Gulliford, M., Juszczuk, D., & Yardley, L. (2019). What is "normal" antibiotic prescribing? *BMJ*, 364, l1144. [l1144].
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.l1144>

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

License (if available):
CC BY

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1136/bmj.l1144](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.l1144)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the final published version of the article (version of record). It first appeared online via BMJ at <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.l1144> . Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

LETTERS

IMPROVING OUTPATIENT ANTIBIOTIC PRESCRIBING

What is “normal” antibiotic prescribing?

Martin Gulliford *professor of public health*¹, Dorota Juszczuk *research associate*¹, Lucy Yardley *professor of health psychology*²

¹King's College London, School of Population Health and Environmental Sciences, Guy's Campus, London SE1 1UL, UK; ²University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

The United Kingdom has a culture of high antibiotic prescribing.¹ Although the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence recommends that most self limiting respiratory tract infections be managed without antibiotics, some 50% of primary care consultations for this condition are associated with an antibiotic prescription.² For some international comparators, including Sweden and the Netherlands, antibiotic prescribing is half this rate.³ Antibiotic prescribing has also changed over time, being lower now than five years ago but still higher than 10 or 15 years ago.

Hicks and colleagues¹ give examples of how social norm feedback can increase the effectiveness of interventions to reduce antibiotic prescribing, but this approach also has difficulties. In qualitative interviews to support intervention development for the REDUCE trial,⁴ general practitioners expressed scepticism that external norms could be applied easily to their patient populations. Antibiotic prescribing is driven by consultation rates, which are typically higher in deprived areas. Antibiotic prescribing is also highly dependent on the age distribution of patient populations and the prevalence of comorbidities, which vary between practices.⁵ Estimates for individual general practices are often based on small numbers. Comparative metrics require rigorous development to avoid some of the negative connotations of targets and league tables.⁶

High antibiotic prescribing seems to be acceptable in the UK at present, with a “norm” of prescribing rather than withholding

antibiotics. To reduce antibiotic prescribing across UK primary care, future interventions need to tackle this norm rather than simply using it as a reference point.⁷

Competing interests: None declared.

- 1 Hicks LA, King LM, Fleming-Dutra KE. Improving outpatient antibiotic prescribing. *BMJ* 2019;364:l289. doi: 10.1136/bmj.l289 30755402
- 2 Gulliford MC, Dregan A, Moore MV, et al. Continued high rates of antibiotic prescribing to adults with respiratory tract infection: survey of 568 UK general practices. *BMJ Open* 2014;4:e006245. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006245 25348424
- 3 House of Commons. Health and Social Care Committee. Antimicrobial resistance. Eleventh report of session 2017-2019. 2018. <https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201719/cmselect/cmhealth/962/962.pdf>.
- 4 Gulliford MC, Prevost AT, Charlton J, et al. Effectiveness and safety of electronically delivered prescribing feedback and decision support on antibiotic use for respiratory illness in primary care: REDUCE cluster randomised trial. *BMJ* 2019;364:l236. doi: 10.1136/bmj.l236 30755451
- 5 Hope EC, Crump RE, Hollingsworth TD, Smieszek T, Robotham JV, Pouwels KB. Identifying English practices that are high antibiotic prescribers accounting for comorbidities and other legitimate medical reasons for variation. *EClinicalMedicine* 2018;6:36-41. doi: 10.1016/j.eclim.2018.12.003 30740597
- 6 Goldstein H, Spiegelhalter DJ. League tables and their limitations: statistical issues in comparisons of institutional performance. *J R Stat Soc Ser A Stat Soc* 1996;159:385-443. doi: 10.2307/2983325
- 7 Little P, Stuart B, Francis N, et al. GRACE consortium. Effects of internet-based training on antibiotic prescribing rates for acute respiratory-tract infections: a multinational, cluster, randomised, factorial, controlled trial. *Lancet* 2013;382:1175-82. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60994-0 23915885

Published by the BMJ Publishing Group Limited. For permission to use (where not already granted under a licence) please go to <http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>