Huang, J., Hu, S., Zhang, Q., Donoghue, P., Benton, M., Zhou, C., Martinez-Perez, C., Wen, W., Xie, T., Chen, Z-Q., Luo, M., Yao, H., & Zhang, K. (2018). Gondolelloid multielement conodont apparatus (Nicoraella) from the Middle Triassic of Yunnan Province, southwestern China. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2018.07.015>

Peer reviewed version

License (if available):
CC BY-NC-ND

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1016/j.palaeo.2018.07.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2018.07.015)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Elsevier at <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0031018217312385>. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

Gondolelloid multielement conodont apparatus (*Nicoraella*) from the Middle Triassic of Yunnan Province, southwestern China

Jinyuan Huang^{a,b,c,d*}, Shixue Hu^c, Qiyue Zhang^c, Philip C. J. Donoghue^e, Michael J. Benton^e, Changyong Zhou^c, Carlos Martínez-Pérez^{b,e}, Wen Wen^c, Tao Xie^c, Zhong-Qiang Chen^f, Mao Luo^g, Huazhou Yao^h, Kexin Zhang^{a*}

^a *Institute of Geological Survey, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan 430074, China*

^b *Cavanilles Institute of Biodiversity and Evolutionary Biology, University of Valencia, C/ Catedratic José Beltrán Martínez, 2, 46980 Paterna, Valencia, Spain*

^c *Chengdu Center of China Geological Survey, Chengdu 610081, China*

^d *State Key Laboratory of Palaeobiology and Stratigraphy (Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, CAS), Nanjing 210008, China*

^e *School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1TQ, United Kingdom*

^f *State Key Laboratory of Biogeology and Environmental Geology, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan 430074, China*

^g *Deakin University, Geelong, Australia. School of Life and Environmental Sciences & Centre for Integrative Ecology, (Burwood Campus). 221 Burwood Highway, Burwood Victoria, 3125*

^h *Wuhan Center of China Geological Survey, Wuhan 430205, China*

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: hjinyuan@cgs.cn (J. Huang), kx_zhang@cug.edu.cn (K. Zhang)

Abstract

The morphology and position of elements in the apparatus are keys to resolving the taxonomy, homology, evolutionary relationships, structure, function and feeding patterns among conodont taxa. Fused clusters preserving natural associations between elements provide direct information on element morphology, the positions of elements within the apparatus, and even their original three-dimensional arrangement. Here, we report 41 fused conodont clusters from Member II of the Guanling Formation in Luoping County, Yunnan Province, southwestern China, which provide a basis for inferring the multielement composition of the apparatus of the early Middle Triassic *Nicoraella*. The apparatus comprises 15 elements (a single S_0 element, two sets of S_{1-4} , M and P_{1-2} elements) like other apparatuses in the Gondolellidae, i.e. the genera *Novispathodus* and *Neogondolella*. These Luoping Biota clusters are significant because (a) they permit a positional homology-based comparison of multielement *Novispathodus* with homologous elements of similar genera such as *Cypridodella* (S_1), *Enantiognathus* (S_2), and *Hindeodella* (S_3 and S_4), (b) they facilitate a review of apparatus composition within the superfamily Gondolelloidea, (c) they provide a basis for the apparatus bauplan currently generalized largely from Carboniferous polygnathacean ozarkodinins, and (d) these clusters, along with collections of discrete conodont elements, provide a model for inferring the multielement composition of closely related species known only from discrete element collections.

Keywords: fused cluster, multielement taxonomy, 15-element apparatus, Anisian, Gaunling Formation, Luoping Biota

1. Introduction

Conodonts are a group of extinct jawless vertebrates known almost exclusively from their microscopic tooth-like skeletal elements, which can be recovered readily from marine carbonates ranging in age from upper Cambrian to the latest Triassic. Conodont elements occur in a range of morphologies, forming the basis of their original taxonomy. However, the discovery of articulated assemblages of elements of different morphologies, representing the remains of single individuals ([Schmidt 1934](#), [Scott 1934](#)), demonstrated the need for a multielement taxonomy that forms the basis of the modern homology-based taxonomy and systematics of conodonts. Indeed, these articulated assemblages, which occur on the surface of bedding planes, or as clusters of elements fused together by diagenetic minerals, provide direct evidence of element morphology within homologous positions in the multielement apparatus. Through comparative morphology, the multielement composition of species known only from discrete element collections can be reconstructed, using articulated assemblages to inform a template. This approach fails, however, when element morphology diverges significantly from those species known from articulated assemblages.

Notwithstanding the hundreds of conodont natural assemblages and fused clusters that have been reported, they are relatively scarce in China ([Zhang and Zhang, 1986](#); [Lai, 1995](#)). Till now, only three clusters preserving their 3D structure have been reported from the Guanling Formation of Yunnan Province, southwest China ([Huang et al., 2010](#)), and 24 fused clusters were noted from the Luolou Formation in Guangxi Province, south China ([Goudemand et al., 2011; 2012](#)). More recently, seven new discoveries of *Hindeodus* clusters were described from the bottom of the Feixianguan Formation in the Shangshi section in Sichuan Province ([Zhang et al., 2017](#)). Outside China, other Triassic conodont clusters include materials from the Olenekian (Spathian) Tahoe Formation of Japan ([Koike, 2004](#)), the Induan Mino Terrane, Gifu Prefecture and the Olenekian Oritate, Kumamoto Prefecture of Japan ([Agematsu et al., 2008; 2014; 2017](#)), the Ladinian of Slovenia ([Ramovš, 1977; 1978](#); [Kolar-Jurkovšek et al., 2018](#)), the Ladinian Grenzbitumenzone of Monte San Giorgio, Switzerland ([Rieber, 1980](#)), and the Ladinian of Trento ([Mietto, 1982](#)) and Sardinia in Italy

(Bagnoli et al., 1985). The Monte San Giorgio clusters include examples of *Neogondolella* natural assemblages, which provided the basis for a gondolelloid multielement apparatus template (Goudemand et al., 2011; Orchard, 1999). A series of 15-element reconstructions have been proposed for Triassic conodont species based on discrete element collections, using the gondolelloid template (Orchard, 2005), and the biggest difference between the apparatuses was the morphology of elements occupying the P position. Regarding *Nicoraella*, a number of conodont researchers reconstructed its apparatus based on discrete elements from collections that were constrained to single sedimentary horizons (Kolar-Jurkovšek et al., 2005; Kolar-Jurkovšek and Jurkovšek, 2010; Kozur, 1989; 1991; Sun et al., 2009), and their views are summarised in Table 1. These multielement reconstructions are readily testable based on the suite of fused clusters described here.

The Luoping conodont clusters were firstly reported by Huang et al. (2010), with only four specimens discovered, but later many discrete elements were systematically described in Huang et al. (2011). In both cases, elements in the S₂ position of the clusters were interpreted as S₁ elements due to lack of complete clusters or natural assemblages, following previous studies where cypridodellan elements within the gondolelloidean apparatus were placed in the S₂ (Sb₂) position (Koike, 2004; Ishida and Hirsch, 2011; Orchard, 2005; Orchard and Rieber 1999; Sun et al., 2009). Only after some incomplete fused conodont clusters of *Novispathodus* were discovered at the Early Triassic (Spathian) Tsoteng section of Tiandong District, Guangxi, China (Goudemand et al., 2011; 2012), were cypridodellan elements recognized to occupy S₁ rather than S₂ (Sb₂), but the incomplete nature of the clusters renders this conclusion moot. Here we report some exceptionally preserved conodont clusters from the Dawazi and Shangshikan sections in Luoping County, Yunnan Province, southwest China (Fig. 1), including three specimens that preserve all of the S and M elements in the apparatus (Fig. 5B, D, F), and one cluster that includes all elements of the apparatus (*viz.* P, M and S elements) (Fig. 4); all of these clusters were collected along with abundant discrete elements (Huang et al., 2009; 2011). These materials provide a firm basis for reviewing the multielement composition of the *Nicoraella* apparatus, as well as testing established hypotheses for a generalized gondolelloid apparatus template. The Luoping new materials

reveal a new skeletal arrangement in the Superfamily Gondolelloidea that is very similar to previous reconstructions of the early Triassic *Novispathodus* (Goudemand et al., 2011; 2012), but displays some differences from other gondolelloids, e.g. *Neogondolella* (Orchard, 1999; 2005).

2. Geological setting

All studied conodont clusters come from the lower fossil unit of the Luoping Biota in the Dawazi and Shangshikan sections of the Guanling Formation (member II) (Huang et al., 2009), Luoping County, Yunnan Province, southwest China. The Guanling Formation is exposed widely over eastern Yunnan and western Guizhou provinces, in the southwestern part of the Yangtze Platform between the Nanpanjiang Basin and the Yangtze Platform (Enos et al., 2006; Hu et al., 2011). It can be subdivided into two members, of which Member I is about 333 m thick, and consists mainly of mudstones and argillaceous dolomites with a volcanic ash bed (green pisolite) at the base. Member II is about 580 m thick, and is composed of nodular limestones, silty limestones, micritic limestones, and bands of dolomite (Zhang et al., 2009). The Guanling Formation has attracted attention because of the discovery of the Luoping Biota in Member II, a rich and diverse assemblage of exceptionally preserved marine invertebrates and vertebrates, including marine reptiles, fishes, and lightly sclerotized arthropods, associated with bivalves, gastropods, belemnoids, ammonoids, echinoderms, brachiopods, foraminifers, ostracods, conodonts and trace fossils (Feldmann et al., 2012; 2017; Hu et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2013; Luo et al., 2017a, 2017b; Liu et al., 2017; Wen et al., 2012; 2013). As such, the Luoping Biota has been interpreted to record the rebuilding of shallow marine ecosystems following the end-Permian mass extinction, some 10 Myr earlier than previously anticipated (Benton et al., 2013; Hu et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2008). The presence of the index conodont *Nicoraella kockeli* dates the Luoping Biota as Middle Triassic (Pelsonian substage of the Anisian) in age (Huang et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2009).

3. Materials and methods

The conodont clusters in the Shangshikan and Dawazi sections are preserved in two ways. First, there are accumulations of disarticulated conodont elements (Fig. 2) that may represent faecal residues or stomach remains of animals that preyed upon conodonts (Hao et al., 2015; Ji et al., 2017), or just disarticulated accumulations washed together by ocean-floor currents. Second, are articulated clusters (Figs. 3–7) with elements arranged in recurrent associations reflecting their original relative arrangement in the living organism, fused together by diagenetic mineral crusts; these preserve evidence of the composition and architecture of the feeding apparatus of *Nicoraella*. All figured specimens are housed in the Chengdu Center of China Geological Survey, China, and their collection numbers are shown in the Table 2.

The fused conodont clusters and discrete elements were collected from 5 m thick sections of thinly-bedded bituminous limestone of the Luoping Biota; some specimens illustrated here in Figure 3B, G, I, Figure 5G, and Figure 6J were briefly reported in previous works (Huang et al., 2009; 2010; 2011). In total, 202 samples, each of them weighting about 3 kg, were collected bed by bed in the Shangshikan and Dawazi sections. Samples were crushed into small pieces (2–6 cm in diameter) and dissolved in 10% acetic acid. The insoluble residues were washed through a sieve (160 grids per cm²) in tap water to remove the acid, and subsequently dried. The dry residues were density-separated using heavy liquid (2.8 g/ml; Jiang et al., 2004) and manually picked under a binocular stereomicroscope. Using this method, 41 conodont clusters were recovered from 24 samples. The specimen in Figure 4 was scanned using SRXTM at the X02DA TOMCAT beamline of the Swiss Light Source, Paul Scherrer Institute (Villigen, Switzerland), and the remaining specimens were photographed using scanning electron microscopy (Quanta 200) at the State Key Laboratory Geological Processes and Mineral Resources, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, China.

In order to interpret the multielement taxonomy, nomenclature follows the anatomical notation of S_n-P_n (S₀₋₄, M, and P₁₋₂) following Purnell et al. (2000). We also used the traditional notation (Pa, Pb, M, Sa, Sb, Sc) (Clark et al., 1981) in comparing element morphologies.

4. Results

Not all clusters preserve the complete complement of elements due to taphonomic processes, but one cluster seems to preserve the expected complement of 15 elements (4P, 2M, 9S) (Fig. 4). The P, S and M elements of the cluster are compressed together, and they are slightly dislocated in sinistral view. We identify four P elements within the cluster based on their morphologies, bilateral pairing, and separation from the ramiform S and M elements; the P₁ element pair is most distant from the ramiform S elements and the P₂ element pair is intermediate. Indeed, within the cluster, the P₂ elements overlap with the S-M elements, and the P₁ elements through only marginal overlap with the P₂ elements and the broken posterior process of the dextral M element which overlaps all of the P elements. A single S₀ element is located innermost within the cluster, and five pairs of S₁₋₄ and M elements occur in a disrupted nested arrangement. The S₁ elements are embraced by inner lateral processes of the S₂ elements, and their cusps are aligned parallel with the anterior-posterior processes of the S₃₋₄ elements.

Other clusters preserve subsets of the apparatus, such as disarticulated cluster aggregations, individual pairs of associated P₁ and P₂ elements, and articulated S-M combinations. Of the disarticulated clusters, four clusters fuse a P₁ element and S elements (Fig. 2A, B, E, F), one cluster preserves a P₂ element and an S₁ element together (Fig. 2I), one cluster associates a P₁, P₂ and an S₁ element (Fig. 2K), and the rest are ramiform element clusters. Clusters of P elements pairs preserve their natural articulation (e.g. Fig. 3), with their denticles opposed and the lateral faces of their processes adpressed; there are eight clusters of P₁ pairs and one P₂ element pair (Fig. 3H). Eighteen articulated S-M combinations preserve the original biological relative arrangement of elements (Figs. 5–7), of which four to ten or eleven elements are preserved in different clusters. Seven clusters preserve S₀ elements intercalated in the innermost part of the cluster (Figs. 5A–D, F; 6K and 7O), one could be clearly identified in the cluster in Figure 5F, which is wholly exposed because all anterior processes of the S₂₋₄ elements are broken. S₁₋₄ elements can be identified directly based on positional homology from the dextral and sinistral sides of the clusters (Figs. 5B–D, F, H; 6I–

M and 7O, R) or from an axial or abaxial perspective (Fig. 5G), S₃ and S₄ elements exhibit similar morphology and bracket the position of the S₂ elements; S₁ elements are embraced abaxially by the inner lateral processes of the S₂ elements (Fig. 5G). M elements lie at the most outer parts of the clusters, overlap the S₄ elements, but at a discordant angle of about 60 degrees (Figs. 5D, F; 6I–J, L and 7R).

These clusters preserve consistent and repeated patterns of juxtaposition, discriminating them as natural assemblages and allowing us to infer element position based on their topological relationships and morphology.

5. Systematic palaeontology

Phylum Chordata Haeckel, 1974

Subphylum Vertebrata-Craniata Linnaeus, 1758

Class Conodonta Eichenberg, 1930

Division Prioniodontida Dzik, 1976

Order Ozarkodinida Dzik, 1976

Suborder Ozarkodinina Donoghue et al. 2008

Superfamily Gondolelloidea (Lindström, 1970)

Family Gondolellidea Lindström, 1970

Genus *Nicoraella* Kozur, 1980

Type species and holotype. *Ozarkodina kockeli* Tatge, 1956, p. 137, pl. 5, figs. 13 and

Nicoraella kockeli (Tatge, 1956)

Figures 3–7

Multielement:

2009 *Nicoraella kockeli* Sun et al., p. 230, fig. 2F-K; p. 231, fig. 2B-L, p. 232, fig. 4

Materials. Forty-one clusters from the fossil layers of the Luoping Biota, Yunnan Province, southwest China. Each component of the apparatus is illustrated in [Figure 8](#).

Original diagnosis and type species. Blade-shaped segminate P_1 element possesses a pronounced subterminal main cusp with one or two small denticles in succession, partly fused denticles of variable height ([Tatge, 1956, p. 137](#)).

Multielement diagnosis. Interpreting the articulated cluster, and combining 40 conodont fused clusters and rich assemblages of discrete elements from the Luoping Biota, a 15-element apparatus is reconstructed, consisting of seven morphological types of elements ([Fig. 8](#)): alate (hibbardellan) S_0 , breviform digyrate (cypridodellan) S_1 , breviform digyrate (enantiognathiform) S_2 , bipennate (hindeodellan) S_3 and S_4 , breviform digyrate (cypridodellan) M , carminate (nicoraellan) P_1 , and carminate to segminate (xaniognathiform) P_2 . Previous researchers have arrived at a similar multielement reconstruction based on discrete element assemblages ([Kolar-Jurkovšek et al., 2005](#); [Kolar-Jurkovšek and Jurkovšek, 2010](#); [Kozur and Mock, 1991](#); [Kozur, 1989](#); [Sun et al., 2009](#)), with the exception that they have usually interpreted the enantiognathiform and cypridodellan elements as Sb_{1-2} , respectively, which usually equates to S_{1-2} positional homologies, respectively. Here we demonstrate that the positional homologies are reversed. The long process of the S_{2-4} elements are aligned in a subparallel arrangement within the clusters ([Figs. 4–7](#)).

Multielement descriptions. The 15-element apparatus of *Nicoraella* is composed of seven different element types (5S, 1M, 2P) whose morphological description and positions in the apparatus are as follows:

S_0 (Sa) element: Alate, with two short denticulate and symmetrical disposed processes

and a longer posterior process, which are slightly recurved along its aboral margin. This character is shown in the best-known Carboniferous conodont apparatus *Idiognathodus* (Purnell and Donoghue; 1997). Also, many reconstructions of the apparatus based on discrete elements (Kolar-Jurkovšek and Jurkovšek, 2010; Kolar-Jurkovšek et al., 2005; Kozur, 1989; Sun et al., 2009) or parts of fused clusters (Goudemand et al., 2012) follow this rule. Based on their symmetrical morphology and position within the apparatus, sandwiched by dextral and sinistral S elements, we infer that the alate hibbardellan elements occupied an S₀ position in the apparatus of *Nicoraella* (Figs. 4; 5A–D, F and 6K).

S₁ (Sb₁) elements: Breviform digyrate cypridodellan morphology, an erect cusp with a long downwardly recurved outer lateral process and an inner lateral process that may be adenticulate or include a small number of denticles. Their location, immediately abaxial of the S₀ element evidences their S₁ positional homology (Figs. 4; 5A–D, F, G). By inference, positional homologies can be established in clusters of *Novispathodus* (Goudemand et al., 2011; 2012). Previously, many researchers interpreted these morphotypes as S₂ (Sb₂) elements in the superfamily Gondolelloidea (Koike, 2004; Orchard and Rieber, 1999; Orchard, 2005; Sun et al., 2009). Here we identify ‘*Cypridodella* cf. *delicatula*’ elements as having occupied an S₁ position.

S₂ (Sb₂) element: Breviform digyrate elements with a denticulate or adenticulate adaxial inner lateral process and a long denticulate abaxial inner lateral process. Strictly, we have not yet been able to determine the position of the primary cusp in these elements. The cusp is either at the rostral end of the element (making it bipennate) or else, the cusp is the largest denticle at the caudal end of the element (making it breviform digyrate). Identification of the position of the tip of the primary basal cavity will reconcile these alternative interpretations. For the moment, we assume that the largest denticle is the cusp. These ‘enantiognathiform’ elements are recognized as having occupied an S₂ position based on their location abaxial of the elements occupying the S₁ position. Discrete elements of the single element taxonomy genus ‘*Enantiognathus*’ were discriminated previously as Sb₁ and, therefore, might be considered to have occupied an S₁ position (Koike, 2004; Orchard and Rieber, 1999; Orchard,

2005; Sun et al., 2009). Here we demonstrate that these '*Enantiognathus latus*' element morphotypes occupied an S₂ position (Figs. 4; 5A–D, F–H; 6I–M and 7N, P, R).

S₃, S₄ (Sc₁, c₂) element: Bipennate with a short recurved anterior process and a long posterior process. The S₃ and S₄ elements are almost identical but show some subtle difference. These include the single element taxonomy morphotypes '*Hindeodella bogschi*' (Figs. 4; 5B–H; 6I–M and 7N–R), which has a sitar-like profile in lateral view, with nearly straight posterior processes, and the anterior processes laterally bowed inward and downward beginning at the cusp, and all denticles deflected inward; and '*Neohindeodella triassica*' (Fig. 2M). The '*H. bogschi*' morphotype elements are much more abundant '*N. triassica*', of which just one example was found in the clusters; the same imbalance occurred among the discrete elements (Huang et al., 2011).

M elements: Breviform digyrate with a long downwardly recurved outer lateral process, a short, straight inner lateral process, and a prominent cusp. These 'cypridodellan' elements have traditionally been identified as M elements (Koike, 2004; Koike et al., 2004; Orchard, 2005; Purnell and Donoghue, 1997). Here, their positional homology can be established based on the location flanking abaxially the elements occupying the S₄ position (Figs. 4; 5B, D, F; 6I, L, J and 7R). These conform to the '*Cypridodella* cf. *conflexa*' morphotype in single element taxonomy. The difference between M and S₁ elements lies in the orientation of the cusp relative to the lateral processes: in M elements the lateral processes are true lateral processes and the cusp is curved in a plane that is perpendicular to the plane defined by the two lateral processes, whereas in S₁ elements the cusp is often twisted in such a way that it ends up being oriented along the largest process (outside lateral process).

P₂ (Pb) element: Carminate with a long anterior process and short posterior process comprised of few denticles (Figs. 2I, K; 3H and 4), or segminate ('xaniognathiform') with no posterior process and, consequently, a terminal cusp (Figs. 2 and 3). These elements are also seen in the Middle Triassic *Nicoraella* apparatus reconstruction on the basis of discrete elements from West Guizhou, South China (Sun et al., 2009).

P₁ (Pa) element: Carminate or angulate with a longer anterior process and shorter posterior comprised of just one or a few small denticles; cusp broader than the surrounding denticles but not much longer (Fig. 3). These are ‘nicoraellan’ elements (*Nicoraella kockeli*) (Figs. 2B, F, K; 3D, F, I and 4).

Remarks. Elements morphologies were described fully in previous investigations of *Nicoraella* (Huang et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2009). The most significant difference in our multielement reconstruction is the switch in homology of the elements previously considered to occupy S₁ and S₂ positions, to S₂ and S₁, respectively, based on the primary positional information provided by the fused clusters (Fig. 4; Goudemand et al., 2012). The reconstructed apparatus is comprised of 15 elements, including a single bilaterally symmetrical element (S₀) and seven paired elements (S₁₋₄, M, P₁₋₂) in the Superfamily Gondolelloidea (Orchard, 2005). Apparatuses within the Superfamily exhibit high degrees of similarity in terms of morphology and positions of the S and M elements: S₀ elements are traditional alate elements with two antero-lateral processes; M elements are breviform digyrate elements with a very long and a short process; S₃₋₄ elements are usually bipennate but there are exceptions, including the tertiopedate S₃ elements in Mullerinae. Breviform digyrate elements (enantiognathiform) were interpreted as characteristic S₁ elements, including two lateral processes; and S₂ elements were diagnosed as digyrate with a prominent posterior process and sometimes connecting one, or two or three anterior denticles before the cusp. However, based on the primary positional information preserved in the clusters of *Nicoraella kockelae*, the element morphotypes inferred to have occupied S₁₋₂ positions in multielement reconstructions in other species of Gondolelloidea, should be reversed. Without considering the obvious morphological differences in P elements between taxa, S-M elements are more or less differentiated among the genera, such as *Nicoraella*, *Novispathodus* (Goudemand et al., 2012) and *Neogondolella* (Orchard et Rieber, 1999; Orchard, 2005). S₃ and S₄ elements of *Nicoraella* possess a more recurved antero-lateral process (in aboral view) in comparison to *Novispathodus* and *Neogondolella*, with more denticles on the inner lateral process of the S₂ element, and a slightly curving aboral margin to the posterior process of the S₀ element.

6. Comparison with other gondollelid apparatuses

The reconstructed apparatus of *Nicoraella kockeli* exhibits great similarity to that of the Early Triassic *Novispathodus* (Goudemand et al., 2011; 2012). Earlier researchers added an alate hibbardellan S_0 element to the apparatus of *Novispathodus* (Goudemand et al., 2011; 2012) on the basis of former reconstructions (Rieber, 1980; Orchard and Rieber, 1999; Orchard, 2005), as well as two blade-shaped elements as the P_{1-2} elements. Their interpretation of the arrangement of S elements in their reconstructions largely withstands scrutiny, albeit with the swapping of positions of the elements previously interpreted as S_1 and S_2 in apparatuses of this type (see above). This is a new character that may be general for the Superfamily Gondolelloidea.

Previously, multielement reconstructions of the apparatus of *Nicoraella* have been based on materials of different species of the genus from the Carnian ‘Raibl Beds’ of the Karavanke Mountains of Slovenia (Kolar-Jurkovšek et al., 2005; Kolar-Jurkovšek and Jurkovšek, 2010). Another *Nicoraella* apparatus was reconstructed from the upper Member of the Guanling Formation in Panxian, Guizhou, southwest China, in which the authors also proposed an apparatus with a total of 15 elements (Sun et al., 2009). Kozur and Mock (1991) suggested that the apparatus of *Nicoraella? budaensis* from the Late Triassic of Hungary and the Alps is composed of modified hindeodellan (metaprioniodiform), modified prioniodiniform (cypridodellan), enantiognathiform and hibbardellan elements, but the number of S-M elements and their disposition was not confirmed. These authors made overt comparisons with the much better supported *Neogondolella* apparatus (Orchard and Rieber, 1999) and the *Idiognathodus* apparatus model from the Pennsylvanian Modesto Formation (Purnell and Donoghue, 1997; Purnell et al., 2000). Their conclusions agree with ours in terms of the enantiognathiform S_2 (Sb_2), hindeodellan S_{3-4} (Sc_{1-2}), and the breviform digyrate ‘cypridodellan’ M elements. As shown in Table 1, the morphology of elements occupying the S-M division is relatively stable among Triassic gondolelloids, in that the symmetrical alate hibbardellan element occupies the S_0 position. However, the S_1 position is more problematic. It was interpreted as occupied by enantiognathiform elements in previous research, but

revised for the *Novispathodus* apparatus (Goudemand et al., 2011; 2012), in which the ‘cypridodellan’ elements were interpreted to occupy the S₁ position, and the ‘enantiognathiform’ elements, as S₂ elements. Many previous researchers have interpreted the (Sb₂) ‘cypridodellan’ elements as having been located abaxial of the ‘enantiognathiform’ elements, but we challenge this viewpoint based on primary positional information from the *Nicoraella* (Figs. 4; 5B, D, G, H; 6I-M and 7N, P, R, S) and *Novispathodus* (Goudemand et al., 2012) fused clusters.

Similar to the proposed standard apparatus of *Idiognathodus* (Purnell and Donoghue, 1997), the apparatus of *Nicoraella kockeli* was composed of 15 elements. The element number is stable and conservative, including two pairs of P elements, as in other ozarkodinid conodont apparatuses through Carboniferous to Triassic, and showing no evidence of loss of the P₂ position after the P-Tr mass extinction (Zhang et al., 2017). The apparatus composition is seen in other reconstructed Triassic apparatuses, such as the Lower Triassic *Neostrachanognathus* and *Hindeodus parvus* from Japan (Agematsu et al., 2008; 2014; 2017), the Lower Triassic *Novispathodus* (Goudemand et al., 2011), and the Ladinian *Neogondolella* apparatus of Monte San Giorgio, Switzerland (Goudemand et al., 2011; Rieber, 1980). They all retain 15 elements in the apparatus, share similar outlines (the symmetrical alate hibbardellan element in the S₀ position) and, especially, they exhibit great similarity of apparatus structure and morphology of positionally homologous elements within the same family, as evidenced by comparisons to *Novispathodus* and *Neogondolella*.

7. Conclusions

Forty-one fused conodont clusters were collected from the Middle Triassic strata of the Shangshikan and Dawazi sections, Luoping, Yunnan, southwest China. These articulated clusters present reliable evidence to interpret the numbers of elements, their morphologies, and relative positions in the apparatus. Integrating information derived from discrete elements and articulated clusters suggests that the apparatus of *Nicoraella* was a typical 15-element apparatus, including 11 S-M elements (single S₀, paired S₁-S₄ and M elements) and pairs of P₁ and P₂ elements. Based on juxtaposed and overlapping elements in clusters,

‘enantiognathiform’ and ‘cypridodelliform’ elements can be demonstrated to have occupied S₂ and S₁ positions, respectively (reversing previous inferences). This new apparatus represents a new template for the superfamily Gondolelloidea, and it will play a significant role in revision of previous diversiform apparatus architectures. However, fusion of different elements in the clusters or enclosure in the matrix makes it difficult to reconstruct a three-dimensional architecture apparatus using SEM technology, and it needs to be tested by a new method in future works.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Chunbo Yan for his enormous help in experiments, and Dr. Mark Purnell for his insightful suggestions. This work was supported by the Chinese Geological Survey projects (Grant Nos. DD20160020 and 1212010610211), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 41502013, 41772022, 41661134047, 41572091, 41530104, and 41240016), State Key Laboratory of Palaeobiology and Stratigraphy (Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, CAS) (No.133106), and a Sylvester Bradley Award (No. PA-SB201401) from Palaeontological Association (UK). C.M.-P. was partially founded by a Marie Curie FP7-People IEF 2011-299681, and by the Projects CGL2014-52662-P and GV/2016/102.

References:

- Agematsu, S., Orchard, M.J., Sashida, K., 2008. Reconstruction of an apparatus of *Neostrachanognathus tahoensis* from Oritate, Japan and species of *Neostrachanognathus* from Oman. *Palaeontology* 51, 1201–1211. doi: 10.1111/j.1475-4983.2008.00804.x
- Agematsu, S., Sano, H., Sashida, K., 2014. Natural assemblages of *Hindeodus* conodonts from a Permian-Triassic boundary sequence, Japan. *Palaeontology* 57, 1277–1289. doi: 10.1111/pala.12114
- Agematsu, S., Uesugi, K., Sano, H., Sashida, K., 2017. Reconstruction of the multielement apparatus of the earliest Triassic conodont, *Hindeodus parvus*, using synchrotron

- radiation X-ray micro-tomography. *J. Paleontol.* 91, 1220–1227. doi: 10.1017/jpa.2017.61
- Bagnoli, G., Perri, M.C., Gandin, A., 1985. Ladinian conodont apparatuses from northwestern Sardinia, Italy. *Bollettino della Società Paleontologica Italiana* 23, 311–323.
- Benton, M.J., Zhang, Q., Hu, S., Chen, Z., Wen, W., Liu, J., et al. 2013. Exceptional vertebrate biotas from the Triassic of China, and the expansion of marine ecosystems after the end-Permian mass extinction. *Earth-Sci. Rev.* 125, 199–243. doi: 10.1016/j.earscirev.2013.05.014
- Clark, D.L., Sweet, W.C., Bergström, S.M., Klapper, G., Austin, R.L., Rhodes, F.H.T., et al., 1981. *Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology. Part W, Miscellaneous. Supplement 2 Conodonta*. The University of Kansas and The Geological Society of America, INC.
- Dzik, J., 1976. Remarks on the evolution of Ordovician conodonts. *Acta Palaeontol Pol.* 21, 395–455.
- Enos, P., Lehrmann, D.J., Wei, J., Yu, Y., Xiao, J., Chaikin, D.H., et al., 2006. Triassic evolution of the Yangtze platform in Guizhou Province, People's Republic of China, *Geol. Soc. Am. Spec. Pap.* 417, 1–105.
- Eichenberg, W., 1930. Conodonten aus dem Culm des Harzes. *Pal. Z.* 12, 177–182. doi: 10.1007/BF03044446
- Feldmann, R.M., Schweitzer, C.E., Hu, S., Huang, J., Zhang, Q., Zhou, C., et al. 2017. A new Middle Triassic (Anisian) cyclidan crustacean from the Luoping Biota, Yunnan Province, China: morphologic and phylogenetic insights. *J. Crustacean Bio.* 37, 406–412. doi: 10.1093/jcbiol/rux052
- Feldmann, R.M., Schweitzer, C.E., Hu, S., Zhang, Q., Zhou, C., Xie, T., et al., 2012. Macrurous Decapoda from the Luoping Biota (Middle Triassic) of China. *J. Paleontol.* 86, 425–441. doi: 10.1666/11-113.1

- Goudemand, N., Orchard, M.J., Tafforeau, P., Urdy, S., Brühwiler, T., Brayard, A., et al. 2012. Early Triassic conodont clusters from South China: Revision of the architecture of the 15 element apparatuses of the superfamily Gondolelloidea. *Palaeontology* 55, 1021–1034. doi: 10.1111/j.1475-4983.2012.01174.x
- Goudemand, N., Orchard, M.J., Urdy, S., Bucher, H., Tafforeau, P., 2011. Synchrotron-aided reconstruction of the conodont feeding apparatus and implications for the mouth of the first vertebrates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108, 8720–8724. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1101754108
- Hao, T., Ji, C., Sun, Z., Jiang, D., Tintori, A., 2015. Conodonts in coprolites from the Early Triassic of Chaohu, Anhui. *Journal of Stratigraphy* 39, 188–196 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Hu, S., Zhang, Q., Chen, Z., Zhou, C., Lü, T., Xie, T., et al. 2011. The Luoping biota: exceptional preservation, and new evidence on the Triassic recovery from end-Permian mass extinction. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 278, 2274–2282. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2010.2235
- Hu, S., Zhang, Q., Feldmann, R. M., Benton, M. J., Schweitzer, C. E., Huang, J., et al. 2017. Exceptional appendage and soft-tissue preservation in a Middle Triassic horseshoe crab from SW China. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 14112. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-13319-x.
- Huang, J., Feldmann, R.M., Schweitzer, C.E., Hu, S., Zhou, C., Benton, M.J., et al., 2013. A new shrimp (Decapoda, Dendrobranchiata, Penaeoidea) from the Middle Triassic of Yunnan, Southwest China. *J. Paleontol.* 87, 603–611. doi: 10.1666/13-024
- Huang, J., Zhang, K., Zhang, Q., Lü, T., Hu, S., Zhou, C., 2011. Advance research of conodont fauna from Shangshikan and Daaози sections in Luoping area, Yunnan Province. *Geological Science and Technology Information* 30, 1–17 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Huang, J., Zhang, K., Zhang, Q., Lü, T., Zhou, C., Bai, J., 2009. Conodonts stratigraphy and

sedimentary environment of the Middle Triassic at Daaози section of Luoping County, Yunnan Province, south China. *Acta Micropalaeontologica Sinica* 26, 211–224 (in Chinese with English abstract).

Huang, J., Zhang, K., Zhang, Q., Lü, T., Zhou, C., Hu, S., 2010. Discovery of Middle Triassic conodont clusters from Luoping fauna, Yunnan Province. *Earth Science-Journal of China University of Geosciences* 35, 512–514 (in Chinese with English abstract). doi: 10.3799/dqkx.2010.066

Ishida, K., Hirsch, F., 2011. The Triassic conodonts of the NW Malayan Kodiang limestone revisited: Taxonomy and paleogeographic significance. *Gondwana Res.* 19, 22–36. doi: 10.1016/j.gr.2010.05.008

Ji, C., Tintori, A., Jiang, D., Motani, R., 2017. New species of Thylacocephala (Arthropoda) from the Spathian (Lower Triassic) of Chaohu, Anhui Province of China. *Paläontologische Zeitschrift* 91, 171–184. doi: 10.1007/s12542-017-0347-7

Jiang, H., Luo, G., Lai, X., 2004. Summary of approaches for conodont separation. *Geological Science and Technology Information* 23, 109–112 (in Chinese with English abstract).

Koike, T., Yamakita, S., Kadota, N., 2004. A natural assemblage of *Ellisonia* sp. cf. *E. triassica* Müller (Vertebrata: Conodonta) from the uppermost Permian in the Suzuka Mountains, central Japan. *Paleontological Res.* 8, 241–253. doi: 10.2517/prpsj.8.241

Koike, T., 2004. Early Triassic *Neospathodus* (Conodonta) apparatuses from the Taho Formation, southwest Japan. *Paleontological Res.* 8, 129–140. doi: 10.2517/prpsj.8.129

Kolar-Jurkovšek, T., Gaździcki, A., Jurkovšek, B., 2005. Conodonts and foraminifera from the “Raibl Beds” (Carnian) of the Karavanke Mountains, Slovenia: stratigraphical and palaeobiological implications. *Geological Quarterly* 49, 429–438.

- Kolar-Jurkovšek, T., Jurkovšek, B., 2010. New paleontological evidence of the Carnian strata in the Mežica area (Karavanke Mts, Slovenia): Conodont data for the Carnian Pluvial Event. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 290, 81–88. doi: 10.1016/j.palaeo.2009.06.015
- Kolar-Jurkovšek, T., Martínez-Pérez, C., Jurkovšek, B., Aljinović, D., New clusters of *Pseudofurnishius murcianus* from the Middle Triassic of Slovenia (Dinarides) authored by Special Issue of the Bulletin of American Paleontology. (in press)
- Kozur, H., 1980. The main events in the Upper Permian and Triassic conodont evolution and its bearing to the Upper Permian and Triassic stratigraphy. *Riv. Ital. Paleontol. S.* 85, 741–766.
- Kozur, H., 1989. The taxonomy of the gondolellid conodonts in the Permian and Triassic. *Courier Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg* 117, 409–469.
- Kozur, H., Mock, R., 1991. New Middle Carnian and Rhaetian conodonts from Hungary and the Alps. Stratigraphic importance and tectonic implications for the Buda Mountains and adjacent areas. *Jahrbuch der Geologischen Bundesanstalt* 134, 271–297.
- Lai, X., 1995. New advances in affinity of conodonts — The earliest vertebrates. *Geological Science and Technology Information* 14, 49–56 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Liu, J., Hu, S., Rieppel, O., Jiang, D., Benton, M.J., Kelley, N.P., et al., 2014. A gigantic nothosaur (Reptilia: Sauropterygia) from the Middle Triassic of SW China and its implication for the Triassic biotic recovery. *Scientific Reports* 4, 1–9. doi: 10.1038/srep07142
- Liu, J., Organ, C.L., Benton, M.J., Brandley, M.C., Aitchison, J.C., 2017. Live birth in an archosauromorph reptile. *Nature Communications* 8, 1–5. doi: 10.1038/ncomms14445
- Lindström, M., 1970. A suprageneric taxonomy of the conodonts. *Lethaia* 3, 427–445.

- Luo, M., Hu, S., Benton, M.J., Shi, G.R., Zhao, L., Huang, J., et al., 2017a. Taphonomy and palaeobiology of early Middle Triassic coprolites from the Luoping biota, southwest China: Implications for reconstruction of fossil food webs. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 474, 232–246. doi: 10.1016/j.palaeo.2016.06.001
- Luo, M., Shi, G.R., Hu, S.X., Benton, M.J., Chen, Z.Q., Huang, J.Y., Zhang, Q.Y., Zhou, C.Y., Wen, W., 2017b. Early Middle Triassic trace fossils from the Luoping biota, southwestern China: evidence of recovery from mass extinction. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2017.11.028>.
- Mietto, P., 1982. A Ladinian conodont-cluster of *Metapolygnathus mungoensis* (Diebel) from Trento area (NE Italy). *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Monatshefte* 1982, 600–606. doi: 0028-3630/82/1982-0060
- Orchard, M.J., Rieber, H., 1999. Multielement *Neogondolella* (Conodonta, upper Permian-middle Triassic). *Bollettino della Società Paleontologica Italiana* 37, 475–488.
- Orchard, M.J., 2005. Multielement conodont apparatuses of Triassic Gondolelloidea. *Special Papers in Palaeontology Series* 73, 73–101.
- Purnell, M.A., Donoghue, P.C.J., Aldridge, R.J., 2000. Orientation and anatomical notation in conodonts. *J. Paleontol.* 74, 113–122. doi: 10.1666/0022-3360(2000)074<0113:OAANIC>2.0.CO;2
- Purnell, M.A., Donoghue, P.C.J., 1997. Architecture and functional morphology of the skeletal apparatus of ozarkodinid conodonts. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 352, 1545–1564. doi: 10.1098/rstb.1997.0141
- Ramovš, A., 1978. Mitteltriassische Conodonten-clusters in Slovenien, NW Jugoslawien. *Paläontologische Zeitschrift* 52, 129–137. doi: 10.1007/BF03006734
- Ramovš, A., 1977. The reconstructed skeletal apparatus of *Pseudofurnishius murcianus*

- (Conodontophorida) in the Middle Triassic of Slovenia (NW Jugoslavia). Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Abhandlungen 153, 361–399.
- Rieber, H., 1980. Ein Conodonten-cluster aus der Grenzbitumenzone (Mittlere Trias) des Monte San Giorgio (Kt. Tessin / Schweiz). Annalen des Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien 83, 265–274.
- Sun, Z., Hao, W., Sun, Y., Jiang, D., 2009. The conodont genus *Nicoraella* and a new species from the Anisian of Guizhou, South China. Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Abhandlungen 252, 227–235. doi: 10.1127/0077-7749/2009/0252-0227
- Tatge, U., 1956. Conodonten aus dem germanischen Muschelkalk. Pal. Z. 30, 108–147.
- Wen, W., Zhang, Q., Hu, S., Benton, M.J., Zhou, C., Tao, X., et al., 2013. Coelacanths from the Middle Triassic Luoping Biota, Yunnan, South China, with the earliest evidence of ovoviviparity. Acta Palaeontol. Pol. 58, 175–193. doi: 10.4202/app.2011.0066
- Wen, W., Zhang, Q., Hu, S., Zhou, C., Xie, T., Huang, J., et al., 2012. A new genus of basal actinopterygian fish from the Anisian (Middle Triassic) of Luoping, Yunnan Province, Southwest China. Acta Palaeontol. Pol. 57, 149–160. doi: 10.4202/app.2010.0089
- Zhang, M., Jiang, H., Purnell, M.A., Lai, X., 2017. Testing hypotheses of element loss and instability in the apparatus composition of complex conodonts: articulated skeletons of *Hindeodus*. Palaeontology 60, 595–608. doi: 10.1111/pala.12305
- Zhang, Q., Zhou, C., Lu, T., Xie, T., Lou, X., Liu, W., et al., 2009. A conodont-based Middle Triassic age assignment for the Luoping Biota of Yunnan, China. Science in China Series D: Earth Sciences 52, 1673–1678.
- Zhang, Q., Zhou, C., Lu, T., Xie, T., Lou, X., Liu, W., et al., 2008. Discovery and significance of the Middle Triassic Anisian biota from Luoping, Yunnan Province. Geological Rev. 54, 523–526 (in Chinese with English abstract).

Zhang, Z., Zhang, J., 1986. Discovery and functional analysis of a new conodont cluster.
Geological Rev. 32, 185–189 (in Chinese with English abstract).

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Location map of the Shangshikan and Dawazi sections in Luoping, eastern Yunnan, southwest China, with indication of location in Luoping County (top right) and in China as a whole (top left).

Fig. 2. Disarticulated conodont accumulation from the Dawazi and Shangshikan sections. These conodont elements are from bed (-17) (A), bed 12 (B-D, L), bed 20 (F-G), bed 25 (E, H-I, K), and bed 35 (J) in the Dawazi section respectively, and figure M is from bed 10 of the Shangshikan section. These have been interpreted as accumulations in faecal residues of predators or post-mortem accumulations by current winnowing. Although they do not have the full characters of apparatus architecture, they also provide valuable clues on apparatus architecture, of the P and S elements occur together in figures B, F, I and K.

Fig. 3. Articulated conodont clusters of P₁ and P₂ elements from the Dawazi section and Shangshikan section, as SEM photographs. P₁ elements (A-G) and P₂ elements (H) clusters from the Dawazi section are from bed 3 (A), bed 19 (B), bed 25 (C), bed 35 (D), bed 36 (E-F), and bed 42 (G-H) respectively, and figure (I) (P₁ elements) from bed 32 in the Shangshikan section; figure (B₁) and (I₁) shows microwear on the distal denticles.

Fig. 4. Articulated conodont cluster from the bed 18 in Dawazi section, in which all elements were fused together as shown in line photographs, A: dextral side, B: sinistral side. It presents the complete elements of the *Nicoraella* apparatus, which containing 15 elements (seven paired P₁₋₂, S-M elements and one unpaired S₀ element).

Fig. 5. Articulated conodont clusters from the Dawazi section, as SEM photographs (a) and interpretive drawings (b). Clusters are from bed (-17) (A), bed 12 (B-E), bed 18 (F-G), and bed 23 (H) respectively. Their elements stack in the same relative order in clusters A-H. Single example of S₀ (hibbardellan elements), pairs of S₁ (cypridodellan element), S₂ (enantiognathiform elements), S₃₋₄ (hindeodellan elements) and M (cypridodellan elements).

Fig. 6. Articulated conodont clusters from the Dawazi section, as SEM photographs (a) and

interpretive drawings (b). Conodont clusters are from bed 25 (I-J), bed 27 (K), and bed 34 (L-M) respectively. They have the same pattern of the juxtaposition in the clusters of I-M. Single example of S₀ (hibbardellan elements), pairs of S₁ (cypridodellan element), S₂ (enantiognathiform elements), S₃₋₄ (hindeodellan elements) and M (cypridodellan elements).

Fig. 7. Articulated conodont clusters from the Dawazi section and Shangshikan sections, as SEM photographs (a) and interpretive drawings (b). Conodont clusters from the Dawazi section are from bed 35 (N) and bed 37 (O-P), and figures (Q) and (R) from bed 27 and bed 32 respectively in the Shangshikan section. (N-P) and (Q-R) share patterns of juxtaposition, and comprise a single of S₀ (hibbardellan elements), pairs of S₁ (cypridodellan element), S₂ (enantiognathiform elements), S₃₋₄ (hindeodellan elements) and M (cypridodellan elements).

Fig. 8. Map of the relative positions of elements with the topological scheme of notation of the *Nicoraella* apparatus. In the medial position, the S₀ element is a hibbardellan element, at the sinistral and dextral of the S₀ element, the S₁, S₂, S₃₋₄ and M elements flank successively, and they are *Cypridodella* cf. *delicatula*, *Enantiognathus latus*, *Hindeodella bogschi* and *Cypridodella* cf. *conflexa* respectively.

Table 1. Summary of previous Triassic conodont apparatuses in superfamily Gondolelloidea.

Previous Triassic apparatuses reconstructions in superfamily Gondolelloidea, elements composition in each position of the apparatus as shown the original diagnosis without any modification, and comparison with the new apparatus of *Nicoraella*, based on well-preserved clusters, presented in this paper.

Table 2. Collection numbers of the conodont clusters in the paper.

1 **Table 1.** Summary of previous Triassic conodont apparatuses in superfamily Gondolelloidea.

The components of Conodont apparatus	Triassic						
	Lower		Middle				Upper
	<i>Hindeodus parvus</i> apparatus, Hashikadani Fm., lower Induan, Japan, Agematsu et al. 2017	<i>Novispathodus</i> apparatus, Luolou Fm., lower Spathian, Guangxi, Goundmand et al. 2011, 2012	<i>Nicoraella</i> apparatus, Upper Member of Guanling Fm., Anisian, Guizhou Panxian, Sun et al. 2009	Luoping <i>Nicoraella</i> apparatus element, Member II, Guanling Fm., Anisian, Yunan Luoping, this paper	<i>Neogonodolella mombergensis</i> , lower Ladinian, Monte San Giorgio, Rieber 1980, Orchard & Rieber 1999, Orchard 2005	<i>Pseudofurnishius murcianus</i> , Ladinian, Central Slovenia, NW Jugoslavia, Ramovš 1977, 1978	<i>Nicoraella</i> ? <i>budaensis</i> , Middle Carnian, Kozur 1989, Kozur & Mock 1991, Kolar-Jurkovsek et al. 2005, 2010
Preserved status	Nature assemblage on bedding plane	Part of clusters	discrete elements of the collections	15 elements clusters	Nature assemblage on bedding plane	Part of clusters	discrete elements of the collections
P ₁	Carminiscaphate hindeodiform	segminate neospathodiform	segminate neospathodiform (Pa)	carminate or angulate (<i>Nicoraella kockeli</i>)	segminiplanate neogondolelliform (<i>Neogonodolella mombergensis</i>) (Pa)	<i>Pseudofurnishius murcianus</i> (Pa)	segminate neospathodiform (Pa)
P ₂	angulate	angulate ozarkodiniform	Cornudina-like ozarkodiniform (Pb)	carminate or segminate (<i>Xaniognathus</i> sp.) (Pb)	angulate (<i>Xaniognathus</i> sp.) (Pb)	pollognathiform (Pb)	? Angulate modified ozarkodiniform (Pb)
S ₀	alate hibbardelliform	alate hibbardelliform	alate hibbardelliform	alate hibbardelliform	alate (hibbardelliform) (Sa)	hibbardelliform (Sa)	alate hibbardelliform (Sa)
S ₁	digyrate	digyrate cypridodelliform	breviform digyrate (<i>Enantiognathus latus</i>) (Sb ₁)	breviform digyrate (<i>Cypridodella</i> cf. <i>delicatula</i>) (Sa)	breviform digyrate (enantiognathiform) (Sb ₁)	prioniodiform (Sb ₁)	breviform digyrate enantiognathiform (Sb ₁)
S ₂	digyrate	breviform digyrate enantiognathiform	dolabrate cypridodelliform (Sb ₂)	breviform digyrate (<i>Enantiognathus latus</i>)	dolabrate or similar grodelliform or cypridodelliform (Sb ₂)	enantiognathiform (Sb ₂)	dolabrate cypridodelliform (Sb ₂)
S ₃	bipennate	bipennate hindeodelliform	bipennate hindeodelliform (Sc ₁)	bipennate (<i>Hindeodella bogschi</i>)	resembles tetriopedate cf. hindeodelliform; bipennate with anterior process) (Sc ₁)	hindeodelliform (Sc ₁)	bipennate hindeodelliform (Sc ₁)
S ₄	bipennate	bipennate hindeodelliform	bipennate cf. hindeodelliform (Sc ₂)	bipennate (<i>Hindeodella bogschi</i>)	bipennate cf. hindeodelliform (Sc ₂)	hindeodelliform (Sc ₂)	?
M	makellate	breviform digyrate cypridodelliform	digyrate prioniodiniform (M)	breviform digyrate (<i>Cypridodella</i> cf. <i>conflexa</i>)	breviform digyrate cypridodelliform (M)	prioniodiform (M)	digyrate ? Prioniodiniform (M)

3 Previous Triassic apparatuses reconstructions in superfamily Gondolelloidea, elements composition in each position of the apparatus as shown
4 the original diagnosis without any modification, and comparison with the new apparatus of *Nicoraella*, based on well-preserved clusters,
5 presented in this paper.

6 **Table 2.** Collection numbers of the conodont clusters in the paper.

Text-Figures	Nubmers in the figure	Sections	Original bed numbers	Catalog numbers
Figure 2	A	Dawazi	-17	pm028-(-17)-wy1-C1
	B	Dawazi	12	pm028-12-wy1-C1
	C	Dawazi	12	pm028-12-wy1-C2
	D	Dawazi	12	pm028-12-wy1-C3
	E	Dawazi	25	pm028-25-wy1-C3
	F	Dawazi	20	pm028-20-wy1-C1
	G	Dawazi	20	pm028-20-wy1-C2
	H	Dawazi	25	pm028-25-wy1-C4
	I	Dawazi	25	pm028-25-wy1-C5
	J	Dawazi	35	pm028-35-wy1-C1
	K	Dawazi	25	pm028-25-wy1-C6
	L	Dawazi	12	pm028-12-wy1-C4
	M	Shangshikan	10	pm032-10-wy1-C1
Figure 3	A	Dawazi	3	pm028-3-wy1-C1
	B	Dawazi	19	pm028-19-wy1-C1
	C	Dawazi	25	pm028-25-wy1-C7
	D	Dawazi	35	pm028-35-wy1-C2
	E	Dawazi	36	pm028-36-wy1-C1
	F	Dawazi	36	pm028-36-wy1-C2
	G	Dawazi	42	pm028-42-wy1-C1
	H	Dawazi	42	pm028-42-wy1-C2
	I	Dawazi	32	pm028-32-wy1-C1
Figure 4	A-B	Dawazi	18	pm028-18-wy1-C1
Figure 5	A	Dawazi	-17	pm028-(-17)-wy1-C2
	B	Dawazi	12	pm028-12-wy1-C5
	C	Dawazi	12	pm028-12-wy1-C6
	D	Dawazi	12	pm028-12-wy1-C7
	E	Dawazi	12	pm028-12-wy1-C8
	F	Dawazi	18	pm028-18-wy1-C2
	G	Dawazi	18	pm028-18-wy1-C3
	H	Dawazi	23	pm028-23-wy1-C1
Figure 6	I	Dawazi	25	pm028-25-wy1-C8
	J	Dawazi	25	pm028-25-wy1-C9
	K	Dawazi	27	pm028-27-wy1-C1
	L	Dawazi	34	pm028-34-wy1-C1
	M	Dawazi	34	pm028-34-wy1-C2
Figure 7	N	Dawazi	35	pm028-35-wy1-C3
	O	Dawazi	37	pm028-37-wy1-C1
	P	Dawazi	37	pm028-37-wy1-C2
	Q	Shangshikan	27	pm032-27-wy1-C1
	R	Shangshikan	32	pm032-32-wy1-C1