Mahmood, A., Suarez, J. R., Thomas, S. P., & Aggarwal, V. K. (2013). One-pot synthesis of 2,3,4,5,6-pentasubstituted tetrahydropyrans using lithiation-borylation, allylation and Prins cyclisation reactions. *Tetrahedron Letters*, 54(1), 49-51.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2012.10.091>

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1016/j.tetlet.2012.10.091](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2012.10.091)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in *Tetrahedron Letters*. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in *Tetrahedron Letters* 54 (2013) 49–5, DOI 10.1016/j.tetlet.2012.10.091

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

Graphical Abstract

To create your abstract, type over the instructions in the template box below.
Fonts or abstract dimensions should not be changed or altered.

One-pot synthesis of 2,3,4,5,6-pentasubstituted tetrahydropyrans using lithiation-borylation, allylation and Prins cyclisation reactions

Adeem Mahmood, Jose Ramón Suárez, Stephen P. Thomas and Varinder K. Aggarwal*
School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Cantock's Close, Bristol, BS8 1TS

Leave this area blank for abstract info.

One-pot synthesis of 2,3,4,5,6-pentasubstituted tetrahydropyrans using lithiation-borylation, allylation and Prins cyclisation reactions

Adeem Mahmood[†], Jose Ramón Suárez, Stephen P. Thomas and Varinder K. Aggarwal*

School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Cantock's Close, Bristol, BS8 1TS

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received
Received in revised form
Accepted
Available online

Keywords:

Lithiation-borylation
Allylation
Prins Cyclisation
Tandem
Boronic ester

ABSTRACT

2,3,4,5,6-Pentasubstituted tetrahydropyrans have been prepared in good yield (42-57%) with excellent *dr* (>95:5) and *er* (>95:5) using a one-pot lithiation-borylation, allylation and Prins cyclisation reaction.

2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +44(0)117 954 6315; fax: +44(0)117 925 1295; e-mail: V.Aggarwal@bristol.ac.uk

[†] Current address; Department of Chemistry, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Abbottabad-22060, Pakistan

Substituted tetrahydropyrans (THPs) are ubiquitous in nature.¹ They show great diversity in structure and complexity, from the relatively simple tri-substituted THP (–)-diospongins A² to the highly complex polyketide marine metabolites clavosolide A³ and (–)-kendomycin⁴ with penta-substituted THP cores (Figure 1). One of the most efficient strategies for their construction involves the Prins cyclisation,⁵ as demonstrated by numerous research groups.^{5a, 6} Indeed, the acid-catalysed Prins cyclisation of an in situ generated oxocarbenium ion has been extensively used for the stereoselective synthesis of diversely functionalised THPs.⁷ Although allyltin⁸ and allylsilyl⁹ reagents have been used in this context, to the best of our knowledge, there is only a single report of allylboron reagents being used for the stereoselective synthesis of racemic THPs using a tandem allylation and Prins cyclisation.¹⁰

Figure 1. THP containing natural products.

We recently reported the enantioselective synthesis of α -substituted allylic boron reagents which could be reacted with aldehydes to give homoallylic alcohols with control of all elements of stereochemistry (*syn/anti*; *E/Z*).¹¹ We recognised that if these products could be used in a subsequent Lewis acid-catalysed Prins cyclisation we would have the ability to form highly substituted THPs with excellent diastereoselectivity and enantioselectivity.

We postulated that if the allylation products, **6** or **7** formed *via* an initial allylation with the first equivalent of aldehyde, could be trapped by a second aldehyde in the presence of a Lewis acid, a Prins cyclisation should ensue (**8**→**10** or **9**→**11**) to give highly substituted THPs (Figure 2). The enantioselectivity would be set in the lithiation-borylation reaction (>98:2 *er*) and the diastereoselectivity would be set in the allylation reaction (>95:5 *dr*), and subsequent Prins cyclisation.

Significantly, the substituents on boron could be exploited to favour one of two transition-state structures (TS **4** and **5** in the initial allylation reaction with the first aldehyde. Large substituents on boron (eg 9-BBN) would cause a steric clash between R¹ and the boron substituents,¹³ thereby favouring the allylation product arising from TS **4**. This would give the (*Z*)-alkene which, after Prins cyclisation, would give the 3,5-*anti*-THP **12** after work-up. Use of small boron substituents (eg (OCH₂)₂CMe₂) reduces the steric clash between R¹ and the boron

substituents¹⁴ and now TS **5** bearing the equatorial substituent would be favoured due to competing A^{1,3} strain in TS **4**. This would lead to the (*E*)-alkene which, following Prins cyclisation and trapping by water, would give the all equatorial substituted THP **13**, with the 3,5-*syn* arrangement.

Furthermore, the sequential nature of our proposed THP synthesis presents the possibility for a one-pot synthesis of fully differential THPs from the addition of two different aldehydes. The 3- and 5-substituents arise from the carbamate **1** and boron reagent **2** and the 2- and 6-substituents from the aldehydes used in the allylation and Prins reactions, respectively.

Figure 2. Proposed synthesis of highly substituted THPs using lithiation-borylation, allylation and Prins cyclisation.

Our studies began by targeting the all equatorial substituted THPs **13** (Table 1, entries 1-6). To favour TS **5**, neopentylglycol boronic esters were used along with a similar allylation protocol to that which we had previously used with great success.¹¹ Thus, deprotonation of ethyl carbamate **1** with *s*-BuLi in the presence of (–)-sparteine followed by addition of vinyl boronic ester **2** gave an intermediate ate complex. To promote 1,2-metallate rearrangement, and thus formation of the allylboronic ester **3** (X = (OCH₂)₂CMe₂), a solvent exchange was carried out from Et₂O to CH₂Cl₂ and BF₃·OEt₂ was added. Subsequent addition of an

excess of either cyclohexylcarboxaldehyde or benzaldehyde (these were used as representative aldehydes) and further addition of $\text{BF}_3\cdot\text{OEt}_2$ followed by aqueous workup gave the THPs in moderate yield but very high enantioselectivity and very high diastereoselectivity. In the one-pot process 3 C-C bonds, and 2 C-O bonds have been formed and 5 stereogenic centres have been controlled. The use of a variety of boronic esters was examined including Me- (entries 1,2), Bu- (entries 3,4) and H- (entries 5,6). In all cases excellent stereocontrol was observed even with the parent unsubstituted vinylboronic ester ($\text{R}^1 = \text{H}$, entries 5,6). Interestingly, no addition of fluoride was observed in the 4-position as might be expected when using BF_3 in the absence of a fluoride trap.^{15,16}

The use of two different aldehydes in the sequential allylation, Prins cyclisation was also explored as this would lead to a fully differentially substituted THP, a significantly greater challenge.^{5a} However, by simply adding the two different aldehydes in sequence we were able to obtain the 2,6-differentially substituted THPs in good yield and excellent *dr* and *er* (table 1, entry 7-10). In one case (table 1, entry 9), when cyclohexylcarboxaldehyde was used as the first aldehyde (followed by benzaldehyde), we observed a significant amount the bis-cyclohexyl substituted THP. In contrast, use of benzaldehyde as the first aldehyde followed by cyclohexylcarboxaldehyde gave the required THP with complete control over the substitution at each THP-carbon (entry 8). Presumably, the lower selectivity of former reaction can be explained by the decreased reactivity of benzaldehyde compared to cyclohexylcarboxaldehyde.

Table 1. Synthesis of 2,3,4,5,6-pentasubstituted THPs using neopentylglycol boronic esters.^{a,b}

i. *s*-BuLi/ (–)-sp., –78 °C, Et_2O
 ii. $\text{R}^1\text{-CH=CH-B(OEt)}_2$ **2**
 iii. $\text{Et}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$, F_3BOEt_2
 iv. $\text{R}^2/\text{R}^3\text{CHO}$, –78 °C
 v. F_3BOEt_2 , –78 °C to rt
 vi. H_2O

Entry	R^1	R^2	R^3	Yield(%)	<i>dr</i>	<i>er</i>
1 ^a	Me	Ph	Ph	54	>95:5	96:4
2 ^a	Me	Cy	Cy	51	>95:5	-
3 ^a	Bu	Ph	Ph	52	>95:5	98:2
4 ^a	Bu	Cy	Cy	57	99:1	-
5 ^a	H	Ph	Ph	45	>95:5	98:2
6 ^a	H	Cy	Cy	49	>95:5	-
7 ^b	Bu	Cy	Ph	50	>95:5	96:4
8 ^b	Bu	Ph	Cy	48	>95:5	95:5
9 ^b	Me	Cy	Ph	54 ^c	>95:5	97:3
10 ^b	H	Cy	Ph	44	>95:5	97:3

^a $\text{R}^2 = \text{R}^3$ (i) *s*-BuLi (1.4 eq.), (–)-sp. (1.4 eq.), Et_2O (0.17 M), –78 °C, 5h. (ii) **2** (1.7 eq.), –78 °C to rt, 2.5h. (iii) Et_2O to CH_2Cl_2 , F_3BOEt_2 (2 eq.), rt, 0.5h. (iv) R^2CHO (4 eq.), –78 °C, 1h. (v) F_3BOEt_2 (2 eq.), –78 °C to rt, 18h. (vi) H_2O , rt, 3h. ^b $\text{R}^2 \neq \text{R}^3$ (i) *s*-BuLi (1.4 eq.), (–)-sp. (1.4 eq.), Et_2O (0.17 M), –78 °C, 5h. (ii) **2** (1.7 eq.), –78 °C to rt, 2.5h. (iii) Et_2O to CH_2Cl_2 , F_3BOEt_2 (2 eq.), rt, 0.5h. (iv) R^2CHO (1.5 eq.), –78 °C, 1h. (v) R^3CHO (3 eq.), –78 °C, 1h. (vi) F_3BOEt_2 (2 eq.), –78 °C to rt, 18h. (vii) H_2O , rt, 3h. ^c

Isolated as a 2:1 mixture of 2-Ph-6-c.Hex- and 2,6-di-c.Hex-THP.

We next turned our attention to the synthesis of the diastereomeric 3,5-*anti*-THPs **12** (Table 2). To favour TS **4**, a bulky substituent at boron was required and the *B*-9-BBN group was selected. Furthermore, the increased reactivity of boranes in the lithiation-borylation reaction¹⁷ negated the need for Lewis acids to trigger 1,2-metallate rearrangement, although a solvent exchange to CH_2Cl_2 was still needed to effect efficient Prins cyclisation.

Thus, deprotonation of ethyl carbamate **1** with *s*-BuLi in the presence of sparteine followed by addition of *B*-vinyl-9-BBN gave an intermediate ate complex which underwent rapid 1,2-metallate rearrangement at low temperature. Solvent exchange from Et_2O to CH_2Cl_2 followed by addition of an excess of either cyclohexylcarboxaldehyde or benzaldehyde, followed by further addition of $\text{BF}_3\cdot\text{OEt}_2$ gave the THPs in moderate yield but very high enantioselectivity and diastereoselectivity. Once again, excellent levels of stereocontrol were observed using both aryl- and alkyl aldehydes giving excellent *dr* and *er* (entries 1-2) and the sequential addition of two different aldehydes could be used to differentiate the 2- and 6-positions with excellent *dr* and *er* (entry 3). The use of the *B*-9-BBN reagents giving the highest levels of diastereoselectivity reported herein. Presumably the large 9-BBN group significantly shifts the TS equilibrium towards **4** in the allylation reaction and increased reactivity of the intermediate borinic esters increases the rate of aldehyde exchange and Prins cyclisation.

Table 2. Synthesis of 2,3,4,5,6-pentasubstituted THPs using *B*-9-BBN boranes.^{a,b}

i. *s*-BuLi/ (–)-sp., –78 °C, Et_2O
 ii. $\text{Me-CH=CH-B(9-BBN)}_2$ **2**
 iii. $\text{Et}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$, $\text{R}^1/\text{R}^2\text{CHO}$, –78 °C
 iv. F_3BOEt_2 , –78 °C to rt
 v. H_2O

Entry	R^1	R^2	Yield (%)	<i>dr</i>	<i>er</i>
1 ^a	Ph	Ph	48	>95:5	95:5
2 ^a	Cy	Cy	45	>95:5	-
3 ^b	Cy	Ph	42 ^c	>95:5	97:3

^a $\text{R}^1 = \text{R}^2$ (i) *s*-BuLi (1.4 eq.), (–)-sp. (1.4 eq.), Et_2O (0.17 M), –78 °C, 5h. (ii) **2** (1.7 eq.), –78 °C to rt, 2.5h. (iii) Et_2O to CH_2Cl_2 , R^1CHO (4 eq.), –78 °C, 1h. (iv) F_3BOEt_2 (4 eq.), –78 °C to rt, 18h. (v) H_2O , rt, 3h. ^b $\text{R}^1 \neq \text{R}^2$ (i) *s*-BuLi (1.4 eq.), (–)-sp. (1.4 eq.), Et_2O (0.17 M), –78 °C, 5h. (ii) **2** (1.7 eq.), –78 °C to rt, 2.5h. (iii) Et_2O to CH_2Cl_2 , R^1CHO (1.5 eq.), –78 °C, 1h. (iv) R^2CHO (3 eq.), –78 °C, 1h. (v) F_3BOEt_2 (4 eq.), –78 °C to rt, 18h. (vi) H_2O , rt, 3h. ^c Isolated as a 1:1 mixture of 2-Ph-6-c.Hex- and 2,6-di-c.Hex-THP.

In summary we have developed a one-pot synthesis of functionalised tetrahydropyrans using a sequential lithiation-borylation, allylation and Prins cyclisation reaction. The protocol has been successfully applied to the highly diastereo- and enantioselective syntheses of 2,3,4,5,6- and 2,3,4,5-substituted THPs.

1. Acknowledgments

French, V. Jheengut and V. K. Aggarwal, *The Chemical Record* **2009**, 9, 24.

We thank the EPSRC National Mass Spectrometry Service centre of providing high-resolution mass spectra and Inochem-Frontier Scientific for the generous donation of organoboron reagents. VKA thanks the EPSRC for a Senior Research Fellowship and the Royal Society for a Wolfson Research Merit Award. AM thanks the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan and the University of Bristol for a PhD studentship. JRS thanks the Spanish Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia for a postdoctoral fellowship.

2. References

- (a) D. Faulkner, *J. Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2000**, 17, 7. (b) R. D. Norcross and I. Paterson, *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, 95, 2041. (c) J. W. Wesley, *Polyether Antibiotics: Naturally Occurring Acid Ionophores*; Marcel Decker: New York, NY, **1982**; Vols. I and II.
- J. Yin, K. Kouda, T. Yasuhiro, Q.L. Tran, T. Miyahara, Y. Chen and S. Kadota, *Planta Med.*, **2004**, 7, 54.
- (a) R. M. Rao and D. J. Faulkner, *J. Nat. Prod.* **2002**, 65, 386. (b) K. L. Erickson, K. R. Gustafson, L. K. Pannell, J. A. Beutler and M. Boyd, *J. Nat. Prod.* **2002**, 65, 1303.
- (a) Y. Funahashi, N. Kawamura and T. Ishimaru, Japan Patent 08231551 [A2960910], **1996**; *Chem. Abstr.* **1997**, 126, 6553. (b) Y. Funahashi, N. Kawamura, and T. Ishimaru, Japan Patent 08231552, **1996**; *Chem. Abstr.* **1996**, 125, 326518.
- For recent reviews see: (a) C. Olier, M. Kaafarani and S. Gastaldi, *Tetrahedron* **2010**, 66, 413. (b) E. A. Crane and K. A. Scheidt, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, 49, 8316. (c) I. M. Pastor and M. Yus, *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2007**, 11, 925.
- For recent examples see: (a) A. J. Bunt, C. D. Bailey, B. D. Cons, S. J. Edwards, J. D. Elsworth, T. Pheko and C. L. Willis, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, 51, 3901 (b) H.-Y. Lin and B. B. Snider, *Org. Lett.* **2011**, 13, 1234. (c) T. Nishimura, A. K. Unni, S. Yokoshima and T. Fukuyama, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, 133, 418. (d) X. Wang, J. Zheng, Q. Chen, H. Zheng, Y. He, J. Yang and X. She, *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, 75, 5392. (e) K. B. Bahnck and S. D. Rychnovsky, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, 130, 13177.
- (a) W.-C. Zhang, G. S. Viswanathan and C.-J. Li, *Chem Commun.* **1999**, 291. (b) C. Semeyn, R. H. Blaauw and W. N. Speckamp, *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, 62, 3426. (c) T.-P. Loh, Q.-Y. Hu and L.-T. Ma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, 123, 2450. (d) V. H. Dahanukar and S. D. Rychnovsky, *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, 61, 8317. (e) St. J. Barry, S. R. Crosby, J. R. Harding, R. A. Hughes, C. D. King, G. D. Parker and C. L. Willis, *Org. Lett.* **2003**, 5, 2429. (f) D. J. Kopecky and S. D. Rychnovsky, *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, 65, 191. (g) D. J. Hart and C. E. Bennett, *Org. Lett.* **2003**, 5, 1499. (h) M. J. Cloninger and L. E. Overman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, 121, 1092. (i) G. G. Launay, A. M. Z. Slawinand D. O'Hagan, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, 6, 1.
- (a) G. S. Viswanathan, J. Yang and C.-J. Li, *Org. Lett.* **1999**, 1, 993. (b) D. Marton, G. Tagliavini and M. Zordan, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1990**, 391, 295.
- (a) Z. Y. Wei, D. Wang, J. S. Li and T. H. Chan, *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, 54, 5768. (b) L. Coppi, A. Ricci and M. Taddi, *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, 53, 911. (c) K.-P. Chan and T.-P. Loh, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, 45, 8387.
- P. V. Ramachandran and P. D. Gagare, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2011**, 52, 4378.
- M. Althaus, A. Mahmood, J. Ramón Suárez, S. P. Thomas and V. K. Aggarwal, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132, 4025.
- R. W. Alder, J. N. Harvey and M. T. Oakley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, 124, 4960.
- (a) Y. Yamamoto, R. Fjikawa, A. Yamada and N. Miyaura, *Chem. Lett.* **1999**, 1069. (b) Y. W. Andemichael and K. K. Wang, *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, 57, 796. (c) K. K. Wang, Y. G. Gu and C. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, 112, 4431.
- (a) M. Chen, M. Handa and W. R. Roush, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, 131, 14602. (b) J. Kister, A. C. DeBaillie, R. Lira and W. R. Roush, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, 131, 14174.
- E. H. Al-Mutairi, S. R. Crosby, J. Darzi, J. R. Harding, R. A. Hughes, C. D. King, T. J. Simpson, R. W. Smith and C. L. Willis, *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, 835.
- S. R. Crosby, J. R. Harding, C. D. King, G. D. Parker and C. L. Willis, *Org. Lett.* **2002**, 4, 577.
- (a) J. L. Stymiest, G. Dutheuil, A. Mahmood and V. K. Aggarwal, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, 46, 7491. (b) S. P. Thomas, R. M.