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Cationized Magnetoferritin Enables Rapid Labeling and Concentration of Gram-Positive and Gram-Negative Bacteria in Magnetic Cell Separation Columns

S. Correia Carreira, J. Spencer, W. Schwarzacher, A. M. Seddon

ABSTRACT
In order to identify pathogens rapidly and reliably, bacterial capture and concentration from large sample volumes into smaller ones are often required. Magnetic labeling and capture of bacteria using a magnetic field hold great promise for achieving this goal, but the current protocols have poor capture efficiency. Here, we present a rapid and highly efficient approach to magnetic labeling and capture of both Gram-negative (Escherichia coli) and Gram-positive (Staphylococcus aureus) bacteria using cationized magnetoferritin (cat-MF). Magnetic labeling was achieved within a 1-min incubation period with cat-MF, and 99.97% of the labeled bacteria were immobilized in commercially available magnetic cell separation (MACS) columns. Longer incubation times led to more efficient capture, with S. aureus being immobilized to a greater extent than E. coli. Finally, low numbers of magnetically labeled E. coli bacteria (<100 CFU per ml) were immobilized with 100% efficiency and concentrated 7-fold within 15 min. Therefore, our study provides a novel protocol for rapid and highly efficient magnetic labeling, capture, and concentration of both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria.

IMPACTANCE
Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a significant global challenge. Rapid identification of pathogens will retard the spread of AMR by enabling targeted treatment with suitable agents and by reducing inappropriate antimicrobial use. Rapid detection methods based on microfluidic devices require that bacteria are concentrated from large volumes into much smaller ones. Concentration of bacteria is also important to detect low numbers of pathogens with confidence. Here, we demonstrate that magnetic separation columns capture small amounts of bacteria with 100% efficiency. Rapid magnetization was achieved by exposing bacteria to cationic magnetic nanoparticles, and magnetized bacteria were concentrated 7-fold inside the column. Thus, bacterial capture and concentration were achieved within 15 min. This approach could be extended to encompass the capture and concentration of specific pathogens, for example, by functionalizing magnetic nanoparticles with antibodies or small molecule probes.

Infectious diseases are among the world’s most pressing health challenges, and developing strategies for rapid identification of pathogens is particularly important for effective treatment and for combating antibiotic resistance. However, many current methodologies rely on culture-based microbiological methods that take several days. Rapid identification of pathogens can be achieved by detecting specific genes (1) or by antibody-directed binding of pathogens to a sensor surface (2). Microfluidic devices constitute excellent platforms for cheap and high-throughput implementation of these methods (2, 3). Similarly, hand-held biosensors can rapidly detect and identify bacteria at concentrations as low as 100 CFU per ml (4). However, all of these devices typically handle volumes of 50 to 250 μl, while clinical blood or urine samples usually range between 5 and 20 ml in volume. Therefore, concentration of pathogens from large into small volumes represents an important processing step in microfluidics-based diagnostics. In addition, preconcentration of small amounts of bacteria can aid in their detection and identification (5–7).

Magnetic labeling of bacteria in suspension enables their extraction from aqueous samples using a magnetic field, such that low numbers of bacteria can be concentrated (8). Previous studies reported the use of magnetic nanoparticles with different surface functionalizations, such as amine and carboxyl groups (9, 10), or small molecules, such as vancomycin (8, 11). In all of these studies, a permanent magnet is placed against a vial containing the magnetized bacteria, which results in sedimentation of the cells. However, capture efficiency varies between 35 and 97%, depending on the surface functionalization (Table 1). Here, we propose a novel approach to capture and concentrate bacteria, which involves the use of cationic magnetic nanoparticles and commercially available magnetic cell separation (MACS) columns; the former enable ultrafast labeling (12), while the latter enhance the efficiency of capture and concentration. Use of MACS columns has traditionally been restricted to the capture of magnetically labeled mammalian cells, and their high capture efficiency has been attributed to the generation of strong magnetic field gradients in the column (13).
TABLE 1 Comparison of the E. coli capture efficiency presented in this work with previously reported results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amine surface</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Capture efficiency (%)</th>
<th>E. coli detection</th>
<th>Reference/source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amine</td>
<td>10 μg ml⁻¹</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>99.97</td>
<td>Plate counting</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxyl</td>
<td>1 mg ml⁻¹</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Microscopy</td>
<td>Huang et al. (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannose</td>
<td>2 mg ml⁻¹</td>
<td>12 h</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Plate counting</td>
<td>Singh et al. (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>0.2 μg ml⁻¹</td>
<td>45 min</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Microscopy</td>
<td>El-Boubbou et al. (16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Iron content calculated for 0.5 μM cat-MF.

In this setup, a small plastic column is filled with steel beads and placed against a magnet (Fig. 1B). Cells that have been labeled with superparamagnetic nanoparticles (SPIONs) are immobilized when they are passed through the column in aqueous suspension. Captured cells can be released within a small volume of buffer when the magnet is removed from the column, because the SPIONs demagnetize in the absence of an external magnetic field (Fig. 1C). In this report, we demonstrate that magnetization and concentration of bacteria can be accomplished within 15 min using cationic SPIONs that rapidly attach to anionic domains on the cell surface (12) and MACS columns to subsequently capture and concentrate the magnetized bacteria with up to 100% efficiency.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Bacterial culture.** *Escherichia coli* T7 Express (BL21 derivative; New England BioLabs, USA) transformed with pET43b(+) plasmids (Novagen, Germany) was kindly provided by James Armstrong (School of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, University of Bristol). *Staphylococcus aureus* SH1000 was a kind gift from Ramesh Wigneshweraraj and Andrew Edwards, Imperial College London (14). *E. coli* and *S. aureus* were grown overnight at 37°C in a shaking incubator (200 rotations per min) in 10 ml of lysogeny broth (LB) (BD, Oxford, United Kingdom) (15) or nutrient broth (Lab M, Heywood, United Kingdom), respectively. LB was supplemented with 1 μl per ml broth of a 50 μg ml⁻¹ carbenicillin solution (Apollo Scientific, United Kingdom), and nutrient broth was supplemented with 3.4 μg ml⁻¹ chloramphenicol.

**Rapidity and extent of E. coli magnetization with cat-MF.** The preparation and detailed characterization of cationized magnetoferritin (cat-MF) have been previously described (12). Briefly, a cobalt-doped iron oxide core was mineralized inside horse spleen apoferritin (Sigma-Aldrich, United Kingdom). The protein surface was cationized by conjugating N,N’-dimethyl-1,3-propanediamine to acidic amino acid residues via a 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide-mediated cross-linking reaction.

For magnetization experiments, liquid bacterial culture samples were diluted with sterile distilled water (dH₂O) to achieve an absorbance of approximately 0.3 at 600 nm; 0.3 ml of this suspension was mixed with an equal volume of sterile dH₂O or 1 μM cat-MF (sterile filtered) to yield a final cat-MF concentration of 0.5 μM. The mixture was agitated briefly and then incubated at room temperature for 1, 5, 15, and 30 min. After each incubation period, 0.5 ml of the bacterial suspension was loaded onto MS MACS columns (Miltenyi Biotec, United Kingdom), and the flow-through was collected. To determine CFU per milliliter in the bacterial suspension before MACS, samples of the initial bacterial suspension were diluted 1/10⁵, 1/10⁶, and 1/10⁷, and 0.1-ml samples of these dilutions were plated out in triplicate and incubated at 37°C overnight.

To determine the number of CFU in the water after MACS, samples of the flowthrough were diluted 1/10 and 1/100, plated out in triplicate, and incubated at 37°C overnight. After incubation, the numbers of colonies on the agar plates were counted, and CFU per milliliter were determined by taking into account the respective dilution factors. The percentage of immobilization was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{immobilization (\%)} = 100 - \left( \frac{c_{\text{after}}}{c_{\text{before}}} \cdot 100 \right)
\]

where \(c_{\text{after}}\) is the bacterial concentration in the flowthrough after MACS and \(c_{\text{before}}\) is the bacterial concentration in the initial bacterial suspension before MACS.

**E. coli magnetization as a function of cat-MF concentration.** Liquid bacterial culture samples were diluted with sterile dH₂O to achieve an absorbance of approximately 0.3 at 600 nm. A 0.3-ml sample of this sus-
pension was mixed with an equal volume of sterile water (untreated control) or various concentrations of cat-MF (sterile filtered) to yield a final cat-MF concentration of 0.01 to 1 μM cat-MF. The mixture was agitated briefly and then incubated at room temperature for 15 min. After the incubation period, 0.5 ml of the bacterial suspension was loaded onto the MACS column, the flowthrough was collected, and CFU per milliliter and percent removal were determined as described above.

*E. coli* magnetization as a function of bacterial number. Liquid bacterial culture samples were diluted with sterile dH2O to achieve an absorbance of approximately 0.3 at 600 nm. This suspension was further diluted in a series of 1 in 10, down to a dilution of 1/10^7, resulting in a total of 7 samples containing different bacterial numbers. A 0.3-ml sample of each dilution was incubated with an equal volume of 1 μM cat-MF (sterile filtered) to yield a final cat-MF concentration of 0.5 μM. The mixture was agitated briefly and then incubated at room temperature for 15 min. A 0.5-ml sample of the bacterial suspension was loaded onto the MACS column, the flowthrough was collected, and CFU per milliliter in the samples loaded onto the column and in the flowthrough were determined as described above. The number of *E. coli* immobilized in the column was determined by subtracting the number of *E. coli* detected in the flowthrough from the number of *E. coli* loaded onto the MACS column.

Concentration of small amounts of *E. coli*. Liquid bacterial culture samples were diluted with sterile dH2O to achieve an absorbance of approximately 0.3 at 600 nm. This suspension was further diluted in a series of 1 in 10, down to a dilution of 1/10^7. A 1-ml sample of this dilution was incubated with an equal volume of 1 μM cat-MF (sterile filtered) to yield a final cat-MF concentration of 0.5 μM. The mixture was agitated briefly and then incubated at room temperature for 15 min. A 0.5-ml sample of the bacterial suspension was loaded onto the MACS column, the flowthrough was collected, and CFU per milliliter in the samples loaded onto the column and in the flowthrough were determined as described above. The number of *E. coli* immobilized in the column was determined by subtracting the number of *E. coli* detected in the flowthrough from the number of *E. coli* loaded onto the MACS column.

Concentration of small amounts of *E. coli*. Liquid bacterial culture samples were diluted with sterile dH2O to achieve an absorbance of approximately 0.3 at 600 nm. This suspension was further diluted in a series of 1 in 10, down to a dilution of 1/10^7. A 1-ml sample of this dilution was incubated with an equal volume of 1 μM cat-MF (sterile filtered) to yield a final cat-MF concentration of 0.5 μM. The mixture was agitated briefly and then incubated at room temperature for 15 min. A 0.5-ml sample of the bacterial suspension was loaded onto the MACS column, the flowthrough was collected, and CFU per milliliter in the samples loaded onto the column and in the flowthrough were determined as described above. The number of *E. coli* immobilized in the column was determined by subtracting the number of *E. coli* detected in the flowthrough from the number of *E. coli* loaded onto the MACS column.

Concentration of small amounts of *E. coli*. Liquid bacterial culture samples were diluted with sterile dH2O to achieve an absorbance of approximately 0.3 at 600 nm. This suspension was further diluted in a series of 1 in 10, down to a dilution of 1/10^7. A 1-ml sample of this dilution was incubated with an equal volume of 1 μM cat-MF (sterile filtered) to yield a final cat-MF concentration of 0.5 μM. The mixture was agitated briefly and then incubated at room temperature for 15 min. A 0.5-ml sample of the bacterial suspension was loaded onto the MACS column, the flowthrough was collected, and CFU per milliliter in the samples loaded onto the column and in the flowthrough were determined as described above. The number of *E. coli* immobilized in the column was determined by subtracting the number of *E. coli* detected in the flowthrough from the number of *E. coli* loaded onto the MACS column.

Concentration of small amounts of *E. coli*. Liquid bacterial culture samples were diluted with sterile dH2O to achieve an absorbance of approximately 0.3 at 600 nm. This suspension was further diluted in a series of 1 in 10, down to a dilution of 1/10^7. A 1-ml sample of this dilution was incubated with an equal volume of 1 μM cat-MF (sterile filtered) to yield a final cat-MF concentration of 0.5 μM. The mixture was agitated briefly and then incubated at room temperature for 15 min. A 0.5-ml sample of the bacterial suspension was loaded onto the MACS column, the flowthrough was collected, and CFU per milliliter in the samples loaded onto the column and in the flowthrough were determined as described above. The number of *E. coli* immobilized in the column was determined by subtracting the number of *E. coli* detected in the flowthrough from the number of *E. coli* loaded onto the MACS column.

Concentration of small amounts of *E. coli*. Liquid bacterial culture samples were diluted with sterile dH2O to achieve an absorbance of approximately 0.3 at 600 nm. This suspension was further diluted in a series of 1 in 10, down to a dilution of 1/10^7. A 1-ml sample of this dilution was incubated with an equal volume of 1 μM cat-MF (sterile filtered) to yield a final cat-MF concentration of 0.5 μM. The mixture was agitated briefly and then incubated at room temperature for 15 min. A 0.5-ml sample of the bacterial suspension was loaded onto the MACS column, the flowthrough was collected, and CFU per milliliter in the samples loaded onto the column and in the flowthrough were determined as described above. The number of *E. coli* immobilized in the column was determined by subtracting the number of *E. coli* detected in the flowthrough from the number of *E. coli* loaded onto the MACS column.

Concentration of small amounts of *E. coli*. Liquid bacterial culture samples were diluted with sterile dH2O to achieve an absorbance of approximately 0.3 at 600 nm. This suspension was further diluted in a series of 1 in 10, down to a dilution of 1/10^7. A 1-ml sample of this dilution was incubated with an equal volume of 1 μM cat-MF (sterile filtered) to yield a final cat-MF concentration of 0.5 μM. The mixture was agitated briefly and then incubated at room temperature for 15 min. A 0.5-ml sample of the bacterial suspension was loaded onto the MACS column, the flowthrough was collected, and CFU per milliliter in the samples loaded onto the column and in the flowthrough were determined as described above. The number of *E. coli* immobilized in the column was determined by subtracting the number of *E. coli* detected in the flowthrough from the number of *E. coli* loaded onto the MACS column.

Concentration of small amounts of *E. coli*. Liquid bacterial culture samples were diluted with sterile dH2O to achieve an absorbance of approximately 0.3 at 600 nm. This suspension was further diluted in a series of 1 in 10, down to a dilution of 1/10^7. A 1-ml sample of this dilution was incubated with an equal volume of 1 μM cat-MF (sterile filtered) to yield a final cat-MF concentration of 0.5 μM. The mixture was agitated briefly and then incubated at room temperature for 15 min. A 0.5-ml sample of the bacterial suspension was loaded onto the MACS column, the flowthrough was collected, and CFU per milliliter in the samples loaded onto the column and in the flowthrough were determined as described above. The number of *E. coli* immobilized in the column was determined by subtracting the number of *E. coli* detected in the flowthrough from the number of *E. coli* loaded onto the MACS column.

Concentration of small amounts of *E. coli*. Liquid bacterial culture samples were diluted with sterile dH2O to achieve an absorbance of approximately 0.3 at 600 nm. This suspension was further diluted in a series of 1 in 10, down to a dilution of 1/10^7. A 1-ml sample of this dilution was incubated with an equal volume of 1 μM cat-MF (sterile filtered) to yield a final cat-MF concentration of 0.5 μM. The mixture was agitated briefly and then incubated at room temperature for 15 min. A 0.5-ml sample of the bacterial suspension was loaded onto the MACS column, the flowthrough was collected, and CFU per milliliter in the samples loaded onto the column and in the flowthrough were determined as described above. The number of *E. coli* immobilized in the column was determined by subtracting the number of *E. coli* detected in the flowthrough from the number of *E. coli* loaded onto the MACS column.

Concentration of small amounts of *E. coli*. Liquid bacterial culture samples were diluted with sterile dH2O to achieve an absorbance of approximately 0.3 at 600 nm. This suspension was further diluted in a series of 1 in 10, down to a dilution of 1/10^7. A 1-ml sample of this dilution was incubated with an equal volume of 1 μM cat-MF (sterile filtered) to yield a final cat-MF concentration of 0.5 μM. The mixture was agitated briefly and then incubated at room temperature for 15 min. A 0.5-ml sample of the bacterial suspension was loaded onto the MACS column, the flowthrough was collected, and CFU per milliliter in the samples loaded onto the column and in the flowthrough were determined as described above. The number of *E. coli* immobilized in the column was determined by subtracting the number of *E. coli* detected in the flowthrough from the number of *E. coli* loaded onto the MACS column.

Concentration of small amounts of *E. coli*. Liquid bacterial culture samples were diluted with sterile dH2O to achieve an absorbance of approximately 0.3 at 600 nm. This suspension was further diluted in a series of 1 in 10, down to a dilution of 1/10^7. A 1-ml sample of this dilution was incubated with an equal volume of 1 μM cat-MF (sterile filtered) to yield a final cat-MF concentration of 0.5 μM. The mixture was agitated briefly and then incubated at room temperature for 15 min. A 0.5-ml sample of the bacterial suspension was loaded onto the MACS column, the flowthrough was collected, and CFU per milliliter in the samples loaded onto the column and in the flowthrough were determined as described above. The number of *E. coli* immobilized in the column was determined by subtracting the number of *E. coli* detected in the flowthrough from the number of *E. coli* loaded onto the MACS column.
amine-functionalized SPION. We believe that the improvement in capture efficiency observed in our experiments is due to the generation of strong local magnetic fields by the steel beads in the MACS column. In contrast, the use of a permanent magnet placed against a vial has the disadvantage that the magnetic field decays rapidly with the distance from the magnet. Therefore, the magnetic field may not be strong enough to agglomerate magnetized bacteria from the entire volume.

The highest capture efficiency reported to date was 97%, which was achieved using amine-functionalized magnetic nanoparticles.
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**FIG 2** Magnetization of *E. coli* with cationized magnetoferritin (cat-MF). (A) Percent immobilization of *E. coli* in magnetic separation columns from water samples inoculated with $10^6$ CFU ml$^{-1}$ after different incubation periods with 0.5 μM cat-MF. (B) Different incubation concentrations of cat-MF for 15 min. Averages and standard deviations from three plate counts are shown. (C) TEM image of *E. coli* exposed to 0.5 μM cat-MF for 1 min, immobilized, and then eluted from the MACS column. Electron-dense nanoparticles with a diameter matching cat-MF uniformly cover the surface of the bacterium. The inset shows cat-MF particles on their own. (D) Untreated *E. coli*. No nanoparticles are visible on the surface. Bars, 200 nm.
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**FIG 3** Immobilization efficiency as a function of *E. coli* concentration and magnetic concentration of low numbers of *E. coli*. (A) Water samples were inoculated with $1 \times 10^6$ to $2 \times 10^6$ CFU ml$^{-1}$ *E. coli* and incubated with 0.5 μM cat-MF for 15 min. The number of *E. coli* immobilized in the MACS column was linearly proportional to the number of bacteria loaded onto the column. Averages and standard deviations from three plate counts are shown (error bars are too small to be visible). (B) Comparison of magnetic capture efficiencies for *E. coli* and *S. aureus* labeled with 0.5 μM cat-MF for 15 min. Averages and standard deviations from three plate counts are shown.
that 100% capture efficiency was only achieved when small amounts of bacteria were loaded onto the MACS column (Fig. 3A). Therefore, it can be hypothesized that either the MACS column was saturated with bacteria, thus preventing the capture of all of the bacteria introduced, or there was insufficient magnetic material present to adequately magnetize all of the bacteria. The latter hypothesis is unlikely to be true, given that cat-MF concentrations as low as 0.01 μM (50 times less) still resulted in the immobilization of 98.88% of the bacteria (Fig. 2B). Also, we consider that saturation of the MACS column by bacteria is equally unlikely, given the linear relationship between the number of magnetized E. coli loaded onto the column and the number of E. coli immobilized (Fig. 3A). Saturation of the column is expected to generate a flattening of the curve as the number of applied bacteria increases. A final point to consider then is the size and shape of E. coli, which are very different from the size and shape of mammalian cells, for which the MACS column design was originally optimized. Most mammalian cells are round in suspension with a diameter of approximately 10 μm. However, E. coli cells are much smaller and rod shaped, approximately 1 μm long and 0.5 μm wide. The cells of the strain of E. coli used here are also more mobile than mammalian cells because they are flagellated. Therefore, it is possible that a proportion of the cat-MF labeled E. coli cells were able to overcome the magnetic force and escape from the column. A possible argument in favor of this hypothesis is the fact that immobilization of S. aureus, a species that does not possess flagella, was more efficient (Fig. 3B).

The capacity of cat-MF to efficiently label both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria is an interesting result. Bacterial surface compositions vary widely, in particular between Gram-negative and Gram-positive organisms. Gram-positive bacteria, such as S. aureus, lack the outer lipopolysaccharide layer characteristic of Gram-negative species such as E. coli (20). However, S. aureus has been shown to have a negative zeta potential (21); therefore, negative charges are available for electrostatic binding of cat-MF to the cell surface. Furthermore, previous studies have reported that magnetic capture of S. aureus could not be achieved using amine-functionalized (i.e., cationized) SPIONs and instead required small molecule probes to achieve this (11). However, we were able to efficiently magnetize and capture S. aureus using cat-MF (i.e., an amine-functionalized SPION).

We anticipate that methods for magnetic capture of bacteria from aqueous suspension can be applied in the detection and/or removal of organisms from physiological or environmental fluids. While microbial concentrations in these circumstances may vary widely, in many cases capture of pathogens present at low concentrations may be required. We have shown here that MACS columns reached a capture efficiency of 100% for E. coli concentrations of ≤10^2 CFU ml⁻¹. Thus, an example of the application of our methodology is the capture of E. coli, an organism commonly associated with fecal contamination of water supplies (22), from dilute solutions. The isolation of pathogens present at low concentrations may be required. Another potential application of our method is the concentration of bacteria from dilute suspensions for downstream analysis, because it has been shown that detection of bacteria at concentrations of <10^2 CFU ml⁻¹ is notoriously difficult without preenrichment of bacteria through a culture process (23). We have shown here that cat-MF labeling and subsequent capture in MACS columns can indeed concentrate E. coli from very dilute suspensions (Fig. 4). It was previously reported...
that the lowest concentration of *E. coli* that could be captured in a magnetic field after incubation with vancomycin-functionalized SPIONs was 10 CFU ml$^{-1}$. This is of the same order of magnitude as the lowest concentration of *E. coli* captured in our experiments ($\sim$50 CFU ml$^{-1}$), which was achieved using a much more facile surface functionalization.

Our results indicate that our methodology for magnetic labeling may permit the capture of a range of bacteria, due to the nonspecific labeling mechanism. However, it is possible to functionalize magnetoferritin or other SPIONs with antibodies, such that specific pathogens may be labeled (17, 24–26). With the combination of this technique and the MACS column setup, rapid, highly efficient, and selective capture and concentration of individual pathogens might be possible in the future.

In conclusion, we demonstrate that a rapid magnetic labeling technique combined with immobilization of bacteria in MACS columns enables highly efficient capture (up to 100%) and a 7-fold concentration of low numbers of *E. coli* within 15 min. The use of MACS columns to capture magnetically labeled bacteria yields higher capture efficiencies than conventional magnet-based setups. Furthermore, our approach enables magnetic capture of the Gram-positive bacterium *S. aureus* with 99.999% efficiency. Thus, magnetic labeling with immobilization in MACS columns is a viable approach for complete capture and rapid concentration of low numbers of bacteria, representing an important step toward fast detection and identification of bacterial pathogens.
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