A new avenue for treating neuronal diseases: Ceftriaxone, an old antibiotic demonstrating behavioral neuronal effects
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

Several neurodegenerative disorders, namely Parkinson’s disease dementia, dementia with Lewy bodies, and Alzheimer’s disease, share common pathophysiological features, such as (1) cognitive deficits, (2) glutamatergic hyperactivity-related excitotoxicity, and (3) deposition of \(\alpha\)-synuclein (\(\alpha\)-syn) and \(\beta\)-amyloid (A\(\beta\)). Ceftriaxone (CEF) is a well-tested and safe drug that has been used as an antibiotic for several decades. Recent studies have demonstrated the following effects of CEF: (1) increasing glutamate transporter-1 expression and glutamate reuptake and suppressing excitotoxicity, (2) binding well with \(\alpha\)-syn and inhibition of \(\alpha\)-syn polymerization, (3) modulating expression of genes related to A\(\beta\) metabolism, and (4) enhancing neurogenesis and recovery of neuronal density. In addition, our data revealed that CEF ameliorates seizure and abnormal neuronal firing in the brain. These results suggest the potential of CEF in treating neuronal disorders. This paper addresses the effects and pharmacology of CEF.

1. Introduction to ceftriaxone (CEF)

CEF is a cephalosporin antibiotic approved for clinical use by the FDA in 1984 as a broad-spectrum antibiotic for infections such as pneumonia [1], bacterial meningitis [2], and gonorrhea [3]. Because CEF has long been clinically used, its safety has been demonstrated [4,5]. Recently, neuronal protective effects of CEF were observed in animal models of neurodegenerative disorders, where CEF prevents cognitive and motor deficits; inhibits dopaminergic (DAergic) degeneration in the striatum and substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc); ameliorates cell loss in the hippocampus; restores neuronal density and activity in the striatum, SNc, and hippocampus; and increases neurogenesis in the substantia nigra and hippocampal dentate gyrus (DG). Increased glutamate reuptake through enhanced glutamate transporter-1 (GLT-1) expression, elevation of neurogenesis, and regulation of neuronal electrical activity may underlie the CEF-mediated neuronal and behavioral protections. The results support the use of CEF in patients with neuronal disorders.

Several neurological studies of CEF were inspired by a groundbreaking finding reported by Rothstein et al., who screened antibiotics with the \(\beta\)-lactam structure from 1040 FDA-approved drugs and observed that CEF is able to promote transcription of the GLT-1 gene and to increase GLT-1 protein levels in astrocytes. CEF enhanced glutamate reuptake, reduced excitotoxicity, exhibited neuronal protection in ischemia, and improves motor function in an animal model of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) [6]. Activation of the GLT-1 promoter,
through the transcriptional nuclear factor kappa B (NFκB) pathway, was observed 48 h after CEF treatment, which maintained for at least 7 days [6]. After 5 days of CEF (200 mg/kg/day, intraperitoneal injection (IP)) treatment, GLT-1 protein levels increased three-fold compared with controls in the hippocampus and spinal cord. The increased GLT-1 levels are biochemically active because reuptake of l-[3H]glutamate in the cerebral cortex and hippocampus was increased [6]. Further analyses have determined that the fifty percent effective concentration (EC50) of CEF for increasing GLT-1 expression was 3.5 μM, which is an attainable level (0.36 mM) of CEF in the central nervous system when CEF is used for treating meningitis [7,8]. Rothstein and his colleagues’ research is indeed epoch-making. The effects of CEF, promoting GLT-1 expression, increasing glutamate reuptake, and inhibiting neurodegeneration, are quite different from what we know of CEF as an antibiotic. We review the effects of and conclude the mechanism of CEF in the paper.

2. CEF prevents behavioral and neuronal deficits in the rat model of Parkinson’s disease dementia (PDD)

Several neurological disorders are associated with excessive glutamatergic activity and deficits of GLT-1 expression [9,10]. Functional interactions between the glutamatergic and dopaminergic (DAergic) systems in the brain regulate motor and cognitive functions. DAergic degeneration causes parkinsonism [11], and atrophy in the hippocampal CA1 area results in anterograde amnesia [12]. Similarly, cell loss in the hippocampal CA1 area has been observed to be accompanied by cognitive deficits in a rat model of PDD [13], because neurogenesis in the hippocampal DG is involved in learning and memory. The hippocampus and the nigrostriatal DAergic system are rich in glutamatergic synapses and are vulnerable to excitotoxic damage; therefore, excessive glutamate release and excitotoxicity in these regions may lead to brain damage and memory and cognition impairments in patients with Parkinson’s disease (PD) [14]. Thus, regulation of glutamatergic transmission by enhancing GLT-1 expression could be an ideal pharmacological target to prevent neuronal death and cognitive deficits caused by glutamate excitotoxicity [6,15].

CEF produces a beneficial effect in the PD rat model by regulating glutamatergic activity. In the 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP)-induced PD rat model, decreased GLT-1 expression in astrocytes was observed [13]. CEF treatment (100 or 200 mg/kg/day, IP) significantly increased GLT-1 expression in astrocytes in the striatum and hippocampus in both sham-operated and MPTP-lesioned rats, irrespective of dose used or whether treatment was started 5 days before or 3 days after the MPTP lesioning [13]. CEF promoted nuclear translocation of p65 and increased the binding of CEF to the astrocyte. Furthermore, ischemia increased glutamate release in the cortex and hippocampus, which was suppressed by CEF pretreatment [19].

Immunohistochemical evaluation indicated that CEF treatment significantly inhibited the MPTP-induced decrease of density of DAergic terminals and neurons in the striatum and SNc, respectively. Furthermore, the loss of pyramidal cells in the hippocampal CA1, CA3, and DG areas caused by MPTP was suppressed by CEF treatment [14,20]. The number of neural precursor cells and proliferating cells was observed to be reduced in postmortem brains of patients with PD, indicating an impairment of neurogenesis [21]. This was also observed in a PD rat model [14,22]. Moreover, we observed that CEF increased the number of newborn cells in the SNr and in the hippocampal DG in the MPTP-induced rat model of PDD [22]. These newborn cells may eventually migrate to the SNc and CA1, respectively, which may explain the recovery of neuronal density in the SNc and hippocampus after CEF treatment. DAergic deficits were considered to be the main pathological cause of PD, which inspired the development of l-dopa and also supported the effects of this drug. However, patients with PD also develop dementia, PDD, and the cognitive deficits are associated with their hippocampal atrophy. l-dopa does not inhibit neurodegeneration in the hippocampus and certainly not block or treat dementia in PD patients. In addition to DAergic degeneration, the hippocampal cell loss mediates PDD. Glutamate-induced excitotoxicity underlies neuronal death in the above two regions. CEF enhances GLT-1 expression, cleans up excess glutamate, prevents excitotoxicity and neurodegeneration, increases new born cells in the brain regions, and improves symptoms of PDD.

CEF affects not only glutamatergic transmission but also the neuronal activity of the brain. The activity of the mitochondrial enzyme, cytochrome oxidase, is used to evaluate metabolic activity of the neuron. MPTP lesioning significantly increased levels of cytochrome oxidase in the subthalamic nucleus (STN). However, this increase was not seen after receiving CEF treatment [13,14]. The suppression of STN hyperactivity by CEF was also observed when measuring neuronal activity by using manganese-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MEMRI) in vivo [14]. However, MPTP lesioning resulted in decreased neuronal activity in the striatum, cortex, and hippocampus, which was prevented by the treatment with CEF. Furthermore, positive correlations between neuronal activity and density of neurons in the SNc and hippocampus were observed after CEF treatment [14]. Similarly and even more interestingly, we recently determined that CEF treatment suppressed burst discharges in the STN of a PD rat model (Fig. 1). Moreover, Bellesi et al. reported that 8 days of CEF (200 mg/kg/day) treatment resulted in a delayed reduction in electroencephalogram (EEG) theta power (7–9 Hz) in both frontal and parietal areas [23]. Theta oscillations are observed in several areas of the brain, such as the hippocampus and cortical and subcortical structures, which are correlated with long-term potentiation (LTP) [45] and synaptic plasticity [46]. In addition, reduction of theta power reflects lower synchronization of neuronal activity, which may stabilize electrophysiological activity of the brain and may thus be involved in suppression of burst firing and seizures. Changing stimulation frequency from 0.1 Hz to 1 Hz for 30 s caused an increase in field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (fEPSP) amplitude, which was reduced in CEF-treated rats. Furthermore, CEF suppressed LTP and long-term depression (LTD) at synapses of CA3 mossy fibers [24]. Because LTP and LTD are involved in neuronal plasticity, these results suggest a novel mechanism by which CEF regulates neuronal and behavioral functions. It needs further studies to elucidate the mechanism by which CEF affects EEG and LTD/LTD.

3. CEF may be useful to treat α-synuclein (α-syn)- and β-amyloid (Aβ)-related neuronal disorders

Dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB), PDD, and Alzheimer’s disease
(AD) are different disorders, but they exhibit common pathophysiological changes, where α-synucleinopathies and Aβ cause neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration. Therefore, these changes have been the center of focus in understanding the etiology of these neurodegenerative disorders [25], since elimination of α-syn and Aβ may prevent these disorders.

DLB was initially identified as a dementia syndrome with Lewy body pathology. Neurocognitive syndromes occur in patients with DLB when Lewy bodies invade the limbic system and associative neocortices [26]. Cellular pathologies of Lewy bodies, including α-syn and Aβ deposition, are hallmarks of DLB [27]. In contrast to DLB, which is characterized by a dominant dementia syndrome followed by parkinsonism, PD is a dominant movement disorder. PD patients also display a dementia syndrome with an initial diagnosis of parkinsonism for more than 1 year, where the cognitive symptoms are thought to occur when Lewy bodies are prevalent in the brain [26]. In addition, recent post-mortem studies suggest that as many as one half of AD patients have α-syn aggregation in the brain [28,29].

The pathophysiology of DLB is related to the aggregation of Lewy bodies and Lewy neurites that are formed by α-syn accumulation [30,31], resulting in neurotoxicity and cell loss in the brain [32–34]. In addition to α-syn accumulation, the deposition of extracellular Aβ is also observed in the brain of up to eighty percent of DLB patients [35]. Aβ not only exacerbates neuronal toxicity of α-syn but also prolongs the extracellular lifetime of glutamate in the synapse by reducing GLT-1 expression in astrocytes [36]. We reported a method of injection of Aβ and viral vectors with the SNCA gene into the brain to induce the DLB rat model [37]. The DLB rats showed a high level of α-syn accumulation in the hippocampal DG area and a lower density of pyramidal neurons in the hippocampal CA1. CEF (100 mg/kg/day, IP) treatment corrected the aforementioned neuronal changes and reduced α-syn accumulation, indicating that CEF is a potential agent for the treatment of DLB [38]. Neuronal α-syn inclusions and pathological α-syn transmission play a leading role in the initiation of Parkinson-like neurodegeneration. A recent in vitro study demonstrated that CEF binds to α-syn and blocks its polymerization [39]. The binding may underlie the effects of CEF on reducing α-syn accumulation and restoring neuronal density and activity in the brain of DLB rats.

Chronic CEF (100 mg/kg/day, IP) administration for 36 days reduced cognitive deficits and ameliorated neurodegenerative changes in the hippocampus in OXYS rats, a model of sporadic AD [40]. The “amyloid cascade hypothesis” proposes that Aβ plays a critical role in the pathogenesis of AD. Modulating the expression of enzymes involved in the metabolism of Aβ has been suggested as an effective strategy for the prevention and therapy of AD. Tikhonova et al. recently determined that CEF (100 mg/kg/day, IP) treatment for 36 days affected expression of mRNA, which is involved in Aβ metabolism. CEF diminished the Bace1 mRNA level but augmented Ide, Mme, and Ece1 mRNA levels in the brain of OXYS rats. The Bace1 is involved in the increase of Aβ levels but Ide, Mme, and Ece1 are involved in decrease of Aβ levels. Moreover, CEF treatment increased Epo mRNA levels, which are associated with erythropoietin (EPO) expression, neurodevelopment, neurogenesis, and neuroprotection [41]. This is consistent with our previous data illustrating a synergistic effect of combined treatment with CEF and exogenous EPO on neuroprotection as well as cognitive improvements in the MPTP-induced PDD rat model [42]. Accumulation of α-syn and Aβ plays an important role in the pathophysiology of DLB, PDD, and AD. Aβ triggers oxidative stress, which stimulates α-syn aggregation [43] and deteriorates neurotoxicity [44]. Inhibiting α-syn accumulation, enhancing antioxidant activity [45,46], and regulation of Aβ metabolism, decreasing production and increasing degradation [41], may underlie neuronal and behavioral protections of CEF in the neurological diseases. Further studies are needed to provide support for the CEF effects on AD and gene regulations.

4. Beneficial effects of CEF on movement disorders

Alexander’s disease is a genetic disease characterized by progressive motor deterioration with no cure. A case report indicated that administration of CEF reversed the progression of neurodegeneration in a patient with adult-onset Alexander’s disease and substantially improved her quality of life. Before CEF therapy, in a 2-year period, gait ataxia and dysarthria worsened from mild to marked; palatal myoclonus spread from the soft palate to lower facial muscles; and the patient complained of oscillopsia. After 4 years of CEF therapy (2 g/day, IV, for 3 weeks monthly during the initial 4 months, then for 15 days monthly), gait ataxia and dysarthria were improved, from mild to marked at clinical rating scales. The palatal myoclonus was no longer detectable, and the patient did not complain of oscillopsia and reported a progressively better quality of life [47] (Table 1).

A decrease in GLT1 expression has been reported in motor cortex and spinal cord of patients with ALS [48]. CEF (200 mg/kg/day, IP) treatment for 7 days increased muscle grip and reduced the loss of body weight in the animal model of ALS (G93 A SOD1 mice). Two weeks of CEF treatment reduced the death of spinal motor neurons and the gliosis of nerve tissue and improved the survival rate of the ALS animals [6]. Moreover, ALS Functional Rating Scale − Revised (ALSFRS-R) scores declined more slowly in patients with ALS who received CEF treatment (4 g/day, through a central venous catheter for 6 months) than in those on placebo in a large-scale clinical trial involving 514 ALS patients [49]. Notably, restoration of neuronal connections between CNS and PNS may also contribute to CEF effects because increased numbers of corticospinal tract axons and restoration of hind limb motor function were observed after 7 days of CEF (200 mg/kg/day, IP) treatment in rats with spinal cord injuries [50].

5. Beneficial effects of CEF on ischemia, pain, and seizure

Disruption of homeostasis of glutamatergic neurotransmission, causing excitotoxicity and cell death, plays a pathophysiological role in cerebral ischemia. Middle cerebral artery occlusion increased glutamate release and suppressed astrocytic GLT-1 expression in the frontal cortex.
and hippocampus. CEF (200 mg/kg/day, IP) pretreatment suppressed these changes in rats, where enhanced GLT-1 mRNA and protein levels were observed after 3 and 5 days of treatment, respectively [19]. CEF-pretreated rats showed a reduction in brain infarct volume, compared with vehicle-pretreated animals at 24 h post-ischemia; additionally, the rats showed better functional recovery in a limb placing test at day 1 to week 5 after the ischemia [51]. Lower doses of CEF (20 mg/kg/day) reduced infarct volumes to a lesser degree. However, CEF administration at 30 min after ischemia produced no significant reduction in infarct volume, indicating the vital role of GLT-1 expression. CEF upregulates GLT-1 expression and restores glutamate homeostasis, which may promote brain tolerance to ischemia; therefore, CEF may be a compelling candidate for the development of new therapies to combat brain ischemia.

Hyper-glutamatergic activity is associated with chronic pain. CEF alleviated mechanical allodynia and hyperalgesia in a chronic constriction injury (CCI) rat model of neuropathic pain. Daily dosing of CEF (200, 300, and 400 mg/kg) reached the same withdrawal threshold levels as before the CCI surgery, after 18, 12, and 7 days of treatment, respectively. This indicates that the dynamic effect of CEF depends not only on dose, but also on the duration of administration [52]. Thus, it seems that dose exposure above a certain threshold is necessary to produce these effects. Higher doses not only induced larger effects, but also sped up their appearance. Seven days of CEF pretreatment (intrathecal injection) attenuated the development of hyperalgesia and allodynia in response to repeated morphine administration and prevented associated astrocyte activation [15]. One week of administration of CEF was successful to mitigate visceral nociception, which was blocked by intrathecal delivery of selective GLT-1 antagonist DHK, suggesting a spinal site of action [53].

Glutamatergic hyperactivity is involved in abnormal neuronal firing and seizure. Our recent study demonstrated that CEF has protective effects on epilepsy and associated cognitive deficits (Fig. 2). Systemic administration of a sub-convulsive dose of pentylenetetrazole (PTZ) (30 mg/kg) every other day for 27 days (14 injections) increased kindling progressively, led to generalized tonic-clonic seizures, and caused impairments in motor coordination, cognitive function, and oxidative defense in the cortex and subcortical region. CEF (100 and 200 mg/kg) treatment significantly decreased the mean kindling score and prevented the decline of cognitive function and oxidative defense activity in the PTZ-induced seizure rats [54]. Similarly, CEF (200 mg/kg/day) pretreatment for 6 days provided considerable protective effects against PTZ-evoked generalized clonic and tonic convulsions and convulsion-induced mortality [55]. CEF (200 mg/kg/12 h) treatment for 3 days, starting from the sixth dose of PTZ, significantly ameliorated PTZ-induced convulsions and restored anti-oxidative activity in rats [56]. Lower percentages of EEG spikes were associated with lowered convulsion in CEF (200 and 400 mg/kg)-treated rats [57]. Furthermore, a noteworthy case report indicated that cefixime, a cephalosporin antibiotic with similar structure with CEF, also produces an antiseizure effect. The case report featured a 9-year-old boy suffering from autism and generalized tonic-clonic epilepsy who had taken medications without favorable control of his epilepsy. When the patient took cefixime 200 mg/day to control diarrhea, the seizure episodes were dramatically decreased 3 to 5 days after starting the treatment, though there was no change in his anti-epileptic medication regimen [58].

CEF may treat different symptoms (such as motor dysfunction, cognitive impairment, stroke neuronal death, pain, and seizure) of different diseases through some common mechanisms because these diseases have some common pathophysiological features. CEF may be a non-specific mechanism drug that can simultaneously suppress the common features the neurological diseases, thus treating various symptoms of different diseases and showing multifunctional effects.

6. Mechanisms of CEF effects on neurological disorders

Although various neurodegenerative diseases have their main causes, many of the diseases have some common pathophysiological features, such as glutamatergic hyperactivity, excitotoxicity, oxidative stress, neuroinflammation, and accumulation of harmful proteins. CEF
exerts several pharmacological effects that inhibits the above pathological features and thus can ameliorate many different neurodegenerative diseases and their symptoms. The above pathophysiological features are involved in neurodegeneration and behavioral dysfunction through downstream biochemical changes. Glutamatergic hyperactivity causes excessive glutamate release; the glutamate activates N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors and causes Ca^{2+} overload in the cells, which leads to excitotoxicity and apoptosis. Ca^{2+} overload can lead to mitochondrial dysfunction, neuronal hyperactivity, seizure, and a consequence of cell death. Cell death induces neuroinflammation, which increases oxidative stress and in turn worsens apoptosis. Aβ inhibits GLT-1 expression and suppresses glutamate reuptake, leading to a high level of extracellular glutamate. Accumulation of α-syn facilitates oxidative stress that gives rise to apoptosis and neuroinflammation. CEF activates NF-κB and mediates expression of several proteins [59]. CEF-induced increases of GLT-1 expression in the astrocyte enhances glutamate reuptake and lowers extracellular glutamate [6]. CEF regulates expression of genes related to Aβ metabolism, reducing production but increasing clearance of Aβ [41]. CEF binds directly to the molecular of α-syn, inhibiting α-syn polymerization and Lewy bodies accumulation [39]. In addition, CEF increases levels of antioxidant enzymes (gluthathione and catalase) [45,46] and Bcl2 but decreases caspases 3 and 9. These effects may mitigate oxidative stress, apoptosis, and neuroinflammation [46]. Furthermore, CEF promotes levels of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) [17] and EPO mRNA [41], which may suppress oxidative stress and be beneficial for neurogenesis as well as neuronal survival. All the aboves are pharmacological effects of CEF, which suppresses neurodegeneration and symptoms of neurological disorders (Fig. 3).

7. Periodic administration of CEF

Because CEF has antibacterial activity, using it to treat chronic diseases should not result in drug resistance induced by long-term use. Basic studies have demonstrated that continuous long-term administration of CEF is not necessary because periodic administration is sufficient to produce neuronal and behavioral protections.

Activation of the GLT-1 promoter was observed 48 h after CEF (100 µM) treatment in a cell culture [6]. CEF (200 mg/kg/day, IP)-enhanced GLT-1 mRNA and protein expressions were observed after 3 and 5 days of treatment, respectively [51]. Seven days of intrathecal injection with CEF enhanced spinal expression of GLT-1 in naïve rats, which was not observed 7 days after the end of the treatment [15]. Five days of pretreatment with CEF (200 mg/kg/day, IP) prevented ischemia-induced behavioral and brain damage [51]. In addition, 7 days of systemic injection of CEF (200 mg/kg/day) increased GLT-1 expression in glia, enhanced glutamate reuptake, and displayed neuroprotection in a mouse model of ALS [6]. CEF-induced GLT-1 up-regulation persisted for at least 4 days after the end of treatment and returned to baseline 8 days after the end of treatment [6,60].

There is also a delayed emergence of behavioral and electrophysiological (LTD and LTP) changes associated with CEF-induced GLT-1 up-regulation, which can be observed after 8 days of CEF treatment. This delay may indicate that synaptic modifications and functional consequences of CEF require time to effect dynamic changes in neuronal population and lead to behavioral changes [24]. CEF still maintains the same effect after 3 months of continuous treatment. These results indicate that it takes time (e.g., 2–5 days) for CEF to produce its relevant effects, that the effects remain for a period (e.g., 1 week), and that CEF does not quickly develop tolerance [6].

Safety and human dose

CEF is safe and well tolerated. The potential toxicity of CEF (2 g/kg/day) for long-term use has been assessed in SD rats that received CEF administered subcutaneously once daily for 182 consecutive days in SD rats that received CEF [20,63,64]. Lower doses of CEF, that the effects remain for a period (e.g., 1 week), and that CEF does not quickly develop tolerance [6].

For conducting clinical trials, data derived from animal models are used to estimate the safe starting dose for human studies. Body surface area (BSA)-based dose calculation is an appropriate method for dose translation across species. For initial clinical trials in healthy adult volunteers, Reagan-Shaw et al. suggested to obtain the human equivalent doses (HED) using the following formula based on BSA normalization of the animal dose [61]: HED (mg/kg) = rat dose (mg/kg) × (rat km factor/human km factor), where the rat km factor is 6 and the human km factor is 37.

The dose of CEF used to treat bacterial infections and meningitis has been reported to be 2 g/day for 2 months, with no side effects in patients [62]. The recommended CEF routine use for human adults is 1–4 g/day for seven to 10 days. The effective daily dose of CEF for treating animals in models of neurodegenerative disorder was 200 mg/kg, with no adverse side effects [20,63,64]. Lower doses of CEF (20 and
Ceftriaxone (CEF) inhibits hyperactivity (e.g., in the STN) and prevents neurodegeneration, promotes neurogenesis, restores neuronal density, and improves motor and cognitive functions. In addition, CEF demonstrates neuroprotective and behavioral effects in animal models of epilepsy, pain, and spinal cord injury. CEF slows the progressive deterioration of motor function in patients with ALS and reduces seizure episodes in human patients. Based on the doses used in animal studies, it is estimated that the HED of CEF is 1–2 g/day for a clinical trial. Because the action of CEF involves the regulation of genes, the activation of promoters, and the production of proteins, it takes several days to produce effects. Once the effects are present, they last for approximately 1 week, so we suggest that CEF should be administered periodically, every other week, and chronically in the clinical trial.
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