Liu, A. G. S. C., McIlroy, D., Matthews, J., & Brasier, M. D. (2014). Confirming the metazoan character of a 565Ma trace-fossil assemblage from Mistaken Point, Newfoundland. *PALAIOS*, 29(8), 420-430. <https://doi.org/10.2110/palo.2014.011>

Peer reviewed version

License (if available):
Unspecified

Link to published version (if available):
[10.2110/palo.2014.011](https://doi.org/10.2110/palo.2014.011)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

1 **CONFIRMING THE METAZOAN CHARACTER OF A 565 MA TRACE FOSSIL**
2 **ASSEMBLAGE FROM MISTAKEN POINT, NEWFOUNDLAND**

3 ALEXANDER G. LIU,^{1*(2, 3)} DUNCAN MCILROY,² JACK J. MATTHEWS,³ and
4 MARTIN D. BRASIER^{2, 3}

5 ¹ *Department of Earth Sciences, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge, CB2*
6 ^{3EQ, U.K., agscl2@cam.ac.uk;} ² *Department of Earth Sciences, Memorial University of*
7 ³ *Newfoundland, St John's, NL, A1B 3X5, Canada;* ³ *Department of Earth Sciences, University*
8 *of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3AN, U.K.*

9 * *Corresponding author, affiliated with institutions 2 and 3 when a large portion of this work*
10 *was completed.*

11 RRH: EDIACARAN TRACE FOSSILS

12 LRH: LIU ET AL.

13 Keywords: Ediacaran, Avalonia, ichnology, evolution, Newfoundland

16 **ABSTRACT**

17 **Surface locomotory trace fossils from the Mistaken Point Formation of Newfoundland,**
18 **dated at ~565Ma, suggest that organisms capable of controlled locomotion and**
19 **possessing muscular tissue may have existed amongst Avalonian Ediacaran macrofossil**
20 **assemblages. Here we describe the Mistaken Point trace fossil assemblage in full, discuss**
21 **its stratigraphic context within the Mistaken Point Formation, and explore the**
22 **competing hypotheses for the formation of the traces. We find that the trace fossils,**
23 **preserved within a turbidite succession in a deep-marine depositional environment, are**

not attributable to abiogenic structures, to Ediacaran tubular or filamentous body fossils, to rangeomorph stems, or to a host of late Ediacaran and early Phanerozoic ichnofossils. Specimens within the assemblage show some similarities to the ichnogenera *Helminthoidichnites* and *Archaeonassa*, but discrepancies in certain aspects of their structure mean that we do not formally attribute them to these ichnotaxa at this time. The Mistaken Point ichnofossils possess morphological characteristics indicative of formation by an organism with a round base. Comparison with traces formed by modern organisms of such character appears to rule out formation by protistan, echinoderm, or annelid styles of movement, but is consistent with organisms moving via muscular controlled locomotion in a similar way to some modern molluscs and actinian cnidarians. We suggest therefore that the Mistaken Point trace fossil assemblage reveals the presence of muscular metazoans in late Ediacaran deep-marine ecosystems. Such organisms cannot yet be attributed to specific phyla, but their inferred locomotory mechanisms share closest similarities with those utilised by extant actinians.

38

39 INTRODUCTION

40 The Ediacaran strata of eastern Newfoundland and the United Kingdom contain some of the
41 oldest macrofossils in the geological record, dated at between 579 and ~556 Ma (Ford, 1999;
42 Compston et al., 2002; Van Kranendonk et al., 2008; Wilby et al., 2011). These regions are
43 considered to have lain on the margins of the micro-continent of Avalonia during the late
44 Ediacaran Period (Landing, 1996). Avalonian fossil assemblages record a variety of soft-
45 bodied organisms, widely termed the Ediacaran biota, which have proven difficult to classify
46 within modern biological groups (e.g., Laflamme et al., 2013). Body fossils of the Avalonian
47 Ediacaran taxa range from a few millimeters (Liu et al., 2012) to over a meter in size

48 (Narbonne and Gehling, 2003), and are most commonly preserved as moulds or casts at the
49 interface between volcanic tuffs/volcaniclastic event beds, and underlying
50 pelagic/hemipelagic mudstones and siltstones (e.g., Narbonne, 2005). Avalonian fossil
51 assemblages largely record life in deep-marine depositional paleoenvironments (Wood et al.,
52 2003), and are dominated by members of the Rangeomorpha, a high-order taxonomic group
53 of sessile, benthic, frond-like forms that exhibit considerable morphological diversity
54 (Narbonne, 2004; Brasier et al., 2012; Laflamme et al., 2013), but whose phylogenetic
55 interpretation remains to be resolved.

56 The discovery of horizontal surface traces up to 13 mm in width and 17 cm in length
57 in the deep-water successions at Mistaken Point, Newfoundland (Liu et al., 2010a) forced
58 reconsideration of earlier interpretations (e.g., Seilacher, 1992) of the Avalonian benthic
59 ecosystems as being composed entirely of sessile taxa. Our initial documentation of these
60 trace fossils established their biogenicity, and briefly explored possible analogues for the
61 physical locomotory methods utilised by the trace makers (Liu et al., 2010a, 2010b). The late
62 Ediacaran ichnofossil record has since been supplemented by additional reports of
63 locomotory activity (e.g., Chen et al., 2013; Menon et al., 2013). However, significant debate
64 surrounds the validity of an ichnological interpretation for recently proposed bioturbation at
65 ~555 Ma documented by Rogov et al. (2012), which is contested by Brasier et al. (2013b).
66 Possible evidence for metazoan locomotion at ~585 Ma (Pecoits et al., 2012) has similarly
67 been cast into doubt (see Gaucher et al., 2013). Amid such discussions, we here describe the
68 ichnology and sedimentology of the trace-fossil bearing surface at Mistaken Point in greater
69 detail. We document in full the morphological variability within the ichnofossil assemblage,
70 and provide further evidence to support the ichnological interpretation of this important
71 material.

72

THE MISTAKEN POINT TRAIL BED

The trace-fossil bearing horizon is located within the Mistaken Point Ecological Reserve, on the south-eastern coast of Newfoundland, Canada (Fig. 1). The surface lies within the uppermost Mistaken Point Formation, on the southern side of Mistaken Point, a world-renowned site for Ediacaran macrofossils that was first brought to global attention in the late 1960s (Anderson and Misra, 1968; Misra, 1969). The trace-fossil bearing horizon has not yet been dated by radiometric techniques, since the tuff immediately above it is thin (1 mm), difficult to access, and deeply weathered. The closest age constraint comes from a tuff some 53 m stratigraphically below the trace-bearing bed, which smothered a diverse macrofossil assemblage (e.g., Clapham et al., 2003). This tuff has been dated by U-Pb geochronology to 565 ± 3 Ma, although the data has thus far only been published in abstract form (Benus, 1988)

The Mistaken Point trace fossils are found on a narrow ledge within a thick succession of siliciclastic sediment gravity flows. The depositional environment is interpreted to have been a deep-marine slope within a fore-arc basin, adjacent to active volcanic centers (see Wood et al., 2003; Ichaso et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2010b). The preservation of partial Bouma successions alone is not conclusive evidence of deep-marine paleoenvironments; turbidite-like hypopycnal flows are well known in prodelta, shoreface, and lacustrine successions (e.g., Dyni and Hawkins, 1981; Nelson, 1982; Pattison, 2005). However, the presence of a ~2000 m thick succession of turbiditic strata without evidence for demonstrably shallow-water or lacustrine sedimentary structures argues against a shallow-marine depositional environment (cf. Narbonne et al., 2005). Sphaeromorphic acritarchs and filamentous microfossils have been documented in units stratigraphically above and below the Mistaken Point Formation across southeastern Newfoundland (Hofmann et al., 1979), including from units containing diverse Ediacaran macro-organisms (e.g., the Fermeuse Formation of the Bonavista Peninsula; Hofmann et al., 2008). Such simple carbonaceous

remains cannot however be used for paleoenvironmental analysis. At present, all available sedimentological and paleontological evidence is consistent with deposition in a marine setting with episodic deposition of sediment from turbidite-like waning flow currents (Liu et al., 2010b).

The trace-bearing surface sits above an 18 cm-thick, normally-graded bed consisting of a sandstone-to-mudstone T_{acde} turbidite (cf. Bouma, 1962; Fig. 2). Paleocurrent directions determined from ripple cross-lamination within the T_c component of this bed are broadly consistent with those obtained from other Mistaken Point Formation beds in this region, implying current flow typically towards the southeast (Wood et al., 2003). Unit T_e is comprised of both turbiditic hemipelagite and pelagite, with the uppermost 3 mm being an un laminated, green-weathering mudstone (cf. Brasier et al., 2013a). The trace fossils lie on the upper surface of this mudstone (Fig. 2). The bedding plane is overlain by a coarse-grained 1mm-thick brown tuff that contains euhedral, but often oxidised and weathered, pyrite crystals, and this is itself overlain by a fine-grained sandstone turbidite. This pyrite, presumably a result of early diagenetic processes, provides evidence against prolonged sub-aerial exposure of this surface (contra Retallack, 2010). The total exposed area of the ichnofossil-bearing surface is approximately two square meters, significantly smaller than that of the neighboring famous fossil-bearing bedding planes (e.g. the $\sim 131 \text{ m}^2$ Mistaken Point 'E' Yale Surface).

Preservation of trace fossils cast beneath the volcanic tuff is consistent with the "Conception-type" cast-and mould style preservation of body fossils seen in adjacent beds (cf. Narbonne, 2005). This implies that the general taphonomic conditions acting upon this bed were not dissimilar to those that commonly preserved soft-bodied Ediacaran organisms elsewhere within the Mistaken Point Formation.

122

123

DESCRIPTION OF THE TRACE FOSSIL ASSEMBLAGE

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

1. Specimens with transverse ridges

140

141

142

143

144

The trace fossil-bearing horizon has been fully mapped in order to document all possible biological impressions (Supp. Figs S1.1–S1.15). Casts of the most interesting regions of the surface are housed in the Oxford University Museum of Natural History under accession numbers OUM ÁT.418/p to OUM ÁT.423/p. The assemblage consists of eighty two possible linear trace fossils, with dimensions ranging between 6–198 mm in length, and 1–14 mm in width. The potential trace fossils most commonly consist of a negative epirelief groove running along the surface of the sediment, bounded by positive epirelief marginal ridges (Fig. 3). These ridges provide key evidence for sediment displacement that we infer to have resulted from movement along the sediment surface (cf. Jensen et al., 2005, 2006). The surficial nature of the structures can be used to distinguish trace fossils from superficially similar abiogenic structures such as cleavage, desiccation cracks, and intrastratal shrinkage (formerly synaeresis) cracks (Parizot et al., 2005; Harazim et al., 2013). The trace fossils neither branch nor bifurcate, and do not exhibit any systematic changes in width such as characterizes many tubular body fossils (Liu et al., 2010a) and drag marks.

Twenty nine of the potential trace fossils show evidence of internal structure in the form of raised transverse ridges of siltstone (e.g. Fig. 3B, D). These specimens range from 1–11 mm in width, and 25–166 mm in length. The transverse ridges that cross the medial groove are mostly crescentic, and occur in series along the full length of the trace at intervals of ~1 mm. Transverse ridges can exhibit variable spacing, and also minor variability in width (e.g. Fig.

3D). An important feature of several of the trace fossils is the presence of a negative epirelief pit, of equal diameter to the corresponding trace, at one end of the linear impression (Fig. 3B–D). Where the associated linear trace fossil has crescentic transverse ridges, the concave edges consistently face the terminal disc. This observation, combined with the close spatial relationship with these crescents, implies that the disc is related to the linear impressions, and probably represents the basal impression of a trace maker (i.e. a resting trace). The crescentic structures are inferred to have been left by the posterior edge of the trace maker as it moved over the sediment (with anterior impressions being removed by each subsequent movement). The traces can be either straight or gently curved (Fig. 3). Although the assemblage as a whole exhibits a preferred trace orientation on the surface (Fig. 4B), when only those specimens with transverse ridge structures are considered, the axial orientations of the traces on the surface are distributed in all directions (Fig. 4A), and are not associated with the orientation of tectonic compression (as evidenced by the short axes of the discs plotted in Fig. 4C). The traces are unevenly distributed along the entire length of the exposed ledge. Where they interact, it is clear that they truncate (cut across) one another (Fig. 3C–D), as opposed to being superimposed on top of each other, or showing other avoidance behavior.

2. Specimens lacking transverse ridges

Impressions possessing neither internal ornament nor terminal discs (e.g. Fig. 3A, S2.6) are also reported from the surface ($n = 53$). Such impressions typically consist of individual negative-relief troughs running along the surface of the bedding plane, up to 198 mm in length and 1–7 mm in width (though mostly ≤ 4 mm wide). Marginal positive epirelief ridges of sediment are present in around half of such specimens ($n = 25$), but there is no evidence for transverse ridges of sediment within the longitudinal groove. A handful of impressions are preserved entirely in positive epirelief (e.g. Supp. Fig. S2.4), which may imply that they were

not formed directly on the surface but slightly beneath, or within a microbial mat (cf. Seilacher, 1999). This suggestion may be supported by the presence of a solitary *Aspidella* fossil (likely to be a rangeomorph holdfast) on this surface (Fig. S2.5), with no evidence for preservation of the rest of the organism, which presumably lay at a higher sedimentary level. There is, however, no clear evidence at present for microbial mat fabrics, textured organic surfaces, or microbially induced sedimentary structures on this bedding plane. It is noted that several of these non-ornamented impressions are aligned with the direction of tectonic strain (Fig. 4B–C), and therefore a small subset of the structures could be of tectonic origin.

3. Complex clustering?

One interesting feature is a collection of nine trail-like structures that lack transverse ridges and are concentrated around four small central depressions (Fig. 5). Some of these linear features (at left in Fig. 5) appear to loop back towards the central region, and are independent of all fractures and mineral veins running along the surface. There is, however, little in the way of obvious structure or pattern to these impressions, and their origin and ichnological affinity remains uncertain. This association is the densest accumulation of ichnofossil-like structures observed on the available bedding surface. Protective legislation at the site prevents collection and sectioning of these impressions to determine whether they possess a vertical expression. The most similar ichnotaxon in terms of age, tolerance of deep-marine environmental conditions, and gross morphology (with individual trails looping back towards a central region), is the lower Cambrian *Oldhamia geniculata* (e.g. Seilacher et al., 2005, figs. 7, 12D, 18), although there are substantial differences between our material and this ichnospecies, not least the absence of any complete loops, the less regular spacing of individual looping impressions, and the observation that our material is an order of magnitude larger than typical *O. geniculata* (Fig. 5).

4. Isolated pits

Alongside the linear traces, eleven ovate to circular pits occur on the surface. These are 6–19 mm in long-axis diameter, and possess no internal structure. Pits are of similar appearance, relief, and dimensions to the discoidal impressions found at the end of some of the trace fossils, but are not directly associated with them (see Liu et al., 2010a, fig. 3A–B). All but one of these pits are elongated in broadly the same direction (ENE–WSW), suggesting that elongation is tectonic in origin (mean elongation = 1.616, $1\sigma = 0.23$; Fig. 4C). Given the similarities between isolated pits and those found associated with the traces, it is considered that the isolated pits represent the preserved lower surfaces (be they resting traces or ‘body fossil’ impressions of the base) of the organisms responsible for creating the trace impressions.

DISCUSSION

We consider that the linear impressions from Mistaken Point described herein were not formed by sedimentological, erosional or tectonic processes (Liu et al., 2010a, 2010b). Mineral veins of quartz cut vertically through the bed in places, but although they show a variety of surface expressions—even where the vein itself is not visible (Supp. Fig. S2.1)—they do not exhibit the characteristic traits of the candidate traces. Most notably, evidence for sediment displacement in the form of marginal ridges, a variety of orientations on a deep-water bedding plane, and rounded rather than angular extremities, support a trace fossil interpretation over abiogenic alternatives (cf. Jensen et al., 2005).

The claim by Retallack that the assemblage might represent tool marks known only from extremely shallow water depositional environments (Retallack, 2010; cf. Wetzel, 1999,

2013) is unlikely for two reasons. First, tilting traces, and the vast majority of tool marks, do not typically show sharp turns or bending, and proceed in a linear fashion (Wetzel, 2013); observations that are incompatible with several of the impressions we describe from this assemblage (e.g. Figs. 3D, 5). Secondly, the depositional environment was unequivocally not sufficiently shallow for the formation of such ‘tilting traces’. The depth of deposition for the Mistaken Point Formation has been questioned on the grounds of a perceived absence of pyrite, the presence of carbonate concretions, supposed oscillation ripples of shallow-water sedimentary origin, and various geochemical proxies (Retallack, 2010). However, each of these observations can be disputed and explained within a deep-marine depositional regime subject to later compressional tectonism (Liu et al., 2010b and references therein). To date, there is no convincing evidence of even marginal-marine transitional sediments found anywhere within the Mistaken Point Formation in Newfoundland. Furthermore, even if the environment was suitably shallow to permit the preservation of ‘tilting traces’ (cf. Wetzel, 2013), there remain several morphological arguments against such an explanation, such as the observed variation in orientations of the Mistaken Point structures (Fig. 4; Table 1; cf. Wetzel, 2013).

Although adjacent bedding planes with identical lithologies and similar taphonomic histories preserve abundant Ediacaran body fossils, the trace fossils and small pits are the dominant forms found on the trace fossil bearing bed. A detailed search of the exposed bedding plane for body fossils revealed only one larger discoidal impression, which is considered to be a holdfast of an Ediacaran frondose organism (*Aspidella*, cf. Gehling et al., 2000; Supp. Fig. S2.5). This solitary disc is not directly associated with any of the traces, and is 2–3 times larger than the width of the largest observed trail. Its interpretation is further complicated by modern weathering and erosion resulting from its close proximity to the ocean. Specimens of *Aspidella*, *Hiemalora* and *Charniodiscus* occur on bedding planes 1–2

m stratigraphically above and below the trace bed. The trace-bearing surface does not crop out elsewhere within the MPER, and it remains the only level within the Mistaken Point Formation in Newfoundland known to exhibit such traces, although recent discoveries from the younger Fermeuse Formation provide additional evidence for similar locomotory behavior within the Ediacaran of Avalonia (Menon et al., 2013; Liu and McIlroy, in press).

An important feature of the assemblage is its preservation of more than one type of impression. We recognise four main variants: (1) linear traces with crescentic transverse ridges in a central longitudinal groove; (2) plain, unornamented linear traces with a central longitudinal groove; (3) the possible cluster of traces seen in Figure 5; (4) simple discoidal pits, inferred to represent the resting trace of the trace maker. Further to previous comparisons of these Mistaken Point traces with late Ediacaran trace fossils (e.g., *Bergaueria*) and body fossils (e.g. *Palaeopascichnus*; see Liu et al., 2010a), we now consider additional macrofossils and ichnotaxa from the Ediacaran and lower Phanerozoic.

1. Paleontological Comparison

It has been suggested that the Mistaken Point trace fossils could be the impressions of the stems of poorly preserved fronds (Narbonne et al., 2012). This is considered unlikely, for several reasons. Where stems of frondose Ediacaran macro-organisms are seen to end in a terminal (holdfast) disc, this disc is usually larger than the associated stem, whereas our material possesses terminal pits of comparable width to the traces. Bending and curvature of the trace fossils is also inconsistent with the typically straight morphologies of frond stems. Finally, the stems of rangeomorphs and other related frondose organisms (e.g. *Charniodiscus* sp.) are rarely preserved in negative epirelief in the Avalon Terrane, seldom show marginal ridges, and do not have transverse structures along their length even when exceptionally

preserved (Brasier et al., 2013a). It would also be highly unusual not to find associated frondose architecture, given the style of preservation typically seen in the Avalon region (Narbonne, 2005). Flexure creases seen in *Rangea* specimens from Namibia (Vickers-Rich et al., 2013, fig. 7.5) would not be expected to form down the entire length of a preserved stem, and may be expected to be aligned in the current direction on a bedding plane subject to the influence of currents.

In recent years, a variety of tubular body fossils have been found in Ediacaran strata (e.g., Droser and Gehling, 2008; Sappenfield et al., 2011), possessing features such as basal circular discs and transverse segmentation at scales similar to those seen in our material. Of these cited studies, the presence of transverse crescentic ridges in some of our specimens is inconsistent with the diagnosis of *Somatohelix* (cf. Sappenfield et al., 2011), whereas a lack of rounded sub-rectangular units running along the length of the specimen rules out *Funisia* (cf. Droser and Gehling, 2008). Although some of our unornamented specimens do resemble *Somatohelix*, they do not show the sinuosity considered typical of that form. *Corumbella weneri*, a tubular body fossil described from Brazil, displays similar maximum dimensions to the Mistaken Point traces, but has numerous straight internal bars, spaced ~0.5 mm apart (Babcock et al., 2005). *Corumbella* also possesses an external central groove running along the length of its tube (Babcock et al., 2005), a feature not observed in any of the Mistaken Point specimens. Tubular body fossils such as *Gaojiashania cyclus* (Lin et al., 1986) from China are demonstrably composed of a series of articulated discs, which became disarticulated following the death of the organism (Cai et al., 2010; Cai et al., 2013). There is no evidence of such disarticulated segments of body fossils in the Mistaken Point assemblage. External tube ornamentation and regularly-spaced straight internal ridges, not seen in our material, are also features of *Calyptrina striata* (Sokolov, 1967); of a tubular form of similar dimensions preserved as a carbonaceous impression in the upper Doushantuo

Formation of China (Xiao et al., 2002, figs. 2.3–2.6); and of tubular fossils from the western United States (Hagadorn and Waggoner, 2000). Furthermore, clear differences exist between the Mistaken Point material and these previously described Ediacaran tubular body fossils. The presence of marginal sediment ridges, combined with clear truncations at cross-over points (Fig. 3D) rather than superimpositions, strongly suggest a trace fossil rather than tubular body fossil origin for the Mistaken Point material (cf. Jensen et al., 2005). Evidence for arcuate rather than straight transverse markings, widening diameters at points of curvature, and a lack of branching, is also inconsistent with typical preservation of many tubular body fossils (cf. Droser et al., 2005; Jensen et al., 2005, 2006; Liu et al., 2010a; Tacker et al., 2010).

2. Ichnological comparisons

The Mistaken Point traces with transverse ridges bear a passing resemblance to the ‘lower surface expression’ of the mainly Cambrian ichnotaxon *Plagiogmus*, but do not possess additional morphological traits at multiple levels within the sediment seen in that ichnogenus (McIlroy and Heys, 1997). Our material also lacks longitudinal furrows or ridges, ruling out comparison with impressions assigned to ichnogenera such as *Aulichnites*, *Bilinichnus*, and *Protovirgularia* (Häntzschel, 1975). The absence of tight meandering or coiling removes from consideration the mainly Ediacaran–Cambrian ichnotaxa *Cochlichnus*, *Nenoxites* and *Torrowangea* (the latter also requires regular constrictions), while the curvilinear, unbranched furrows of *Helminthoidichnites* Fitch 1850, although present in latest Ediacaran sedimentary successions (e.g., Hofmann and Mountjoy, 2010), are generally narrower, and show none of the internal structure present in our specimens with transverse ridges. *Helminthoidichnites* does however provide a compelling comparison for our unornamented variants.

317 Other horizontal surface trails possessing internal transverse ridges exhibit
318 morphologies that are not compatible with those seen at Mistaken Point. The transverse
319 ridges of *Psammichnites* often meander and do not meet the lateral margins of the trace (e.g.,
320 Seilacher, 2007), and those of *Neonereites* contain pellets, which we do not observe (e.g.,
321 Häntzschel, 1975, fig. 126.1). *Climactichnites*, common in Cambrian sections, can appear
322 very similar (Getty and Hagadorn, 2009), but is generally much larger than our traces, and
323 often possesses v-shaped transverse ridges and bilateral symmetry (Häntzschel, 1975).

324 Some Phanerozoic fossils with meniscate backfill also bear some resemblance to the
325 present material. Cross-sections of actively backfilled cylindrical burrows such as *Taenidium*
326 and *Muensteria* can be superficially similar (e.g. Bromley et al., 1999). There is, however, no
327 evidence that the Mistaken Point specimens represent a cross section through a tubular
328 feature, or extend into the sediment – they appear to be entirely surficial traces. Evidence to
329 date suggests that meniscate backfill is a uniquely Phanerozoic trait (cf. Brasier et al., 1994;
330 Brasier et al., 2013a; though see also Rogov et al., 2012).

331 Perhaps the closest morphological resemblance we have found for the transverse-
332 ridged Mistaken Point trails is in the Paleozoic ichnogenus *Archaeonassa* Fenton and Fenton
333 1937, particularly a specimen figured by Buckman (1994, fig. 2A). However, despite
334 possessing lateral ridges and the possibility of hemispheric transverse ridges in a central zone,
335 *Archaeonassa* exhibits a wide range of plasticity in the morphology of the internal transverse
336 ridges (Buckman, 1994). *Archaeonassa* has been recognised within Ediacaran successions
337 previously, and has been interpreted by some to be an under-mat mining trace (Fedonkin et
338 al., 2007, fig. 459). Although its typical Phanerozoic depositional environment is inconsistent
339 with the observed sedimentological regime at Mistaken Point (intertidal, cf. Häntzschel,
340 1975), we consider that from a morphological viewpoint, *Archaeonassa* is the ichnotaxon that

exhibits the closest similarities to our material, and we therefore designate Mistaken Point specimens with transverse ridges ?*Archaeonassa*.

Meanwhile the cluster of trace-like impressions (Fig. 5), being a single example, can only be noted as an intriguing specimen that hints at the potential for as yet unrecognised complexity in the behaviour of organisms in deep marine environments at this time. The association could represent 1) locomotion or feeding from a central point, as seen in many modern gastropods, echinoderms on hardground surfaces (Gibert et al., 2007), and infaunal annelid taxa feeding from a semi-permanent burrow (e.g., nereid polychaetes in Herringshaw et al., 2010); 2) an association of several trace makers around a central point, possibly a foodstuff; or 3) coincidental occurrence of multiple linear trace fossils or similar impressions. We decline to speculate further about the behavior exhibited by this association at present, but it is interesting enough to warrant inclusion in this discussion, and further study.

In light of these observations, we therefore suggest that the Mistaken Point trace fossils could be variously assigned to the existing ichnogenera *Archaeonassa* (specimens with transverse ridges, e.g., Fig. 3B, D; Fenton and Fenton, 1937), and *Helminthoidichnites* (trails lacking transverse ridges, Fig. 3C; Fitch, 1850). However, due to the reasons outlined above regarding inconsistencies with published examples of each ichnotaxon, at present we feel it is sufficient to retain their description as ‘simple horizontal surface traces’.

An important point to note is that trace fossil morphology can be influenced not only by organism and type of behaviour (e.g., Trewin, 1976), but by extrinsic factors such as environmental conditions and preservational processes (Knox and Miller, 1985). It has been demonstrated that sediment cohesiveness can play an important role in the depth of modern molluscan traces, and also in influencing the morphology of transverse ridges such

molluscs create (Knox and Miller, 1985). Those same authors also note that individual modern traces can exhibit several different morphological characteristics along the length of an individual specimen (Knox and Miller, 1985, fig. 1E). Such findings raise the possibility that although we have treated them separately here, the Mistaken Point unornamented specimens, and those with transverse ridges, could have been created by the same organism, with their morphological differences resulting from minor sedimentological or behavioral variations. Displacement of surficial sediment in the majority of the traces suggests that they were formed at the sediment-water interface, rather than by under-mat miners (*sensu* Seilacher, 1999). None of the many thousands of rangeomorph and related fossil impressions preserved in Ediacaran biotic assemblages worldwide have ever been found directly associated with trace fossils. As such, it is considered highly unlikely that a member of the frondose Ediacaran biota was responsible for the formation of these impressions.

3. Experimental comparisons with modern trace makers

From an evolutionary perspective, it is desirable to determine the type of organism that created the Mistaken Point traces. It has previously been shown that the forms with transverse ridges are morphologically similar to impressions produced in experimental settings by modern actinian sea anemones (Liu et al., 2010a). Actinians move by means of hydrostatic inflation of their basal pedal disc (Parker, 1916; Supp. Fig. S3.1), which is effected by contraction and relaxation of muscular fibers (Batham and Pantin, 1951). Variations in the width of certain traces (e.g. Fig. 3D) can be explained by movement of an organism with a hydrostatic skeleton more readily than by organisms with a more ‘constrained’ musculature (e.g. bilaterians). Whilst we remain of the opinion that actinian-like inflation is the most parsimonious explanation for their mode of formation, recent studies have revealed

389 alternative possibilities for the mechanism by which the Mistaken Point trace-makers could
390 have moved.

391 Our observations of a metazoan that moves across the sediment surface by means of
392 ‘shuffling’ (the sand dollar echinoderm, Order Clypeasteroida, moving on its tube feet)
393 indicate that such a style of locomotion produces an impression that is laterally bounded by
394 ridges of displaced sediment, but with a completely smooth interior. Studies of organisms
395 that move by mucociliary creeping (e.g. ceriantharian anemones and platyhelminths; Collins
396 et al., 2000), demonstrate that such organisms cannot produce the internal transverse ridges
397 seen in the ornamented Mistaken Point trails. Modern mature examples of both organisms are
398 also generally too wide (>10 mm) to produce the unornamented trace fossils seen at Mistaken
399 Point.

400 Annelids have been noted to exhibit the retrograde monoaxial muscular contraction
401 used by molluscs (Lissmann, 1945b; McIlroy and Heys, 1997), but the circular shape of the
402 terminal disc impressions seen in most ornamented Mistaken Point specimens suggests that,
403 unless the circular discs represent vertical burrow openings, annelids are not responsible for
404 these structures. Locomotion of giant protists has been posited as an explanation for the
405 Mistaken Point impressions (Knoll, 2011), but while modern gromiids are capable of forming
406 centimetre-scale trace fossils with lateral levee-like ridges (Buchanan and Hedley, 1960;
407 Matz et al., 2008), there remain doubts as to whether such organisms could have formed the
408 transverse morphological features of sediment displacement seen in some of the Mistaken
409 Point trails (Liu et al., 2010a). The fossil record of such protists, despite their thick organic
410 test (e.g., Rothe et al., 2009), is cryptic at best. They cannot, however, be ruled out as
411 potential trace-makers for the simple Mistaken Point trace fossils (those without transverse
412 ridges), without further experimental study.

413 Modern gastropods are capable of forming surface locomotory trace fossils that
414 exhibit crescentic internal meniscae, and have been suggested as possible trace-makers for
415 the Phanerozoic ichnotaxon *Climactichnites* (Getty and Hagadorn, 2009). Those authors
416 noted that gastropod surface trails in modern intertidal environments are seldom preserved,
417 owing to the destructive actions of erosion and bioturbation (Getty and Hagadorn, 2009). At
418 Mistaken Point, neither the destructive actions of wave or tidal currents, nor pervasive
419 bioturbation, are considered to have significantly influenced the deep-marine seafloor,
420 although turbidity and contour currents may well have frequently perturbed the seabed.

421 The resting body trace of the *Climactichnites* trace-maker is bilaterally symmetrical
422 and generally elongate (Getty and Hagadorn, 2009; though see Yochelson and Fedonkin,
423 1993); a morphology that contrasts with the broadly circular impressions seen at the
424 termination of some Mistaken Point trace fossils (after accounting for tectonic elongation; cf.
425 Fig. 4C). The waves of muscular contraction utilised by the modern gastropod *Viviparus* can
426 form transverse ridges like those of *Climactichnites* during locomotion under sub-aerial
427 conditions (Getty and Hagadorn, 2009). It is also noted that modern gastropods utilise a
428 variety of physical methods to move, including a range of ‘stepping’ motions (Lissmann,
429 1945a), and are not restricted to peristaltic muscle contractions.

430 In summary, these findings suggest that locomotion observed in modern protists and
431 annelids cannot explain the observed trails with transverse ridges at Mistaken Point (see
432 Table 2). Conversely, actinian/molluscan styles of locomotion could feasibly explain the
433 features observed in the Mistaken Point trace fossils, although this cannot be used to infer the
434 taxonomic affinities of the trace maker, nor extend the range of the Actinia and Mollusca. We
435 merely suggest that the biomechanics evidenced by the traces suggest a muscular eumetazoan
436 trace-maker. In contrast, we have observed modern worms, gastropods, and even caterpillars
437 forming smooth, unornamented traces of the size we describe from Mistaken Point. Those

438 bilaterian taxa are potential analogues for the creators of these impressions, but the
439 morphological simplicity of the smooth un-ridged subset of the Mistaken Point traces cannot
440 preclude formation by primitive organisms, such as protists.

441 The rarity of ichnofossils preserved within the Conception and St. John's Groups of
442 Newfoundland could be explained by them being washed in from shallower depths (Liu et al.,
443 2010a), by them requiring unusual taphonomic conditions, or by the tracemakers simply
444 being rare components of these assemblages (Liu and McIlroy, in press). Shallow water
445 traces of ~565 Ma are not currently known (the earliest examples being the ~560 Ma
446 Fermeuse Fm., and the Upper Blueflower Fm. of NW Canada; Menon et al., 2013; Carbone
447 and Narbonne, 2014; Liu and McIlroy, in press), but we note that very few shallow-marine
448 successions of 580–560 Ma have been documented or studied.

449 The traces we describe are amongst the oldest evidence for locomotion by muscular
450 metazoans, and it is becoming apparent that behavioural complexity gradually increased
451 throughout the latest Neoproterozoic between 560 and 541 Ma (reviewed in Carbone and
452 Narbonne, 2014; Liu and McIlroy, in press), likely driven by the evolution of bilaterian
453 organisms (cf. Narbonne et al., 2014). Our current understanding suggests that the appearance
454 of the earliest Ediacaran macro-organisms pre-dated that of metazoan trails by around 15
455 million years, with bilaterian burrowing appearing another 5–10 million years later.
456 Continued research into these trace fossil assemblages, and their relationship to communities
457 of Ediacaran taxa, may eventually permit constraint of the phylogenetic affinities of some of
458 those enigmatic organisms.

459 460 CONCLUSIONS

461 A late Ediacaran bedding-plane at Mistaken Point, Newfoundland, in deep-marine
462 sedimentary facies, records a variety of impressions that are considered ichnological on the
463 basis of their morphology. Two different types of locomotion trace are evidenced, some in
464 association with circular pits that we interpret as the resting trace of a trace-maker.
465 Specimens with crescentic transverse ridges are morphologically similar to *Archaeonassa*,
466 whereas specimens with plain interior furrows are comparable to *Helminthoidichnites*.
467 Possible evidence for more complex behavioral activity is tentatively documented from a
468 single clustered association of trace-like impressions.

469 Experimental, paleontological and ichnological comparisons indicate that the
470 *Archaeonassa*-like Mistaken Point traces were likely to have been produced on or very close
471 to the sediment surface, by an organism with basal locomotory musculature, most likely
472 arranged within a circular disc. The basal musculature was capable of exerting force upon the
473 surrounding sediment in a controlled and repetitive manner, often in a direction opposite to
474 that of forward motion. This is evidenced by the crescentic transverse ridges of sediment,
475 which mark the posterior impressions of the organisms.

476 Eumetazoans are the only extant creatures known to possess tissues with the ability to
477 apply the forces required in an appropriate manner to form the traces with transverse ridges.
478 The creators of these trace fossils are thus postulated to be of eumetazoan grade. Although we
479 cannot state with certainty the specific phylum to which the trace-makers belonged, the
480 Mistaken Point ichnofossil assemblage provides strong evidence for the presence of complex,
481 muscular animals, potentially utilising more than one method of locomotion, in late
482 Ediacaran marine assemblages of approximately 565 Ma.

483

484

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Oxford University Museum of Natural History for housing casts of this material, and Sören Jensen, Jim Gehling, Robert MacNaughton and one anonymous reviewer for providing comments that have improved the quality of this manuscript. We thank the Parks and Natural Areas Division, Department of Environment and Conservation, Government of Newfoundland and Labrador for granting permits to conduct research within the MPER. This research was supported by NERC doctoral studentships to AGL (2007–2011) and JJM (2011–present), and a Henslow Junior Research Fellowship from Girton College to AGL. DM also acknowledges financial support from NSERC. Readers are advised that access to the Mistaken Point Ecological Reserve Fossil Protection Zone for research is by scientific permit only – contact the Reserve Manager for further information.

REFERENCES

- ANDERSON, M.M., and MISRA, S.B., 1968, Fossils found in the Pre-Cambrian Conception Group of South-eastern Newfoundland: *Nature*, v. 220, p. 680–681.
- BABCOCK, L.E., GRUNOW, A.M., SADOWSKI, G.R., and LESLIE, S.A., 2005, *Corumbella*, an Ediacaran-grade organism from the Late Neoproterozoic of Brazil: *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, v. 220, p. 7–18.
- BATHAM, E.J., and PANTIN, C.F.A., 1951, The organization of the muscular system of *Metridium senile*: *Quarterly Journal of Microscopical Science*, v. 92, p. 27–54.
- BENUS, A.P., 1988, Sedimentological context of a deep-water Ediacaran fauna (Mistaken Point, Avalon Zone, eastern Newfoundland), in Landing, E., Narbonne, G.M., and Myrow, P.M., eds., *Trace Fossils, Small Shelly Fossils and the Precambrian-Cambrian Boundary*: New York State Museum and Geological Survey Bulletin, v. 463, p. 8–9.

510 BOUMA, A.H., 1962, Sedimentology of some Flysch deposits: a graphic approach to facies
 511 interpretation: Elsevier, Amsterdam, 168 p.

512 BRASIER, M.D., ANTCLIFFE, J.B., and LIU, A.G., 2012, The Architecture of Ediacaran Fronds:
 513 Palaeontology, v. 55, p. 1105–1124.

514 BRASIER, M.D., COWIE, J., and TAYLOR, M., 1994, Decision on the Precambrian-Cambrian
 515 boundary: Episodes, v. 17, p. 95–100.

516 BRASIER, M.D., LIU, A.G., MENON, L.R., MATTHEWS, J.J., MCILROY, D., and WACEY, D.,
 517 2013a, Explaining the exceptional preservation of Ediacaran rangeomorphs from
 518 Spaniard's Bay, Newfoundland: a hydraulic model: Precambrian Research, v. 231, p.
 519 122–135.

520 BRASIER, M.D., MCILROY, D., LIU, A.G., ANTCLIFFE, J.B., and MENON, L.R., 2013b, The
 521 oldest evidence of bioturbation on Earth: Comment: Geology, v. 41, e289, doi:
 522 10.1130/G33606Y.1

523 BROMLEY, R.G., EKDALE, A.A., and RICHTER, B., 1999, New *Taenidium* (trace fossil) in the
 524 Upper Cretaceous chalk of northwestern Europe: Bulletin of the Geological Society of
 525 Denmark, v. 46, p. 47–51.

526 BUCHANAN, J.B., and HEDLEY, R.H., 1960, A contribution to the biology of *Astrorhiza*
 527 *limicola* (Foraminifera): Journal of the Marine Biological Association, U.K., v. 39, p.
 528 549–560.

529 BUCKMAN, J.O., 1994, *Archaeonassa* Fenton and Fenton 1937 reviewed: Ichnos, v. 3, p. 185–
 530 192.

531 CAI, Y., HUA, H., XIAO, S., SCHIFFBAUER, J. D., and LI, P., 2010, Biostratinomy of the late
 532 Ediacaran pyritized Gaojiashan lagerstätte from southern Shaanxi, south China:
 533 Importance of event deposits: Palaios, v. 25, p. 487–506.

534 CAI, Y., HUA, H., and ZHANG, X., 2013, Tube construction and life mode of the late
535 Ediacaran tubular fossil *Gaojiashania cyclus* from the Gaojiashan Lagerstätte:
536 Precambrian Research, v. 224, p. 255–267.

537 CARBONE, C., and NARBONNE, G.M., 2014, When life got smart: the evolution of behavioral
538 complexity through the Ediacaran and early Cambrian of NW Canada: Journal of
539 Paleontology, v. 88, p. 309–330.

540 CHEN, Z., ZHOU, C., MEYER, M., XIANG, K., SCHIFFBAUER, J.D., YUAN, X., and XIAO, S.,
541 2013, Trace fossil evidence for Ediacaran bilaterian animals with complex
542 behaviours: Precambrian Research, v. 224, p. 690–701.

543 CLAPHAM, M.E., NARBONNE, G.M., and GEHLING, J.G., 2003, Paleoecology of the oldest
544 known animal communities: Ediacaran assemblages at Mistaken Point,
545 Newfoundland: Paleobiology, v. 29, p. 527–544.

546 COLLINS, A.G., LIPPS, J.H., and VALENTINE, J.W., 2000, Modern mucociliary creeping trails
547 and the bodyplans of Neoproterozoic trace-makers: Paleobiology, v. 26, p. 47–55.

548 COMPSTON, W., WRIGHT, A.E., and TOGHILL, P., 2002, Dating the Late Precambrian
549 volcanicity of England and Wales: Journal of the Geological Society, London, v. 159,
550 p. 323–339.

551 DROSER, M.L., and GEHLING, J.G., 2008, Synchronous Aggregate Growth in an Abundant
552 New Ediacaran Tubular Organism: Science, v. 319, p. 1660–1662.

553 DROSER, M.L., GEHLING, J.G., and JENSEN, S., 2005, Ediacaran trace fossils: True and false,
554 in Briggs, D.E.G., ed., Evolving form and function: Fossils and development: Yale
555 Peabody Museum of Natural History, New Haven, Connecticut, p. 125–138.

556 DYNI, J.R., and HAWKINS, J.E., 1981, Lacustrine turbidites in the Green River Formation,
557 northwestern Colorado: Geology, v. 9, p. 235–238.

558 FEDONKIN, M.A., GEHLING, J.G., GREY, K., NARBONNE, G.M., and VICKERS-RICH, P., 2007,
 559 The Rise of Animals: Evolution and Diversification of the Kingdom Animalia: John
 560 Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 326 p.

561 FENTON, C.L., and FENTON, M.A., 1937, *Archaeonassa*: Cambrian snail trails and burrows:
 562 American Midland Naturalist, v. 18, p. 454–456.

563 FITCH, A., 1850, A historical, topographical and agricultural survey of the County of
 564 Washington, Part 2-5: New York Agricultural Society Transactions, v. 9, p. 753–944.

565 FORD, T.D., 1999, The Precambrian fossils of Charnwood Forest: Geology Today, v.
 566 November-December, p. 230–234.

567 GAUCHER, C., POIRE, D.G., BOSSI, J., BETTUCCI, L.S., and BERI, A., 2013, Comment on
 568 "Bilaterian burrows and grazing behaviour at >585 million years ago": Science, v.
 569 339, p. 906-b, doi: *10.1126/science.1230339*

570 GEHLING, J.G., NARBONNE, G.M., and ANDERSON, M.M., 2000, The first named Ediacaran
 571 body fossil, *Aspidella terranovica*: Palaeontology, v. 43, p. 427–456.

572 GETTY, P.R., and HAGADORN, J.W., 2009, Palaeobiology of the *Climactichnites* tracemaker:
 573 Palaeontology, v. 52, p. 753–778.

574 GIBERT, J.M., DOMENECH, R., and MARTINELL, J., 2007, Bioerosion in shell beds from the
 575 Pliocene Roussillon Basin, France: Implications for the (macro) bioerosion
 576 ichnofacies model: Acta Palaeontologica Polonica, v. 54, p. 783–798.

577 HAGADORN, J.W., and WAGGONER, B., 2000, Ediacaran fossils from the southwestern Great
 578 Basin, United States: Journal of Paleontology, v. 74, p. 349–359.

579 HÄNTZSCHEL, W., 1975, Trace fossils and problematica (2nd edition), in Teichert, C., ed.,
 580 Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology, part W. Misscellanea, suppl. 1: Geological
 581 Society of America and University of Kansas Press, Boulder, Colorado, and
 582 Lawrence, Kansas, p. W1–W269.

583 HARAZIM, D., CALLOW, R.H.T., and MCILROY, D., 2013, Microbial mats implicated in the
584 generation of intrastratal shrinkage ('synaeresis') cracks: *Sedimentology*, v. 60, p.
585 1621–1638.

586 HERRINGSHAW, L.G., SHERWOOD, O.A., and MCILROY, D., 2010, Ecosystem engineering by
587 bioturbating polychaetes in event bed microcosms: *Palaaios*, v. 25, p. 46–58.

588 HOFMANN, H.J., HILL, J., and KING, A.F., 1979, Late Precambrian microfossils, southeastern
589 Newfoundland: Current Research, Part B, Geological Survey of Canada, v. 79-1B, p.
590 83–98.

591 HOFMANN, H.J., and MOUNTJOY, E.W., 2010, Ediacaran body and trace fossils in Miette
592 Group (Windermere Supergroup) near Salient Mountain, British Columbia, Canada:
593 *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, v. 47, p. 1305–1325.

594 HOFMANN, H.J., O'BRIEN, S.J., and KING, A.F., 2008, Ediacaran biota on Bonavista
595 Peninsula, Newfoundland, Canada: *Journal of Paleontology*, v. 82, p. 1–36.

596 ICHASO, A.A., DALRYMPLE, R.W., and NARBONNE, G.M., 2007, Paleoenvironmental and
597 basin analysis of the late Neoproterozoic (Ediacaran) upper Conception and St. John's
598 groups, west Conception Bay, Newfoundland: *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, v.
599 44, p. 25–41.

600 JENSEN, S., DROSER, M.L., and GEHLING, J. G., 2005, Trace fossil preservation and the early
601 evolution of animals: *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, v. 220, p.
602 19–29.

603 JENSEN, S.R., DROSER, M.L., and GEHLING, J.G., 2006, A Critical Look at the Ediacaran
604 Trace Fossil Record, *in* Xiao, S., and Kaufman, A.J., eds., *Neoproterozoic*
605 *Geobiology and Paleobiology: Topics in Geobiology*, v. 27, Springer, Dordrecht, p.
606 115–157.

607 KNOLL, A.H., 2011, The multiple origins of complex multicellularity: Annual Review of
608 Earth and Planetary Sciences, v. 39, p. 217–239.

609 KNOX, L.W., and MILLER, M.F., 1985, Environmental control of trace fossil morphology:
610 Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists Special Publication, v. 35, p.
611 167–176.

612 LAFLAMME, M., DARROCH, S.A.F., TWEEDT, S.M., PETERSON, K.J., and ERWIN, D.H., 2013,
613 The end of the Ediacara biota: Extinction, biotic replacement, or Cheshire Cat?:
614 Gondwana Research, v. 23, p. 558–573.

615 LANDING, E., 1996, Avalon: Insular continent by the latest Precambrian: GSA Special Papers,
616 v. 304, p. 29–63.

617 LIN, S., ZHANG, Y., ZHANG, L., TAO, X., and WANG, M., 1986, Body and trace fossils of
618 metazoa and algal macrofossils from the upper Sinian Gaojiashan Formation in
619 southern Shaanxi: Geology of Shaanxi, v. 4, p. 9–17.

620 LISSMANN, H.W., 1945a, The mechanism of locomotion in gastropod molluscs. I.
621 Kinematics: Journal of Experimental Biology, v. 21, p. 58–69.

622 LISSMANN, H.W., 1945b, The mechanism of locomotion in gastropod molluscs. II. Kinetics:
623 Journal of Experimental Biology, v. 22, p. 37–50.

624 LIU, A.G., and MCILROY, D., In Press, Horizontal surface traces from the Fermeuse
625 Formation (Newfoundland, Canada), and their place within the late Ediacaran
626 ichnological revolution: GAC Special Publication from the Ichnia 2012 Conference.

627 LIU, A.G., MCILROY, D., and BRASIER, M.D., 2010a, First evidence for locomotion in the
628 Ediacara biota from the 565Ma Mistaken Point Formation, Newfoundland: Geology,
629 v. 38, p. 123–126.

630 LIU, A.G., MCILROY, D., and BRASIER, M.D., 2010b, First evidence for locomotion in the
 631 Ediacara biota from the 565Ma Mistaken Point Formation, Newfoundland: Reply:
 632 Geology, v. 38, p. e224, doi:10.1130/G31448Y.1
 633 LIU, A.G., MCILROY, D., MATTHEWS, J.J., and BRASIER, M.D., 2012, A new assemblage of
 634 juvenile Ediacaran fronds from the Drook Formation, Newfoundland: Journal of the
 635 Geological Society, London, v. 169, p. 395–403.
 636 MATZ, M.V., FRANK, T.M., MARSHALL, N.J., WIDDER, E.A., and JOHNSEN, S., 2008, Giant
 637 deep-sea protist produces bilaterian-like traces: Current Biology, v. 18, p. 1–6.
 638 MCILROY, D., and HEYS, G.R., 1997, Palaeobiological significance of *Plagiogmus arcuatus*
 639 from the lower Cambrian of central Australia: Alcheringa, v. 21, p. 161–178.
 640 MENON, L.R., MCILROY, D., and BRASIER, M.D., 2013, Evidence for Cnidaria-like behavior
 641 in ca. 560 Ma Ediacaran *Aspidella*: Geology, v. 41, p. 895–898.
 642 MISRA, S.B., 1969, Late Precambrian (?) Fossils from Southeastern Newfoundland:
 643 Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 80, p. 2133–2140.
 644 NARBONNE, G.M., 2004, Modular construction in the Ediacaran biota: Science, v. 305, p.
 645 1141–1144.
 646 NARBONNE, G.M., 2005, The Ediacara Biota: Neoproterozoic origin of animals and their
 647 ecosystems: Annual Reviews in Earth and Planetary Sciences, v. 33, p. 421–442.
 648 NARBONNE, G.M., DALRYMPLE, R.W., LAFLAMME, M., GEHLING, J.G., and BOYCE, W.D.,
 649 2005, Life after Snowball: Mistaken Point Biota and the Cambrian of the Avalon:
 650 North American Paleontological Convention Field Trip Guidebook, Halifax, Nova
 651 Scotia, p. 1–98.
 652 NARBONNE, G.M., and GEHLING, J.G., 2003, Life after snowball: the oldest complex
 653 Ediacaran fossils: Geology, v. 31, p. 27–30.

654 NARBONNE, G.M., LAFLAMME, M., TRUSLER, P.W., DALRYMPLE, R.W., and GREENTREE,
655 C., 2014, Deep-water Ediacaran fossils from northwestern Canada: Taphonomy,
656 ecology, and evolution: *Journal of Paleontology*, v. 88, p. 207–223.

657 NARBONNE, G.M., XIAO, S., and SHIELDS, G.A., 2012, The Ediacaran Period, *in* Gradstein,
658 F.M., Ogg, J.G., Schmitz M.D., and Ogg G., eds., *The Geologic Time Scale 2012*:
659 Elsevier, Amsterdam, p. 413–435.

660 NELSON, C.H., 1982, Modern shallow-water graded sand layers from storm surges, Bering
661 Shelf: A mimic of Bouma sequences and turbidite systems: *Journal of Sedimentary*
662 *Petrology*, v. 52, p. 537–545.

663 PARIZOT, M., ERIKSSON, P.G., AIFA, T., SARKAR, S., BANERJEE, S., CATUNEANU, O.,
664 ALTERMANN, W., BUMBY, A.J., BORDY, E.M., VAN ROOY, J.L., and BOSHOFF, A.J.,
665 2005, Suspected microbial mat-related crack-like sedimentary structures in the
666 Palaeoproterozoic Magaliesberg Formation sandstones, South Africa: *Precambrian*
667 *Research*, v. 138, p. 274–296.

668 PARKER, G.H., 1916, Locomotion of sea-anemones: *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
669 *Science, U.S.A.*, v. 2, p. 449–450.

670 PATTISON, S.A.J., 2005, Storm-influenced prodelta turbidite complex in the lower Kenilworth
671 Member at Hatch Mesa, Book Cliffs, Utah, U.S.A.: Implications for shallow marine
672 facies models: *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, v. 75, p. 420–439.

673 PECOITS, E., KONHAUSER, K.O., AUBET, N.R., HEAMAN, L.M., VEROSLAVSKY, G., STERN, R.
674 A., and GINGRAS, M., 2012, Bilaterian burrows and grazing behavior at >585 million
675 years ago: *Science*, v. 336, p. 1693–1696.

676 RETALLACK, G.J., 2010, First evidence for locomotion in the Ediacara biota from the 565Ma
677 Mistaken Point Formation, Newfoundland: *Comment: Geology*, v. 38, p. e223, doi:
678 10.1130/G31137C.1

679 ROGOV, V., MARUSIN, V., BYKOVA, N., GOY, Y., NAGOVITSIN, K. E., KOCHNEV, B.,
 680 KARLOVA, G.A., and GRAZHDANKIN, D., 2012, The oldest evidence of bioturbation on
 681 Earth: *Geology*, v. 40, p. 395–398.
 682 ROTHE, N., GOODAY, A.J., CEDHAGEN, T., FAHRNI, J., HUGHES, J.A., PAGE, A., PEARCE, R.B.,
 683 and PAWLOWSKI, J., 2009, Three new species of deep-sea *Gromia* (Protista, Rhizaria)
 684 from the bathyal and abyssal Weddell Sea, Antarctica: *Zoological Journal of the*
 685 *Linnean Society*, v. 157, p. 451–469.
 686 SAPPENFIELD, A., DROSER, M.L., and GEHLING, J.G., 2011, Problematica, trace fossils, and
 687 tubes within the Ediacara Member (South Australia): Redefining the Ediacaran trace
 688 fossil record one tube at a time: *Journal of Paleontology*, v. 85, p. 256–265.
 689 SEILACHER, A., 1992, Vendobionta and Psammocorallia: lost constructions of Precambrian
 690 evolution: *Journal of the Geological Society, London*, v. 149, p. 607–613.
 691 SEILACHER, A., 1999, Biomat-Related Lifestyles in the Precambrian: *Palaaios*, v. 14, p. 86–93.
 692 SEILACHER, A., 2007, *Trace fossil analysis*: Springer, Dordrecht, 226 p.
 693 SEILACHER, A., BUATOIS, L.A., and MÁNGANO, M.G., 2005, Trace fossils in the Ediacaran–
 694 Cambrian transition: Behavioral diversification, ecological turnover and
 695 environmental shift: *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, v. 227, p.
 696 323–356.
 697 SOKOLOV, B.S., 1967, Drevneyshiye pogonofory [The oldest Pogonophora]: *Doklady*
 698 *Akademii Nauk SSSR*, v. 177, p. 201–204 (English translation page 252–255).
 699 TACKER, R.C., MARTIN, A.J., WEAVER, P.G., and LAWVER, D.R., 2010, Trace fossils versus
 700 body fossils: *Oldhamia recta* revisited: *Precambrian Research*, v. 178, p. 43–50.
 701 TREWIN, N.H., 1976, *Isopodichnus* in a trace fossil assemblage from the Old Red Sandstone:
 702 *Lethaia*, v. 9, p. 29–37.

703 VAN KRANENDONK, M.J., GEHLING, J.G., and SHIELDS, G.A., 2008, Precambrian, in Ogg,
 704 J.G., Ogg, G., and Gradstein, F.M., eds., The Concise Geologic Time Scale:
 705 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p. 23–36.

706 VICKERS-RICH, P., IVANTSOV, A.Y., TRUSLER, P.W., NARBONNE, G.M., HALL, M., WILSON,
 707 S.A., GREENTREE, C., FEDONKIN, M.A., ELLIOTT, D.A., HOFFMANN, K.-H., and
 708 SCHNEIDER, G.I.C., 2013, Reconstructing *Rangea*: New discoveries from the
 709 Ediacaran of southern Namibia: Journal of Paleontology, v. 87, p. 1–15.

710 WETZEL, A., 1999, Tilting marks: a wave-produced tool mark resembling a trace fossil:
 711 Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, v. 145, p. 251–254.

712 WETZEL, A., 2013, Tilting marks: Observations on tool marks resembling trace fossils and
 713 their morphological varieties: Sedimentary Geology, v. 288, p. 60–65.

714 WILBY, P.R., CARNEY, J.N., and HOWE, M.P.A., 2011, A rich Ediacaran assemblage from
 715 eastern Avalonia: Evidence of early widespread diversity in the deep ocean: Geology,
 716 v. 39, p. 655–658.

717 WILLIAMS, H., and KING, A.F., 1979, Trepassey Map Area, Newfoundland: Canadian
 718 Geological Survey Memoir, v. 389, 24 p.

719 WOOD, D.A., DALRYMPLE, R.W., NARBONNE, G.M., GEHLING, J.G., and CLAPHAM, M.E.,
 720 2003, Paleoenvironmental analysis of the late Neoproterozoic Mistaken Point and
 721 Trepassey formations, southeastern Newfoundland: Canadian Journal of Earth
 722 Sciences, v. 40, p. 1375–1391.

723 XIAO, S., YUAN, X., STEINER, M., and KNOLL, A. H., 2002, Macroscopic carbonaceous
 724 compressions in a terminal Proterozoic shale: a systematic reassessment of the
 725 Miaohu biota, south China: Journal of Paleontology, v. 76, p. 347–376.

726 YOCHELSON, E.L., and FEDONKIN, M.A., 1993, Paleobiology of *Climactichnites* an enigmatic
 727 late Cambrian fossil: Smithsonian Contributions to Paleobiology, v. 74, p. 1–84.

FIGURE AND TABLE CAPTIONS

FIGURE 1—A map and stratigraphic column indicating the location of the trace-bearing bed (following Liu et al., 2010a, fig. 1). Stratigraphic column (not to scale), follows Williams & King, 1979. A) Newfoundland, eastern Canada. B) The Avalon Peninsula of south-eastern Newfoundland. C) The Mistaken Point Ecological Reserve (MPER). The Mistaken Point trace fossil bed is represented by the black star on the southern side of Mistaken Point itself. For the exact location of the site, please contact the Reserve Manager of the Mistaken Point Ecological Reserve. Dates are taken from Benus (1988), and Van Kranendonk et al. (2008).

FIGURE 2—Sedimentology of the trace bearing bed, looking NNE. The trace fossils lie directly above a turbidite, here labelled according to the terminology of Bouma (1962), and beneath a thin, coarse-grained pyritic tuff (visible as a thin brown layer covering the bedding surface). Scale bar length = 50 mm.

FIGURE 3—A selection of trace fossils from the upper Mistaken Point Formation, Mistaken Point, Newfoundland. Dark brown/grey patches are regions of unweathered volcanic tuff. A) Two trace fossils lacking internal transverse ridges. B) The longest trace on the bedding plane, showing transverse hemispheric ridges running down its length, and ending in a terminal negative-relief disc (arrowed). Specimens in A and B can be found on cast OUM ÁT 418/p. C) Two simple trace fossils exhibiting cross-cutting relationships, the horizontal one ending in a terminal disc (arrowed). Photograph from cast OUM ÁT 421/p. D) A series of at

least four traces, clearly showing several directions of movement and cross-cutting relationships (arrowed). Cast OUM ÁT 421/p. One shows a prominent pit at its end (at left). All scale bars = 10 mm.

FIGURE 4—Orientation data for the impressions preserved on the Mistaken Point trace fossil horizon. A) Orientations of trace fossils showing transverse ridges, plotted as proceeding in the inferred direction of motion (towards concave face of the transverse internal crescents). $n = 29$, maximum bin value = 4. B) Orientations of all non-ornamented traces. Note that for these traces, direction of motion cannot be easily determined, and they may run at 180° to the documented orientation. The values have therefore been plotted twice, with 180° added to all of them, to produce a mirror image to reflect this uncertainty. $n = 53$, maximum bin value = 7. C) Orientations of the long axes of the negative relief ‘pits’ found on the bedding plane (indicating the primary axis of tectonic strain), $n = 11$, maximum bin value = 3. Again, values here have been plotted as a mirror image to give a better indication of the direction of strain.

FIGURE 5—A cluster of trace-like impressions found at the eastern end of the outcrop, seemingly emanating from a central point, which is composed of four small pits (arrowed). Note impressions suggestive of repeated sequential motion over the surface to the left of the pits. A cast of this specimen is housed at the Oxford University Museum of Natural History, OUM ÁT.420/p. Scale bar length = 10 mm. Image shows the top surface of the bedding plane.

774 **TABLE 1**—A table comparing the characteristic features of the most likely abiological and
775 biological explanations for the formation of the Mistaken Point impressions. Y = yes, N = no.
776 The MPER impressions most closely match the features seen in *bona fide* Phanerozoic
777 surficial horizontal trace fossils.

778

779 **TABLE 2**—A table comparing the diagnostic features that might be expected from protist,
780 actinian, annelid, and molluscan trace fossils with those seen in the Ediacaran Mistaken Point
781 fossils. Actinians and molluscs are the only groups capable of forming traces possessing the
782 combination of features seen in the trails exhibiting transverse ridges at Mistaken Point.

Trace Bed

Parallel laminae

Tuff

Te: Contourite/pelagite
and turbidite mud

Td: Parallel laminae

Tc: Convolute and
cross laminae

Ta: Graded bedding

**Partial Bouma
Sequence**

Erosional surface

Fine silt/mud

Sand

Silt

	Body fossils	Tilting traces	Tectonic fractures	Phanerozoic surface trace-fossils	Ornamented MPER impressions
Orientation	Variable	Consistent	Consistent	Variable	Variable
Curvature	Gentle	Gentle	None/sharp	Variable	Variable
Transverse ornamentation	Straight	Variable	No	Variable	Arcuate
Branching	Possible	No	Possible	Possible	No
Lateral ridges	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Widens at points of curvature	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Truncation or superimposition?	Sup.	Truncation	Truncation	Truncation	Truncation
Disarticulation	Possible	No	No	No	No
Vertical component	No	No	Yes	Yes/No	No
Mineralization	Possible	No	Yes	No	No
Clustering/looping	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Capable of possessing a terminal disc?	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes

	Protists	Actinians	Annelids	Molluscs	Ornamented Mistaken Point Traces
Shape of expected terminal impression	Circular	Circular	Elongate (unless vertically burrowing)	Circular to elongate	Circular
Ability to produce internal transverse ridges?	No	Crescentic	No	Straight to crescentic	Crescentic
Lateral marginal ridges	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Central ridge	Yes	No	No	No	No