled centrally to create a sample chamber \( \frac{1}{3} \) the diameter of the culet. Samples consisted of either ~5 µm thick densified foils made by compressing Ni powder between diamond anvils, or discs cut from 12.5 µm thick Ni sheet (both 99.95% purity; Goodfellow Cambridge Ltd.) using a UV laser ablation unit. The discs were then polished on both sides to a thickness of ~5 µm using 0.1 µm grade Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) impregnated Mylar lapping film and then cleaned under acetone to remove any polishing debris.

Samples slightly smaller than the diameter of the sample chamber were loaded between form fitting discs of KCl or MgO, ~15 µm thick, that acted as both pressure medium and thermal insulation. These discs were cut, also using UV laser ablation, from sheets made by compressing powder in a hydraulic press. Pressure was monitored during compression (in all experiments) as well as before and after laser heating (in the off-line experiments) using the fluorescence of sub-micron grains of Cr:Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) (ruby). In the off-line experiments, these grains were placed next to the sample and between the layers of pressure medium whereas in the in situ X-ray diffraction experiments (in which the ruby was not used to determine the pressure of the experiment) they were placed next to the sample chamber, between the gasket and the diamond anvil, to simplify the analysis of our XRD patterns. After loading, each cell was heated at 120°C for 1 hour under an argon atmosphere before being sealed under the same conditions to remove any water adsorbed during loading.
2.2 In situ experiments

Samples were laser-heated in a double-sided off-axis geometry with temperatures measured spectroradiometrically from the light collected using reflective optics from a $2 \times 2 \, \mu m$ area centred on the 20-30 $\mu m$ diameter laser-heated spot. Before the start of XRD, temperatures were measured on both sides and were equalized by varying the power of the lasers; during XRD, temperature was measured only on the upstream side, due to the need to remove the temperature-measuring optics from the path of the diffracted X-rays on the downstream side. The laser power was increased incrementally and linearly with a 3 s dwell time at each power during which the detector was automatically exposed to the diffracted X-rays. Temperatures were measured continuously and as often as allowed by the acquisition time of the spectrometer, which varied inversely with temperature. Typical temperature steps are <100 K (Fig. 4a) and a complete heating cycle took 5-15 minutes to complete. For further details of the laser heating system see Schultz et al. (2005).

The X-ray beam (33 keV; $\lambda = 0.3738 \, \AA$; FWHM = 3 $\mu m$) was co-aligned to the centre of the laser heated spot using the X-ray induced fluorescence of either the pressure medium or the Re gasket. Diffracted X-rays were collected with a MAR165 CCD detector calibrated for sample to detector distance using a LaB$_6$ standard. The resulting patterns, masked to remove saturated spots, were integrated into 1-D spectra using the Fit2D program (Hammersley, 1997) and fitted using the Le Bail method (Le Bail et al., 1988) as implemented in the GSAS suite of programs (Larson & Von Dreele, 2000; Toby, 2001). Further details of the X-ray optics and beam-line design can be found in Mezouar et al. (2005).

Pressure was determined before and after each melting experiment from the measured unit-cell volume of the Ni sample using the Vinet equation of state (EOS) reported by Dewaele et al. (2008). During laser heating, the total pressure ($P_M$), including the thermal pressure component, $P_{TH}$, was determined from the sample volume and temperature using a Mie-Gruneisen-Debye thermal EOS. This EOS was determined by fitting the high temperature $P-V-T$ data reported in
Table S3 of Campbell et al. (2009) while fixing $K_{0.300}$, $K'_{0.300}$ and $V_{0.300}$ at the values of 176.7 GPa, 5.23 and 10.942 Å$^3$ atom$^{-1}$ respectively, reported by Dewaele et al. (2008). These parameters were chosen because they more accurately reproduce the room temperature $P-V$ data reported in Table S3 of Campbell et al. (2009) than do the parameters reported in their Table 1. This fit gives $y_0 = 2.48 \pm 0.03$, $q = 2.4 \pm 0.3$ and $\Theta_{D,0} = 415$ K (Knacke et al., 1991). In spite of this complication, the effect of the chosen thermal EOS on our results is modest; in our highest-pressure in situ experiment, the calculated pressure of melting at the melting temperature ($T_M$) of the sample is 77±2 GPa using our thermal EOS (described above) and 74±2 GPa if we use the EOS parameters reported in Campbell et al. (2009).

Melting was detected using two criteria: 1) the appearance of plateaux in temperature vs. laser power curves and 2) the appearance of LDS in the XRD patterns. In all experiments we define $T_M$ as the average of the temperatures within the plateau in the temperature vs. laser power function rather than using the appearance of LDS, to make our in situ results directly comparable to our off-line data (§2.3). Because LDS was always observed after the onset of and within a temperature plateau, using the appearance of LDS to define $T_M$ makes almost no difference to our reported values. Our reported uncertainties in $T_M$ are calculated by combining the average of the analytical uncertainty in the temperature measurements used to calculate $T_M$ (2-5 K) with their standard deviation (50-100 K). Similarly, $P_M$ is defined as the average of the pressures determined from all the diffraction patterns collected during the plateau used to define $T_M$. The uncertainties in $P_M$ are calculated in the same way, by combining the average of the uncertainties in the pressures used to determine $P_M$ with their standard deviation. In both cases errors have been combined assuming that they are uncorrelated.
2.3 Off-line experiments

Samples were heated using the on-axis double-sided laser heating system in the School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, which is described in detail in a previous publication (Lord et al., 2014). Briefly, the system consists of two 100 W diode pumped TEM$_{00}$ fibre lasers ($\lambda = 1070$ nm). Beam-shaping optics and variable beam expanders were employed in the laser path to produce a flat-topped temperature profile with a diameter of 10-30 µm at the sample surface. The power to the lasers was automatically increased linearly as a function of time, with a constant offset designed to equalize the initial temperature of the two sample surfaces.

In every experiment, temperature cross-sections were measured spectroradiometrically along a transect across the laser heated spot (simultaneously, on both sides) by fitting the Wien function to spatially resolved spectra of the emitted incandescent light (Walter & Koga, 2004). Details of this technique, the associated uncertainties and the results of ambient pressure calibration experiments are all described in detail elsewhere (Lord et al., 2014; 2010; 2009). In a few experiments, the 1-D spectroradiometric cross-sections were supplemented with 2-D temperature maps measured on the left hand side only using a newly installed multi-spectral imaging radiometry system, based on the design described in Campbell (2008). Briefly, this method involves the acquisition of images of the laser-heated spot at four different wavelengths (670, 750, 800 and 900 nm) on a single CCD camera. The four images are then spatially correlated, based on a calibration image of a backlit pinhole with a diameter of ~2-3 µm such that, at each pixel, four intensity-wavelength data points are available. Temperature and emissivity are then determined at the pixel of interest by fitting the grey-body Wien function to the combined data from a 9 $\times$ 9 pixel box centred on the pixel of interest (giving a total of 324 data points). This last step is done to smooth the measured temperatures to match the optical resolution of the temperature measurement system (~3 µm; Lord et al., 2014). These procedures are replicated for every pixel to give 2-D maps of temperature and emissivity. This method has several advantages over traditional 1-D apertured spectroradiometry.
First of all, because the entire hotspot is imaged, the peak temperature can always be determined. In
spectroradiometry, any slight misalignment of the hot spot with the spectrometer aperture will lead
to an underestimation of the peak sample temperature. This is especially true during melting
experiments, where the hotspot may move rapidly. Secondly, because each of the images can be
focused independently onto the CCD, imaging radiometry should lead to the complete removal of
chromatic effects from the measured temperatures (Lord et al., 2014). Finally, imaging the entire
temperature field potentially allows us to observe the dynamic changes in sample temperature and
morphology that occur during melting which are only partially evident when using
spectroradiometry. These changes may also form the basis of an additional corroborative melting
criterion.

After quenching to room temperature, the fluorescence of the ruby closest to the location of
melting was used to determine the melting pressure, using the calibration of Dewaele et al. (2008).
The uncertainty in these measurements is obtained by combining three uncorrelated terms: one
which encompasses the disagreement between the various recently published ruby scales available
(to a maximum of ±3 GPa at 110 GPa; see Fig. 3 of Dewaele et al. 2008), a second (of ±0.5-1.0
GPa) to take account of radial pressure gradients and a third (±0.2 GPa) to take account of the error
in determining the position of the R\textsubscript{1} fluorescence line. To determine $P_M$ for the off-line
experiments, these post-heating pressures have been corrected for the effects of thermal pressure as
estimated from the \textit{in situ} experiments in which the thermal pressure was measured directly (§2.5).
Reported values of $T_M$ and their uncertainties were determined as in the \textit{in situ} experiments.

\textbf{2.4 Melt detection}

As described in §2.2, $T_M$ was determined from the appearance of features, often plateaux, in
the temperature vs. laser power functions recorded during both the \textit{in situ} and off-line experiments.
This was corroborated, in nearly every \textit{in situ} experiment, by the appearance of LDS in the XRD
patterns; whenever this was the case, the LDS appeared at the same temperature as the plateau, though usually at a higher laser power.

For an in-depth discussion of the rationale behind the use of plateaux in laser power vs. temperature functions as a melting criterion, the reader is referred to Lord et al. (2014; 2010; 2009) and Thomson et al. (2014). In summary, we have successfully applied this technique to a range of materials, including Fe, Pt, Pb, FeS, Fe₃C, Fe₇C₃, the Fe-Fe₃C eutectic, FeSi, and NiSi and the solidi in the MgCO₃-CaCO₃ and MgCO₃-MgSiO₃ systems. In the case of FeSi, Fe₃C, Fe₇C₃, the Fe-Fe₃C eutectic and the eutectics in the MgCO₃-CaCO₃ and MgCO₃-MgSiO₃ systems, our melting curves are corroborated by the ex situ textural analysis of large volume press (LVP) experiments, where measurements overlap. More importantly, there are now several materials for which melting temperatures have been determined using this method and are found to be in excellent agreement with direct observations of melting from the appearance of LDS during in situ XRD. These include, but are not limited to, FeSi (plateaux: Lord et al., 2010; LDS: Fischer et al., 2013), Fe₈₅Ni₅Si₁₀ (plateaux: Lord et al., 2014; LDS: Morard et al., 2011) and Fe₉₁Si₉ (plateaux: Fischer et al., 2013 and Asanuma et al, 2010; LDS: Fischer et al., 2013 and Morard et al., 2011). Most important of all is the case of NiSi, in which LDS was observed to occur concurrently with plateaux in the temperature vs. laser power function (Lord et al., 2014).

2.5 Thermal pressure correction of off-line experiments

To allow our off-line and in situ melting data to be combined, we have corrected our off-line data to include the effects of \( P_{TH} \). For these experiments, the relation \( P_{TH} = \alpha K_T (T_m - T_0) \), which assumes that \( \alpha K_T \) is a constant, does not accurately reproduce our measured values of \( P_{TH} \). Instead, we have determined, by linear regression, the empirical relationship between \( P_{TH} = P_M - P_{300} \) and \( P_{300} \) in our in situ experiments (where \( P_{300} \) is the pressure measured after quenching to room temperature). This relationship is presented in Fig. 2 for experiments in which the pressure medium...
was MgO (filled circles) and KCl (open circles). In both cases the data indicate a linear correlation that is slightly positive, indicating that the magnitude of the thermal pressure will increase with increasing compression, as expected.

It is also clear that at any given value of $P_{300}$, $P_{TH}$ is at least a factor of ~8 smaller when KCl, as opposed to MgO, is used as the pressure medium. This is not surprising because $P_{TH}$ depends on the coefficient of thermal expansion of the sample and the compressibility of the pressure medium and KCl is significantly more compressible than MgO over the $P$-$T$ range of the data.

The data in Fig. 2 relating to each pressure medium are fitted separately, with equal weights, to a straight line, giving $P_{TH} = 7.7(23) + 0.06(5)P_{300}$ for experiments in MgO and $P_{TH} = 0.03(1)P_{300}$ for experiments in KCl (in the latter case the y-intercept was set to 0 to prevent negative thermal pressures at $P < 10$ GPa). The value of $P_M$ for each off-line experiment was then calculated as the sum of $P_{TH}$, calculated using the relations defined above, and $P_{300}$, determined after heating by ruby fluorescence spectroscopy. The uncertainties involved in this $P_{TH}$ correction procedure are fully propagated through to the uncertainties on the reported values of $P_M$ (Table 1).
3. Results

The corrected Ni melting data are presented in Fig. 3 while Table 1 contains the data both with and without correction for $P_{TH}$. It is clear that the off-line and in situ measurements (squares and circles in Fig. 3 respectively) are in excellent agreement with one another, as observed in a previous study on NiSi (Lord et al., 2014). The pressure medium used, either MgO or KCl, also has no significant effect on melting temperature. Our preferred melting curve for Ni (the thick black line in Fig. 3) is an equally weighted fit to all the data, corrected for thermal pressure, using the Simon-Glatzel equation (Simon & Glatzel, 1929), that yields $T_M = [\left(\frac{P_M}{18.78 \pm 1.02} + 1\right)]^{1/2.42 \pm 0.66} \times T_0$, where $T_0 = 1726$ K (the ambient pressure melting point of Ni; Weast et al., 1985).

Extrapolating this fit to the ICB pressure of 330 GPa gives $T_M = 5800 \pm 700$ K (2σ). Fitting the uncorrected data in the same manner gives $T_M = [\left(\frac{P_M}{11.65 \pm 7.93} + 1\right)]^{1/2.82 \pm 0.89} \times T_0$ (the thin black line on Fig. 3) and yields an almost identical value of $T_M = 5700 \pm 900$ K (2σ) at the ICB, suggesting that the effects of thermal pressure and the correction applied to the off-line data has no substantive effect. It should be noted that both fits are highly anti-correlated, with coefficients of -0.99; thus the uncertainties on the two fitting parameters should not be considered independent.

Fig. 4 shows an example of the in situ measurements in which MgO was used as the pressure medium. The sample temperature increases rapidly as a function of total laser power up to 2900 K at 27.8 % (Fig. 4a). At this point the temperature drops slightly and then rises again at a slower rate until remaining essentially constant from 32.2 % laser output until the end of the experiment.

Averaging these temperatures (the filled circles) gives $T_M = 2820 \pm 90$ K. At 38.8 % output (marked by the arrow) LDS appears in the XRD patterns (Fig. 4b) and grows in intensity with increasing laser power until reaching a maximum at ~43 % laser output. As in our previous study on NiSi (Lord et al., 2014), we interpret the correlation between the plateaux in the temperature vs. laser power data and the LDS signal as confirmation that the generation of the plateau is directly
related to melting and is thus an accurate melting criterion. There are several possible reasons as to why the diffuse signal does not appear until after the onset of the plateau. Firstly, the diffuse signal may not be resolvable from the background until a sufficient melt volume is produced. Secondly, the melt may be mobile, making it hard to observe until the majority of the sample is melted at higher laser power; it is common for melted samples to exhibit holes after quenching, suggesting the melt has flowed away from the hotspot, and thus also away from the X-ray beam. Thirdly, this behaviour could be due to slight misalignments between the laser heated spot and the X-ray beam.

When MgO was used as the pressure medium, minor reaction between the mobile melt and the diamond anvils was evident in our in situ experiments. Fig. 5a shows a pattern collected at high temperature just before melting from experiment 65A; all the peaks can be indexed to fcc-Ni and MgO. After quenching (Fig. 5b), new, weak peaks appear that can be indexed using the cementite (Fe$_3$C) structure (space group Pnma), with $a = 4.219(1)$ Å, $b = 4.702(5)$ Å, $c = 6.338(6)$ Å and $V = 125.7(1)$ Å$^3$. These values are very close to (but slightly smaller than) the values predicted for Fe$_3$C by Sata et al. (2010) at the post heating pressure of 56.1 GPa, suggesting that the trace phase is Ni$_3$C, which is a known metastable phase at 1 atm, albeit with a different, hexagonal, structure (Goto et al., 2008). An analysis of the relative areas of the Ni and Ni$_3$C peaks indicate that this phase represents a maximum of 13% of the sample by volume, which corresponds to a maximum C content of 0.55 wt. %. The effect of this minor contamination, which is hard to avoid, would be to reduce the measured melting temperature, assuming the Ni-C system is eutectic at these conditions, thus strengthening further the central conclusion of this paper that the Ni melting curve is hotter than previously thought. No such reaction products were observed after quench in the only in situ experiment in which KCl was used as the pressure medium (Fig. 5c).

Figs. 6 (experiment 77A at 28 GPa) and 7 (experiment 79B at 125 GPa) show examples of the ex situ data spanning the investigated pressure range. In the case of experiment 77A, the sample temperature was measured not only using spectroradiometry on both sides (the circles in Fig. 6a),
but also using multispectral imaging radiometry on the left hand side (the squares; see §2.3).

Between the start of the experiment and a laser output of ~32 %, all three temperature measurements in experiment 77A are in close agreement (Fig. 6a) and the shape of the temperature field remains almost unchanged (compare Fig. 6b and c). This is because in the sub-solidus state, the variation in temperature is primarily a function of sample thickness. Nevertheless, the use of beam-shaping optics (see §2.3) means that the temperature variation within the ~20 µm diameter region on which the laser is incident (represented by the black circle in Fig. 6b) is no more than ±75 K. At ~32.5 % laser output, all three measurements register a sudden and transient increase in temperature. This is correlated with a dramatic change in the shape of the temperature field from a flat-topped Gaussian distribution to a toroidal distribution (Fig. 6d). This may be the result of a sudden ring shaped tear in the sample caused by melting, leading to a sudden increase in temperature in the thinned regions to ~2800 K, with a localized peak in the NW quadrant reaching ~3200 K. This behaviour is often, but not always observed; it likely depends on sample thickness and the strength of the pressure medium. It is not surprising that the spectroradiometric measurements underestimate the peak temperature at this point (see Fig. 6a); though the 1-D transect used for spectroradiometry (represented by the vertical bar in Fig. 6d) will almost certainly, as in this case, intersect the hot ring of the structure in Fig. 6d, it is very unlikely that a localized peak on that ring will happen to coincide with the aperture. As the laser power is increased further, all three measurements plateau while the shape of the temperature field recorded on the left hand side (Fig. 6f-j) changes considerably between every acquisition of data, behaviour that is indicative of the presence of a mobile melt (cf. Fig. 6b and c). The sudden change in the temperature distribution on melting also explains the increased disparity in peak temperature recorded by the three measurements within the melting plateau as compared to the initial temperature ramp (Fig. 6a). It is likely that on the right hand side (the filled circles in Fig. 6a) the aperture used for the spectroradiometric measurements happens to coincide with the location of the hottest part of the...
sample surface, while the aperture on the left (the open circles) does not. It is this kind of misalignment between the rapidly moving melt and the 1-D spectroradiometric aperture that likely accounts for the fact that the scatter in the data in Fig. 3 (up to 300 K) is significantly larger than the formal error bars on the individual data points. In this case, the value of $T_M = 2620 \pm 70$ K was determined by averaging the right hand side spectroradiometric measurements and the multispectral imaging radiometry measurements made on the left hand side.

In the manner of Fischer & Campbell (2010) we looked for melting-related discontinuities in temperature vs. emissivity plots taken from our multispectral imaging radiometry data. However, we did not see any features that correlated consistently with our primary melting criterion. It is probable that such discontinuities, which Fischer & Campbell (2010) observed in melting experiments on wüstite ($\text{Fe}_{0.94}\text{O}$), depend on there being a change in the emissivity of the sample upon melting. The magnitude of this change will be material specific and perhaps is not large enough to be observable in Ni.
4. Discussion

4.1 Comparison with the literature

Over the range of the measurements (to 125 GPa) our new Ni melting curve is in excellent agreement with the majority of the MD studies of Ni melting: the \textit{ab initio} study of Pozzo \& Alfè (2013), and the studies of Weingarten et al. (2009) and Koči et al. (2006) which employed classical potentials. Indeed, the study of Luo et al. (2010), which also used classical potentials, is the only non-experimental study that significantly contradicts our new melting curve (1500 K hotter at 125 GPa). In addition to the MD simulations, the two shock melting points recalculated by Pozzo \& Alfè (2013), on the basis of the equations of state of liquid and solid Ni reported by Urlin et al. (1966), fall almost exactly on our new melting curve.

However, our new Ni melting curve, along with all those determined on the basis of the theoretical and shock-wave data discussed above, diverges dramatically from the melting curves determined from the previous LH-DAC studies (Japel et al., 2005; Errandonea et al., 2001; Lazor et al., 1993). At 125 GPa, these curves are at least 1200 K below that reported here. This difference is most easily explained by the different melting criteria employed in the various studies. In all three of the previous LH-DAC studies, melting was determined on the basis of the observation of motion in the ‘speckle’ pattern created by a green Ar laser on the sample surface during laser heating (the laser speckle method), with the assumption being that such motion represented the convection of a liquid. However, the recent work of Anzellini et al. (2013) on Fe, in which melting was determined using the appearance of LDS during \textit{in situ} XRD in the LH-DAC suggests an alternative explanation. They observed that an earlier (lower) melting curve (Boehler, 1993) that was determined using the laser speckle method, coincided with the onset of sub-solidus recrystallization as evidenced by the rapid change in the position of saturated spots around the Debye-Scherrer diffraction rings from the Fe sample. The supplementary video (S1) accompanying this paper shows the sequence of raw 2-D diffraction patterns collected during \textit{in situ} run 65B at $P_M = 77.4 \pm 2.2$
GPa (see Table 1). At the start of the experiment, semi-continuous Debye-Scherrer rings can be seen from the Ni sample (the rings from the MgO pressure medium remain continuous throughout the experiment). At 2530 K, several large spots appear, associated with one of the Ni rings, indicative of the onset of rapid recrystallization; as the temperature rises, similar spots are present in nearly every pattern, but always in different locations around the Ni rings. In the pattern marked 3820 K toward the end of the video, a single, continuous diffuse ring, indicative of the presence of melt, appears suddenly. In this example, rapid recrystallization begins more than 1000 K before the first appearance of melt, which suggests that this commonly observed pre-melting phenomenon is not an accurate melting criterion. The open triangles on Fig. 3 represent the temperatures at which rapid recrystallization begins in all of the in situ experiments on pure Ni reported here. These temperatures correlate well with the earlier experimental melting curves determined in the LH-DAC using the laser speckle method, which suggests that those earlier studies on Ni, as is likely the case with Fe, were determining the temperature of sub-solidus recrystallization rather than melting. The new results further suggest that local structures in the liquid phase do not control the gradient of the Ni melting curve. Such local structures were proposed by Ross et al. (2007b) as a possible reason for the low gradient of the Ni melting curve as determined by the laser speckle method; in fact, their model from which the entropic effects of local liquid structure is removed matches well with our new melting data, the shock compression data, and the majority of the MD based simulations (cf. Fig. 3 from this paper with Fig. 4 of Ross et al. 2007b).

In contrast, the new Ni melting curve reported here is based on the direct observation of the presence of melt from its diffuse scattering signal during in situ XRD experiments, and the appearance of plateaux in temperature vs. laser power curves, which are themselves correlated with the appearance of LDS in the in situ experiments. The fact that our melting curve agrees closely with both the existing shock wave data and the majority of the computational studies gives us confidence in its accuracy.
4.2 Implications for the phase diagrams of the transition metals

The possibility that the laser speckle method may lead to the misidentification of sub-solidus recrystallization as melting has considerable implications for many other transition metals for which melting curves have been determined using this method. It is well known (Errandonea et al., 2005) that the laser speckle studies on the bcc metals Mo, Ta and W define melting curves which are much lower in temperature than those determined from MD simulations, shock wave experiments, and in the case of Ta, in situ XRD in the LH-DAC where LDS was used as the melting criterion (Dewaele et al., 2010). Co, Ti, V and Cr (Errandonea et al., 2001) have also been studied using the laser speckle method, though less extensively by MD. Nevertheless, a recent MD study on Co (Zhang et al., 2014a) yet again indicates a much steeper melting curve compared to the one generated using the laser speckle method. In contrast, it is also apparent that the laser speckle measurements on Al (Ross et al., 2004) and Cu, Pt and Pd (Errandonea et al., 2013) are in very good agreement with the available shock wave and MD melting curves. We suggest that additional studies should be performed on all of these metals, using the melting criteria employed in this study, to determine whether the shallow slopes genuinely represent melting, and why the laser speckle method appears to define melting accurately in some materials but not others.

4.3 Implications for the temperature at the ICB

Extrapolating our melting curve to the pressure of the ICB (330 GPa) yields $T_M = 5800 \pm 700$ K (2σ), which falls within error of the classical MD study of Koči et al. (2006; $T_M = 5950 \pm 50$ K) and the cell-theory based study of Bhattacharya et al. (2011; $T_M = 5330 \pm 50$ K). In contrast, the only ab initio MD study of Ni melting (Pozzo & Alfè, 2013) predicts a value of 6740±180 K, nearly 1000 K higher. This value is however, like ours, an extrapolation, with simulations having only been performed to 100 GPa, all of which give values within error of our
new melting curve. In contrast, the \textit{ab initio} MD study of Fe by Alfè et al. (2009), which used a
similar method but was performed at 330 GPa, thus requiring no extrapolation, yields $T_M = 6400 \pm 100$ K which is very close to the value determined from the \textit{in situ} LH-DAC experiments of
Anzellini et al. (2013; $T_M = 6230 \pm 500$ K). It is apparent from the above (and Fig. 3) that the
melting point of Ni at the ICB from this study and the value for Fe from the study of Anzellini et al.
(2013), both of which rely on the appearance of LDS during \textit{in situ} XRD, are within error of each
other. This is also the case (albeit at a somewhat higher temperature) for the most recent \textit{ab initio}
MD studies on Ni (Pozzo & Alfè, 2013) and Fe (Alfè et al., 2009). This suggests that, regardless of
which method is most accurate, Fe and Ni have very similar melting points at 330 GPa.

It is formally impossible to determine the melting point of an intermediate composition
within a binary system from the melting points of the end-members alone. However, our new
melting curve for Ni suggests that the reduction in $T_{ICB}$ is likely to be significantly smaller than
would be expected were the existing experimental Ni melting curves correct (Fig. S1), further
bolstering claims that Earth’s core is hotter than previously thought (Anzellini et al., 2013). To
settle this question completely, full computational and experimental studies designed to determine
the phase relations in the Fe-Ni binary system at core pressures are required. Nevertheless, our Ni
melting curve adds a significant new constraint on those phase relations.
5. Conclusions

We have presented a new melting curve for Ni to 125 GPa, based on the appearance of LDS during *in situ* XRD in the LH-DAC and plateaux in temperature vs. laser power functions in both *in situ* and off-line experiments. The new melting curve is in excellent agreement with the majority of the theoretical (primarily MD) studies on Ni melting, and matches closely the available shock wave data. We estimate the melting temperature of Ni at the ICB pressure of 330 GPa as $T_M = 5800 \pm 700$ K (2σ), which is 2500 K higher than the value of $T_M \approx 3300$ K from the studies of Lazor et al. (1993), Japel et al. (2005) and Errandonea et al. (2013; 2001) which employed the laser speckle method as the melting criterion but close to the value of $T_M = 6230 \pm 500$ K for Fe from the recent study of Anzellini et al. (2013) as determined by methods comparable to those used here. Our new melting curve for Ni suggests that the reduction in $T_{ICB}$ is likely to be significantly smaller than would be expected were the existing experimental Ni melting curves correct, further bolstering claims that Earth’s core is hotter than previously thought (Anzellini et al., 2013).

Along with FeSi (Fischer et al., 2013) and NiSi (Lord et al., 2014), this study provides a further example of the accuracy as a melting criterion of plateaux in temperature vs. laser power functions because, in each case, melting temperatures determined in this way correlate exactly with direct observations of melting from the appearance of LDS during *in situ* XRD.

Analysis of our XRD patterns indicates that the earlier melting curves for Ni, determined by the laser speckle method, correlate with the onset of sub-solidus recrystallization rather than melting, as was observed in Fe (Anzellini et al., 2013). This has significant implications for a number of other transition metals, such as Mo, W, Co, V, Ti and Cr that also exhibit shallow melting slopes, but have thus far only been studied in the LH-DAC using the laser speckle method.

Finally, our 2-D temperature mapping, generated using multispectral imaging radiometry (Campbell, 2008) shows dramatic changes on melting in the dynamics of the temperature field that could be employed as a useful additional melting criterion in off-line LH-DAC studies.
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Figure Captions

Figure 1: Comparison between the phase diagrams of Fe and Ni up to 330 GPa based on selected data from the literature. Thick lines and bold labels: the Fe phase diagram of Anzellini et al. (2013); thin lines: Ni melting curves. Dashed lines indicate extrapolation. Experimental Ni melting curves include that of Lazor et al. (1993; L93) and a curve fitted to the combined datasets of Errandonea et al. (2013; 2001; E01) and Japel et al. (2005; J05). Curves based on MD simulations include those of Bhattacharya et al. (2011; B11), Koči et al. (2006; K06), Pozzo & Alfè (2013; P&A13), Zhang et al. (2014b; Z14) and Luo et al. (2010; L10). The MD simulations of Weingarten et al. (2009; W09) only extend to 15 GPa and so are not shown separately for clarity, but match almost exactly the melting curve of Pozzo & Alfè (2013). Closed triangles: shock melting points recalculated by Pozzo & Alfè (2013) on the basis of the equations of state of liquid and solid Ni reported by Urlin et al. (1966).

Figure 2: $P_{TH} = P_M - P_{300}$ plotted as a function of $P_{300}$ for in situ experiments, where $P_M$ is the total pressure determined from the volume and temperature of the sample as measured during melting and $P_{300}$ is the pressure measured after quenching to room temperature. Closed symbols, Ni with MgO as the pressure medium; Open symbols, KCl as the pressure medium with samples of pure Ni (empty), Ni$_{91.6(4)}$Si$_{8.4(4)}$ (crosses) and Ni$_{95.8(2)}$Si$_{4.2(2)}$ (pluses). The data from the two Ni-Si alloy compositions are from unpublished experiments in which the KCl pressure medium was also used as the pressure standard. The lines are equally weighted linear regressions of the data with the fit for KCl forced through zero to prevent negative thermal pressures at $P < 10$ GPa.

Figure 3: Ni melting data collected in situ at the ESRF (circles) and off-line at Bristol (squares). For clarity, only the data corrected for the effects of thermal pressure $P_{TH}$ are shown. See §2.5 of
the text for details of the correction procedure and Table 1 for the uncorrected values. The thick black line is an equally weighted fit using the Simon-Glatzel equation while the grey field is a 2σ error envelope. The thin black line is a similar fit to the uncorrected data (not shown). The red open triangles represent the estimated temperature of the onset of rapid recrystallization in our in situ experiments. The grey lines represent other Ni melting curves reported in the literature based on experiments (thick) and MD simulations (thin) labelled as in Fig. 1, with dashed lines representing extrapolation. Closed triangles: shock melting points recalculated by Pozzo & Alfè (2013) on the basis of the equations of state of liquid and solid Ni reported by Urlin et al. (1966). The black cross at 330 GPa represents the melting point of pure Fe based on the in situ experiments of Anzellini et al. (2013). Experimentally determined melting curves for the MgO and KCl pressure media are from Zerr & Boehler (1994) and Boehler et al. (1996) respectively.

**Figure 4:** In situ run 59A (Ni in MgO at $P_M = 45.8 \pm 1.3$ GPa). (a) Temperature vs. laser power plot. The grey bar represents the melting temperature determined from the points within the melting plateau (filled circles). The arrow represents the laser power at which LDS was first observed; LDS was observed in all subsequent data above this power, which are colour coded as a function of laser power. (b) XRD patterns colour coded to match (a). The black spectrum is the pattern collected immediately before the onset of LDS; the dashed line is a fit to its background. Tick marks from top to bottom represent Ni in the fcc structure and MgO. A constant intensity offset is applied to each pattern such that all the patterns match at $2\theta = 8^\circ$.

**Figure 5:** Le Bail fits (red lines) and difference curves (blue lines) of XRD data (black crosses) from experiment 65A immediately before melting (a) and after temperature quench (b) and from experiment 35A, also after quenching (c). Upper tick marks are for fcc-Ni; lower tick marks are for MgO in (a) and (b) and for B2-KCl in (c). The arrows in (b) represent a quenched trace carbide.
Figure 6: Off-line run 77A (Ni in KCl at $P_M = 27.7 \pm 2.6$ GPa). (a) Temperature vs. laser power plot. Grey bar as in Fig. 4. Spectroradiometric measurements are represented by the circles (filled for the right-hand side, open for the left-hand side) while multispectral imaging radiometry measurements (made on the left-hand side only) are represented by the open squares. (b-j) Temperature maps determined by multispectral imaging radiometry measurements, colour coded as a function of temperature. The black circle in (b) represents the approximate location of the ~20 µm diameter incident laser beam while the grey bar in (d) represents the approximate location of the 3 µm wide aperture used for spectroradiometry. The letters b-j in (a) correspond to these temperature maps.

Figure 7: Off-line run 79B (Ni in MgO at $P_M = 125.1 \pm 2.6$ GPa). Symbols as in Fig. 5.
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Table 1: Melting data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Pressure medium</th>
<th>( P_{300} ) (GPa)</th>
<th>( P_M ) (GPa)</th>
<th>( T_M ) (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>76A</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>9.9±0.6</td>
<td>18.2±2.4</td>
<td>2091±59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76B</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>10.8±0.6</td>
<td>19.2±2.4</td>
<td>2140±96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70A</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>16±0.7</td>
<td>24.8±2.4</td>
<td>2635±75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77A</td>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>27±1</td>
<td>27.7±2.6</td>
<td>2618±70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42A</td>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>29±1</td>
<td>29.8±2.7</td>
<td>2372±146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71A</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>22±0.9</td>
<td>31.1±2.5</td>
<td>2564±24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42B</td>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>31±1</td>
<td>31.9±2.7</td>
<td>2529±117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70B</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>26±1</td>
<td>35.4±2.6</td>
<td>2874±78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77B</td>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>38±1.2</td>
<td>39.0±2.9</td>
<td>2700±66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35A</td>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>41.7±1.3</td>
<td>44.0±2.7</td>
<td>2636±107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59B</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>35±1.1</td>
<td>45.7±1.8</td>
<td>2894±83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59A</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>36.8±1.1</td>
<td>45.8±0.9</td>
<td>2816±44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70C</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>36±1.2</td>
<td>46.0±2.8</td>
<td>2930±76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71B</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>47±1.5</td>
<td>57.7±3.2</td>
<td>3159±66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65A</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>56.1±1.9</td>
<td>68.3±2.4</td>
<td>3470±69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71C</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>64±2.2</td>
<td>75.8±3.7</td>
<td>3354±97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65B</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>66±2.2</td>
<td>77.4±1.9</td>
<td>3683±37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75A</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>69.8±2.3</td>
<td>82.0±4.0</td>
<td>3514±91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75B</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>78.5±2.6</td>
<td>91.3±4.3</td>
<td>3356±58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79A</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>108±3.4</td>
<td>122.6±5.5</td>
<td>3846±72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79B</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>110.4±3.5</td>
<td>125.1±5.6</td>
<td>4014±104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data in bold were collected *in situ*