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Abstract

Muscle tissue is a fundamentally eumetazoan attribute. The oldest evidence for fossilized muscular tissue before the early Cambrian has hitherto remained moot, being reliant upon indirect evidence in the form of late Ediacaran ichnofossils. We here report a candidate muscle-bearing organism, *Haootia quadriformis* n. gen., n. sp., from ~560 Ma strata in Newfoundland, Canada. This taxon exhibits sediment molds of twisted, superimposed fibrous
bundles arranged quadrilaterally, extending into four prominent bifurcating corner branches.

*Haootia* is distinct from all previously published contemporaneous Ediacaran macrofossils in its symmetrically fibrous, rather than frondose, architecture. Its bundled fibers, morphology, and taphonomy compare well with the muscle fibers of fossil and extant Cnidaria, particularly the benthic Staurozoa. *H. quadriformis* thus potentially provides the earliest body fossil evidence for both metazoan musculature, and for Eumetazoa, in the geological record.

**Main text:** Sediments of late Ediacaran age (~580–541 Ma) record the fossilized remains of a diverse global assemblage of soft-bodied macro-organisms. The biological affinities of these late Ediacaran megafossils remain the subject of considerable debate (*summarized in* ref. 1). Following their initial discovery, Ediacaran soft-bodied organisms were commonly assigned to metazoan groups (*see for example* ref. 2, *or the classification tables in* ref. 3, p. 240–242). However, the revolution in Ediacaran thinking brought about by the Vendobiont hypothesis of Seilacher [4] led to reconsideration of many of those assignments. Recent years have witnessed a trend towards interpreting individual taxa as candidate stem- and crown-group metazoans. Described with varying degrees of confidence, these currently include potential sponges [5-8], anthozoan, hydrozoan and scyphozoan cnidarians [9-11], ctenophores [12], placozoans [13], early molluscs (ref. 14; *though see* ref. 15), and even ascidian chordates [16]. These fossils are largely found in successions of ~555–541 Ma, in South China, Brazil, the White Sea region of Russia, Namibia, and the Flinders Ranges of South Australia [17, 18]. Further evidence for the presence of metazoans in the late Ediacaran Period, and indirectly for muscular tissue, comes from simple, putatively bilaterian, surface trace fossils from the previously mentioned localities [19-21], horizontal surface traces with crescentic internal divisions made by motile, muscular organisms [22, 23] ~565 Ma [24], and vertical equilibration traces from Newfoundland [23]. Prior to 565 Ma, the potential fossil
record of animals is restricted to claims for biomarkers (e.g., demosponge steranes of >635 
Ma, ref. 25; though see ref. 26); various specimens interpreted as possible sponges from the 
Early and Middle Neoproterozoic (refs 27-29; though see ref. 8); and traces of contested age 
and origin [30-32]. The absence of clear metazoan body fossils until the latest Ediacaran 
Period renders these earliest reports open to debate. Independent estimates for the first 
appearance of animals in the Neoproterozoic vary widely, but recent molecular phylogenetic 
studies predict that most stem-group divergences between extant metazoan phyla occurred 
within the Cryogenian and Ediacaran Periods [33].

Newfoundland, in eastern Canada, contains some of the oldest non-algal Ediacaran 
macrofossil assemblages, dated to ~579–560 Ma [34]. Although ichnological evidence for the 
presence of metazoans in assemblages of this age has been reported [22, 23, 35], metazoan 
body plans have yet to be convincingly demonstrated. We here report *Haootia quadriformis* 
n. gen., n. sp. (figure 1) from the lower Fermeuse Formation of the Bonavista Peninsula of 
Newfoundland (~560 Ma; figure S1; Text S1). This organism exhibits structures wholly 
consistent with collagenous musculature, in the form of twisted and superimposed fibrous 
bundles arranged in a quadrilaterally symmetrical pattern.

**Phylum CNIDARIA** Hatschek, 1888 [36]

**Genus HAOOTIA gen. nov.**

*Derivation of name.* From the Beothuk (language of the indigenous population of 
Newfoundland) term *Haoot*, meaning *demon*, describing the striking appearance of the 
holotype.

*Type species.* *Haootia quadriformis* n. gen., n. sp.
Diagnosis (of genus). Soft-bodied, quadrilaterally symmetrical organism possessing a smooth discoidal structure connected by a relatively short stem to a quadrate body comprising numerous regularly-aligned linear fibers. The fibers extend laterally across the body, linking adjacent corners. Converging fibers extend beyond each corner to form an elongate branch, which divides dichotomously to form smaller, distally tapering sub-branches. Smaller branches also emanate from the lateral margins of the quadrate body, and these too branch dichotomously.

*Haootia quadriformis* sp. nov.

figures 1–2a

Derivation of name. From the Latin *quadri* (fourfold), and *formis* (form), relating to the quadrilateral symmetry of the organism’s body.

Holotype. The original specimen, discovered by MDB in 2008, remains uncollected in the field according to provincial law in Newfoundland. A plastotype is held within the collections of the Oxford University Museum of Natural History, specimen OUM AT.424/p.

Horizon and locality. From the lower part of the late Ediacaran Fermeuse Formation, St. John's Group [37]. The specimen resides within a turbiditic marine succession (Text S1, figure S2) on the north shore of Back Cove, roughly 1.8 km NNW of the town of Melrose, Bonavista Peninsula, Newfoundland, Canada (figure S1).

Diagnosis. As per the genus.

Remarks. *Haootia quadriformis* n. gen., n. sp. is known from the holotype specimen, and one additional incomplete specimen from the Trepassey Formation of Burnt Point, Bonavista Peninsula (figures 1f, S1, S5; designated the paratype). The smaller paratype specimen has
been preserved in lateral view, and displays an anchoring support structure, lineated stem, and a furrowed body with apparent branches (figures 1f, S5).

*Description.* The non-retrodeformed holotype bears a discoidal structure 56 x 37 mm in diameter, preserved in negative epirelief. The disc interior is smooth, apart from faint concentric ridges at its outer margin (figure 1a), and a small slightly raised central structure of 9 mm diameter with several tight concentric rings (figure 1e). This central structure appears to form the attachment point for a short 7 mm wide, lineated stalk-like structure, 32 mm in length, which extends to the center of the quadrate body (figure 1a). The body is preserved as a rectangular sheet 49 x 72 mm in dimension, characterized by well-defined positive epirelief linear ridges (fibers) that are 100–600 µm wide and have peaks spaced 200 µm–1 mm apart. Individual fibers are finely lineated, exhibiting a structure composed of bundles of parallel strands (figure 1a–b). In places, these strands split and then re-join (figure 1b). At the four corners of the body, the fibers converge to form bundles that progress distally into elongate extensions, here termed branches (figure 1c). Each of the four corner branches bifurcates up to three times, and taper towards their distal end, with those fibers that persist distally decreasing in number after each successive branching point (figures 1a, c). Branches were originally flexible, as demonstrated by 180° changes in direction of some examples to face the predominant flow direction, as inferred from alignment of nearby unipolar rangeomorphs and *Charniodiscus* specimens (figure 1a), and by their apparent ability to become twisted and rotated (figure 1c). Location of the bulk of the organism down-current of the circular disc in both known specimens is consistent with entrainment by a flow on the seafloor prior to burial (figures 1a, 1f, S5).

Along the margins of the body sheet, between the four corners, further smaller bundles of linear fibers converge to form small branches that divide dichotomously.
Additionally, along the two shorter edges of the compacted body, linear fibers running from
the adjacent corners combine to form bundles that bulge in the middle (figure 1a). In contrast,
along the two longer edges the fibers are less obviously clustered into discrete structures, and
continue broadly parallel to one-another.

A prominent linear structure preserved in positive epirelief runs up the center-right of
the impression, and the fibers of the surface of the body appear to drape over it (figure 1a).
The narrow morphology of this structure and its similar topographic relief to the branches
leads us to suggest that it reflects a primary branch from the lower-right corner (as seen in
figure 1a), folded beneath the body at the time of burial.

Discussion: *H. quadriformis* displays several unique morphological traits, the most striking of
which is an apparently symmetrical, fibrous body with regularly arranged branches (figure
2b). The superficial impression of bilateral symmetry in the holotype (figure 2c) was
arguably brought about by oblique collapse and differential contraction of the body.
Biostratinomic distortion is further enhanced by tectonic stretching. We thus infer that the
original body was quadrilaterally symmetrical in life (figures 2d, 3b), and we suggest that the
bedding plane relationships of the holotype specimen indicate composite preservation of a
mold of the base of the anchoring adhesive disc, and the upper surface and internal structure
of the body. The apparent draping of the quadrate body over the disc edge implies that the
body lay above both the disc and stem on the seafloor at the time of burial (figure 1a). On the
basis of the position of the disc upstream of the quadrate body, we infer that the disc was a
tethering structure similar to those of associated frondose taxa (e.g. figure S3a–c), and that
*Haootia* was epibenthic.

The complex structure of *H. quadriformis*, with prominent bundles of fibers
showing consistent directional changes within a discrete sheet-like structure, is not readily
explained by tectonic or sedimentological processes. Unusual environmental taphonomic
conditions can also be ruled out, since neighboring specimens of recognizable macrofossil
taxa on the bedding planes (e.g. figure 1a) do not differ in preservation or appearance from
those found abundantly throughout the region. All other fossil impressions on these surfaces
(figure S3) lack fibrous structures of the kind described here.

*Is this a known Ediacaran macrofossil taxon?*

Whereas typical frondose Ediacaran taxa possess either leaf-like morphologies or some
evidence for alternating rangeomorph branching elements [38, 39], such features are lacking
in *Haootia. Primocandelabrum* sp. [37] (figure S6d), a superficially similar contemporaneous
rangeomorph bearing multiple branches attached by a stem to a disc, can be distinguished by
its lack of quadrilateral symmetry, and its rangeomorph branching. Furthermore, in rare
specimens where longitudinal ridges are preserved along the length of a *Primocandelabrum*
[40], such ridges are wider, more broadly spaced, and less regular in arrangement than those
seen in *Haootia*. The disc in the holotype *Haootia* specimen also differs distinctly from
others found on the same surface, being smoother, with lower topographic relief (figure 1a)
and fewer concentric rings (figure S3).

Examples of putative tissue differentiation in Ediacaran macrofossils have typically
proven controversial. Structures interpreted as external sheaths and membranes have been
described in *Pteridinium* and *Rangea* from Namibia [41, 42], and in rare rangeomorphs from
Newfoundland [43], although the latter examples likely have a sedimentological origin [44].
Such claimed sheaths are typically smooth, and lack the fibrous character of *Haootia*. The
internal anatomy of other Ediacaran macrofossils is largely inferred from composite
impressions explained by biostratigraphic collapse of tissues (e.g. ref. 45, fig. 2), or from
three-dimensional specimens in-filled by sediment (e.g. refs 46, 47). However, such typically
lobate structures do not exhibit the wavy fibrous symmetry of *H. quadriformis*. Whereas the linear fibrous construction of the alga *Flabellophyton* from South China and Australia [48] shows some similarity with fibers of *Haootia*, those fossils lack a large holdfast, a stem-mounted body, or quadrilateral symmetry. It could be argued that the linear fibers in *Haootia* result from the deformation or twisting of a non-muscular integument, but that cannot explain their presence across the whole body, their multi-directionality, or their symmetry. Rough comparison may be made with the ‘crumpled’ margins of *Karakhtia* from the White Sea [49], but the folds in *Karakhtia* are irregular in shape and direction, radiate from the centre of the organism to the outer margin, and become more finely spaced towards the specimen edges. Differences are also apparent when considering linear features associated with ‘mop’ structures in Australia. ‘Mop’ plausibly results when a disc, embedded in a microbial mat, has been dragged by unidirectional currents [50] to produce unidirectional or evenly radiating marks. In contrast, *Haootia* fibers form bands that are multidirectional and often run parallel to the margins of the impression, and that appear to converge at both ends (figure 1a). Longitudinal furrows are known within ribbon-like *Harlaniella* [51]. Such linear features demonstrate how individual Ediacaran taxa can exhibit a variety of putative internal morphologies as a result of differential taphonomic processes. Such features will also require explanation, but on the available evidence, we do not consider *Haootia* to represent a taphonomic variant of any currently known Ediacaran taxon. Contemporaneous microbial fabrics can exhibit linear striated morphologies (e.g. *Arumberia*; ref. 52), but are not typically localized in their occurrence; do not possess a sharp boundary to the impression; and are not known to form symmetrically arranged bifurcating structures.

*Metazoan affinities?*
Haootia’s size and complex, regular morphology demand consideration of metazoan affinities. Its symmetry and the lack of evidence for pores or spicules argues against Porifera (following ref. 8). The presence of numerous branches, absence of comb rows, and inferred benthic mode of life likewise make comparison with Ctenophora problematic. Possession of quadrilateral structure, a central radial disc, and fibrous soft tissues, clearly invite comparison with living and fossil Cnidaria.

Although the extant Phylum Cnidaria includes morphologically and genetically disparate taxa [53, 54], their molecular phylogeny confirms a basal position within the Eumetazoa [55]. Cnidarians are classically united by the possession of cnidocytes, diploblastic construction, and radial symmetry, but suggestions of a wider variety of symmetry states (e.g. refs 56-58) are supported by genetic arguments for the presence of bilateral symmetry in the eumetazoan common ancestor [59], and the presence of a mesoderm-like layer has been recognized in some cnidarian taxa (cf. ref. 60; Text S2).

The bundles of fibrous ridges within the body of Haootia compare favourably in size, order, and arrangement to the preserved muscular tissue of modern cnidarians. Cnidarians can possess smooth and/or striated muscular tissue [60, 61] (Text S2), both of which can form fibrous bundles arranged in a similar manner to those in Haootia [62] (figures 3a, S6). Rare fossil examples of cnidarian muscular tissue (e.g. refs 63-65) typically comprise impressions of regularly arranged ridges (e.g. ref. 64, p. 63, fig.55). These are best known in fossil scyphozoan medusae, where coronal and radial muscles of the sub-umbrella are often grouped into bundles (e.g. ref. 66) and are preserved as casts and molds in a taphonomic style similar to that seen in the Ediacaran siliciclastic settings of Newfoundland [67]. The morphology of soft-bodied fossil cnidarians is typically influenced by muscle contraction at the time of burial [64]. Twisting and overlapping of fossil medusa tentacles [68] also compare closely with Haootia’s flexible branches. Phalloidin fluorescence reveals
that the 1–2.5 \( \mu \text{m} \)-width smooth muscle fibers in the extant parasitic hydrozoan *Polypodium hydriforme* run longitudinally up the length of the tentacles [62] in an arrangement strikingly similar to individual fibers in *H. quadriformis*. Furthermore, the junction between muscles in the tentacles and those in the body of *P. hydriforme* produces a similar ‘truncated’ surface to the ridges observed in *Haootia* (figure 1d; ref. 62, fig. 4A), and individual fibers can also split and/or join one-another. These morphological and structural similarities lead us to the conclusion that the fibrous structures preserved within *Haootia* may well represent the soft-tissue impressions of cnidarian musculature. If so, this specimen significantly pre-dates previously documented preserved muscular tissues, the oldest of which are early Cambrian in age [69, 70].

Striated muscle fibers have been demonstrated to be present in the cubozoan *Tripedalia cystophora* (ref. 71, fig. 5), and although individual fibers are of smaller magnitude than those seen in *Haootia quadriformis*, they are nevertheless very similar in gross morphology. Smooth muscle has also been observed in cnidarians, and can form macroscopic fibrous bundles within the tentacles of several scyphozoans [60] and cubozoans [71, 72]. Distinguishing between bundles of smooth and striated muscle cells in the fossil record is not likely to be possible when only soft tissue impressions are available for study. In the living actinian *Metridium*, the better-developed (smooth) longitudinal muscles are notably found in the ectoderm of the tentacles, with circular muscles located in the endoderm (ref. 73, p. 79; *contra* ref. 74). This differentiation of muscle groups within different tissues may explain why we only see longitudinal ridges along the branches of *Haootia*, with no clear evidence for circular bands.

The preservation of muscular tissue in the Phanerozoic is uncommon, and is typically restricted to Konservat Lagerstätten [75]. In many cases, particularly involving
arthropod and vertebrate muscle, preservation takes place via authigenic replacement of muscular tissues by calcium phosphate or clay minerals [76], or via sulfurization of organic matter [65]. In the Ediacaran, taphonomic processes were significantly different, and soft-tissue preservation was commonly facilitated by the early diagenetic, microbially-induced casting of fossil exteriors in framboidal pyrite [44, 77], or by rapid burial beneath volcanic ash [78]. Such mouldic preservation is unusual in the Phanerozoic, but has been documented to preserve cnidarians (and significantly impressions of their muscular tissue) at several localities [68].

An important consideration is explaining how internal muscle tissues are preserved in this manner, when in other Ediacaran macrofossils we typically only see external morphology. In taphonomic experiments involving modern hydrozoans and scyphozoans, impressions of muscular tissues were not preserved [79, 80]. However, the absence of microbial mats on the experimental surfaces [79], and the desiccation of specimens [80], precludes direct comparison between those studies and Ediacaran taphonomic conditions. We suggest that rapid degradation of an external integument in Haootia (such as the epidermis, <50µm thick in some modern cnidarians; ref. 81) upon death and burial exposed the relatively more robust muscular tissues, and permitted them to be cast in the same manner as contemporaneous Ediacaran macrofossils.

We infer that the muscle-like fibers seen in Haootia likely facilitated extension and retraction of branches for gathering food, as with the tentacles of modern cnidarian polyps. We see neither a distinct mouth-like structure nor a gastro-vascular cavity, so their presence must be inferred at the center of the quadrilateral body. Similarly, structures similar to canals or mesenteries are not clearly distinguishable. Interpretation of the disc as a benthic holdfast then implies a polyp-like organism, with a gross body-plan most similar to that of living
staurozoans (e.g. figure 3). The fibers within *Haootia* are consistent with the positioning of muscular fibers in the calyx of modern Staurozoa [82] (figure 3a), being longitudinal within the stalk and branches of the specimen but mainly positioned laterally (i.e. parallel to the margins in a manner analogous to coronal musculature in modern forms; ref. 81) in the body. However, the additional marginal branches in *Haootia* are unlike anything seen in staurozoans, which typically possess only eight arms. *Haootia* also lacks fossilized evidence for morphological features such as anchors, gonads, nematocyst clusters, or characteristic tissue structures observed in histological sections through modern Staurozoa (e.g. ref. 81).

Since *Haootia* is also considerably larger than most extant Staurozoa, and possesses an unusually large holdfast disc, we are not in a position to assign it to the Class Staurozoa on the basis of available evidence. Cubozoans can also possess bifurcating tentacles and four-fold symmetry, but extant forms are pelagic, not benthic as inferred for *Haootia*.

Interestingly, symplesiomorphies within the Medusozoa have been proposed to include the presence of four intramesogleal muscles [83]. The Medusozoa are usually considered to have a long evolutionary history, with divergence from the Octocorallia conservatively estimated to have taken place at least ~571 Ma [84]. If correct, medusozoan ancestors, and indeed diverse cnidarian ancestors, would be expected within late Ediacaran marine environments. The suggestion that Staurozoa is the sister group to all other medusozoan classes (refs 83, 85, *though see* ref. 55) potentially indicates a similarly ancient evolutionary history for that clade. Further comparisons with the body plans of extant cnidarians are limited by our poor understanding of deep sea forms [86], and the absence of many extinct forms (cf. ref. 56). Until further morphological evidence is obtained, we therefore suggest that the muscular *Haootia quadriformis* n. gen., n. sp. occupied a position within the Cnidaria, and potentially within the stem-group Medusozoa.
The significance of a cnidarian at ~560 Ma

Interpretation of *Haootia quadriformis* as a muscular cnidarian leads us to examine the early fossil record of the Phylum Cnidaria. Cnidarians appear to have diversified into several major clades by the middle Cambrian, as evidenced by the presence of probable anthozoan actinians [87-90] and corals [91-94], scyphozoans [95], possible hydrozoans and cubozoans [63, 96], and cnidarians of unknown affinity [97] in lower and middle Cambrian strata, with conulariids [98] and mass strandings of medusae [99, 100] additionally reported in the upper Cambrian [see also ref. 68]. Some of the earliest interpretations of the original Ediacara biota of Australia proposed cnidarian medusoid affinities for discoidal specimens [101-103], but many of these have since been disputed (e.g. refs 68, 104). Similarly, interpretation of *Inaria* as an actinian-grade, muscle-bearing polyp [105] has been questioned following taphonomic and morphodynamic analysis [74]. Other reports of cnidarians in latest Ediacaran rocks include *Pambikalbae* as a ?hydrozoan [106]; interpretation of the tubular fossils *Corumbella* and *Vendoconularia* as scyphozoans similar to the conulariids [9, 11, 107]; discussion of the biomineralized genera *Cloudina* and *Namacalathus* as ‘cnidariomorphs’ [108]; and the possible calcified cnidarian *Namapoikia* [109]. Fossils from the late Ediacaran Doushantuo Formation have been tentatively compared to tabulates [110, 111] and hydrozoans [10]. Elsewhere, the recent reinterpretation of certain middle Ediacaran carbonaceous fossils from the Lantian Biota as potential conulariids [112] is of interest. Traces of actinian-like locomotion in deep marine sediments ~565–560 Ma are also germane here [22, 23]. All claims for Neoproterozoic metazoans should be critically assessed on a case-by-case basis, much as with the early sponge fossil record [8]. At the time of writing, however, the studies cited above clearly indicate morphological diversity of fossil cnidarian candidates in the late Ediacaran/early Cambrian. Such fossils have also been used to help calibrate recent molecular estimates of bilaterian-cnidarian divergence during the Ediacaran Period [33].
Cnidarian-like body fossils from Newfoundland at ~560 Ma also raise important questions about tissue differentiation, feeding strategy, food sources, and the complexity of late Ediacaran ecosystems. Our interpretation of *Haootia quadriformis* as a muscular metazoan of cnidarian grade arguably represents the earliest known evidence for preservation of muscular tissue in the geological record, and one of the earliest claims for a eumetazoan *(see also refs 10, 112). Haootia* therefore delivers a key calibration point for studies of early eumetazoan evolution and body symmetry.
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Figure 1. Haootia quadriformis n. gen., n. sp., lower Fermeuse Formation of Back Cove, Bonavista Peninsula, Newfoundland. (a) H. quadriformis holotype specimen. Note the negative-relief central disc, interpreted as a holdfast, and the broadly bilaterally symmetrical bundles of linear ridges, extending into discrete bifurcating branches. Inferred current direction indicated by the arrow. (b) Fibers running along the right-hand margin of Haootia; each fiber is composed of finer, thinner fibers. (c) Bottom left corner of Haootia, detailing the connection between a primary bifurcating branch and the main body. Note the twisted fibers along the branch. (d) Pinching, bundling and superposition of fibers at the base of a subsidiary branch. (e) The small circular depression at the centre of the disc, showing mantling parallel fibers forming the base of a short stalk that connects the disc to the body. (f) Incomplete paratype specimen of H. quadriformis, from the Trepassey Formation of Burnt Point, Bonavista Peninsula. This specimen is preserved on its side, but clearly displays fibers extending up its stem and around the body. A small partially buried holdfast disc is arrowed. Scales bars (a), (c), (f) = 10 mm; (b), (d–e) = 5 mm.

Figure 2. Digitized images of Haootia quadriformis n. gen., n. sp., emphasizing the convergence of fibrous linear features at the corners of the body, and the symmetry of the fossil. (a) Photograph of the holotype as it appears in situ. (b) Interpretive sketch of the non-retrodeformed specimen. Labels indicate: a) muscle bundles, b) expanded bundles, c) ‘contracted’ bundles, d) twisting fibers, e) superimposed fibers, f) disc. (c) Digitized overlay of the fossil. Symmetrical regions of the organism are color coded. Note the thick bulging of fibers (indicating muscle contraction?) along short axes of the sheet (light green). (d) As in (b), but the image has been corrected to account for tectonic deformation on the surface by compressing the disc into a perfectly circular structure (cf. ref. 113, though see ref. 114). Scale bar = 10 mm.
Figure 3. (a) The extant staurozoan *Lucernaria quadricornis*, exhibiting a body plan similar to that hypothesized for *Haootia quadriformis* n. gen., n. sp. The Staurozoa are known from a range of marine depositional environments and water depths [83]. (b) Artistic reconstruction of *H. quadriformis*. Scale bars = 10mm.