Akbas, A., Freer, J., Ozdemir, H., Bates, P., & Turp, M. T. (2020). What about reservoirs? Questioning anthropogenic and climatic interferences on water availability. *Hydrological Processes*.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13960>

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1002/hyp.13960](https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13960)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Wiley at <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13960>. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 What about reservoirs? Questioning anthropogenic and climatic
2 interferences on water availability

3
4 Abdullah Akbas^{*12}, Jim Freer², Hasan Ozdemir¹, Paul Bates², M. Tufan Turp³⁴

5
6 ¹Geography Department, Physical Geography Division, Bursa Uludağ University, Bursa,
7 Turkey

8 ²School of Geographical Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

9 ³Center for Climate Change and Policy Studies, Boğaziçi University, İstanbul, Turkey

10 ⁴Department of Environmental Sciences, Institute of Environmental Sciences, Boğaziçi
11 University, İstanbul, Turkey

12
13
14 *Corresponding Author: Geography Department, Physical Geography Division, Bursa Uludağ
15 University, Bursa, Turkey, 16000 (abdullahakbas@uludag.edu.tr)

16
17
18 **Funding Information:** This study funded by TUBITAK-Scientific and Technological Research
19 Council of Turkey with 2214-A Program and Scientific Research Program of Istanbul
20 University-BAP (Project No: 57515, 39111 and 38985).

Abstract

Many semi-arid regions like Mediterranean Basin are highly vulnerable because of the high variability of weather systems and climate change is also altering the timing and pattern of water availability as well as growing populations place extra demands on water. However, it is poorly quantified how reservoirs and dams have influence on the amount of water resources, although climatic impact has investigated in detail by many researchers. Therefore, we examined the impact of reservoirs on water resources together with the impact of climate change on this study from the semi-arid Mediterranean catchment. We simulated the basin via the SWAT (Soil and Water Assessment Tool) model by assuming the basin has no any reservoir and has reservoirs (virtual) for current and future conditions using dynamically downscaled outputs of the MPI-ESM-MR general circulation model under two pathways in order to reveal coupled effect of reservoir and climate. Water resources were then converted to blue water, green water storage and green water flows instead of using single parameters like ground water, surface flow. The results demonstrate that all water resources, such as blue-green water storage and precipitation, are projected to decrease under all scenarios compared to the reference period both long-term and seasonal scale except the green water flow. Water scarcity indices for both reservoir cases experience scarcity, yet reservoirs hold water to overcome scarcity. Nevertheless, reservoirs reduce the availability of water, particularly in green water storage (soil moisture), and causes own drought by reducing water within soil and streamflow. Furthermore, reservoirs cause water losses by evaporating available water in surface. To build reservoirs due to public pressure in order to protect the society from economic damage have strong impact the land-atmosphere feedback mechanism of watersheds apart from effect of climate change on water resources.

Keywords: Reservoir effect, Water Scarcity, Climate change, Mediterranean, SWAT

1. Introduction

Accessibility and availability of water are vital for human activities. Therefore, the findings to be obtained on the water cycle are the crucial especially in terms of water supply, water demand, and water management. It is projected that many subcomponents of hydrological cycle and climate parameters at different spatio-temporal scales will be affected because of intensive greenhouse gas emissions to atmosphere since industrialization (Arnell & Gosling, 2013; Hagemann et al., 2013; Stocker et al., 2013). Many natural hazards, such as flood and landslides, as well as problems in water management which cause water scarcity and drought will emerge because of the changing hydrological cycle and its subcomponents (Arnell & Gosling, 2013; Alfieri, Burek, Feyen, & Forzieri, 2015; Dottori et al., 2018; Müller Schmied et al., 2016; Oki & Kanae, 2006; Samaniego et al., 2018; Sunde, He, Hubbart, & Urban, 2017; Vörösmarty, Green, Salisbury, & Lammers, 2000; Vörösmarty, Douglas, Green, & Revenga 2005; Vörösmarty et al., 2010). Many researchers have concluded that water scarcity is likely to be a serious problem by the end of the 21st century because of anthropogenic warming and growing demands on water resources caused by increasing population (i.e., Haddeland et al., 2014; Holland et al.; 2015; Schewe et al., 2014; Vörösmarty et al., 2000, 2010).

Mediterranean climate is characterized by dry summers resulting from stable anticyclonic circulations and wet winters caused by mid-latitude frontal cyclones (Arnell and Gosling, 2013; Hoerling et al., 2012; Gampe, Nikulin, & Ludwig 2016; Gao & Giorgi, 2008; Giorgi & Lionello, 2008; Peel, Finlayson, & McMahon, 2007; Samaniego et al., 2018). Studies on climate variability by simulating global and regional climate models based on both observation data and various greenhouse gas emission scenarios for the Mediterranean Basin show that the basin will be adversely affected by climate change in the future (Stocker et al., 2013; Ozturk, Ceber, Türkeş, & Kurnaz, 2015; Sen, Topcu, Türkeş, Sen, & Warner, 2012; Turp, Ozturk, Türkeş, & Kurnaz, 2014). It is also projected that the Mediterranean Basin particularly is one of the most vulnerable regions in the world in terms of anthropogenic warming impacts on the hydrological cycle. The Mediterranean region is defined as one of the primary hot spots (Giorgi,

2006). For instance, annual precipitation is likely to decrease across almost the entire region in the future (Ozturk et al. 2015). As a consequence of this decrease, Turkey, and especially coastal areas of the country adjacent to the Mediterranean, will face significant additional pressure on water resources (Aksoy, Unal, Alexandrov, Dakova, & Yoon, 2008; Fujihara, Tanaka, Watanabe, Nagano, & Kojiri, 2008; Onol & Semazzi, 2009). In addition to these drastic changes in coastal areas, in transition regions in the interior parts of Anatolia a significant decrease in future amounts of snowfall, surface runoff, and winter precipitation have been projected by Bozkurt & Sen (2013) because of the effect of climate change. It is estimated that in the Mediterranean Basin and southern Europe there will be a decrease in precipitation in winter, spring, and summer seasons. In addition, increase in precipitation extremes in autumn, winter, and spring seasons is predicted in the region (Goubanova & Li, 2007). When the long-term average annual and monthly total precipitation trends in Turkey, which is in the Mediterranean Basin, are analysed, it is seen that Turkey has a declining trend in general (Partal & Kahya, 2006). However, since 1980, there has been an increase in precipitation in the northern and eastern parts, while a decrease is observed in the central, southern and western parts (Türkeş et al., 2016). According to the regional climate model results, -0.8 mm/day and +1.2 mm/day changes in Turkey's precipitation amounts are envisaged. A significant decrease in precipitation is expected in the western and southern regions where the Mediterranean climate dominates, while precipitation is expected to increase in the Black Sea Region, where a moderate mid-latitude climate prevails (Ozturk, Türkeş, & Kurnaz, 2011). It is also concluded that some regions will experience water scarcity due to the increasing temperature and decreasing rainfall in the country as well as the increase in arid areas and rapidly increasing climate change. Furthermore, an increase in the number of dry days and an increase in the frequency of drought are anticipated (Sen, 2013). It is estimated that during the summer months between 2041-2070 and 2071-2099, there will be a decrease in precipitation in the Mediterranean region (Demircan, Gurkan, Eskioglu, Arabaci, & Coskun, 2017).

1
2
3 104 Global or regional climate models allow exploring the climate side of the problems outlined on
4
5 105 a global or regional scale. Beside, incorporating GCM and RCM outputs with hydrological
6
7 106 models is an important methodology to reveal the impact of climate change on hydrological
8
9 107 processes. This approach takes the data from GCM or RCM outputs and drive into hydrological
10
11 108 models to derive hydrological components of watersheds in which it is investigated the impacts
12
13 109 by climate change (Angelina, Gado Djibo, Seidou, Seidou Sanda, & Sittichok, 2015;
14
15 110 Chattopadhyay & Jha, 2016; Ertürk et al., 2014; Fujihara, et al., 2008; Sunde et al., 2017;
16
17 111 Stehr, Debels, Romero, & Alcayaga, 2008; Zeng, Xia, She, Du, & Zhang, 2012). For example,
18
19 112 Bucak et al. (2017) demonstrated the risk of drying of Beyşehir Lake due to climate change by
20
21 113 using outputs of the SWAT hydrological model coupled with regional climate model outputs.
22
23 114 However, outputs of the General Circulation Models (GCMs) are coarse to investigate the
24
25 115 impact of climate change and for that reason, those climate outputs have to bedownscaled to
26
27 116 reveal detailed impact of change. To overcome this problem, GCM outputs are downscaled
28
29 117 either dynamically or statistically by using various methods (Chen, Xu, & Guo, 2012; Ertürk et
30
31 118 al., 2014; Fowler, Blenkinsop, & Tebaldi, 2007; Maraun & Widmann, 2018; Sunde et al., 2017;
32
33 119 Wilby & Wigley 1997; Wood, Leung, Sridhar, & Lettenmaier, 2004). In some cases, it can also
34
35 120 be needed to use a hybrid approach, which means a combination of both techniques. In this
36
37 121 study, we have used 10-kilometre high-resolution regional climate model outputs that
38
39 122 dynamically downscaled from 50 kilometre, which is most detailed study for the basin in terms
40
41 123 of hydrological studies.
42
43
44

45 124 Reservoirs and dams are one of the main management approaches in order to cope with water
46
47 125 scarcity, drought and flood when the phenomenon has occurred (Gaupp, Hall, & Dadson, 2015;
48
49 126 Vörösmarty et al., 2000). It is accepted that rising of number of reservoirs and dams is most
50
51 127 effective way to combat against drought and water scarcity of basins for long-term water
52
53 128 management strategies. On the other hand, it is also stressed that increase in number of these
54
55 129 water infrastructures brings more dependence and makes societies more vulnerable when
56
57 130 drought and scarcity conditions has experienced due to higher reliance and over trust on
58
59
60

reservoirs (Di Baldassarre et al., 2018). In addition, dams and reservoirs have serious and important impact on environmental, aquatic and fluvial systems as well (Grill et al. 2019; Latrubesse et al. 2017). For instance, today, only %37 of rivers which is longer than 1000 km flow free without confront any barrier along its course and 23% of them reaches oceans without any stoppage and it causes to lost connectivity of rivers over the Earth (Grill et al. 2019). Di Baldassarre et al. (2018) have drawn a frame for reservoir, which can make worsen water shortages due to overreliance to them, and they stressed a misconception; it is assumed that water problem is solved after construction of reservoir. However, it is not well documented and quantified how reservoirs have impact on water resources by changing hydrological systems. For that reasons, in the light of background mentioned above, the main objectives of this research are to:

- a) show how different water resources can be affected and change under influence of two different reservoir scenarios, which is main strategy in water management in order to cope with water scarcity and long-term drought in semi-arid regions like Mediterranean.
- b) investigate and quantify the impact of long-term and seasonal climatic variations on water resources such as green water flows and storage and blue water instead of using single parameters that important indication for water resources such as ground water, surface flow and streamflow and evaluate the availability in the future with different scenarios for a vulnerable large river basin, especially from the Eastern Mediterranean, by using dynamically downscaled high resolution regional climate model outputs.

2. Data and Methods

2.1. Study Area

Susurluk basin, which is situated in the northwest part of Turkey (Figure 1a), has about 23.779 km² area with changing elevation in between 2543m (Uludağ Mountain) and 0m m.s.l. Many streams such as Mustafakemalpaşa, Kocaçay, Nilüfer and Simav drain the Susurluk Basin.

Two big lakes namely Uluabat and Manyas are also situated in the basin. The Susurluk Basin generally represents the Mediterranean climate (Csa) that is characterized by wet winter and dry summer except the Nilüfer sub-basin where mountainous climate (Csb) is dominant according to Köppen climate classification system (Peel et al. 2007; Ozturk, Cetinkaya, & Aydin, 2017). The annual average runoff is about 5.43 km³ (Ayaz, 2010) and the basin receives 570 mm average annual precipitation. Basin's water balance has negative values, especially during the summer period, due to high evaporation that causes water losses out of Uludağ (Akbas and Ozdemir, 2018).

-----Figure 1 is here-----

The basin has many aquifers types that contain (productive) and does not contain (non-aquifers) water inside the rocks. While Bursa, Balıkesir, MustafaKemalpaşa and Simav plains have very productive aquifers, other areas of the basin that drains by Simav and Kocaçay Streams consist of low and moderate productive and highly productive aquifers, respectively (Figure 1b). In contrast, Orhanlı and Emet Stream watersheds have non-aquifer rocks.

There are three main types of land use in the basin such as urban, agriculture, and forest. Susurluk Basin is one of the populated basins in Turkey and the urban areas cover almost 476 km² of the basin. Many big cities like Bursa, Balıkesir and Kütahya and their crowded counties are within the borders of the basin and total population is about 3.201.773 for 2017 census (GDWM, 2018). Agricultural activities (~9.997 km²) and forest (~10.876 km²) are predominant land use types in the basin (Figure 1d). Many big and small reservoirs such as Kayaboğazi (37.84 hm³-1987), Çavdarhisar (38.8 hm³ 1991), Selahattin Saygı-Doğancı (41.27 hm³-1984), İkizcetepeler (157.29 hm³-1992), Çaygören (159.5 hm³-1971), Manyas (423.39 hm³-2002) and Çınarcık (304.75 hm³-2003) were constructed in the basin for irrigation, flood protection, drinking water, energy demand and growing urbanization (Figure 1c). In particular, there are still going on projects, for example Kızkayası, for the construction of reservoirs due to growing population and their demand on water for many purposes like agricultural and energy (Koç, 2014; Yuksel, 2015).

184

185 2.2. General Methodology

186 Many datasets have been used to comprehend the impact of reservoirs and climate change in
 187 order to accomplish the main aims of this study. Climate models outputs, which have been
 188 used in SWAT rainfall-runoff model, are quite important to reveal the impact of long-term
 189 oscillations and trends of different kind of climatic parameters for water management. There
 190 are four main data sources such as digital elevation model, land use, soil and observed
 191 meteorological and hydrological data were used for the SWAT modelling.

192 *Digital Elevation Model:* HydroSHEDS (Hydrological data and maps based on Shuttle
 193 Elevation Derivatives at multiple Scales) digital elevation model data was used in this study
 194 due to correct representing of river courses. Many sub-basins, river networks, watershed
 195 parameters (longest path, reach, outlets and monitoring points) and slope were extracted from
 196 the DEM (Lehner, Verdin, & Jarvis, 2008). 15,000 threshold value was used to obtain river
 197 networks and 58 sub-basins were extracted in the basin (Figure 1a).

198 *Land use:* Land use database is another data source to produce of Hydrological Respond Unit
 199 (HRU). In this study, Corine Land use-Landcover was employed for detecting Curve number
 200 values. However, SWAT has own land use classification system. For that reason, Corine Land
 201 use was converted to SWAT land use classification (Figure 1d).

202 *Soil Database:* Soil data, which is very important for SWAT model was obtained from
 203 1:5.000.000 scaled Harmonized World Soil Database (1 km resolution) according to FAO-
 204 UNESCO world soil map ([http://webarchive.iiasa.ac.at/Research/LUC/External-World-soil-](http://webarchive.iiasa.ac.at/Research/LUC/External-World-soil-database/HTML/)
 205 [database/HTML/](http://webarchive.iiasa.ac.at/Research/LUC/External-World-soil-database/HTML/)). Orthic Luvisol (47.5%) that covers almost half of the basin and Eutric
 206 Cambisols (27.6%) are dominant soil types. Other soil types such as Calcic Cambisols,
 207 Lithosols, Eutric Fluvisols and Chromic Vertisol cover 24.8% of the basin (Figure 1c).

208 *Meteorological and Hydrological Database:* Meteorological database, which were obtained
 209 Turkish Meteorological State (MGM) for the period of 1982-2005, have two types of structure

for simulations. The first one comprises of daily and hourly time series which are daily precipitation (mm), daily relative humidity (%), daily wind speed (m/s), daily solar radiation (MJ/m²) and daily minimum and maximum temperature (°C) for Bandırma (17114), Bursa (17116), Edremit (17145), Balıkesir (17150), Akhisar (17184), Gönen (17674), Uludağ (17676), Keles (17695), Dursunbey (17700), Tavşanlı (17704), Simav (17748), Gediz (17750) (Figure 1a). Another database is monthly weather data, which is called WGN table that represent long-term averages of meteorological parameters. Hydrological database were obtained Turkish Hydraulic Service (DSI) for calibration of SWAT rainfall-runoff model. There are many stream gauges in the basin, but only gauges such as Nilüfer Çayı-Geçitköy (E03A021), Kocadere-Akçasusurluk (E03A017), Kocaçay-Kayaca (E03A014), Mustafa Kemalpaşa Çayı-Döllük (E03A002), Simav Çayı-Yahyaköy (E03A016), Atnos Çayı-Balıkli (E03A024), Emet Çayı-Dereli (E03A028), and Orhaneli Çayı-Küçükilet (E03A011) (Figure 1a) have no missing values were selected for calibration of the model(Figure 1a).

Methodology of this study is given in Figure 2. In first process, physical variables such as land cover, soil and slope were arranged and HRU was obtained. Later, SWAT rainfall-runoff model was carried out via meteorological station data and calibrated by using stream gauge stations. In second process, the global model MPI-ESM-MR was downscaled from 50 km to 10 km dynamically. After downscaling, the outputs of RegCM4.4 regional climate model were forced to use in the SWAT as input. Before running climate model data outputs in rainfall-runoff model, bias corrections for precipitation and temperature were utilized to eliminate overestimation of the data (see supporting information). Many studies (Bucak et al., 2017; Sunde et al., 2017) use only two parameters like temperature and rainfall to simulate future, but other parameters (solar radiation and wind speed) were obtained by weather generators from past (baseline) climate. However, this type of usage cannot represent the future climate. For that reason, all variables such as solar radiation, rainfall, wind speed, minimum and maximum temperature were used in this study. The last process of the analysis is running the model via the outputs of a regional climate model after finishing the calibration. Here, implementation of simulations

was executed based on assumption. In reality, there are many reservoirs across the basin. It is asked that what if there was no any reservoir around the basin or how amount of water resources can be changed or not with the impact of climate change for current and future situation. Thus, the impact of reservoir with changing climate to the land-atmosphere feedback mechanism of the basins can be revealed. (Figure 2-Third process). Furthermore, Falkenmark indicator (1989) for water scarcity was used in each reservoir scenarios for whole basin to compare the amount of water.

-----Figure 2 is here-----

2.3. SWAT Rainfall-Runoff Model

ArcSWAT, which is the interface of SWAT (Soil and Water Assessment Tool) rainfall-runoff model, developed by USDA Agriculture Research Service (ARS) and Agricultural Experiment Station in Temple, was used in order to investigate the effect of climate change and reservoir on water components such as blue water and green water in the Susurluk Basin (Arnold, Srinivasan, Muttiah, Williams, 1998; Arnold et al., 2012). On the one hand, SWAT is a physically based model, which means that it requires physical data such as soil, vegetation, weather data and topography instead of black box model data requirement such as only rainfall and runoff. On the other hand, even if flood-caused event can be studied in SWAT, the model is feasible for long-term changes in watershed (Neitsch, Arnold, Kiniry, & Williams, 2011). For that reason, the SWAT model is feasible for climate change and long-term watershed management studies like agricultural activities, water infrastructures. The model categorizes and divides a watershed to many sub-basins and overlays three parameters, which is called HRU (Hydrological Response Unit).

Simulations of hydrological cycle in SWAT based on water balance equations is as it follows

$$SW_t = SW_0 + \sum_{i=1}^t (R_{day} - Q_{surf} - E_a - w_{seep} - Q_{gw})$$

where, SW_t is final soil water content (mm H_2O), SW_0 is initial soil water content (mm H_2O), t is the times (days), R_{day} is amount of precipitation on day i (mm H_2O), Q_{surf} is amount of surface runoff on day i (mm H_2O), E_a is the amount of evaporation on day i (mm H_2O), w_{seep} is the amount of water entering the vadose zone from soil profile on day i (mm H_2O), Q_{gw} is the amount of return flow on day i .

2.3.1. HRU, Parameterization and Evaluation Tests Performance of SWAT Model

HRU values are determined during the overlaying of soil, land use and slope. The value of the soil was chosen as 0% for the land use, 0% for the slope and 0% for the soil. Thus, 3500 HRU values were obtained in the basin. Penman-Monteith method was selected to calculate evaporation and transpiration (Monteith, 1964) and SCS Curve Number method was used for surface runoff estimations in the sub-basins (SCS, 1956, 1964, 1971, 1985, 1993).

Some performance tests, which are frequently used in literature, were applied to evaluate the model result in order to calibrate basin parameters. The first one is Nash–Sutcliffe model efficiency (NSE) (Krause, Boyle, & Bäse, 2005, Nash & Sutcliffe, 1970) was employed to evaluate bias of projected and observed precipitation and simulation results with gauges that are situated in the Susurluk Basin (Figure 1a).

NSE coefficient can be expressed as:

$$NSE = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Q_i - P_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (Q_i - \bar{Q})^2}$$

where $\bar{Q}$ is the mean of observed discharges, and Q is modelled discharge. P_i is observed discharge at time t . The Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE) compares the residual variance of simulation with variance of observed. (Nash & Sutcliffe, 1970). Nash-Sutcliffe efficiencies range from $-\infty$ to 1. $NSE = 1$, corresponds to a perfect match of modelled to the observed data. $NSE = 0$ indicates that the model predictions are as accurate as the mean of the observed data, $-\infty < NSE < 0$, indicates that the observed mean is better predictor than the model.

The second test is Percent BIAS test (Gupta, Sorooshian, & Yapo, 1999). PBIAS coefficient can be expressed as:

$$PBIAS = \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i^{Obs} - Y_i^{Sim}) * 100}{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i^{Obs})} \right]$$

where Y_i^{Obs} observed discharge at time t , Y_i^{Sim} simulated discharge at time t .

PBIAS based on the percentage of the difference of the model from observed. Zero is optimal number that shows reliability of model for simulation. Positive bias is the number bigger than zero; meantime negative bias is number smaller than zero. Moriasi et al. (2007) explain that the number of NSE that is bigger than 0.5 is satisfactory for simulation results. The number $\pm 15 < PBIAS < \pm 25$ is satisfactory for PBIAS.

The last test is linear regression that is extensively used in hydrological modelling studies. Regression coefficient can be expressed as:

$$R^2 = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Q_i - \bar{Q}) - (P_i - \bar{P})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (Q_i - \bar{Q})^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (P_i - \bar{P})}} \right)^2$$

where, R^2 is coefficient of determination, Q is observed, and P is modelled runoff from the simulation. Numbers of coefficient of determination ranges from 0 to 1 in which 1 is optimal value for this test.

2.4. Water Scarcity Indicator

Falkenmark indicator (1989) was employed to understand the availability of water in study area for comparing the scarcity with different reservoir and climate model scenarios. Despite there are numerous indicators of water scarcity, Falkenmark indicator is widely used in water scarcity index because of its simplicity and data that can reached easily. The indicator evaluates the amount of water with number of people and it can be expressed as:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

309
$$FI (m^3/cap/yr) = \sum_{Day = 1}^{365} m^3 / Population$$

310 Where, m^3 is daily water amount which is summed for year and population is total capita who
311 live in interested area. Water stress can be categorized based on fraction water for per capita
312 usage. 1700 m^3 per capita is main thresholds in index. If water is below 1700 m^3 water stress
313 begins. The water between 1000-500 m^3 is indicator of scarcity and below 500 m^3 is absolute
314 scarcity for interested area. Data for population, in this study, was obtained from The Global
315 Population Projection Grids Based on Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) dataset
316 (Jones & O'Neill, 2016, 2017). Projected population data, which has 7.5 arc-minutes resolution,
317 for the Susurluk Basin was extracted from raster for 2010-2100 (FigureS4). Each pathway in
318 population scenarios represents different trends, which indicate different socio-economic
319 developments of countries. On the other hand, observed data of population for 1980-2000 was
320 obtained from the TURKSTAT (Turkish Statistical Institute, 2018) in order to compare
321 reference period with respect to future period of whole basin.

322
323 **3. Result and Discussion**
324 **3.1. Calibrations of Runoff in Susurluk Basin**

325 SWAT model was implemented and all the results, which represent water balance
326 components, were obtained for the basin in between 1983-2005. The model results, especially
327 runoff, were calibrated with the observed data by using The Soil and Water Assessment Tool
328 Calibration and Analysis Program (SWAT-CUP) was used to calibrate the runoff and water
329 balance components more accurately for the Susurluk basin (Abbaspour, 2013). Sequential
330 Uncertainty Fitting (SUFI-2) was utilized as the algorithm of calibration, which is mostly
331 preferred method in literature for calibration of modelled watersheds (Abbaspour et al., 2007).
332 The method has two options to calibrate these parameters. Replace is the option that changes
333 original parameter values in ranges, whilst relative uses artificial ranges instead of original

ranges of parameters to calibrate model according to SUFI-2. Another important thing is to select the calibration parameters that show the overestimation for the stream gauges. Eight different and sensitive parameters have been selected based on Abbaspour et al. (2015) in order to reduce the error in simulations. Simulations, which are consisted of 300 times, have been executed via SWAT-CUP and best parameters were obtained based on the results of these simulations as monthly time scale (Table 1).

-----Table 1 is here-----

The results obtained from SWAT-CUP show that Curve Number values of the sub-basins decrease in the entire basin. On the other hand, groundwater parameters were arranged and the Susurluk Basin was calibrated based on SWAT-CUP best value results.

Observed values of the gauges in the basin were compared with simulated runoff values through the model performance test (Figure 3). Simulation results are sufficient to represent or simulate the observed data according to the performance test results after the calibration. E03A017 gauge represents almost the whole basin except for the Nilüfer sub-basin and its value of NSE is 0.63, PBIAS is 0.15 and R2 is 0.68, which are sufficient values according to Moriasi et al. (2007). Other gauges with performance test are sufficient for simulating future. However, time series of runoff by simulations of SWAT in Simav and Kocaçay Streams (Figure 1b) cannot catch peak flow of observed runoff to simulate, even if excellently follow trends or oscillations of observed runoff (Figure 3). The gauges such as E0A014, E0A016, E0A024 represent Kocaçay and Simav sub-basins have underestimation for peak flow and monthly error plots illustrate that underestimation is higher in the winter season (Figure 6). This circumstance might be about groundwater abundance in these areas. Because, this underestimation corresponds to areas that are highly productive fissured aquifers which means karstic processes are dominant in terms of groundwater. Nevertheless, NSE value of these gauges ranges from 0.35 to 0.6 and PBIAS ranges from -0.19 to -0.5 which are sufficient and enough to simulate the basin's water balance parameters. On the other side, the E03A021 and

1
2
3 361 E03A028 coded gauges, represents Nilüfer and Emet sub-basins respectively, cannot be
4
5 362 simulated some peak flood events observed in spring season by the model, although the
6
7 363 evaluation test results are sufficient according to Moriasi et al. (2007). It might be a
8
9 364 consequence of a higher topography in which precipitation falls as snow in winter and timing
10
11 365 of snowmelt corresponds to spring in the basin. Therefore, monthly error plot is an evidence
12
13 366 to illustrate that underestimation is seen in spring because of the reasons mentioned above.
14
15 367 Nonetheless, SWAT is a physical semi-distributed model and use many algorithms and
16
17 368 parameters to simulate runoff. Therefore, these parameters are interconnected and sensitive
18
19 369 to each other. We evaluated most sensitive parameters to runoff based on suggestion of
20
21 370 Abbaspour et al. (2015), still there may be another less important but sensitive parameters that
22
23 371 can have influence of runoff values. In addition, many unreported arrangements and changes
24
25 372 in rivers and even uncertainties from rating curves (Coxon et al., 2015) can exacerbate
26
27 373 uncertainty of simulations. In general, the entire gauges in the basin have sufficient scores
28
29 374 (Figure 3) in terms of evaluation test based on Moriasi et al. (2007) and this study does not
30
31 375 concern any single events like peak flows for floods. Instead, we concern long-term changes
32
33 376 of water resources. Therefore, the results taken from evaluation test after calibrations are
34
35 377 sufficient to accomplish aims of this study.

36
37
38
39 378 -----Figure 3 is here-----
40
41
42 379

43
44
45 380 **3.2. Water Availability under Climate Change Scenarios**

46
47 381 We evaluated the climate impact scenarios on water resources before investigating reservoir
48
49 382 case scenarios. In order to understand water availability under different climate scenarios and
50
51 383 time scales, water resources of whole Susurluk Basin have been calculated as blue water
52
53 384 (deep aquifer recharge + water yield), green water storage (soil moisture), green water flow
54
55 385 (evapotranspiration) instead of using many single parameters such as surface runoff and
56
57 386 groundwater. Time scales of all parameters, which show water availability of the basin, were
58
59 387 converted to monthly scale. Boxplot of all water resources was drawn to distinguish the

gradient of all scenarios and Mann-Whitney U test or Wilcoxon rank-sum test (Lehmann & D'Abrera, 1975) was utilized to see how climate change would affect the amount of all water resources (Figure 4).

It is discerned that there is statistically significant decrease in all water resources in all scenarios and the future periods for RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios with respect to the reference period except green water flow. On the one hand, the out of RCP4.5 late century (2070-2099) scenario, decreases to precipitation were observed for all scenarios of RCP groups. Blue water, which characterizes freshwater availability, demonstrates that all scenarios of RCP have statistically significant decrease trend for future in comparison with the reference period, but blue water is going to be most affected water resources as seen in Figure 4. Median, 25th and 75th percentiles of this water resource were shrank more than other resources when comparing reference period with late century of scenarios. Outlier (extreme) values have high values, on the contrary of median and percentiles. Similarly, statistically significant decrease was obtained in green water storage for future in all scenarios of RCP with respect to reference period. On the other hand, there is no any statistically significant decrease or increase in green water flow for future scenarios of RCP's except RCP4.5 mid-century (2020-2049) in respect to the reference period and except median and 25th percentile, 75th percentile decreased in all scenarios. The distinction between scenarios of all water resources obtained in RCP8.5, which is known the worst-case scenario. Directions in terms of increasing and decreasing of precipitation and water resources are as expected (Stocker et. al., 2013; Van Vuuren et al., 2011). Beside, Gorguner, Kavvas, & Ishida (2019) have studied Gediz basin, which is so close to Susurluk Basin, in order to find out the impact of climate change on the streamflow of basin using different kind of model and ensembles for scenarios of RCP4.5 and RCP8.5. It is expressed that there will be a decrease in streamflow according to the ensemble of all projections as it is expected the same for blue water (fresh water) in this study.

-----Figure 4 is here-----

Kernel density plots were drawn as well in order to understand possible shifts in scenarios of the water resources at seasonal scale for the future, apart from boxplot of water resources. There is obvious dispersion in all parameters and all seasons even though magnitude or amplitude is different. For all parameters excluding green water flow, densities of RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 have moved to left side of reference period in entire seasons. Similarly, peak densities of RCPs have shifted either upward or downward of the reference period (FigureS5).

Peak densities of all parameters are salient especially in summer season in which the values of all water resources, which is spread around tale, are low because of drought season. Both peaks and tales of density plot of RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 precipitations for winter oscillate around of density of the reference period. Densities of mid-late century RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 for spring have shifted by moving to left side of the reference period. Summer precipitation of RCPs, which is dry season in the Mediterranean and the Susurluk basin, has decreased, although amplitude of peaks especially late century RCP8.5 has increased in the future by comparison with duration of the reference. Similar to winter, all scenarios of RCPs for autumn seesaw around the reference period curve, yet late century of RCP4.5 (2070–2099) has shifted of right side of the reference by increasing with amount. Not only amplitude of peak also shifting of densities at the tale of RCPs in the blue water have changed to left side, which indicate the decrease of amount of fresh water, compared to the reference period. Nevertheless, winter, summer and spring seasons are more vulnerable than autumn with regard to changing of densities. The conditions that are seen for blue water is the same for green water storage as well. On the other hand, winter season of the green water flow has opposite character than other parameters that before explained. Outside of other seasons of the green water flow, RCPs of winter tends to move right side of the reference period. Generally, autumn is more stable in terms of shifting and changing of curves around the reference period. The same changes, in particular for precipitation, around the basin have been detected by many researchers (Demircan et al., 2017; Giorgi, & Lionello, 2008; Lelieveld et., 2012; Onol & Semazzi; 2009; Ozturk et al., 2015; Turp et al., 2014). It is obviously seen that climate change

has a strong impact on water resources by changing water amount. However, it is not well understood and documented how reservoir is changing available water in basins. Therefore, scenarios of reservoir with climate impact scenarios for water resources has compared in next section.

3.3. Reservoir Effect on Water Resources

Falkenmark indicator (Falkenmark 1989) was employed based upon climate model scenarios (RCPs), observed (TURKSTAT) and socio-economic population scenarios (SSPs) so that depicting the effect of reservoir and climate change scenarios on water scarcity. Bar graphs was originated dividing number of population to runoff from outlet by taking decadal average of yearly total runoff since population data is available only decadal (Figure 6). On the other hand, hydrological model was employed with two reservoir scenarios to illustrate the water that need to cope with scarcity based upon Falkenmark indicator. The threshold value of indicator for no water scarcity consists of 1700 m³ per capita was added to bar plot as a dashed line and pie chart was constructed to show the proportions of runoff and the water that accumulated in reservoir.

Results demonstrate the different combination of climate and population and reservoir scenarios. As seen in Figure 5, the quantity of water for per capita with reservoir is less than without reservoir scenario. Water is especially abundant during the reference period because of a small population (Figure 5a and FigureS4). SSP3, which is the worst-case scenario (business as usual) in population scenarios and reaches almost 5 million by 2100s shows that water for per capita is going to be down almost 500 m³ per capita. Even though SSP5, which is the best-case scenario for rising of population, demonstrate that water per capita does not cross dash line in neither result of reservoir nor without reservoir scenarios. In general, two reservoir scenarios for basin illustrate that except reference period that is lowest population lives during that time, all population scenarios will likely be experienced water scarcity due to lack of available water, which is explained previous section, and rising the number population

up to 2100. According to bar graph and results of Falkenmark indicator, basin will have scarcity in terms of available blue water. However, this indicator focuses on the amount of water that is accessible from river courses and neglects the water accumulated in reservoirs.

-----Figure 5 is here-----

Hence, in this study, the water accumulated in reservoir in the Susurluk Basin was taken into consideration for water scarcity assessment. Figure 5b illustrate that the ratio of water from runoff for three decades in the reference period is higher than the future scenarios owing to reservoirs that built during this period such Çaygören (159.5 hm^3 -1971), Kayaboğazı (37.84 hm^3 -1987), Selahattin Saygı-Doğancı (41.27 hm^3 -1984), İkizcetepeler (157.29 hm^3 -1992), Çavdarhisar (38.8 hm^3 1991). Subsequent to the reference period, proportion of water from runoff are seen in decline compared with water from reservoir that build during reference period and after it such big reservoirs as Manyas (423.39 hm^3 -2002) and Çınarcık (304.75 hm^3 -2003) for irrigation, flood protection and drinking water and then proportion of waters stay in same. According to Falkenmark indicator, the Susurluk Basin was going to have water scarcity because of water from runoff that does not meet the water demand of population. However, the measures such as building reservoirs against drought and water scarcity indicate that focusing only scarcity from runoff can be misleading for basins. Especially, pie chart of runoff and water in reservoir shows that scarcity can be defeated with water in reservoir since water in reservoir is abundant 100 times than water from runoff (Figure 5b). For that reason, in classical water management, reservoirs can be seen as effective way to handle water scarcity and drought at first glance according to water results in this study. This perception drive society to make pressure on decision makers to build reservoirs in order to protect themselves from economic damages, yet according to Di Baldassarre et al. (2018) based on this perception, society can be more fragile due to overdependence on these water infrastructures and prevent societies to have another measures.

On the other hand, we quantified how reservoirs can affect the water resources and its hydrological mechanism apart from scarcity viewpoint. For that reason, simulations for the

Susurluk Basin were executed based upon with and without reservoir scenarios in order to understand and investigate the effect of reservoirs on water resources for long-time scale with climatic effects and for whole basin. For meaningful comparison, histograms of all water resources were composed based on two reservoir scenarios with the reference, RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 climate scenarios. The histogram results depict that the amount of water has significantly changed with reservoir in progress of time and scenarios in the Susurluk basin for the future and reference periods (Figure 6a-b). For blue water and green water storage, sign of change in bars of histograms is right side after construction of reservoirs even tough blue water has raised in between 0 and 25 millimetres. It indicates that water needs to recharge to soil (green water storage) and freshwater which decreases in river course and aquifer due to accumulation of waters in the reservoirs. In contrast, the green water flow increases during the reference and future periods. The accumulated water in extensive area accelerates the feedback mechanism for evapotranspiration by evaporating available water in the basin.

Error plot illustrate the difference between results of simulation with and without reservoir at monthly scale. The first thing that can be noticeable in graphs is the high seasonality between months as a result of Mediterranean climate (Peel et al., 2007) for the all water resources. It is seen that highest values of the blue water and green water storage are observed during winter months (DJF) in the Susurluk Basin, which might be associated with large-scale synoptic climatology properties such as Atlantic originated mid-latitude frontal cyclones caused by polar air masses coming through the Mediterranean Basin, which is also the negative phase of North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) as well as the lowest values are seen during summer (JJA), which caused by high pressure system such as Azores High and extension Monsoon Low that known the positive phase of NAO (Barry & Chorley, 2009; Karaca, Deniz, & Tayanç, 2000; Tatli, Dalfts Nuzhet, Sibel Menten, 2004; Tatli & Türkeş 2011; Türkeş & Erat 2003, 2005; Sarış, Hannah& Eastwood, 2010).

-----Figure 6 is here-----

1
2
3 521 The simulation results demonstrate that values of reservoir are lower than without reservoir for
4
5 522 the blue water and green water storage. Nevertheless, the gap between with and without
6
7 523 reservoir simulation is higher in rainy season (winter) and lower in dry season (summer) for
8
9 524 the blue water and green water storage in RCPs and reference period. On the contrary, the
10
11 525 parameters that explained above, the highest values of green water flow are seen in April,
12
13 526 May, and June while somewhat similarly lowest values are seen in July, August, and
14
15 527 September. Furthermore, the interval of simulation result of reservoir is higher than without
16
17 528 reservoir between the months from September to April. After August, interval of error plots with
18
19 529 and without reservoir reversed from May to June. Therefore, values of without reservoir at that
20
21 530 month are higher than reservoir. Consequently, reservoirs have strong impact on the water
22
23 531 resources by changing amount of water but climate change also shapes the amount of water
24
25 532 in the basin. Therefore, both of them has cumulative effect of all different kind of water
26
27 533 resources by affecting both changing amount and shifting sign of them.
28
29
30
31
32

33 **4. Conclusion**

34
35
36 536 High seasonality in the climate of the Susurluk Basin, which is characteristics of the climate of
37
38 537 the Mediterranean Basin, particularly makes the basin more vulnerable than other climates on
39
40 538 the earth. Climate change will likely change the amount of all water resources such as
41
42 539 precipitation, blue water, green water storage and flow with negative sign in future at long-term
43
44 540 and seasonal scale according to climate and hydrological model results in the basin. It can be
45
46 541 obviously said that, climate change exacerbates the vulnerability of the basins in these fragile
47
48 542 semi-arid climates.
49
50
51 543 Water scarcity will likely be experienced in terms of water use until 2100 for the Susurluk Basin
52
53 544 according to Falkenmark indicator with many scenarios of Shared Socioeconomic Pathways
54
55 545 (SSPs) and Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs). Especially, entire population and
56
57 546 climate model scenarios illustrate that the basin will experience scarcity of water with below
58
59 547 1700 m³ per capita for decadal changes. Nonetheless, indicator only takea runoff from river
60

into account to quantify the scarcity of water in basin. Whereas, water in reservoirs is almost 100 times more than water in outlet of streamflow. On the other hand, reservoir makes its drought and scarcity by accumulating water that required recharging the river courses, aquifers, and soils. For that reason, the role of reservoirs in classical water management in drought and scarcity brings a dilemma for socio-hydrological systems. Di Baldassarre et al. (2018) have questioned water infrastructures in terms of the feedback mechanism of supply-demand cycle and reservoir effect. They have concluded that many feedback mechanisms of social factors make worse the water shortages since raising the high reliance on this source. Nevertheless, reservoirs affect the land-atmosphere physical feedback mechanism of watershed as well by changing amount of fresh waters such as blue water and green water storage due to accumulation water as it is proven in this study. Water in reservoir enhances the green water flow due to the existence of water that ready to be evaporated by radiation. Moreover, green water storage will be most impacted among the other water resources by shifting positive amounts to negative side. Whereas, Falkenmark (2013) suggests that many places like semi-arid or arid regions, which cover almost entire Mediterranean basin, has to protect green water storage in order to overcome drought and scarcity problem because water consumption is higher than blue water during the growing season of crops. In addition to measures against drought and water scarcity, owing to economic growing plans brings another dilemma for socio-hydrological systems because every country has right to combat against the poverty and supply the energy for their citizens. Hence, rising population and demand on water for energy, flood protection and economic growth plans (Koç, 2015), there will be new reservoirs for these purposes.

Consequently, water is necessary for human beings to ensure their needs in agriculture, industry, domestic use. Many reservoirs and dams have been built in order to tackle scarcity of water, flood and ensure the water availability. As it is proved in this study, many in infrastructures on rivers can change land-atmosphere physical feedback mechanisms of watersheds and rivers and today only 37 percent of rivers longer than 1,000 kilometres flows

1
2
3 575 free without any barrier or infrastructure in front (Grill et al., 2019). Therefore, with the effect of
4
5 576 climate change on hydrological systems, other human-made factors such as water pollution
6
7 577 and water depletion due to overuse of water resources deepen the problems in water
8
9 578 management of watersheds.

11
12 579 **Acknowledgments:** This study funded by TUBITAK-Scientific and Technological Research
13
14 580 Council of Turkey with 2214-A Program and Scientific Research Program of Istanbul
15
16 581 University-BAP (Project No: 57515, 39111 and 38985). We also would like to thank M.L.
17
18 582 Kurnaz who is head of Center for Climate Change and Policy Studies, Boğaziçi University for
19
20 583 sharing high-resolution climate model data.

21
22
23 584
24
25
26 585 **Data availability statement**
27
28 586 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author
29
30 587 upon reasonable request.

31
32
33 588
34
35
36 589
37
38 590
39
40
41 591
42
43 592
44
45
46 593
47
48 594
49
50
51 595
52
53
54 596
55
56 597
57
58
59 598
60

REFERENCES

- Abbaspour, K. C. (2013). SWAT-CUP 2012. *SWAT Calibration and uncertainty program—a user manual*.
- Abbaspour, K. C., Rouholahnejad, E., Vaghefi, S., Srinivasan, R., Yang, H., & Kløve, B. (2015). A continental-scale hydrology and water quality model for Europe: Calibration and uncertainty of a high-resolution large-scale SWAT model. *Journal of Hydrology*, 524, 733-752.
- Abbaspour, K. C., Yang, J., Maximov, I., Siber, R., Bogner, K., Mieleitner, J., ... & Srinivasan, R. (2007). Modelling hydrology and water quality in the pre-alpine/alpine Thur watershed using SWAT. *Journal of hydrology*, 333(2-4), 413-430.
- Akbas, A., & Ozdemir, H. (2018). Marmara Denizi havzasının hidroklimatolojik dinamiklerinin belirlenmesi. *Türk Coğrafya Dergisi*, (70), 123-131. (In Turkish).
- Aksoy, H., Unal, N. E., Alexandrov, V., Dakova, S., & Yoon, J. (2008). Hydrometeorological analysis of northwestern Turkey with links to climate change. *International Journal of Climatology: A Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 28(8), 1047-1060.
- Alfieri, L., Burek, P., Feyen, L. & Forzieri, G. (2015). Global warming increases the frequency of river floods in Europe. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 19(5), 2247.
- Angelina, A., Gado Djibo, A., Seidou, O., Seidou Sanda, I., & Sittichok, K. (2015). Changes to flow regime on the Niger River at Koulikoro under a changing climate. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 60(10), 1709-1723.
- Arnell, N. W., & Gosling, S. N. (2013). The impacts of climate change on river flow regimes at the global scale. *Journal of Hydrology*, 486, 351–364.
- Arnold JG, Srinivasan R, Muttiah RS, Williams JR. (1998). Large area hydrologic modelling and assessment- Part I: model development. *Journal of American Water Resources Association* 34 (1): 73-89.

- 623 Arnold, J. G., Moriasi, D. N., Gassman, P. W., Abbaspour, K. C., White, M. J., Srinivasan, R. .,
624 ... & Kannan, N. (2012). SWAT: Model use, calibration, and validation. *Transactions of the*
625 *ASABE*, 55(4), 1491-1508.
- 626 Ayaz, S., 2010. *Havza Koruma Eylem Planlarının Hazırlanması Projesi*. Susurluk Havzası
627 Nihai Raporu. Gebze, Kocaeli (In Turkish).
- 628 Barry, R. G., & Chorley, R. J. (2009). *Atmosphere, Weather and Climate*. Routledge.
- 629 Bozkurt, D., & Sen, O. L. (2013). Climate change impacts in the Euphrates–Tigris Basin based
630 on different model and scenario simulations. *Journal of hydrology*, 480, 149-161.
- 631 Bucak, T., Trolle, D., Andersen, H. E., Thodsen, H., Erdoğan, Ş., Levi, E. E., ... & Beklioğlu,
632 M. (2017). Future water availability in the largest freshwater Mediterranean lake is at great risk
633 as evidenced from simulations with the SWAT model. *Science of the Total Environment*, 581,
634 413-425.
- 635 Chattopadhyay, S., & Jha, M. K. (2016). Hydrological response due to projected climate
636 variability in Haw River watershed, North Carolina, USA. *Hydrological sciences journal*, 61(3),
637 495-506.
- 638 Chen, H., Xu, C. Y., & Guo, S. (2012). Comparison and evaluation of multiple GCMs, statistical
639 downscaling and hydrological models in the study of climate change impacts on runoff. *Journal*
640 *of hydrology*, 434, 36-45.
- 641 Coxon, G., Freer, J., Westerberg, I. K., Wagener, T., Woods, R., & Smith, P. J. (2015). A novel
642 framework for discharge uncertainty quantification applied to 500 UK gauging stations. *Water*
643 *resources research*, 51(7), 5531-5546.
- 644 Demircan, M., Gürkan, H., Eskioğlu, O., Arabacı, H., & Coşkun, M. (2017). Climate change
645 projections for Turkey: three models and two scenarios. *Turkish journal of Water Science &*
646 *Management*, 1(1), 23.

- 647 Di Baldassarre, G., Wanders, N., AghaKouchak, A., Kuil, L., Rangelcroft, S., Veldkamp, T. I.,
 648 ... & Van Loon, A. F. (2018). Water shortages worsened by reservoir effects. *Nature*
 649 *Sustainability*, 1(11), 617-622.
- 650 Dottori, F., Szewczyk, W., Ciscar, J. C., Zhao, F., Alfieri, L., Hirabayashi, Y., ... & Feyen, L.
 651 (2018). Increased human and economic losses from river flooding with anthropogenic
 652 warming. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(9), 781.
- 653 Ertürk, A., Ekdal, A., Gürel, M., Karakaya, N., Guzel, C., & Gönenç, E. (2014). Evaluating the
 654 impact of climate change on groundwater resources in a small Mediterranean watershed.
 655 *Science of the Total Environment*, 499, 437-447.
- 656 Falkenmark, M. (1989). The massive water scarcity now threatening Africa: why isn't it being
 657 addressed?. *Ambio*, 112-118.
- 658 Falkenmark, M. (2013). Growing water scarcity in agriculture: future challenge to global water
 659 security. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and*
 660 *Engineering Sciences*, 371(2002), 20120410.
- 661 Fowler, H. J., Blenkinsop, S., & Tebaldi, C. (2007). Linking climate change modelling to impacts
 662 studies: recent advances in downscaling techniques for hydrological modelling. *International*
 663 *Journal of Climatology: A Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 27(12), 1547-1578.
- 664 Fujihara, Y., Tanaka, K., Watanabe, T., Nagano, T., & Kojiri, T. (2008). Assessing the impacts
 665 of climate change on the water resources of the Seyhan River Basin in Turkey: Use of
 666 dynamically downscaled data for hydrologic simulations. *Journal of Hydrology*, 353(1-2), 33-
 667 48.
- 668 Gampe, D., Nikulin, G., & Ludwig, R. (2016). Using an ensemble of regional climate models to
 669 assess climate change impacts on water scarcity in European river basins. *Science of the Total*
 670 *Environment*, 573, 1503-1518.

- 671 Gao, X., & Giorgi, F. (2008). Increased aridity in the Mediterranean region under greenhouse
 672 gas forcing estimated from high resolution simulations with a regional climate model. *Global
 673 and Planetary Change*, 62(3-4), 195-209.
- 674 Gaupp, F., Hall, J., & Dadson, S. (2015). The role of storage capacity in coping with intra-and
 675 inter-annual water variability in large river basins. *Environmental Research Letters*, 10(12),
 676 125001.
- 677 General Directorate of Water Management (GDWM), *Susurluk Havzasi Taşkın Yönetim Planı
 678 Raporu*, Ankara (In Turkish)
- 679 Giorgi, F. (2006). Climate change hot-spots. *Geophysical research letters*, 33(8).
- 680 Giorgi, F., & Lionello, P. (2008). Climate change projections for the Mediterranean region.
 681 *Global and planetary change*, 63(2-3), 90-104.
- 682 Goubanova, K., & Li, L. (2007). Extremes in temperature and precipitation around the
 683 Mediterranean basin in an ensemble of future climate scenario simulations. *Global and
 684 Planetary Change*, 57(1-2), 27-42.
- 685 Grill, G., Lehner, B., Thieme, M., Geenen, B., Tickner, D., Antonelli, F., ... & Ehalt, M. H. (2019).
 686 Mapping the world's free-flowing rivers. *Nature*, 569(7755), 215.
- 687 Gupta, H. V., Sorooshian, S., & Yapo, P. O. (1999). Status of automatic calibration for
 688 hydrologic models: Comparison with multilevel expert calibration. *Journal of Hydrologic
 689 Engineering*, 4(2), 135-143.
- 690 Gorguner, M., Kavvas, M. L., & Ishida, K. (2019). Assessing the impacts of future climate
 691 change on the hydroclimatology of the Gediz Basin in Turkey by using dynamically downscaled
 692 CMIP5 projections. *Science of The Total Environment*, 648, 481-499.
- 693 Haddeland, I., Heinke, J., Biemans, H., Eisner, S., Flörke, M., Hanasaki, N., ... & Stacke, T.
 694 (2014). Global water resources affected by human interventions and climate change.
 695 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(9), 3251-3256.

- 696 Hagemann, S., Chen, C., Clark, D. B., Folwell, S., Gosling, S. N., Haddeland, I., ... & Wiltshire,
697 A. J. (2013). Climate change impact on available water resources obtained using multiple
698 global climate and hydrology models. *Earth System Dynamics*, 4(1), 129-144.
- 699 Hoerling, M., Eischeid, J., Perlwitz, J., Quan, X., Zhang, T., & Pegion, P. (2012). On the
700 increased frequency of Mediterranean drought. *Journal of Climate*, 25(6), 2146-2161.
- 701 Holland, R. A., Scott, K. A., Flörke, M., Brown, G., Ewers, R. M., Farmer, E., ... & Barrett, J.
702 (2015). Global impacts of energy demand on the freshwater resources of nations. *Proceedings*
703 *of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(48), E6707-E6716.
- 704 Jones, B., & O'Neill, B. C. (2017). Global Population Projection Grids Based on Shared
705 Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs), 2010-2100. *Socioecon. Data Appl. Cent.[online]* Available
706 from: <https://doi.org/10.7927/H4RF5S0P> (Accessed 3 July 2018).
- 707 Jones, B., & B. C. O'Neill. 2016. Spatially Explicit Global Population Scenarios Consistent with
708 the Shared Socioeconomic Pathways. *Environmental Research Letters*, 11 (2016): 084003.
709 <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/084003>.
- 710 Karaca, M., Deniz, A., & Tayanç, M. (2000). Cyclone track variability over Turkey in association
711 with regional climate. *International Journal of Climatology: A Journal of the Royal*
712 *Meteorological Society*, 20(10), 1225-1236.
- 713 Koç, C. (2014). A study on the development of hydropower potential in Turkey. *Renewable*
714 *and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 39, 498-508.
- 715 Krause, P., Boyle, D. P., & Bäse, F. (2005). Comparison of different efficiency criteria for
716 hydrological model assessment. *Advances in geosciences*, 5, 89-97.
- 717 Latrubesse, E. M., Arima, E. Y., Dunne, T., Park, E., Baker, V. R., d'Horta, F. M., & Ribas, C.
718 C. (2017). Damming the rivers of the Amazon basin. *Nature*, 546(7658), 363.
- 719 Lehmann, E. L., & D'Abrera, H. J. (1975). *Nonparametrics: Statistical Methods Based on*
720 *Ranks*. Holden-Day, San Francisco.

- 721 Lehner, B., Verdin, K., & Jarvis, A. (2008). New global hydrography derived from spaceborne
722 elevation data. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, 89(10), 93-94.
- 723 Lelieveld, J., Hadjinicolaou, P., Kostopoulou, E., Chenoweth, J., El Maayar, M.,
724 Giannakopoulos, C., ... & Xoplaki, E. (2012). Climate change and impacts in the Eastern
725 Mediterranean and the Middle East. *Climatic Change*, 114(3-4), 667-687.
- 726 Maraun, D., & Widmann, M. (2018). *Statistical downscaling and bias correction for climate
727 research*. Cambridge University Press.
- 728 Mueller Schmied, H., Adam, L., Eisner, S., Fink, G., Floerke, M., Kim, H., ... & Song, Q. (2016).
729 Variations of global and continental water balance components as impacted by climate forcing
730 uncertainty and human water use. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 20(7), 2877-2898.
- 731 Monteith, J. L. (1965). Evaporation and environment. In *Symposia of the society for
732 experimental biology* (Vol. 19, pp. 205-234). Cambridge University Press (CUP) Cambridge.
- 733 Moriasi, D. N., Arnold, J. G., Van Liew, M. W., Bingner, R. L., Harmel, R. D., & Veith, T. L.
734 (2007). Model evaluation guidelines for systematic quantification of accuracy in watershed
735 simulations. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 50(3), 885-900.
- 736 Nash, J. E., & Sutcliffe, J. V. (1970). River flow forecasting through conceptual models part I—
737 A discussion of principles. *Journal of hydrology*, 10(3), 282-290.
- 738 Neitsch, S. L., Arnold, J. G., Kiniry, J. R., & Williams, J. R. (2011). *Soil and water assessment
739 tool theoretical documentation version 2009*. Texas Water Resources Institute.
- 740 Oki, T., & Kanae, S. (2006). Global hydrological cycles and world water
741 resources. *Science*, 313(5790), 1068-1072.
- 742 Ozturk, M. Z., Cetinkaya, G., & Aydin, S. (2017). Climate Types of Turkey According to Klippen-
743 Geiger Climate Classification. *Journal Of Geography-Cografya Dergisi*, (35), 17-27.
- 744 Ozturk, T., Türkeş, M., & Kurnaz, L. (2011). RegCM4. 3.5. Analysing projected changes in
745 future air temperature and precipitation climatology of Turkey by using RegCM4.3.5 climate

- simulations. *Aegean Geographical Journal*, 20(1), 17-27. - (in Turkish with an English abstract, figure and table captions).
- Ozturk, T., Ceber, Z. P., Türkeş, M., & Kurnaz, M. L. (2015). Projections of climate change in the Mediterranean Basin by using downscaled global climate model outputs. *International Journal of Climatology*, 35(14), 4276-4292.
- Onol, B., & HM Semazzi, F. (2009). Regionalization of climate change simulations over the Eastern Mediterranean. *Journal of Climate*, 22(8), 1944-1961.
- Partal, T., & Kahya, E. (2006). Trend analysis in Turkish precipitation data. *Hydrological processes*, 20(9), 2011-2026.
- Peel, M. C., Finlayson, B. L., & McMahon, T. A. (2007). Updated world map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 11, 1633–1644
- Samaniego, L., Thober, S., Kumar, R., Wanders, N., Rakovec, O., Pan, M., ... & Marx, A. (2018). Anthropogenic warming exacerbates European soil moisture droughts. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(5), 421.
- Sariş, F., Hannah, D. M., & Eastwood, W. J. (2010). Spatial variability of precipitation regimes over Turkey. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 55(2), 234-249.
- SCS, 1956, 1964, 1971, 1985, 1993. *Hydrology, National Engineering Handbook*, Supplement A, Section 4, Chapter 10. Soil Conservation Service, USDA, Washington, DC.
- Schewe, J., Heinke, J., Gerten, D., Haddeland, I., Arnell, N. W., Clark, D. B., ... & Gosling, S. N. (2014). Multimodel assessment of water scarcity under climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(9), 3245-3250.
- Sen, O. L. (2013). A holistic view of climate change and its impacts in Turkey. *Report. Istanbul Policy Centre, Sabanci University, Istanbul*.
- Sen, B., Topcu, S., Türkeş, M., Sen, B., & Warner, J. F. (2012). Projecting climate change, drought conditions and crop productivity in Turkey. *Climate Research*, 52, 175-191.

- 771 Stehr, A., Debels, P., Romero, F., & Alcayaga, H. (2008). Hydrological modelling with SWAT
 772 under conditions of limited data availability: evaluation of results from a Chilean case study.
 773 *Hydrological sciences journal*, 53(3), 588-601.
- 774 Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G. K., Tignor, M. M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., ... & Midgley,
 775 P. M. (2013). *Climate change 2013: the physical science basis*. Contribution of working group
 776 I to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change.
- 777 Sunde, M. G., He, H. S., Hubbart, J. A., & Urban, M. A. (2017). Integrating downscaled CMIP5
 778 data with a physically based hydrologic model to estimate potential climate change impacts on
 779 streamflow processes in a mixed-use watershed. *Hydrological processes*, 31(9), 1790-1803.
- 780 Tatli, H., Dalfes Nüzhet, H., & Sibel Menten, Ş. (2004). A statistical downscaling method for
 781 monthly total precipitation over Turkey. *International Journal of Climatology: A Journal of the*
 782 *Royal Meteorological Society*, 24(2), 161-180.
- 783 Tatli, H., & Türkeş, M. (2011). Empirical orthogonal function analysis of the Palmer drought
 784 indices. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 151(7), 981-991.
- 785 Turp, M. T., Öztürk, T., Türkeş, M., & Kurnaz, M. L. (2014). RegCM4. 3.5 bölgesel iklim
 786 modelini kullanarak Türkiye ve çevresi bölgelerin yakın gelecekteki hava sıcaklığı ve yağış
 787 klimatolojileri için öngörülen değişikliklerin incelenmesi. *Ege Coğrafya Dergisi*, 23(1), 1-24 (In
 788 Turkish).
- 789 Türkeş, M., & Erat, E. (2003). Precipitation changes and variability in Turkey linked to the
 790 North Atlantic Oscillation during the period 1930–2000. *International Journal of Climatology: A*
 791 *Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 23(14), 1771-1796.
- 792 Türkeş, M., & Erat, E. (2005). Climatological responses of winter precipitation in Turkey to
 793 variability of the North Atlantic Oscillation during the period 1930–2001. *Theoretical and*
 794 *Applied Climatology*, 81(1-2), 45-69.
- 795 Türkeş, M., Yozgatlıgil, C., Batmaz, İ., İyigün, C., Koç, E. K., Fahmi, F. M., & Aslan, S. (2016).
 796 Has the climate been changing in Turkey? Regional climate change signals based on a

- 797 comparative statistical analysis of two consecutive time periods, 1950-1980 and 1981-
798 2010. *Climate Research*, 70(1), 77-93.
- 799 Yuksel, I. (2015). Water management for sustainable and clean energy in Turkey. *Energy*
800 *Reports*, 1, 129-133.
- 801 Van Vuuren, D. P., Edmonds, J., Kainuma, M., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Hibbard, K., ... & Masui,
802 T. (2011). The representative concentration pathways: an overview. *Climatic change*, 109(1-
803 2), 5.
- 804 Vörösmarty, C. J., Green, P., Salisbury, J., & Lammers, R. B. (2000). Global water resources:
805 vulnerability from climate change and population growth. *Science*, 289(5477), 284-288.
- 806 Vörösmarty, C. J., Douglas, E. M., Green, P. A., & Revenga, C. (2005). Geospatial indicators
807 of emerging water stress: an application to Africa. *AMBIO: A journal of the Human*
808 *Environment*, 34(3), 230-236.
- 809 Vörösmarty, C. J., McIntyre, P. B., Gessner, M. O., Dudgeon, D., Prusevich, A., Green, P., ...
810 & Davies, P. M. (2010). Global threats to human water security and river biodiversity. *Nature*,
811 467(7315), 555.
- 812 Wilby, R. L., & Wigley, T. M. L. (1997). Downscaling general circulation model output: a review
813 of methods and limitations. *Progress in physical geography*, 21(4), 530-548.
- 814 Wood, A. W., Leung, L. R., Sridhar, V., & Lettenmaier, D. P. (2004). Hydrologic implications of
815 dynamical and statistical approaches to downscaling climate model outputs. *Climatic*
816 *Change*, 62(1-3), 189-216.
- 817 Zeng, S., Xia, J., She, D., Du, H., & Zhang, L. (2012). Impacts of climate change on water
818 resources in the Luan River basin in North China. *Water international*, 37(5), 552-563.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

TABLES

Table 1. Calibrated parameters of the model gathered from SWAT-CUP for the Susurluk Basin

For Peer Review

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. a) Location b) Hydrogeological (map was adopted by IHME1500 v11) c) Corine Land-use d) Topographic map of Susurluk Basin.

Figure 2. Flowchart of study. The first process illustrates the settings of rainfall-runoff model. How data model obtained from regional climate was explained in second process. Third process demonstrates the comparison of interested parameters based on different reservoir scenarios.

Figure 3. Comparison of monthly modelled and observed runoff in the Susurluk Basin. Left side of graphs indicate monthly error plot with fitted loess function, middle graphs are time series and right side of graphs demonstrate scatter of observed vs modelled runoff with seasonal difference

Figure 4. Boxplot comparisons of water resources under different scenario results (The stars at top of facet indicate the significance values of Mann-Whitney U test; ns: $p > 0.05$, *: $p \leq 0.05$, **: $p \leq 0.01$, ***: $p \leq 0.001$ ****: $p \leq 0.0001$).

Figure 5. a) Falkenmark indicator results based on climate and population scenarios (dashed lines indicate no scarcity or water stress), b) ratio of water (m^3) in runoff and reservoirs.

Figure 6. a) Histogram distributions of water resources of Susurluk Basin b) Error plots of water resources of Susurluk Basin based on reservoir and climate scenarios.

What about reservoirs? Questioning anthropogenic and climatic interferences on water availability

Abdullah Akbas*, Jim Freer, Hasan Ozdemir, Paul Bates, M. Tufan Turp

Finding

Nowadays, many studies only focus on climate change on water resources as part of hydrological studies. However, the role and cost of reservoirs on water resources has poorly investigated and quantified. In this study, we quantify the impact of these water infrastructures, as novelty, on water resources along with the impact of climate change under reservoir and climate scenarios

Graphical Abstract of study. The first process illustrates the settings of rainfall-runoff model. How data model obtained from regional climate was explained in second process. Third process demonstrates the comparison of interested parameters based on different reservoir scenarios.

Figure 1. a) Location b) Hydrogeological (map was adopted by IHME1500 v11) c) Corine Land-use d) Topographic map of Susurluk Basin.

254x329mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Figure 2. Flowchart of study. The first process illustrates the settings of rainfall-runoff model. How data model obtained from regional climate was explained in second process. Third process demonstrates the comparison of interested parameters based on different reservoir scenarios.

Figure 3. Comparison of monthly modelled and observed runoff in the Susurluk Basin. Left side of graphs indicate monthly error plot with fitted loess function, middle graphs are time series and right side of graphs demonstrate scatter of observed vs modelled runoff with seasonal difference

Figure 4. Boxplot comparisons of water resources under different scenario results (The stars at top of facet indicate the significance values of Mann-Whitney U test; ns: $p > 0.05$, *: $p \leq 0.05$, **: $p \leq 0.01$, ***: $p \leq 0.001$ ****: $p \leq 0.0001$).

Figure 5. a) Falkenmark indicator results based on climate and population scenarios (dashed lines indicate no scarcity or water stress), b) ratio of water (m^3) in runoff and reservoirs.

Figure 6. a) Histogram distributions of water resources of Susurluk Basin b) Error plots of water resources of Susurluk Basin based on reservoir and climate scenarios.

Table 1. Calibrated parameters of the model gathered from SWAT-CUP for the Susurluk Basin

Name of Parameter	Method	Initial Ranges		Ultimate Ranges		Best Value
		Minimum Range	Maximum Range	Minimum Range	Maximum Range	
CN2.mgt	Relative	-0.2	0.2	-0.31	0.03	-0.14
ALPHA_BF.gw	Replace	0	1	0.27	0.81	0.54
GW_DELAY.gw	Replace	30	450	-53.04	282.44	114.70
GWQMN.gw	Replace	0	5000	-2063.57	2646.90	291.67
REVAPMN.gw	Replace	0	50	9.11	36.39	22.75
GW_REVAP.gw	Replace	0.02	0.2	-0.01	0.13	0.06
ESCO.hru	Replace	0	1	-0.21	0.60	0.19
SOL_AWC.sol	Relative	-0.2	0.2	-0.35	0.02	-0.16

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 Supplement for “**What about reservoirs? Questioning anthropogenic**
2 **and climatic interferences on water availability**”

3 Abdullah Akbas¹², Jim Freer², Hasan Ozdemir¹, Paul Bates², M. Tufan Turp³⁴

4 ¹Geography Department, Bursa Uludağ University, Bursa, Turkey

5 ²School of Geographical Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

6 ³Center for Climate Change and Policy Studies, Boğaziçi University, İstanbul, Turkey

7 ⁴Department of Environmental Sciences, Institute of Environmental Sciences, Boğaziçi University, İstanbul, Turkey

8 Correspondence to: Abdullah Akbas (abdullahakbas@uludag.edu.tr)

For Peer Review

1. RegCM4.4 Regional Climate Model Description and Bias Correction

1.1. Model Description

The outputs of the MPI-ESM-MR global circulation model of the Max Planck Institute for Meteorology was selected and dynamically downscaled to 10-km horizontal resolution for Turkey via the regional climate model RegCM4.4 of the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics (ICTP). Afterwards, RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios have been selected as Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs). These two pathways represent emission scenarios at different radiative forcing with 4.5 W/m² (middle case scenario) and 8.5 W/m² (worst case scenario or business-as-usual case) in the future (Van Vuuren et al., 2011). Reference (1971-2000) and future (2020-2049 and 2070-2099) periods were used to understand and compare the impact of climate change on water balance parameters in the Susurluk Basin. Here, the period of 2020-2049 was called mid-century and 2070-2099 was called late-century. All parameters that were applied in this study were daily scale. In order to get proper and reliable climate outputs for the domain, the regional climate model is parametrized using the options which are tested and suggested by previous studies (Almazroui, 2016; Almazroui, Islam, Al-Khalaf, & Saeed, 2015; Ozturk, Ceber, Türkeş, & Kurnaz, 2015; Turp, Ozturk, Türkeş, & Kurnaz, 2014). In this context, the regional climate model was run using the Fritsch-Chappell type closure (Fritsch & Chappell, 1980) of the Grell scheme (Grell, 1993) for convective (synoptic-scale dynamic or convective instability-induced rising air movements) cloud and precipitation formation mechanisms, and Holtslag parameterization (Holtslag, De Bruijn, & Pan, 1990) for the planetary boundary layer. Biosphere and Atmosphere Transfer Scheme (BATS) (Dickinson, Kennedy, & Henderson-Sellers, 1993) was also chosen as the land-surface scheme.

1.2. Bias Correction

Bias correction for climate model outputs are another standout topic besides downscale problem due to uncertainty in outputs of climate model. Bias correction, which is especially important in impact studies, is still controversial, although various bias correction methods

have been described in the literature for different variables and application areas (Ehret, Zehe, Wulfmeyer, Warrach-Sagi, & Liebert, 2012; Maraun, 2013; Maraun & Widmann, 2018; Teutschbein & Seibert, 2012). On the other hand, daily observed precipitation has been compared with projected precipitation from regional climate model in the Susurluk Basin to see the bias and it clearly demonstrates that all model results have overestimation or positive bias on precipitation values. The relative errors in mean for projected and observed precipitation indicate that model has enough capability to simulate mean values of precipitation for the basin. Similar circumstance has been obtained for standard deviations of precipitation (Figure S1).

FigureS1. The comparison of the projected precipitation from regional climate model and the observed precipitation data through a) Relative Error in Mean, b) Relative Error in Standard Deviation, c) NSE and d) PBIAS.

However, results of NSE and PBIAS for observed and projected precipitation in the basin have different characteristic than errors in mean and standard deviation, because time series has

71 been compared in this method unlike relative error of mean and standard deviation. The results
72 illustrate that projected values have significant positive bias around the basin except Uludağ
73 station (17676) and coastal area. Generally, it can be said that the model excellently projects
74 average conditions of precipitation in the basin, but whole time series of projected precipitation
75 has positive bias apart from mountainous area where orographic processes are dominant
76 differently from mid-latitude frontal systems.

77 Bias corrections have been performed according to the results of the comparisons of bias
78 analysis on the rainfall and the maximum and minimum temperature values of the Susurluk
79 Basin. Linear scaling factor (Lenderink, Buishand, & Deursen, 2007; Teutschbein & Seibert,
80 2012) was employed in order to eliminate over and under projection (bias) of the precipitation
81 and temperature values of the Susurluk Basin by using the observed values, which was
82 obtained from the meteorology stations of Turkish State Meteorological Service (TSMS).
83 Based on observation, bias of precipitation and temperature was corrected for reference and
84 future period. Both seasonal reference period and seasonal future with reference period of
85 empirical cumulative distribution function (ECDF) was drawn to depict the corrections of
86 precipitation and temperature values. ECDF graph of precipitation values has revealed that
87 although summer and autumn has less bias than other seasons, precipitation values have
88 positive bias in all seasons during the reference period. ECDF curve of bias corrected
89 precipitation for reference period oscillates around of ECDF of observed precipitation after bias
90 correction via linear scaling factor. ECDF curves of bias corrected and uncorrected RCP4.5
91 and RCP8.5 precipitations for future from only regional climate model has significantly shifted
92 left side of uncorrected precipitation curves. Summer is the season that needs less bias
93 correction because of less rain during this season (Figure S2).

FigureS2. The comparison of bias corrected and uncorrected precipitations a) for reference period and b) reference and future period of RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios.

Apart from precipitation, bias correction for minimum and maximum temperature of the Susurluk Basin was implemented and similar to precipitation, ECDF of bias corrected and uncorrected values minimum and maximum temperature were drawn as well. The results show that bias corrected minimum and maximum temperature in all seasons has no any significant change or shift after correction but summer, which is hottest and more drought season in the basin, for reference period. Therefore, regional climate model optimally projects the minimum and maximum temperature more than precipitation. RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios of minimum and maximum temperature feature similar behavior as reference period does. All future and reference scenarios of minimum and maximum temperature from regional climate model illustrate that climate model projection for this parameter has no significant change except summer as seen with observation. Apart from corrections, the results demonstrate that minimum and maximum temperature have moved right side of reference period even though they are corrected for bias. This can be indication of warming in basin according to results (Figure S3).

FigureS3. The comparison of bias corrected and uncorrected a) maximum b) minimum temperatures for reference period and bias corrected and uncorrected c) maximum d) minimum temperatures of reference and future period of RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

REFERENCES

Almazroui, M. (2016). RegCM4 in climate simulation over CORDEX-MENA/Arab domain: selection of suitable domain, convection and land-surface schemes. *International Journal of Climatology*, 36(1), 236-251.

Almazroui, M., Islam, M. N., Al-Khalaf, A. K., & Saeed, F. (2016). Best convective parameterization scheme within RegCM4 to downscale CMIP5 multi-model data for the CORDEX-MENA/Arab domain. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 124(3-4), 807-823.

Dickinson, R.E., Kennedy, P.J., & Henderson-Sellers, A. (1993). Biosphere-atmosphere transfer scheme (BATS) version 1e as coupled to the NCAR community climate model. *NCAR Tech. Note TH-387+ STR*.

Ehret, U., Zehe, E., Wulfmeyer, V., Warrach-Sagi, K., & Liebert, J. (2012). HESS Opinions "Should we apply bias correction to global and regional climate model data?". *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 16(9), 3391-3404.

Fritsch, J. M., & Chappell, C. F. (1980). Numerical prediction of convectively driven mesoscale pressure systems. Part I: Convective parameterization. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 37(8), 1722-1733.

Grell, G. A. (1993). Prognostic evaluation of assumptions used by cumulus parameterizations. *Monthly weather review*, 121(3), 764-787.

Holtlag, A. A. M., De Bruijn, E. I. F., & Pan, H. L. (1990). A high resolution air mass transformation model for short-range weather forecasting. *Monthly Weather Review*, 118(8), 1561-1575.

Lenderink, G., Buishand, A., & Deursen, W. V. (2007). Estimates of future discharges of the river Rhine using two scenario methodologies: direct versus delta approach. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 11(3), 1145-1159.

Maraun, D. (2013). Bias correction, quantile mapping, and downscaling: Revisiting the inflation issue. *Journal of Climate*, 26(6), 2137-2143.

- 149 Maraun, D., & Widmann, M. (2018). *Statistical downscaling and bias correction for climate*
 150 *research*. Cambridge University Press.
- 151 Ozturk, T., Ceber, Z. P., Türkeş, M., & Kurnaz, M. L. (2015). Projections of climate change in
 152 the Mediterranean Basin by using downscaled global climate model outputs. *International*
 153 *Journal of Climatology*, 35(14), 4276-4292.
- 154 Teutschbein, C., & Seibert, J. (2012). Bias correction of regional climate model simulations for
 155 hydrological climate-change impact studies: Review and evaluation of different
 156 methods. *Journal of hydrology*, 456, 12-29.
- 157 Turp, M. T., Ozturk, T., Türkeş, M., & Kurnaz, M. L. (2014). RegCM4. 3.5 bölgesel iklim
 158 modelini kullanarak Türkiye ve çevresi bölgelerin yakın gelecekteki hava sıcaklığı ve yağış
 159 klimatolojileri için öngörülen değişikliklerin incelenmesi. *Aegean Geographical Journal*, 23(1),
 160 1-24 (In Turkish).
- 161 Van Vuuren, D. P., Edmonds, J., Kainuma, M., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Hibbard, K., ... & Masui,
 162 T. (2011). The representative concentration pathways: an overview. *Climatic change*, 109(1-
 163 2), 5.

FigureS4. Projected population scenarios of Susurluk basin based on Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs).

FigureS5. Seasonal Kernel density function of water resources for the Susurluk Basin.