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Visible-light photoswitching of ligand binding mode suggests G-quadruplex DNA as a target for photopharmacology

Michael P. O’Hagan,a Javier Ramos-Soriano,a Susanta Halder,a,b Sadiyah Sheikh,a Juan C. Morales,c Adrian J. Mulholland,a,b M. Carmen Galan*a

We report the selective targeting of telomeric G4 DNA with a dithienylethene ligand and demonstrate the robust visible-light mediated switching of the G4 ligand binding mode and G-tetrad structure in physiologically-relevant conditions. The toxicity of the ligand to cervical cancer cells is modulated by the photoisomeric state of the ligand, indicating for the first time the potential of G4 to serve as a target for photopharmacological strategies.

Stimuli-driven regulation of biomolecule/ligand complexes is a powerful tool for the control of biological function and a potential source of new tools for chemical biology, nanotechnology, material sciences, pharmacology and medicine.1 Light is an attractive means for the control of responsive systems, since it can be administered with spatiotemporal precision in a highly controlled, non-invasive manner.2 In particular, there is a burgeoning interest in controlling the biological activity of molecules with light as the basis of new therapeutic strategies.3–5 Such photopharmacological approaches are attractive, since they allow more localised control of the therapeutic activity, thereby reducing or eliminating off-target effects. G-quadruplexes (G4) are a class of four-stranded nucleic acid secondary structures formed from guanine-rich sequences that have demonstrated significant potential a therapeutic target in a range of disease classes.6 Despite the significance of G4 as a therapeutic target, the opportunity to exploit G4 as a photopharmacological target has not yet been explored. Previous attempts to influence G4 ligand activity with light7,8 have limited applicability in biological circumstances since their effects are hampered in physiological conditions containing high concentrations of metal ions, and they generally rely on high-energy ultraviolet light to effect the photosresponse, which is toxic to cells and unable to penetrate biological matter.9 We reasoned that many of these limitations could be circumvented by a more judicious choice of ligand chromophore with inherently superior photosensitive properties. Dithienylethene (DTE)9,10 is a well-studied photosresponsive scaffold (Figure 1a). Whilst the binding of a small number of these derivatives to duplex DNA oligomers11,12 and calf-thymus DNA13 has briefly been investigated by others, the potential of this scaffold to serve as the basis of photosresponsive and selective G4 binding ligand had not yet been explored. Herein, we demonstrate that a pyridinium-decorated DTE ligand selectively targets G4 with discrimination against duplex DNA. For the first time, the ligand binding mode to different G4 topologies can be controlled in a practical, robust and reversible manner using low-energy visible light sources. We further show that the cytotoxicity of the molecule towards HeLa cancer cells is influenced by its photoisomeric state. These results indicate the potential of photosresponsive G4 ligands to serve as the basis of new photopharmacological agents.

We synthesized pyridinium ligand 1o (Figure 1a) through a straightforward 4-step procedure (ESI, Scheme S1). We first verified that the reported photochromic properties of the ligand were maintained in conditions relevant to G4 folding, namely 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. Pleasingly, reversible photoswitching between the open (1o) and closed (1c) isomers was observed under these conditions by alternate irradiation with 450 nm and 635 nm visible light (Figure 1b). The presence of clear isosbestic points indicate clean photoisomerization between the two forms of the ligand, and the phototransformation of the 1c isomer was also demonstrated by NMR (ESI, Figure S1).

To assess the ligand selectivity for G4 and as a first step towards examining the differences between the two photoisomeric forms of the ligand on G4 binding, we conducted FRET thermal melting assays of 1o/1c against fluorophore-labelled G4 (F21T) and duplex DNA (F1OT) models. In this study the ligand-induced stabilisation of the secondary DNA structure is observed by the change in apparent melting temperature (ΔTm) of the folded species (see ESI for full details).14 The results (Figure 2 and ESI, Tables S1-S2) confirm the selectivity of the ligands for the G4 DNA sequence over the duplex model. Most notably, ligand 1c appears most active against the G4 sequence in potassium-containing buffer (ΔTm = 13 °C at 10 μM ligand concentration) whilst duplex stabilisation remains very low (ΔTm < 1 °C) under
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Figure 1. (a) Dithienylethene ligand couple 1o (black) and 1c (green) studied in this work; (b) photoswitching between 1o/1c states in 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer, monitored by UV-visible spectroscopy, [ligand] = 50 μM. The inset shows the reversible switching over several cycles by monitoring absorbance at 670 nm.

comparable conditions. Importantly, greater thermal stabilisation (ESI, Figure S2) is induced by the 1c isomer (ΔT_m = 13 °C) than the 1o form (ΔT_m = 10 °C). Though this difference appears modest, control experiments revealed significant thermal cyclo-reversion of 1c to 1o occurs at temperatures close the melting temperature of the G4 (ESI, Figure S3). The thermal stabilisation induced by 1c is therefore likely depressed by back-isomerisation to 1o isomer under the experimental conditions. Despite this, it appears that 1c photosisomer is the more active form of the ligand. The induced thermal stabilisation of the G4 sequence in sodium-containing buffer remains evident (ΔT_m = 4 °C) confirming the overall G4/duplex selectivity, but is likely too low for differences in the activity of the two isomers 1o and 1c against F21T to be observed under sodium-rich conditions. The dependence of the ligand activity on the metal ion likely results from the influence of the cation on the overall G4 structure. As discussed further below, sodium generally favours an antiparallel G4 fold, whilst a hybrid topology is adopted in the presence of potassium. These different 3-dimensional structures therefore give rise to the possibility of different interaction modes with the same ligands.

To examine the differences in G4 binding mode between the two forms of the photochromic ligand in more detail, we undertook 1H NMR studies supported by circular dichroism spectroscopy and UV-visible spectroscopy. We first selected the telo22 sodium structure for our structural investigations, since it folds into a single well-characterized antiparallel G4 conformation, allowing facile assignment of many of the imino and aromatic resonances from simple 1D 1H spectra (Figure 3a and ESI, Figure S4-S8).15 Striking differences in ligand binding mode were observed between ligands 1o and 1c. The closed form 1c causes significant perturbations to the chemical shifts of imino resonances (Figure 3b): G8 is shifted significantly upfield whilst several aromatic proton resonances corresponding to the top G-tetrad and lateral loops are also perturbed, particularly G8 and G20 (Figure 3c and ESI, Figure S5). Significant shifts can also be observed for imino protons G22 and G14 corresponding to the lower G-tetrad (Figure 3b), implying that ligand 1c binds with a 2:1 stoichiometry to the upper and lower faces of the G4. Meanwhile, ligand 1o does not significantly change the chemical shifts of the imino protons (Figure 3d and ESI, Figure S7) but does induce specific perturbations of residues A7 and T18 (Figure 3e) corresponding to the capping residues of the lateral loops above the top G-tetrad. That all residues of the lower G-tetrad are unperturbed suggests that ligand 1o binds exclusively to the top of the G4 with 1:1 stoichiometry, in contrast to 1c which associates with both upper and lower G-tetrads. These results indicate that 1o and 1c associate to antiparallel G4 with different binding modes and stoichiometry, with the closed form 1c stacking onto the terminal G-tetrad (resulting in the observed perturbations of the imino resonances) whilst the open form 1o remains external to the top of the G4, associating with the terminal capping residues. Cartoon representations of the proposed binding modes are shown in Figures 3f and 3g). Molecular dynamics studies also indicate that 1o interacts primarily with T18 and A7 external to the G4 (ESI, Figure S9a). The tetrad-stacking mode of 1c was not directly observed in the time course of the simulation, but the ligand-induced breakage of the hydrogen bond between A19 and T6 is indicative that the capping residues remain open on ligand binding, allowing access to the G-tetrad in the longer time courses required to reach the equilibrium binding mode observed in the experimental study (ESI, Figure S9b). UV-visible titration studies (assuming the stoichiometry indicated in the NMR experiments) indicated similar apparent dissociation constants of K_d = (6 ± 1) μM for 1o and (7 ± 1) μM for 1c (ESI, Figures S10-S11). The apparent similar affinity of the two binding modes corroborates the FRET results which indicate that 1o and 1c induce the same thermal stabilisation to F21T in sodium containing buffer (Figure 2). Critically, the two distinct binding modes of the ligand were reversibly switched by alternate irradiation with blue (450 nm) and red (635 nm) visible light, monitored by following the chemical shift of the G8 and G4 imino protons upon ligand isomerisation (ESI, Figure S12). No photodegradation of the underlying oligonucleotide was observed during this process, a distinct advantage arising from the avoidance of high-energy UV light sources. To the best of our knowledge, the 1o/1c system provides the first example of

Figure 2. Induced thermal stabilisation (ΔT_{1/2}) by 1o (black) and 1c (green) of F21T G4 and F10T duplex sequences monitored by the FRET melting assay.
the bistable photoresponsive control of G4 ligand binding mode. Encouraged by these results, we continued our study by investigating the effect of ligands 1o and 1c on the telo23 hybrid form of G4 DNA (Figure 4a and ESI, Figure S13-S18) which has greater physiological relevance owing to the higher concentration of potassium ions in cellular environments. As in the case of the antiparallel telo22 G4, the closed isomer 1c causes significant chemical shift perturbations (Figure 4b) of the imino signals of several guanine residues, indicative of efficient stacking with the exposed G-tetrads. Again, signals corresponding to both upper (G9/G21) and lower (G15/G23) tetrads are perturbed, we infer a 2:1 ligand binding stoichiometry in this case. The intensity of the imino signals is maintained throughout the titration and the circular dichroism spectrum of the oligonucleotide is unaffected (ESI, Figure S16a) indicating that the binding of 1c takes place without disruption of the hybrid G4 fold. In sharp contrast, ligand 1o induces the pronounced attenuation of many of the G4 imino signals, particularly G9, G10, G21 and G22 (Figure 4b). Indeed, only a small number of distinctive imino environments can be seen in the spectrum of the telo23/1o complex, rather than the 12 signals expected for a fully-folded G4 (if present as a single species). This indicates that the binding of the open form 1o requires partial disruption of the G-tetrad network, pointing to an intercalative binding mechanism. Indeed, while the CD spectrum of telo23 is unperturbed by the addition of ligand 1c (vide supra), the addition of 1o results in attenuation of ellipticity from 240-280 nm, corroborating the disruption of the native G-tetrad network by addition of ligand (ESI, Figure S16b) observed by NMR. It is interesting that binding of ligand 1o appears to disrupt the native G4 structure, given that the results of the thermal melting assay indicate 1o confers thermal stability to G4. However, the loss of stability expected due to partial perturbation of the G-tetrad network may be compensated by favourable interactions with the ligand in an intercalated state. Furthermore, the ligand concentrations required for NMR experiments (200 μM) are significantly higher than those employed in the FRET assay (10 μM). Despite the clear differences observed in the NMR and CD titrations, similar apparent disassociation constants (Kd ≈ 6 μM for both 1o and 1c) were obtained for the two photoisomeric ligand forms in UV-visible titration studies (ESI, Figure S19-S20). Whilst it could be possible for the different binding modes to have similar overall affinities, it should be noted that the obtained binding constants are only apparent values and should be interpreted with caution owing to the complex nature of G4/ligand interactions and ligand-induced structural perturbation. These factors may result in more complex association mechanisms than the classical host-guest equilibria assumed in the derivation of the binding models. The ligand binding mode and accompanying disruption/regeneration of the G-tetrad structure could be controlled reversibly by alternate irradiation with blue and red light. The switching process could be achieved at least seven times, monitored by NMR, with no observable degradation of the underlying DNA structure (Figures 4c and ESI, Figure S21). The degree of structural perturbation was controlled by varying the irradiation time and measured by the integral of the G9/G10 envelope. Recovery of the G-tetrad network upon irradiation of the 1o/telo23 complex was also confirmed by CD spectroscopy (Figure 4d). Faster response times could easily be achieved by employing brighter irradiation sources, but the slower kinetics observed with the low power (4.5 mW) light source used in this study are helpful for demonstrating that the extent of structural perturbation can be controlled simply by varying the irradiation dose, and that even mild irradiation sources are sufficient to exert control over the present system.

Towards our goal of examining the potential of the photoresponsive G4 ligand as a photopharmacological agent, we undertook toxicity assays of 1o/1c in HeLa cervical cancer cells (Figure 5). A two-fold difference in cytotoxic activity between the isomers is clearly observed IC50 1o = 23 μM, 1c 10 μM), thereby indicating that the toxic effect of the pyridinium-DTE scaffold is dependent on the photoisomeric form of the ligand. It is noteworthy that the IC50 values are comparable to the G4 affinity observed in the biophysical studies, indicating G4-binding as a plausible mechanism of action of the 1o/1c couple, though alternative therapeutic mechanisms cannot be unambiguously discounted at this stage. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time a G4 ligand derived from a molecular photoswitch has shown potential as a
Further work to probe the detailed mechanism of action 10/1c is underway in light of these promising results. In conclusion, we have demonstrated an example of a photoresponsive dithienylethene ligand that targets G4 in preference to duplex DNA. The binding mode of the ligand to G4 can be regulated practically in a bidirectional manner with low-energy, biologically-compatible visible light sources. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first example of a G4 ligand that can be controlled in this manner. The observed control of ligand binding mode (teolo22) and G-tetrad formation (teolo23) suggests a variety of possible applications of DTE derivatives in the development of responsive G4/ligand systems. Towards one such application, we have shown that the toxicity of the ligand can be dependent on the photosisomeric state, thereby indicating G4 ligands have the potential to serve as the basis of new photopharmacological agents. Given the ever-increasing focus on G4-targeting therapy and the more recent emergence of the field of photopharmacology, we believe this proof-of-concept study makes a key connection that will serve to develop advances in both these fields. Further studies into optimising the potency and difference in biological activity of visible-light responsive G4 ligands are under active exploration in our laboratory and will be reported in due course.
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