Benton, M. J. (2016). The Chinese pareiasaurs. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 177(4), 813-853.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12389>

Peer reviewed version

License (if available):
Unspecified

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1111/zoj.12389](https://doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12389)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Wiley at <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/zoj.12389/abstract>. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 [Abstract]

2

3 Pareiasaurs were important medium- to large-sized herbivores in the Middle and Late
4 Permian, some 268-252 million years (Myr) ago. They are best known from abundant remains
5 of several taxa each in South Africa and Russia, with isolated finds from other parts of the
6 world. Six genera and species of pareiasaurs have been described from China, and yet they
7 have not been reviewed. Of these six, *Tsiyuania* may be a synonym of *Honania*, but this taxon
8 is not further considered here. The other four, which were named for separate finds from the
9 Sunjiagou Formation (Changhsingian, 254-252 Myr) show considerable similarities. Despite
10 earlier suggestions, there are no convincing anatomical characters to distinguish *Shihtienfenia*,
11 *Shansisaurus*, and *Huanghesaurus*, and these three genera are synonymised as *Shihtienfenia*
12 *permica* Young & Yeh, 1963. The fourth taxon, *Sanchuansaurus pygmaeus* Gao, 1989, shows
13 distinctly different teeth from those of *Huanghesaurus* (= *Shihtienfenia*), and was about one-
14 third of the size, so it is retained as a second valid pareiasaur from the Chinese latest Permian.
15 Phylogenetic analysis confirms the validity of these two taxa, with *Sanchuansaurus* belonging
16 among the basal forms, and *Shihtienfenia* being a member of the derived clades Velosauria
17 and Therischia, part of the new clade Sinopareiasauria, sister to the derived Elginiidae and
18 *Scutosaurus*.

19

20 ADDITIONAL KEYWORDS: Permian, amniotes, Parareptilia, Changhsingian, Sunjiagou
21 Formation.

22

INTRODUCTION

Pareiasaurs were a significant and unusual clade of Middle and Late Permian parareptiles, having been key herbivores in many faunas. In certain locations in the Russian and South African Permo-Triassic, pareiasaurs **were** the dominant animals, representing for example at the upper Middle Permian (upper Capitanian) site of Kotel' nich in Russia some 52% of all tetrapod skeletons recovered (Benton *et al.* 2012). They flourished from the Wordian to Changhsingian, but died out during the **Permo-Triassic** mass extinction. Pareiasaurs are best known from the Middle and Late Permian of South Africa (Lee *et al.* 1997) and Russia (Lee 2000; Tsuji 2013), with several forms reported from China (Young & Yeh 1963; Gao 1983, 1989; Li & Liu 2013; Xu *et al.* 2015), and isolated taxa from Morocco (Jalil & Janvier 2005), Niger (Tsuji *et al.* 2013), Brazil (Araújo 1985), Germany (Tsuji & Müller 2008), and Scotland (Newton 1893). Pareiasaurs ranged in size from little more than 1 m to 3 m in body length, and the larger animals were massively constructed and perhaps weighed a tonne in life. These massive, sprawling herbivores, with bony armour plates in their skin, were probably preyed on by sabre-toothed gorgonopsians, but otherwise presumably **had few predators**. Although interpreted as largely aquatic by some authors, finds of fossil footprints, and the taphonomy of their burial, suggests a primarily terrestrial lifestyle (Benton *et al.* 2012), a suggestion confirmed by stable isotope studies of pareiasaur teeth and bones (Canoville *et al.* 2014).

An unusual aspect of pareiasaurs is that they **were** identified as an outgroup, even the sister group, of turtles **by Lee (1993, 1995, 1996, 1997), based on their shared characters of a rigid covering of dermal armour over the entire dorsal region, expanded flattened ribs, a cylindrical scapula blade, great reduction in humeral torsion (to 25°), a greatly developed trochanter major, an offset femoral head, and a reduced cnemial crest of the tibia. This was disputed by other morphological phylogenetic analyses** (e.g. Rieppel & deBraga 1996; deBraga & Rieppel 1997; Rieppel & Reisz 1999; Li *et al.* 2009) that indicated a pairing of turtles and lepidosauromorphs **among the diapsids, and by molecular phylogenetic studies of modern reptiles that repeatedly placed turtles among the Diapsida, and the Archosauromorpha in particular** (e.g. Hedges and Poling 1999; Field *et al.* 2014). New finds of the Triassic proto-turtles *Pappochelys* and *Odontochelys* show close links to the Middle Permian *Eunotosaurus*, and turtles are confirmed as archosauromorphs on the basis of fossil and molecular data, and **not related to pareiasaurs** (Joyce 2015; Schoch and Sues 2015).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Pareiasaurs have been reported from the Late Permian of China in several papers, with six genera and species so far named from two geological formations, the Shihezi Formation of Henan Province (*Honania complicidentata*, *Tsiyuania simpicidentata*), and the Sunjiagou Formation of Shanxi Province (*Shihtienfenia permica*, *Shansisaurus xuecunensis*, *Huanghesaurus liulinensis*, *Sanchuansaurus pygmaeus*). The aims of this paper are to present comprehensive descriptions of all the Chinese pareiasaurs so far described, to determine the likely validity of the various named taxa, and to consider their phylogenetic position in comparison with pareiasaurs from other parts of the world. A final aim is to review their stratigraphic occurrences, and compare these with pareiasaurs from elsewhere in the world.

INSTITUTIONAL ABBREVIATIONS

CAGS, Chinese Academy of Geological Sciences, Beijing, China; IVPP, Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Beijing, China.

GEOGRAPHIC AND STRATIGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF THE CHINESE PAREIASAURS

The localities for the Shihezi Formation pareiasaurs from Henan are described by Liu *et al.* (2014) and Xu *et al.* (2015), and so will not be further discussed. The Sunjiagou Formation pareiasaurs come from two sections along the banks of the Yellow River (Huanghe) and its tributary, the Sanchuan River (Fig. 1), namely Baode and Liulin Counties, parts respectively of Xinzhou City and Lüliang Prefectures, in the Province of Shanxi, to the west of Beijing, China.

The type material of *Shihtienfenia permica* Young & Yeh, 1963 came from the ‘Upper Permian from the vicinity of Lishenglen, Paote, N. W. Shansi, near the banks of the Huangho (= Yellow) River’. In modern pinyin transliteration of the Chinese characters, these latter names become Baode, Shanxi, and Huanghe. The stratigraphic section, including the find spot of the fossils (Young & Yeh 1963: fig. 1), extends from Baode to Huayuan, ‘along the south bank of the Huangho’. The place name ‘Lishenglen’ cannot be found on Google-Maps, but Baode is located in Baode County, near Xinzhou City, on the east bank of Huanghe, at coordinates 39.032N, 111.114E (Fig. 1).

The other three Shanxi pareiasaurs are from Liulin County, near Xuecunzhen (= Xuecun town). *Shansisaurus xuecunensis* is from Tianjialing village, near Xuecun (Cheng 1980: 115), and *Sanchuansaurus pygmaeus* and the other pareiasaur bones reported by Gao (1989: 1234) are also ‘from a locality near Tianjialing village, Liulin County’. The find site of *Huanghesaurus liulinensis* seemed less clear from Gao’s (1983) description, but Li and Liu (2013: 199–200) state that it was from the same location. It turns out that Tianjialing village no longer exists – it was a former habitation of the Tian family (Tianjialing means ‘Tian family hill’), located at coordinates 37.410N, 110.811E, but the fossil site (37.412757N, 110.815922E), as confirmed by Li and Liu (2013: 200), lies at the top of the cliff on the south bank of the Sanchuan River, opposite the G307 road, east of the bridge leading to Beigou village, and to the west of the G20 expressway bridge that crosses the Sanchuan valley, and just above a water tank for Beigou village, which carries the label ‘Tianjialing’ (as noted during fieldwork in July 2015).

Fossil vertebrates have been identified at several levels from the terrestrial Permian of north China, but the finds are sporadic and the stratigraphy not well confirmed. Key levels are those of the Dashankou and Jiyaun faunas, dated as Roadian and Wuchiapingian respectively (Fig. 2). The Jiyaun fauna, with *Honania* and *Tsiyuania*, comes from the Upper Shihezi (formerly Shihhotse) Formation, of Henan Province in northern China (Fig. 2), which dates from the lower Capitanian to upper Wuchiapingian, based primarily on magnetostratigraphy (Embleton *et al.* 1996; Stevens *et al.* 2011). The Jiyaun Fauna is from near the top of the unit, and is dated as late Wuchiapingian (Liu *et al.* 2014).

The other pareiasaurs come from the Sunjiagou (formerly Shiqianfeng or Shihtienfeng) Formation, part of the Shiqianfeng Group (reviewed by Mueller *et al.* 1991; Stevens *et al.* 2011), a succession of more than 1000 m of red, brown, and purple claystones and sandstones, interpreted as generally deposited in arid conditions, which is confirmed by the occurrence of gypsum in lower units and fine-grained aeolian sandstones in the upper 700 m (Norin 1922; Wang & Wang 1986; Wang & Chen 2001). Fossils are rare throughout, with plant fossils in upper parts, suggesting reductions in wetland floras towards the Permo-Triassic boundary (Wang 1993; Wang & Chen 2001; Stevens *et al.* 2011).

Earlier Chinese authors could compare their tetrapod finds with the Russian and South African (Karoo) sequences, which themselves lacked any independent age control. For example, Young & Yeh (1963: 211–212) compared *Shihtienfenia* with *Scutosaurus* from Russia and *Propappus* and *Pareiasaurus* from South Africa, equivalent respectively to the Russian lower Vyatkian (Zone IV) and the *Cistecephalus* Zone of the Karroo (Fig. 2). Cheng

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

(1980) confirmed these comparisons with respect to his *Shansisaurus* material, as did Gao (1983) with respect to *Huanghesaurus*. In more detail, Gao (1989:1239-1240) developed these ideas, splitting the Sunjiagou pareiasaurs into two geological age categories: *Sanchuansaurus* from the lowest part of the Shanxi redbed sequence, was ‘at the same evolutionary stage as *Pareiasuchus*’, and so this unit correlated best with the *Cistecephalus* Zone of South Africa. The other three Chinese pareiasaurs came from higher in the Sunjiagou Formation, and showed greatest similarity with *Scutosaurus* from the upper part of Zone IV in Russia, and the *Daptocephalus* Zone (= now, *Dicynodon* Zone) of South Africa. If these correlations were correct, the Sunjiagou Formation would span from mid Wuchiapingian (*Cistecephalus* Assemblage Zone) to Changhsingian (*Daptocephalus* = *Dicynodon* Assemblage Zone), and a similar age range for upper parts of the old Russian Zone IV (= Sokolki; Vyatkian) (Fig. 2; Benton 2012; Benton *et al.* 2012).

The pareiasaurs occurred at different levels in the Sunjiagou Formation. *Shihtienfenia* was located in the lower part of the formation, at the top of Unit II, a 40 m thick unit of intercalated red mudstones and sandstones (Young & Yeh 1963). *Huanghesaurus* was reported from ‘the topmost part of the Shihtienfeng Formation’ (Gao 1983. Gao (1989: 1239) noted that the fossiliferous lens with *Sanchuansaurus* occurred in the ‘lowest part’ of the Shihtienfeng (= Sunjiagou) Formation, and he is clear that this was below the levels at which *Shihtienfenia*, *Shansisaurus*, and *Huanghesaurus* had been found. These uppermost and lower levels are confirmed by Li and Liu (2013: 199–200). The three levels are discriminated in the summary stratigraphic chart (Fig. 2), but their exact horizons are uncertain.

Debates about the relative ages of the tetrapods can be resolved only by independent dating. Palaeobotanists and palynologists date the Sunjiagou Formation as late Late Permian, and the overlying Liujiagou and Heshanggou Formations as Early Triassic (Wang & Wang 1986; Hou & Ouyang 2000; Wang & Chen 2001; Stevens *et al.* 2001; Zhang *et al.* 2012). For example, Zhang *et al.* (2012) describe the Sunjiagou Formation as about 200 m thick, and correlated with the entirety of the Changhsingian stage, with roughly equal Lower, Middle, and Upper divisions. Stevens *et al.* (2011) concur, and show the underlying Upper Shihezi Formation as extending from the base of the Capitanian to the top of the Capitanian or to the late Wuchiapingian; in either interpretation, there is a hiatus below the Sunjiagou Formation. The Lower Shihezi (= Xiashihezi) Formation lies below, extending from late Kungurian to the end of the Wordian, and the Shanxi Formation lies below that (Fig. 2). Stevens *et al.* (2011) note that palynology is a good guide to dating the Lower Permian terrestrial units, but not for the Middle or Upper Permian units. Magnetostratigraphy provides some confirmation

of ages (Embleton *et al.* 1996; Menning and Jin 1998), with the Illawarra Reversal located in the lower part of the Upper Shihezi Formation, so dating that horizon as uppermost Wordian or lowest Capitanian (Fig. 2). Intense reversals throughout the Upper Shihezi and Sunjiagou formations confirm they are all Illawarra in age (i.e. Capitanian to Changhsingian). Matching of the Illawarra magnetostratigraphic signature gives two models for the ages of these units, implying a larger or smaller gap between the Upper Shihezi Formation and the Sunjiagou Formation, and making the former unit either 5 or 10 Myr in duration. The overlying Sunjiagou Formation has been dated as entirely Changhsingian, terminating arbitrarily at the Permo-Triassic boundary (Embleton *et al.* 1996; Stevens *et al.* 2011). Even so, the Changhsingian lasted about 2 Myr (254.1–252.2 Myr; Shen *et al.* 2011), perhaps time for some moderate differentiation among amniote faunas.

THE UPPER SHIHEZI FORMATION PAREIASAURS

The pareiasaur taxa from the Upper Shihezi Formation of Henan, *Honania complicidentata* and *Tsiyuania simplicidentata*, are presented briefly, as they have been redescribed and their materials augmented by Xu *et al.* (2015).

The three original specimens of *Honania* are isolated teeth, each consisting of the crown and part of the root (Fig. 3; Table 1). A fourth specimen tabulated by Young (1979:103) is currently missing. IVPP V4015.1 (Fig. 3A, C; Young 1979: fig. 4, left) is well preserved, and shows the cingulum and serrations clearly. The tooth is 25 mm long in all. The root measures 6 x 7 mm in section, and it is not exactly circular, being slightly twisted and with rather flat antero-posterior sides. The cingulum (Fig. 3A, ci) carries about 12 small serrations, but they are abraded, and the margin of the crown carries eight very distinct serrations up each side, making a total of 16. The crown portion, as delimited by the cingulum, measures 13 mm dorsoventrally high and 10 mm anteroposteriorly wide. IVPP V4015.2 (Fig. 3C; Young 1979: fig. 4, centre) is still in the rock, a deep purple-coloured coarse sandstone containing bone and scale fragments, and is visible only in external (lateral/labial) view. The root is missing, and the crown shows eight denticles on one margin, six on the other, suggesting a total of 15–17. The third specimen, IVPP V4015.3 (Fig. 3B, C; Young 1979: fig. 4, right) is smaller than the other two, being 18 mm long in all, and has a shorter root that is waisted at mid-height, and appears roughly circular in cross section, measuring about 7 x 8 mm. The cingulum and marginal denticles are partly abraded, but there seem to be

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

about 12 small denticles on the cingulum, and 5-7 denticles on each crown margin. Each of the marginal denticles is at the end of a distinct longitudinal ridge that may be seen traversing the lingual and labial faces of the tooth.

The original materials of *Tsiyuania* (IVPP V4016; Fig. 3D) are currently missing, but Young (1979: 103, fig. 5) shows two specimens out of five whose measurements he tabulates. These two teeth are much larger than the teeth of *Honania*, apparently measuring 13 and 16 mm anteroposteriorly wide, and 21 and 25 mm dorsoventrally tall. In both illustrated examples, the margins are badly damaged, and the full height and width of the crowns cannot be measured accurately (Table 1). Further, it is hard to estimate the numbers of cingular and marginal denticles. Young (1979:103) included some much smaller, but unillustrated, teeth in this taxon, with total heights of 15, 9, and 8 mm, and it is not clear how the nine pareiasaur teeth in all were divided between two taxa, nor what their diagnostic characters are.

These two pareiasaur taxa have rarely been mentioned in the literature. Lee (1997: 287) suggested they were upper (*Tsiyuania*) and lower (*Honania*) teeth of the same taxon, but most authors either ignored the two genera, or declared they were *nomina nuda* (e.g. Li 2001). Liu *et al.* (2014), in brief, and then Xu *et al.* (2015), in more detail, proposed that *Honania complicidentata* was indeed valid, and synonymized *Tsiyuania simplicidentata* with it. They identified additional pareiasaurian teeth among the other fossils described by Young (1979), and also assigned additional elements collected in 2010 to this taxon, including a maxilla and dentary, some other skull bones, vertebrae, ribs, and limb and girdle elements.

Xu *et al.* (2015) argue that the expanded materials of *Honania* confirm that it is a distinctive taxon. They characterize it as having ‘maxillary teeth with high crowns, dentary teeth slightly posteriorly inclined compared to the dentary dorsal margin, nearly all preserved marginal teeth have a cusped cingulum on the lingual surface, and humerus without an ectepicondylar foramen’. The tooth characters are general to all or most pareiasaurs, but the absence of an ectepicondylar foramen, if confirmed, would distinguish *Honania* from all other pareiasaurs. However, in their discussion, Xu *et al.* (2015) do not compare their *Honania* material with *Sanchuansaurus*, regarding this genus as a synonym of *Huanghesaurus* and *Shansisaurus*, a view not taken here. The only comparable elements are the maxilla and femur: the maxilla and teeth of *Honania* seem identical to those of *Sanchuansaurus*, and both femurs are similar enough, but both are incomplete, so a final view on synonymy cannot be given.

224
225

HOW MANY SUNJIAGOU FORMATION PAREIASAURS?

BACKGROUND

The pareiasaurs of the Sunjiagou Formation of Shanxi are: *Shihtienfenia permica*, named by Young & Yeh (1963) on the basis of a partial postcranial skeleton (IVPP V2717) and a second specimen consisting of 11 vertebrae and other fragments (IVPP V2718); *Shansisaurus xuecunensis*, named by Cheng (1980) for some isolated vertebrae, a scapulocoracoid, humerus, and femur (CAGS V301); *Huanghesaurus liulinensis*, established by Gao (1983) for an incomplete skeleton, comprising a right lower jaw and a large number of vertebrae and limb bones (IVPP V6722); and *Sanchuansaurus pygmaeus* named by Gao (1989) for a maxilla and isolated postcranial remains (IVPP V6723-5).

There are three viewpoints on the taxonomic validity of these four taxa: (1) to synonymise them all with *Shihtienfenia permica* Young & Yeh, 1963, the first-named taxon, on the basis that the other three species show no distinguishing characters; (2) to accept three taxa as valid, by synonymising *Huanghesaurus* with *Shansisaurus*; or (3) to accept two taxa as valid, by synonymising *Huanghesaurus* and *Sanchuansaurus* with *Shansisaurus*, and retaining *Shihtienfenia*.

The first view, in which all taxa are synonymised, was presented by Sun *et al.* (1992) and Lucas (2001). These authors noted that Cheng (1980) distinguished his new genus and species *Shansisaurus xuecunensis* from *Shihtienfenia* by its supposedly more robust humerus, a view they disputed. Further, they noted that Gao (1983) did not mention any diagnostic characters to differentiate his new genus and species *Huanghesaurus liulinensis* from the two earlier named taxa. Accordingly, Sun *et al.* (1992) synonymised *Shansisaurus xuecunensis* and *Huanghesaurus liulinensis* with *Shihtienfenia permica*, a view with which Lucas (2001) agreed. These authors did not comment on the fourth taxon, *Sanchuansaurus pygmaeus*.

Sanchuansaurus pygmaeus was named by Gao (1989), in his description of a variety of pareiasaur materials from a single locality. He assigned a maxilla, a femur, and a fibula to the new species *Sanchuansaurus pygmaeus* (IVPP V6723-5), and other postcranial remains to *Shansisaurus* sp. (IVPP V6726-7) and to 'Pareiasauride gen. et sp. indet.' (IVPP V8533-5). The only reasons given for not associating all these specimens into a single taxon, despite the fact that they were all found within a single sandstone lens, is 'the striking difference in size and thickness of the bones, as well as their disarticulation' and the fact that some, such as the maxilla, are in very good condition, whereas some of the postcranial bones show signs of

abrasion and transport. Here, and in line with earlier work by Lee (1997) and others, all the materials described by Gao (1989) as from the same sedimentary lens are treated tentatively as associated with the type maxilla of *Sanchuansaurus*.

The second view was presented by Lee (1997: 209), who regarded *Shihtienfenia*, *Shansisaurus*, and *Sanchuansaurus* as valid, and synonymised *Huanghesaurus* with *Shansisaurus*. These he characterised as follows:

1. *Shihtienfenia permica*. “Monophyletic. Autapomorphies: there is a rounded expansion on the anterior margin of the scapula blade, near the dorsal end; and the acromion process is a smoothly contoured, semicircular flange. Material: IVPP V2717 (Type), IVPP V8533.”
2. *Shansisaurus xuecunensis*. “Metaspecies. This species... differs from *Shihtienfenia* in lacking the autapomorphies of the latter, and in possessing an ectepicondylar foramen. Material: CAGS V301 (Type); IVPP V6722.”
3. *Sanchuansaurus pygmaeus*. “Monophyletic. Autapomorphies: the two exits for the infraorbital canal are very far apart; and every tooth has a cusped cingulum on the lingual surface. Material: IVPP V6723 (Type).”

This view was accepted by Jalil & Janvier (2005), Tsuji & Müller (2008), Tsuji (2013), and Tsuji *et al.* (2013), who retained these three as separate taxa for cladistic coding, and found close phylogenetic links between the first two and *Pareiasuchus*, but that *Sanchuansaurus* formed part of a more derived clade, with *Scutosaurus* and *Elginia*, characterised by a single synapomorphy, a cusped cingulum, a horizontal ridge that bears a row of small cusps on the medial surface of some teeth (Character 64).

The third view was presented by Li & Liu (2013), who described new material from the Sunjiagou Formation, and synonymised *Sanchuansaurus* and *Huanghesaurus* with *Shansisaurus xuecunensis* based on supposed identity of the teeth of the first two.

COMMENTARY ON SUPPOSED DISTINGUISHING FEATURES

The one synonymy agreed generally is that *Huanghesaurus* is the same as *Shansisaurus*. The only element preserved for both taxa, the scapulocoracoid, is identical in *Shansisaurus* (Cheng 1980: fig. 19) and *Huanghesaurus* (Gao 1983: fig. 4), in terms of size, overall shape, relative proportions, and anatomical details.

The question then is whether *Shansisaurus* (incl. *Huanghesaurus*) could be the same as *Shihtienfenia* or not. Lee (1997: 209) noted that *Shansisaurus* ‘differs from *Shihtienfenia* in

lacking the autapomorphies of the latter, and in possessing an ectepicondylar foramen'. There is no *Shansisaurus* humerus, so the reference is to *Huanghesaurus*, but it has no ectepicondylar foramen, but in fact a possible entepicondylar foramen (this was a misprint, and is presented correctly by Lee, 1997: 255). In any case, an ectepicondylar foramen is said to be 'universally present in pareiasaurs' (Lee 1997: 237), whereas an entepicondylar foramen is present in basal amniotes, and is retained by many pareiasaurs, but it 'is an open groove in *Pareiasuchus peringueyi* and *Shihtienfenia*' (Lee 1997: 237). This is a dubious character upon which to differentiate *Shansisaurus* and *Shihtienfenia* because the purported entepicondylar foramen on the left humerus of *Huanghesaurus* (IVPP V6722-26) is superficial in location, being bridged by the thinnest of arches, and indeed this region has been substantially repaired, and most of the 'bone' bridge is plaster.

Close comparison of the two most complete Chinese pareiasaur individuals, the holotypes of *Shihtienfenia permica* (IVPP V2717) and *Huanghesaurus liulinensis* (IVPP V6722), reveals four possible differentiating characters: (1) in posterior dorsal vertebrae of *Shihtienfenia* there are ventral facets that each occupy one third of the vertebral length formed from deeply overturned articular faces, and these are not seen in *Huanghesaurus*; (2) the rib attachment facets in middle and posterior dorsal vertebrae of *Shihtienfenia* are shorter and more massive; (3) the right scapula has an elongate, almost cylindrical blade ending in a rounded, spoon-shaped distal end which expands especially on the anterior margin; and, (4) the humerus is of conservative design and lacks the flared proximal end seen in *Huanghesaurus*. The first two characters are hard to confirm because the numbering of presacral vertebrae is debatable in both taxa, and those differential features might be minor variations or size-related phenomena. The scapula characters, the basis of the two autapomorphies of *Shihtienfenia* cited by Lee (1997: 209), namely the 'rounded expansion on the anterior margin of the scapula blade, near the dorsal end' and 'the acromion process is a smoothly contoured, semicircular flange', certainly occur in the figured right scapula (Young & Yeh 1963: fig. 6), but they are absent in the left scapula, which is indistinguishable from that of *Shansisaurus*, *Huanghesaurus*, and many other pareiasaurs – the blade has no rounded distal portion, and the acromion process is of normal shape. However, the left scapula (IVPP V2717) is incomplete distally and so the 'rounded expansion' cannot be considered. These 'autapomorphies' apply to the right scapula only, and there is little doubt, both from Young & Yeh's (1963) description of the circumstances of discovery, and the nature of the specimens, that these are parts of the body of a single individual. The two scapular autapomorphies of *Shihtienfenia* (Lee 1997: 209) reflect morphological variation between left and right sides

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

within a single individual, and so must be discarded. The fourth character, the flared proximal end of the humerus in *Huanghesaurus*, may be exaggerated by the mode of preservation, and so is not a reliable difference.

So far, the balance of evidence favours the view of Sun *et al.* (1992) and Lucas (2001) that *Shansisaurus* and *Huanghesaurus* are junior synonyms of *Shihtienfenia*. This leaves the fourth taxon, *Sanchuansaurus pygmaeus* to be considered.

SANCHUANSAURUS, DISTINCTIVE TOOTH MORPHOLOGY

The suggestion will be made here, in support of the view presented by Gao (1989), but against Li & Liu (2013), that *Sanchuansaurus*, limited to the three specimens he assigned to the taxon (IVPP V6723, V6724, V6725), is a distinct pareiasaur genus and species. Key evidence is the lower number of marginal cusps in tooth crowns (9-11), and less significant evidence is the smaller size of the animal and its greater stratigraphic age. These points will be considered in turn. First, we consider other potential distinguishing characters.

One of the two autapomorphies of *Sanchuansaurus* noted by Lee (1997: 209), ‘the two exits for the infraorbital canal [on the maxilla] are very far apart’, may be distinct from other pareiasaurs with maxillae preserved, but it cannot be checked in the other Chinese pareiasaurs because they lack this element. Gao (1989: 1234) distinguished *Sanchuansaurus* from all other pareiasaurs based on the following combination of characters: “Maxillary short and deep, with robust antorbital process and palatal flange. Teeth closely and firmly implanted in maxillary; roots curved medially. Tooth crowns slightly compressed transversely, markedly overlapping with each other; cusps, numbering 9-11, arranged as 3-4 anteriorly, 3 in middle, and 3-4 posteriorly.” In fact, these characters occur in nearly all pareiasaurs.

There is a key difference in the teeth, in terms of the number of tooth cusps, a phylogenetically important character (Lee 1997), ‘and every tooth has a cusped cingulum on the lingual surface’ in *Sanchuansaurus*. In addition, Gao (1989: 1238) had noted that the maxillary teeth of *Sanchuansaurus* have fewer serrations in total (10-12) than the dentary teeth of *Huanghesaurus* (14-17). In all cladistic analyses so far (Lee 1997; Jalil & Janvier 2005; Tsuji & Müller 2008; Tsuji 2013; Tsuji *et al.* 2013), *Sanchuansaurus* is separated phylogenetically from *Shihtienfenia* and *Shansisaurus*, partly as a result of the difference in marginal cusp numbers in the teeth. Including all teeth, the figures are 9-12 denticles in *Sanchuansaurus* and 13-17 in *Huanghesaurus* (Table 1). Li & Liu (2013) describe a tooth

(IVPP V18614) with 17 cusps, seemingly then an example of *Huanghesaurus*, but they note it was found in association with the holotype of *Sanchuansaurus*, from the lower part of the Sunjiagou Formation, and so synonymise the two genera, and those two with *Shansisaurus*. However, this tooth cannot be unequivocally identified as *Sanchuansaurus*, and not *Huanghesaurus*, and so does not prove a synonymy.

A second dental apomorphy is less clear. In the cladistic analyses (Lee 1997; Jalil & Janvier 2005; Tsuji & Müller 2008; Tsuji 2013; Tsuji *et al.* 2013), *Sanchuansaurus* and *Scutosaurus* are paired by possession of the unique apomorphy of a cusped cingulum, and thereby distinguished from the other Chinese pareiasaurs. However, the possession of a cingulum and of cingular denticles by *Sanchuansaurus* is not unique among the Chinese pareiasaurs. All dentary teeth of *Huanghesaurus* also show a clear cingulum, and it bears several denticles in the marginal portions, as noted also by Li & Liu (2013: 202). These features are presented in more detail below, and re-coded for the revised cladistic analysis.

It could be countered that there is a danger in these comparisons, because *Sanchuansaurus* and *Huanghesaurus* are distinguished on differences between maxillary and dentary teeth, and that pareiasaurs show differences in the size and shape of upper and lower dentitions (Lee 1997: 215). For example, in *Pareiasuchus nasicornis*, the single dentary tooth that can be seen differs in shape from the maxillary and premaxillary teeth in being taller, possessing more cusps, having cusps that face somewhat anteriorly and posteriorly, and a crown that is not recurved lingually, differential features seen also in *Pareiasuchus peringueyi* and *Scutosaurus karpinskii*. However, such variation does not occur in all pareiasaurs: *Deltavjatia* shows similar-sized teeth in both upper and lower dentitions (Tsuji 2013). Further, these variations between upper and lower dentitions do not extend to cusp numbers.

Less significant is body size. The *Sanchuansaurus* maxilla and *Huanghesaurus* dentary could hardly come from the same animal: the first possesses 15 teeth within a tooth-row length of 135 mm, the latter possesses 19 teeth in a tooth-row length of 200 mm, suggesting that, if upper and lower tooth row lengths should be comparable, and these two tooth rows are more or less complete, that the *Sanchuansaurus* individual was about two-thirds the linear dimensions of the *Huanghesaurus* animal, which could scale to **one-third of the body mass, assuming isometry ($0.67 \times 0.67 \times 0.67 = 0.3$)**.

The postcranial bones assigned to *Sanchuansaurus* by Gao (1989) include a partial left femur (IVPP V6724) and a left fibula (IVPP V6725). The femur, although relatively featureless, and indistinguishable from that of *Shansisaurus* (Cheng 1980: fig. 20), is considerably shorter (270 mm, compared to 430 mm for a similar portion of the bone in

Shansisaurus). Likewise the left fibula is smaller than expected, some 236 mm long, and in proportion to the femur, although no fibula of the larger Chinese pareiasaurs is known, for comparison. The other elements from the same bone-bearing lens, including a dorsal vertebra, a right scapulocoracoid, a partial scapula blade, and the now lost left humerus and right tibia, assigned variously by Gao (1989: 1238–1239) to *Shansisaurus* sp. and Pareiasaur indet., come from larger animals, perhaps one-third larger, and similar in size to *Shihtienfenia*. Size is a poor criterion for taxon discrimination, as is stratigraphic age, but Gao (1989: 1239) and Li and Liu (2013: 199–200) note that *Sanchuansaurus* was older than the other Sunjiagou Formation pareiasaurs.

SYSTEMATIC DESCRIPTIONS

ORDER PARAREPTILIA OLSON, 1947

SUBORDER PAREIASAURIA SEELEY, 1888

FAMILY PAREIASAURIDAE COPE, 1896

Comments: Here, we describe the two valid Sunjiagou Formation pareiasaurs, *Sanchuansaurus pygmaeus* and *Shihtienfenia permica*. Several specimens were found to be missing (May 2012), namely IVPP V2718 (paratype of *Shihtienfenia*, 11 dorsal vertebrae and fragments, badly weathered; Young & Yeh 1963: 207); IVPP V4016 (holotype teeth of *Tsiyuania simplicidentata* Young, 1979: 103–104, fig. 5); IVPP V8535 (pareiasaurid right tibia in Gao 1989: 1239); CAGS V301 and V302 (holotype and paratypes of *Shansisaurus xuecunensis* Cheng, 1980, scapulocoracoid, humerus, vertebrae, ribs, isolated teeth).

SANCHUANSAURUS GAO, 1989

Type species: *Sanchuansaurus pygmaeus* Gao, 1989.

Diagnosis: As for the type species.

Distribution: Shanxi Province, China; Upper Permian (Changhsingian).

SANCHUANSAURUS PYGMAEUS GAO, 1989

Sanchuansaurus pygmaeus Gao; Gao 1989: 1234–1238, fig. 1, pl. 1

430 *Sanchuansaurus pygmaeus* Gao; Lee 1997: 210

431 *Sanchuansaurus pygmaeus* Gao; Jalil & Janvier 2005: 115

432 *Sanchuansaurus pygmaeus* Gao; Tsuji & Müller 2008: 1118

433

434 **Holotype** - IVPP V6723, a right maxilla.

435

436 **Paratypes** - IVPP V6724, a left femur, and IVPP V6725, a left fibula.

437

438 **Type locality and horizon** - **Beigou** village, near **Weicun town**, Liulin County, Shanxi
439 Province, China; lowest part of the Sunjiagou Formation, Upper Permian (Changhsingian).

440

441 **Revised diagnosis** - A pareiasaur, about **one-third** the body mass, and two-thirds the length,
442 of the other **Sunjiagou Formation** pareiasaurs, with 9-12 marginal cusps on maxillary teeth;
443 the two exits for the infraorbital canal [on the maxilla] are very far apart (Lee 1997: 209).

444

445 **Maxilla** - **The** holotype of *Sanchuansaurus pygmaeus* Gao, **1989 (IVPP V6723; Fig. 4)** is 135
446 mm anteroposteriorly long and 85 mm dorsoventrally deep, and it is cracked and lacks the
447 most anterior and most posterior portions. The anterior end is massive and terminates in a
448 vertical portion, probably representing more or less the orientation of the premaxillary
449 contact. The narial margin of the maxilla is elongate and sweeps up and back into the
450 antorbital process (Fig. 4A, B, a.p.), which appears to be more or less complete, lacking
451 perhaps the dorsalmost portion. This process broadens into a distinct lateral boss, located
452 above maxillary teeth 5-7, an unusual feature among pareiasaurs, as noted by Gao (1989:
453 1235). The posterodorsal margin of the maxilla, which presumably contacted the lacrimal in
454 life, again lacks the thinner portions, but sweeps back and down to the relatively narrow
455 posterior portion of the maxilla, which would have met the jugal with a narrow process. The
456 ventral margin is more or less straight in lateral view (Fig. 4A), and bears the remains of 15
457 marginal teeth. These sit in distinct sockets which crowd closely, and somewhat irregularly on
458 the ventral margin. Around the teeth, and above them, is a zone, some 7-12 mm deep, of
459 somewhat porous-looking, unfinished bone surface that might represent actively replacing
460 bone tissue associated with the continuing tooth replacement.

461 The medial view of the maxilla (Fig. 4B) shows a **substantial palatal** shelf (Fig. 4B, C,
462 p.s.) running nearly horizontally, and more or less parallel to the ventral tooth-bearing margin.
463 The medial faces of the maxilla that lie above and below the palatal shelf are somewhat

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

464 concave. The shelf is located halfway between the dorsal point of the antorbital process and
465 the ventral margin of the maxilla (80 mm), and it projects **medially**. The maxilla is about 15
466 mm **thick above** the tooth-bearing area, and with the shelf its overall thickness varies from 25-
467 30 mm and up to 35 mm at the position of the antorbital boss. The antorbital process (Fig. 4B,
468 a.p.) projects above, and below is the deep tooth-bearing area. Three replacement teeth have
469 been exposed in this zone, located above teeth 3-4, 6, and 8 respectively. The somewhat
470 irregular arrangement of the marginal teeth is clear in medial view (Fig. 4B), with numbers 3,
471 5, and 7 projecting more laterally than the others. In this area, teeth 3-7 are relatively large,
472 and there is insufficient space for them to form a uniform row, so presumably several were
473 forced out of line as they emerged into their final positions. As on the dorsal face, there is a
474 distinct zone, some 17-25 mm deep, above and round the functioning and the replacement
475 teeth, where the bone surface texture is porous or irregular. In places it shows vertically
476 oriented striations, which contrast with the more horizontal striation pattern in the finished
477 bone surface above.

478 The bone shows surprisingly few vessel openings, and no trace of the often abundant
479 small canals in the snout and gum region of other amniotes. The infraorbital canal is very
480 clear in medial view (Fig. 4B, io.c.), entering the maxilla above the palatine shelf, and just
481 behind the antorbital process, and perhaps exiting through two large openings on the lateral
482 face (Fig. 4A, io.c.). This canal presumably carried the infraorbital nerve, the anterior portion
483 of the maxillary branch of the trigeminal nerve (cranial nerve V₂) as well as presumably the
484 infraorbital artery.

485 The teeth **are of** normal pareiasaur shape (Table 1), with a broad, somewhat diamond-
486 shaped crown and a narrower, smooth shaft. Each tooth emerges from the maxilla, expands
487 into the crown, and curves medially and slightly posteriorly. This gives the lateral (labial) face
488 of each tooth a distinct convex shape, with a definite medial bend at the transition from shaft
489 to crown. Tooth 2 is broken at the level of the maxillary margin, and it shows a more or less
490 circular cross section (Fig. 4C, 2), measuring 9 mm in the antero-posterior axis, and 8 mm
491 medio-laterally. The outer dentine wall is 2 mm wide, leaving a 4-5 mm wide central dentine
492 core. This central shaft of younger dentine is seen clearly in tooth 1, which has been partly
493 prepared **out** (Fig. 4B, 1). The tooth crown measures 7-12 mm in the antero-posterior axis,
494 compared to a shaft of 5-7 mm in the same orientation. The teeth vary slightly in size,
495 becoming slightly larger from front to back (Table 1). The crown extends to the distal margin
496 smoothly in lateral view (Fig. 4A), but there is a distinct cingulum and occlusal surface on the
497 medial (lingual) face (Fig. 4B). This medial crown surface bears no lingual ridge (Lee 1997:

fig. 11). The cingulum at the proximal margin of the occlusal surface is gently curved, whereas the distal margin of the tooth is somewhat pointed, although most marginal teeth in this specimen have been damaged. The cingulum bears 10-12 tiny serrations on the edge of the occlusal surface (2.5 per mm), and at the outer edges, these serrations expand into the most proximal of the major serrations that surround the biting edge of the tooth. Each tooth bears 9-12 such marginal denticles, or cusps, each typically 1 mm wide, but some proximal ones 1.5 mm wide, and separated by deep grooves on labial and lingual tooth faces; each marginal serration then is 1-3 mm long, if the grooves are included. These marginal cusps point essentially ventrally, parallel to the longitudinal axis of the tooth.

The anterodorsal margin of the maxilla indicates that *Sanchuansaurus* had an elongate external naris (Lee 1997, character 23; Tsuji 2013, character 20); the maxilla shows a prominent maxillary boss (Lee 1997, character 25; Tsuji 2013, character 26); the maxillary dentition is inflected towards the palate, and the teeth are oriented ventromedially (Lee 1997, character 27; Tsuji 2013, character 50); the number of maxillary teeth in each maxilla is more than or equal to 10 (Lee 1997, character 55; Tsuji 2013, character 51); the teeth are labiolingually compressed, leaf-shaped, and with small denticles on the tooth crown (Lee 1997, character 58; Tsuji 2013, character 52); cusps are regularly spaced along the tooth crown (Lee 1997, character 61; Tsuji 2013, character 53); there are 9-11 marginal cusps on each maxillary tooth (Lee 1997, character 59; Tsuji 2013, character 54); there is a cingulum present, with small cuspules (Lee 1997, character 64; Tsuji 2013, character 57); and there is no caniniform region in the tooth row (Tsuji 2013, character 120).

Femur - An incomplete left femur was assigned to *Sanchuansaurus* by Gao (1989: 1235-1238, fig. 2, pl. 2A, B). The specimen (IVPP V6724) is 270 mm long at most (not 300 mm, as stated by Gao 1989: 1235), and it lacks both articular ends, these being represented by irregular broken faces and red sandstone infill (Fig. 5A-D). The irregular termination of the element at both ends suggests that the epiphyses fell off before fossilisation, and even that the exposed diaphyseal ends were somewhat damaged; perhaps the element comes from a young animal in which the epiphyses had not fused. This femur may have been 290 mm or more in length when complete. As in other pareiasaurs (Seeley 1892, figs. 8-11), this is a relatively short element, with short diaphysis and expanded ends. The maximum width of the anterior end is 112 mm, of the posterior end 115 mm, and minimum breadth of the shaft is 55 mm (excluding the postaxial flange), all measured in dorsal view (Fig. 5A). The shaft is strong, and it expands proximally as a convex face in dorsal view (Fig. 5A), extending posteriorly

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

532 into a sizable postaxial flange (Fig. 5A, p.f.) that diminishes to a minimum thickness of 18
533 mm near the margin. Distally, the shaft expands to form a concave intercondylar sulcus (Fig.
534 5A, i.s.) towards the distal end. The intercondylar foramen in this sulcus is not seen, the
535 preserved bone terminating before that point. The distal condyles are missing, but the
536 preserved bone extends somewhat further on the anterior side, forming the proximal part of
537 the tibial condyle (Fig. 5A, ti.c.). In ventral/ medial view (Fig. 5B), the proximal
538 intertrochanteric fossa is broad and nearly symmetrical, and extends from the anterior margin
539 across the postaxial flange. The distal end is too incomplete to see the popliteal fossa or any
540 foramen in the fibular condyle, as indicated by Gao (1989: 1235, fig. 2B).

541 The proximal head of this femur is curved slightly anteriorly (preaxially) (Lee 1997,
542 character 107; Tsuji 2013, character 95); the postaxial flange is present, and extends the entire
543 length of the femur, but is narrower in the middle, so the femur looks concave in dorsal or
544 ventral view (Lee 1997, character 112; Tsuji 2013, character 97).

545
546 **Fibula** - A complete left fibula was also referred to *Sanchuansaurus pygmaeus* by Gao (1989:
547 1235), but not illustrated. This element (IVPP V6725) is a straight bone, 236 mm long, and
548 with expanded proximal and distal ends, some 86 and 75 mm at their greatest widths
549 respectively (Fig. 5E–H). The shaft narrows to 30 x 38 mm. The proximal end is massive,
550 oval in shape in proximal view and measuring 62 x 85 mm. The shaft is roughly straight, with
551 minimal twisting so that the long axes of the articular ends are set at 30 degrees to each other.
552 The distal articular end is narrower, measuring 30 x 72 mm. The bone is broadest in extensor
553 (dorsal) and flexor (ventral) views (Fig. 5E, G), and it shows a clear anterior trochanter in
554 flexor and anterior views (Fig. 5G, H, a.t.), some 30 mm long, located entirely within the
555 proximal half of the bone. The anterior fibular ridge is slightly marked, but other muscle
556 attachment sites are less clearly demarcated. At the distal end, the facets for articulation with
557 the fibulare and intermedium (Fig. 5E, fib., int.) are separated by an angulation, and there is a
558 depressed area above these on the extensor face of the shaft. It seems that Gao (1989: 1235)
559 confused the proximal and distal articular ends in giving measurements.

561 *SHIHTIENFENIA* YOUNG & YEH, 1963

563 **Type species** - *Shihtienfenia permica* Young & Yeh, 1963

564 **Diagnosis** - As for the type species.

565 **Distribution** - Shanxi Province, China; Upper Permian (Changhsingian).

566

567

SHIHTIENFENIA PERMICA YOUNG & YEH, 1963

568

569 *Shihtienfenia permica* Young & Yeh; Young & Yeh 1963: 195-212, figs. 1-9, pls. 1, 2570 *Shansisaurus xuecunensis* Cheng; Cheng, 1980: 115-119, figs. 18-20, pls. 127, 128;

571 subjective synonym

572 *Shihtienfenia* Young & Yeh; Gao 1983: 201573 *Shansisaurus* Cheng; Gao 1983: 201574 *Huanghesaurus liulinensis* Gao; Gao, 1983: 193-203, figs. 1-7, pls. 1, 2; subjective synonym575 *Shansisaurus xuecunensis* Cheng; Gao 1989: 1238-1239, fig. 3576 *Huanghesaurus liulinensis* Gao; Gao 1989: 1238577 *Shihtienfenia* Young & Yeh; Lee 1995: 503578 *Shihtienfenia permica* Young & Yeh; Lee 1997: 209579 *Shansisaurus xuecunensis* Cheng, 1980; Lee 1997: 209580 *Shihtienfenia permica* Young & Yeh; Lucas 2001: 79-80, fig. 6-8581 Syn. *Shansisaurus xuecunensis* Cheng; Lucas 2001: 79582 Syn. *Huanghesaurus liulinensis* Gao; Lucas 2001: 79-80583 *Shihtienfenia permica* Young & Yeh; Jalil & Janvier 2005: 115584 *Shansisaurus xuecunensis* Cheng; Jalil & Janvier 2005: 115585 *Shihtienfenia permica* Young & Yeh; Tsuji & Müller 2008: 1118586 *Shansisaurus xuecunensis* Cheng; Tsuji & Müller 2008: 1118

587

588 **Holotype** - IVPP V2717, a partial skeleton, consisting of about 20 vertebrae, ribs, and
589 elements of the pectoral girdle (scapulocoracoids, dermal pectoral elements), both humeri,
590 and both pelvic plates (ilium, pubis, ischium).

591

592 **Paratypes** - CAGS V301, isolated vertebrae, a complete left scapulocoracoid, a left femur,
593 and some rib fragments, the type material of *Shansisaurus xuecunensis* Cheng, 1980; CAGS
594 V302, vertebrae, assigned to *Shansisaurus xuecunensis* Cheng, 1980; IVPP 6722-1 to 29, an
595 incomplete skeleton, consisting of a left lower jaw, a possible jugal, part of the right lower
596 jaw, conjoined splenials, 13 vertebrae, the left scapulocoracoid, clavicles, interclavicle, and
597 the left humerus, ulna, and radius, the type specimen of *Huanghesaurus liulinensis* Gao,
598 1983.

599

Type locality and horizon - Lishenglen, Baode town, Baode County, Shanxi Province, China; Sunjiagou Formation, Upper Permian (Changhsingian).

Revised diagnosis - A pareiasaur, about **three times** the body mass, and 1.5 times the length, of *Sanchuansaurus*, with 13-17 marginal cusps on maxillary teeth. Entepicondylar **foramen** of humerus situated on the side of the epicondyle and feebly exposed in dorsal view, foramen has migrated around the edge of the humerus (coded in *Shihtienfenia* and *Huanghesaurus*). Possibly also intercondylar depression on the dorsal surface of the distal end of the humerus with a transverse ridge present on the distal surface, defined dorsally by the ulnar articular surface (seen in *Shihtienfenia*, but not codable in *Shansisaurus* or *Huanghesaurus*).

SKULL AND LOWER JAW

POSSIBLE JUGAL

An isolated element, IVPP V6722-3, was identified by Gao (1983: 195, pl. 1, figs. 3, 4) as an angular of *Huanghesaurus*, the descending boss from the left side of the lower jaw. This identification, however, cannot be correct because the narrow process that is supposed to be the descending boss, and so free of all contact with other bones, bears an articulating facet. This specimen (Fig. 6) is reinterpreted here very tentatively as a left jugal. It is roughly-L-shaped, with a long narrow process and, more or less at right angles, a wider process. These two outline a curved margin on the inside of the L-shape that did not suture with anything, and could then be the postero-ventral margin of the orbit. If this is the case, then the narrower process is the anterior process that contacts the lacrimal with its anterior tip, and the maxilla along most of the ventral margin. The broader process at right angles would then contact the postorbital dorsally on the broad upper margin. The posterior margin of the element **has** an upper portion that describes a distinctly concave surface in medial view (Fig. 6B), with a slot or groove below. If correctly interpreted, these faces would mark contacts with the squamosal above, and the quadratojugal below. An objection to this revised interpretation is that the element bears little if any sculpture externally (Fig. 6A), whereas the jugal is liberally sculpted in most pareiasaurs. However, there is a raised portion and a groove in the middle of the lower portion of the broader process, and the distal region of this process is damaged, so the external surface cannot be assessed.

634

635

MANDIBLE

636

637 The lower jaw is represented by three specimens, IVPP V6722-1 and 2, both ascribed to
638 *Huanghesaurus* by Gao (1983: fig. 1, pl. 1, figs. 1, 2, 5), and an unnumbered piece.

639

640 **Mandible** - IVPP V6722-1 (Fig. 7A–C) is a huge right lower jaw of a pareiasaur, seemingly
641 much larger than any of the associated remains. It appears to be complete except for the
642 splenial. The specimen is undistorted and preservation quality is excellent. In lateral view
643 (Fig. 7A), the jaw is remarkably equal in depth from front to back. The whole specimen
644 measures 364 mm in maximum length, along its ventral region from the posterior expansion
645 of the angular to the anterior symphyseal point. The dorsal margin, from articular to anterior
646 teeth insertions measures 273 mm. Depth of the jaw is 62 mm below tooth 2, 53 mm below
647 tooth 3, 81 mm at the back of the tooth row, and 96 mm measured vertically from the high
648 point in front of the articular facet. The specimen evidently **broke in two, and this has been**
649 **repaired** by IVPP technicians, so the specimen can be displayed. Close inspection suggests
650 that this line of break roughly marks the posterior margin of the dentary in lateral and medial
651 views.

652 The dentary is by far the largest element of the lower jaw, comprising 60% of its
653 length ventrally and nearly 90% dorsally. In lateral view (Fig. 7A), the dentary is a broad
654 strap-like plate that curves slightly from the symphyseal portion, and its ventral margin
655 sweeps back in a straight line. Here the edge is very thin, tapering to nothing where it would
656 have overlapped the absent splenial. This ventral margin angles slightly upwards posteriorly,
657 exposing the anterior process of the angular in lateral view, and it then bends more dorsally
658 into its posterior margin, also presumably wafer-thin, but obscured by repair medium, and
659 presumably running to a point at the postero-dorsal angle. The dorsal margin of the dentary is
660 closely lined with teeth from its most medial point above the symphysis, back some 200 mm,
661 leaving only 45 mm or so of toothless dorsal margin behind (exact distance obscured by
662 repair medium). In the space of 200 mm are 19 teeth, numbers 1–15 more or less complete (3
663 is a pit), and 16–18 represented by broken-off roots, and tooth 19 by a pit (Fig. 7A–C). Gao
664 (1983: 203) noted 20 teeth. Tooth 3 is represented by a pit, as if it had been shed, and not
665 replaced, just before death. Tooth 11 is set lower than the others, and is perhaps just emerging
666 from the jaw line and moving into place. Otherwise, the intact teeth form a regular palisade,
667 all reaching the same level dorsally, and so providing a uniform cutting blade. There are three

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

large vessel openings (Fig. 7A, v.o.) at mid-height on the lateral face of the dentary, measuring 8, 10, and 7 mm respectively in maximum antero-posterior length respectively from front to back. These canal openings lie below tooth positions 3, 7, and 9, and they presumably housed exiting mental nerves and blood vessels.

The dentary forms part of the symphysis (Fig. 7B, sy.), on a flattened vertical face that consists of the lateral plate and a medial plate below the tooth row, each at most 12 mm thick medio-laterally. The lateral plate descends 65 mm below the first tooth, whereas the medial plate descends 50 mm, diverging from the lateral plate, and leaving a shallow roofed channel beneath, the anteriormost portion of the Meckelian fossa. In medial view posterior to the symphysis (Fig. 7B), the ventral margin of the medial plate runs back for some 90 mm above an open Meckelian fossa (Fig. 7B, me.f.) below which would have been covered by the splenial in life. The ventral margin of the dentary slopes gradually upwards, partially obscured by the repair medium, and its depth below the dental lamina zone diminishes from some 40 mm below teeth 1-3, to 34 mm below teeth 0-11, and presumably further diminishing in depth more posteriorly. There is a large opening, measuring 32 x 18 mm, located below teeth 7-9 on this medial portion of the dentary, but it is uncertain whether this is a real structure or a result of enthusiastic preparation work. It does not match any such opening in other basal tetrapods.

Above this medial dentary plate is a deep dental lamina zone (Fig. 7B, d.l.), varying from 20 mm deep below tooth 7 to 10 mm deep below tooth 15. This zone lies above a clearly demarcated rounded margin of the dentary bone, and the bone texture switches from relatively smooth and longitudinally striated below the demarcation to irregular and vertically striated in the dental lamina zone. Further, this zone contains many circular-topped erosion hollows where teeth were presumably in the process of being implanted into the dentary bone from the soft-tissue dental lamina. Three such replacement teeth are in place, in order of advancement of eruption, beneath marginal teeth 17 and 14, and tooth 11, which has expelled its precursor and is moving up into place in the marginal tooth row. The equal spacing (three teeth apart) could suggest a Zahnreihe, a wave of tooth replacement running from back to front of the tooth row (DeMar 1972). There is a trace of a replacement tooth in a small pit beneath tooth 1. Further, there are irregular erosion pits in the dental lamina beneath teeth 15, 12, 8-10, 7, and 5. The status of teeth 3-4 is hard to determine: tooth 4 is complete, but has been exposed, broken off, and re-inserted into the jaw bone partially reversed by a preparator, whereas the position of tooth 3 is marked by a deep depression in the jaw margin, possibly from original jaw damage or from over-preparation.

702 There is no sign of a coronoid bone, and the splenial is apparently entirely missing,
703 leaving the ventral margin of the dentary exposed as a wafer-thin bone plate, and showing the
704 anterior process of the angular in medial view.

705 The sutures among the post-dentary elements are not clear. The angular forms most of
706 the lower margin of the jaw, being presumably at least 250 mm long as seen in medial view
707 (Fig. 7B, an.). The anterior tip is broken off, so it would have been longer. The lateral portion
708 of the angular lies beneath the dentary, in a longitudinal facet on the medial side of the lateral
709 plate of the dentary about 11 mm deep. The ventral margin of the angular is narrow, ranging
710 from 10-20 mm in medio-lateral width, but the medial side of the hemimandible suggests
711 there may have been some crushing and a partial collapse of the Meckelian fossa. On the
712 medial face, the dorsal contacts of the angular with the prearticular and articular cannot be
713 seen with certainty, but some possible indications are shown (Fig. 7A, sa?). In lateral view
714 (Fig. 7A, ma.f.), the ventral margin of the mandibular fenestra at least seems genuine, and the
715 dorsal extent might be also, or perhaps slightly enlarged. In any case, the mandibular fenestra
716 appears to measure 32 x 16 mm. The contact between angular and surangular seems to begin
717 just above and behind the mandibular foramen, and then it becomes obscure posteriorly. The
718 angular boss, a substantial vertical extension of the bone seen in most pareiasaurs, is at best
719 only modest here, represented by a slight downwards expansion of the posterior portion of the
720 mandible when the dentary tooth row is held horizontal. Gao (1983: pl. 1, figs. 3,4) identified
721 IVPP V6722-3 as the angular boss, and suggested the structure lay far forward, essentially
722 below its current anterior termination (Gao 1983: fig. 1). However, this very anterior location
723 is impossible as that portion of the angular was demonstrably covered by the splenial in life.
724 Present evidence suggests that *Shihtienfenia* had only a modest ventral angular boss, and
725 IVPP V6722-3 is identified here as a possible jugal (see above).

726 The surangular is presumably bordered by the dentary anteriorly, and the contact is
727 lost in the zone of repair medium, the angular ventrally, as noted, and it sweeps round the
728 pediment of the articular facet of the jaw. The prearticular is similarly of uncertain extent
729 (Fig. 7B, pa?), its anterior contact with the dentary obscured beneath repair medium, and its
730 ventral contact with the angular only seen incompletely. Finally, the articular is presumably
731 largely restricted to the articular facet, which is 70 mm in antero-posterior length and 50 mm
732 in medio-lateral width. The articular face (Fig. 7C, ar) consists of an elongate lateral portion
733 that curves around the medial face, and descends substantially ventrally in the posterior
734 portion. The smaller medial portion of the articular facet is 36 mm in antero-posterior length

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

735 and 23 mm in medio-lateral width, and it sits at an angle of 60° above horizontal, facing
736 mainly medially.
737
738 **Splenials** - IVPP V6772-2 consists of much of the two splenials of a pareiasaur (Fig. 7D, E),
739 and the size is about right for these to match IVPP V6772-1, which lacks the splenials.
740 Indeed, the proportions of the missing splenial in IVPP V6772-1, the curvature of the jaw
741 line, and the shape of the ventral margins of the dentary all make a very good match to IVPP
742 V6772-2. However, the preservation is rather different, the latter specimen being somewhat
743 ‘rougher’ in appearance, and bearing patches of a deeper red colour, whereas the
744 **corresponding** portions of IVPP V6772-1 are preserved with a better bone surface texture and
745 the predominant colour is grey. However, the postdentary portion of IVPP V6772-1 is similar
746 in texture and colour to IVPP V6772-2, so the two may have been disarticulated before death,
747 and they might conceivably have come from the same individual. As preserved, the left
748 splenial **is 205** mm long, measured round the curve, and the right splenial 230 mm long. The
749 symphysis **is thick, and** measures 48 mm antero-posteriorly at the midline, compared to 28
750 mm at the narrowest point of the anterior portion. This midline thickening is seen also in other
751 pareiasaurs, such as *Pareiasuchus* (Lee *et al.* 1997: fig. 7C). There is a distinct channel
752 running the entire length of each splenial, beginning as a depression that is open posteriorly at
753 the front, and then the medial thin wall rises some 40 mm behind the symphysis to a height of
754 25 mm, where the wall bounds the base of the medial flange of the dentary. The lateral wall of
755 the splenial longitudinal groove begins low and broad, and rises to a height of 20 mm above
756 the base of the groove. These medial and lateral splenial walls are incomplete, but would have
757 bounded the anterior part of the Meckelian fossa (Fig. 7C, me.f.). The base of the canal is
758 somewhat irregular, and it is hard to identify a step where the anterior process of the angular
759 inserted. In ventral view (Fig. 7E), the splenial expands to an approximate medio-lateral
760 breadth of 32 mm on the left and 35 mm on the right, perhaps indicating the true original
761 breadth of the lower portion of each hemimandible, and perhaps confirming the suggestion
762 above that IVPP V6722-1 might have been gently medio-laterally compressed.
763 The external surface of both specimens is sculpted with a subtle, and low pattern of
764 small bosses, 1-2 mm in diameter, and thin ridges, each less than 1 mm wide. If anything, the
765 ventral face of the splenials seem more sculpted than the dentary and post-dentary elements in
766 IVPP V6722-1.

Right dentary - The unnumbered specimen, in a box of uncatalogued fragments and scraps of bone associated with IVPP V6722, is a roughly prepared anterior right hemimandible, exactly matching IVPP 6722-1, and fitting neatly on the midline symphysis. The specimen (Fig. 8A, B) carries 17 teeth, some complete and others rather broken, and it shows the dental lamina and the medial face of the dentary that roofs the anterior portion of the Meckelian cartilage. The lateral surface is rough and poorly preserved, and it terminates above the point at which it would have contacted the splenial.

Dentition - The teeth of IVPP V6772-1 (Figs. 7A–C, 8C, D) individually have cylindrical roots, nearly perfectly circular in cross section, measuring 7–9 mm across (Table 1). These expand into the slightly flattened, rhomb-shaped crown, which is typically 14–20 mm dorso-ventrally high and 11–12 mm antero-posteriorly wide. With 19 teeth in 200 mm, this means the crowns overlap slightly, the anterior margin of each tooth at its widest part being located medially of the posterior margin of the tooth in front. The teeth individually vary in length and breadth (Table 1), but there is no sign of a regular pattern of increasing or diminishing size from front to back – tooth size is difficult to measure because many teeth have partly damaged edges. The teeth are curved convex-laterally from ventral root to dorsal crown. The dorsal margin of the dentigerous portion of the maxilla is little more than 10 mm thick medio-laterally, and so the teeth form the bulk of this element, being separated by thin inserts of bone. The root slopes dorso-laterally, and emerges at the very lateral edge of the dentary with which the lateral (lingual) face of the tooth crown is more or less parallel, each rising near-vertically. In medial (labial) view (Fig. 8D), the tooth crowns are somewhat concave medially. Each crown is an asymmetrical rhomb, with the shorter edges ventrally, and the longer edges dorsally, above a marked cingulum (Fig. 8E, ci). Above the cingulum, in fully erupted teeth, a midline bulge ascends the medial face of the crown, demarcated by two ridges (Fig. 8E, ri) that curve from the cingulum to the denticles on either side of the middle two denticles at the dorsalmost point of the tooth.

A series of seven or eight well-marked, pointed denticles or cusps extends along each margin of the tooth crown, giving a total of 13–17 on each tooth crown (Fig. 8C–E; Table 1). Unlike the more longitudinally oriented cusps in the maxillary teeth of *Sanchuansaurus* (see above), these cusps point more to the sides, in other words anteriorly and posteriorly, as seen also in species of *Pareiasuchus* and *Scutosaurus* (Lee *et al.* 1997: 325).

Despite previous assertions about *Huanghesaurus* (coded as '*Shansisaurus*' by Lee 1997: 231; Jalil & Janvier 2005: 192), this taxon shows a distinct cingulum (Fig. 8E, ci),

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

802 demarcating the somewhat hollowed, or spoon-shaped labial crown face. The cingulum
803 traverses the entire width of the tooth, and bears two or three denticles on either side of a
804 substantial midline lingual ridge that bears two distinct longitudinal ridges close to the
805 midline, and divides the crown
806
807 **Comparison** - The lower jaw specimens allow coding of several phylogenetically informative
808 characters: the splenial forms the ventral portion of the mandibular symphysis (Lee 1997,
809 character 51; Tsuji 2013, character 46); the ventral surface of the angular is smooth and with
810 no boss (Lee 1997, character 52; Tsuji 2013, character 47); there is a small dorsal projection
811 on the retroarticular process (Lee 1997, character 54; Tsuji 2013, character 48); there is no
812 lateral shelf on the articular (Tsuji 2013, character 49); the teeth are labiolingually
813 compressed, leaf-shaped, and with small denticles on the tooth crown (Lee 1997, character
814 58; Tsuji 2013, character 52); cusps are regularly spaced along the tooth crown (Lee 1997,
815 character 61; Tsuji 2013, character 53); there are more than 11 marginal cusps on each
816 dentary tooth (Lee 1997, character 60; Tsuji 2013, character 55); the mandibular teeth show a
817 distinct, triangular ridge, narrowing towards the crown of the tooth (Lee 1997, character 63;
818 Tsuji 2013, character 56); there is a cingulum present, with small cuspules (Lee 1997,
819 character 64; Tsuji 2013, character 57); and there is no caniniform region in the tooth row
820 (Tsuji 2013, character 120).

821
822
823 **AXIAL SKELETON**

824
825 **INTRODUCTION**

826
827 The original materials of *Shihtienfenia* included ‘about 20 vertebrae’ in the holotype (IVPP
828 V2717) and ‘11 more or less well preserved dorsal vertebrae and some fragments of the same’
829 in the paratype (IVPP V2718, specimen now missing). Young & Yeh (1963: 207-208)
830 described four isolated possible cervical vertebrae, four isolated anterior dorsals, a block
831 containing four posterior dorsals, perhaps numbers 16-20, near to, but not quite contacting the
832 sacrum, a block of five sacral vertebrae, and two isolated caudal vertebrae, one of which
833 belongs to IVPP V2717. The specimens are somewhat distorted, and some are incomplete,
834 but the articulated series, the appropriate sizes of all materials (Table 2), and the apparently

close proximity of all specimens, is strong evidence that the holotype (IVPP V2717) is a single specimen.

The *Huanghesaurus* holotype includes 13 vertebrae (IVPP V6722-4 to 16) and six partial ribs (IVPP V6722-17 to 22) and, as ever, these are hard to assign to their exact locations in the vertebral column. Pareiasaurs typically have 17-21 presacral vertebrae, the lower numbers being found in more basal taxa (Lee 1997). It is likely the 13 vertebrae of *Huanghesaurus* come from a single individual, as they were all found together in one spot (Gao 1983), they appear to match in overall dimensions, and they show subtly changing morphology from one to the next. Clearly, several vertebrae are missing, and the present materials do not permit any estimate of total presacral vertebral numbers (Lee 1997, character 67).

The first vertebrae (IVPP V6722-4 to 7) appear to form an articulating sequence (Gao 1983: fig. 2, pl. 1, fig. 6), and they are quite different in morphology from the others (IVPP V6722-8 to 16). The first four are identified as posterior cervical vertebrae on the basis of three characters: the parapophysis and diapophysis are entirely distinct from each other, the transverse processes are located far anteriorly (Jalil & Janvier 2005: 76), and the neural spine, most unusually, slopes forwards. Of the further two criteria given by Jalil & Janvier (2005: 76), we see some compression of the centra, but not 'the very pronounced compression' they note, nor do the specimens bear the longitudinal, median ridge on the ventral surface seen in the Moroccan pareiasaur. The other vertebrae (IVPP V6722-8 to 16) are identified as dorsals, and probably posterior dorsals, on the basis of their short centra, fused rib attachments located less anteriorly, near-vertical neural spine, and the massive transverse processes, resembling in many ways the middle to posterior dorsals of the Moroccan pareiasaur (Jalil & Janvier 2005, figs. 32, 33).

Few phylogenetically informative characters of the axial skeleton can be coded with confidence. In that all putative presacral vertebrae of both *Shihtienfenia* and *Huanghesaurus* show transverse processes with rib attachments, there is no evidence that either specimen had ribless lumbar vertebrae (Lee 1997, character 68; Tsuji 2013, character 62). *Shihtienfenia* has five sacral vertebrae (Lee 1997, character 93; Tsuji 2013, character 63). Further, *Shihtienfenia* shows the primitive amniote morphology of the second and third sacral ribs showing only slight dorsoventral compression (Lee 1997, character 94; Tsuji 2013, character 84).

POSTERIOR CERVICAL VERTEBRAE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Young & Yeh (1963:207) refer to ‘four isolated neck vertebrae’ of *Shihtienfenia*, of which three are incomplete centra, and cannot be further described, and the fourth is more complete. It presents an unusual shape, showing the somewhat crushed and incomplete centrum and the right-hand lateral portion of the vertebra. The centrum (IVPP V2717) is tall and narrow (Table 2) and the paired axially oriented bases of the neural arch (Fig. 9A, n.a.) are clear, spaced some 30 mm apart. The lateral portion of the vertebra includes the prezygapophysis, projected far laterally, up to 140 mm from the midline, and with an articular facet 80 mm mediolaterally long and 35 mm anteroposteriorly deep. Beneath the prezygapophysis, a wall of bone descends vertically, and expands forward into the flared transverse process (Fig. 9A, t.p.) with a facet some 110 mm long at most. This vertical lamina beneath the prezygapophyseal articular facet also flares backwards as a separate process, but the detail is unclear because the posterior part of the vertebra is incomplete. Such broad posterior cervical vertebrae, with a wall-like flange connecting the laterally projected prezygapophysis and transverse process, are typical of pareiasaurs (e.g. Jalil & Janvier 2005; Tsuji & Müller 2008; Tsuji 2013).

The four posterior cervicals of *Huanghesaurus* are two rather incomplete specimens, consisting of centrum and base of the neural arch only (IVPP V6722-4 and 5) and two rather more complete specimens (IVPP V6722-6 and 7). The description is based primarily on the latter two specimens, with comparative remarks for the others (Table 3). In these, the centrum is more or less square when viewed laterally (Fig. 9B), with a slightly emarginated ventral margin, and broadly overturned articular faces. The mid-central pinching is more marked when viewed ventrally, but there is no clear mid-ventral ridge. The articular faces are roughly circular in shape, with a slight ventral prolongation associated with the ventral lateral pinching of the centrum. Both faces are deeply amphicoelous, with a deep, centrally located pit, which is much deeper on the posterior than the anterior face. In lateral view, the diapophysis and parapophysis are pronounced (Fig. 9B, dp., pp.), each standing well proud of the centrum surface, and located about midway between the two articular ends. These two rib facets are of about equal size in three of these vertebrae, each measuring about 20 x 33 mm, but the diapophysis is somewhat narrower in IVPP V6722-4, measuring 14 x 32 mm. They are oval, and each slopes back and down. Their diagonal arrangement means that the diapophysis is set slightly more posteriorly, the parapophysis more anteriorly, implying that the ribs canted substantially backwards.

The contact between centrum and neural arch is hard to detect, partly because it may have been fused in life, but also because of the rather coarse preservation. Presumably the line

of contact ran **along** the base of the neural canal, and then between diapophysis (on the neural arch) and parapophysis (on the centrum). The base of the neural arch surrounds a narrow neural **canal**, **27** mm wide and 14 mm high, and beneath the rather modestly sized prezygapophyses, the whole neural arch is only 50 mm wide, just over half the width of the centrum. The prezygapophyses, best seen in IVPP V6722-4 (Fig. 9B, prz.), are set back and do not project in front of the line of the anterior articular face of the centrum. The articular faces are **oval**, **measuring 45 x 24 mm**, and with the long **axis oriented** nearly parasagittally. They are tilted up at about 35° from medial to lateral. The postzygapophyses, best seen in IVPP V6722-6 (Fig. 9B, poz.), have an articular face that measures **50 x 28** mm, with the long axis oriented parasagittally. The postzygapophyses are set far back, and project entirely beyond the posterior margin of the centrum.

The neural spine is most unusual, sloping substantially forwards (Fig. 9B, n.sp.). Its base is set well back, emerging above the proximal portions of the postzygapophyses. It then slopes forwards, with the posterior margin beginning above the posterior margin of the centrum, and running upwards and forwards, with a gentle concave **curve**, **and the** anterior **margin more** or less straight. The neural spine is 85 mm long on the anterior margin, and 80 mm along the posterior margin from the dorsal root of the postzygapophysis to the tip. The spine is somewhat oval in cross section, with modest anterior and posterior midline ridges, The distal tip of the spine broadens laterally, with a maximum width of 50 mm, and maximum antero-posterior depth of 24 mm. The distal end bears two broad bosses, one on each side, forming a symmetrical, C-shaped curved head in dorsal view, with the concave face anteriorly, and the convex surface posteriorly.

ANTERIOR DORSAL VERTEBRAE

Three incomplete and laterally compressed vertebrae of the **holotype** of *Shihtienfenia* (IVPP V2717) are identified as anterior dorsals (Young & Yeh 1963: 207-208, fig. 5). The centra are tall and narrow (Table 2), doubtless much exaggerated by lateral crushing. The articular faces of the centra show deep central excavations, and broadly overturned lips. The anterior face of the vertebra identified as 11 (Fig. 9C) shows a concave articular face of the centrum, surmounted by distorted transverse processes, one pointing up and the other down, and the neural spine twisted round to the side. On the left sides of vertebrae identified as 11 and 12 (Fig. 9D) an extensive bone lamina descends on the lateral face of the centrum, providing the diapophyseal articulation at just above mid-height of the centrum, and the parapophyseal

937 articulation 60 mm or so higher on the transverse process, although this portion is not
938 preserved. Vertebra 13 (Young & Yeh 1963: fig. 5) is incomplete and retains little to describe
939 (Fig. 9E), whereas vertebra ?14 is more complete, with the anterior view (Fig. 9F) showing
940 the massive zygapophyses, a circular neural canal measuring 25 x 27 mm, and the base of the
941 anteriorly located neural spine. The hypantrum noted by Young & Yeh (1963: fig. 5, top
942 right) cannot be seen.

A single vertebra that formed part of the holotype of *Shansisaurus xuecunensis* (Cheng 1980: fig. 18; CAGS V301) appears to be a partial anterior or middle dorsal. The anterior articular face is slightly taller than wide and deeply excavated. It is surmounted by a tiny neural canal, and expands substantially laterally in the preserved right-hand side, which shows a large prezygapophysis projected up to 85 mm from the midline. Beneath it, and projecting only slightly less far is the transverse process, apparently with a single diapophyseal head.

POSTERIOR DORSAL VERTEBRAE

The five articulated posterior dorsal vertebrae of *Shihtienfenia* (Fig. 10A–C) are all incomplete, lacking the neural spine in all cases, the prezygapophyses in numbers 16, 17 and 20, the postzygapophyses in numbers 16 and 20, and much of the posterior part of the centrum in 20. Note that the numbering comes from Young and Yeh (1963, fig. 2), based on context of discovery. These vertebrae all share similar dimensions, so far as can be measured (Table 2). The centrum is narrow, taller than broad, with deeply concave sides. The articular faces are deeply concave, with the deepest portion penetrating in the centre. The marginal lips of the articular faces are substantially turned over, and ventrally, these overturned portions of the articular faces extend considerably. In ventral view (Fig. 10C), where the vertebrae meet, the anterior and posterior overturned articular faces form a substantial diamond-shaped facet between each pair of vertebrae, some 60 mm measured axially. Thus, the ventral portion of each centrum, which typically measures 90 mm long (Table 2; Fig. 10C), is composed of three equal-length portions, the anterior articular facet and the posterior articular facet, separated by only 30 mm of ventral centrum which forms a narrow ridge between. This extreme articular foldover and ventral facet is not seen in dorsal vertebrae of *Huanghesaurus*.

In all five vertebrae, the rib attachment is projected on a short transverse process (Fig. 10A, B, t.p.) which projects laterally little more than the prezygapophysis. The rib facet, representing the fused diapophysis and parapophysis, is broad and rounded, not as elongate as

1
2
3 971 in *Huanghesaurus*, with the long axis, 50–55 mm long, slanting at 45° from posterodorsal to
4 972 anteroventral, and 30 mm measured orthogonally. In lateral view (Fig. 10B, prez., poz.), the
5 973 prezygapophyses project far forward of the anterior margin of the centrum, and this is
6 974 matched by the anterior location of the neural spine and postzygapophyses which, although
7 975 huge (the postzygapophyseal facet of presacral 19 measures 95 x 55 mm), do not extend
8 976 behind the posterior articular face of the centrum.

9 977 The posterior dorsal vertebrae of *Huanghesaurus* (Table 3) include several more or
10 978 less complete specimens (IVPP V6772–8, 12, 13, 15), and others (IVPP V6772–9, 11, 14)
11 979 missing most of the neural arch and transverse processes, and one lacking the centrum (IVPP
12 980 V6772–16). The most complete example (IVPP V6722–15) is a massive vertebra, with a short
13 981 centrum, and massive zygapophyses and transverse processes (Fig. 10D–F). In lateral view
14 982 (Fig. 10E), the centrum is substantially constricted from side to side, reduced to half the width
15 983 of the articular faces, but the ventral emargination, although pronounced, is less substantial.
16 984 The articular faces lie roughly at the same level with no vertical offset, but the centrum is
17 985 distorted moderately laterally. The articular faces are similar in shape, being somewhat
18 986 circular, but wider than high, and the anterior face is slightly larger than the posterior (Table
19 987 3). The centrum is deeply amphicoelous, with a narrow, but very deep hollow in the centre of
20 988 each articular face (Fig. 10D, F).

21 989 The anterior view of the neural arch consists of massive, flat lateral laminae on either
22 990 side of a tiny neural canal (Fig. 10D, n.c.), about 18 mm wide and tall (it is up to 25 mm wide
23 991 in other dorsal vertebrae). These lateral laminae rise to the base of the prezygapophyses,
24 992 which are missing, but presumably had articular faces tilting down and back, to judge from
25 993 the postzygapophyses. The transverse process sprouts from immediately below the base of the
26 994 prezygapophysis (Fig. 10D, t.p., prz.), and runs up and slightly backwards, terminating in a
27 995 broad distal tip. The rib facet, clear on the right-hand side, is a single structure, oriented at 45°
28 996 anteroventrally, and shaped like an extended, pinched oval, some 80 mm long, 25 mm deep in
29 997 the anterior part and 23 mm in the posterior, and narrowing to 12 mm wide in the middle (Fig.
30 998 10E, r.f.). The articular face of this facet is concave. In other dorsals (e.g. IVPP V6722–8), the
31 999 transverse process is at most 50 mm wide antero-posteriorly. The lamina beneath the
32 1000 transverse process runs from its distal end to the rib facet located anterodorsally on the side of
33 1001 the centrum; this lamina is from 15 to 25 mm thick anteroposteriorly. In posterior view (Fig.
34 1002 10F), the transverse process proper stands distinctly apart from the lamina beneath, the
35 1003 division being marked by a substantial depression in the whole ventral part of the lamina. The

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1004 depth of the lamina varies from substantial in IVPP V6722-8 to more shallow in IVPP
1005 V6722-15.

1006 The postzygapophyses are massive, each extending some 120 mm from the midline,
1007 and so similar in length to the transverse processes below. Each postzygapophysis (Fig. 10D–
1008 F, poz.) extends more or less horizontally from the midline, and the articular face is canted at
1009 an angle of some 20°, facing ventro-anteriorly. The facet is not flat, but curved from front to
1010 back and from distally to proximally, and it sweeps down to meet the dorsal margin of the
1011 transverse process, in posterior view (Fig. 10F). There is a deep space between the
1012 postzygapophyses in the midline, and the tiny (17 x 17 mm) neural canal lies some distance
1013 below, and partly behind the raised margin of the posterior articular face.

1014 The neural spine stands nearly vertical, unlike that of the cervicals, but it is similarly
1015 massive and carries a similar expanded double-bossed distal end. The neural spine emerges
1016 from the top of the massive postzygapophyses, and because of the way they sweep
1017 downwards to the upper margin of the transverse process, and because the shortening of the
1018 whole vertebra, a Δ -shaped cavity is formed beneath the base of the neural spine when seen in
1019 anterior view (Fig. 10D). The neural spine is 105 mm tall, broadening from a minimum width
1020 of 30 mm to 52 mm at the massively expanded and heavy dorsal tip. In life, these massive
1021 boss-like neural spines probably articulated with each other, forming a basis for some of the
1022 massive dorsal armour, as shown by Seeley (1892: pl. 17) for *Pareiasaurus*. The shaft of the
1023 neural spine is deeper antero-posteriorly than wide laterally, and it has a somewhat triangular
1024 anterior face, coming to a ridge that extends in the midline from base to tip of the neural
1025 spine. In posterior view (Fig. 10F), the neural spine bears a midline ridge proximally, but this
1026 splits into two narrow ridges that diverge dorsally. The distal end of the neural spine in
1027 posterior view carries a broad boss at each side, and is slightly hollowed between; the
1028 diverging ridges each run to the base of the lateral distal bosses.

1029 Specimen IVPP V6722-15 is illustrated by Gao (1983: fig. 3), but the posterior view is
1030 labelled as ‘anterior’, and the details of the vertebra are slightly stylised, with an inaccurate
1031 rendition of the posterior depression beneath the transverse process. The ‘figure of eight’ rib
1032 facet terminating the transverse process seen in this specimen (Fig. 10E, r.f.) is very similar to
1033 that shown by the *Pareiasauria* gen. et sp. indet., from the Upper Permian of Morocco (Jalil &
1034 Janvier 2005: 80).

1035 Accessory articulations between dorsal vertebrae, the hypantrum and hyposphene,
1036 were noted in dorsal vertebrae of *Shihtienfenia* by Young & Yeh 1963: 207, fig. 5) and in
1037 dorsal vertebrae of *Huanghesaurus* by Gao (1983: 196), but these identifications seem to be

incorrect. The structures labelled by Young & Yeh (1963: fig. 5) are sketchy and hard to match with the specimens. Young & Yeh (1963: 207) describe these structures as follows: ‘In the anterior view the “hypantrum” is weakly indicated. Between it and the neural canal there is a weakly developed pyramid-like development which [is] not found in any of the related forms. This structure is, however, missing in the fourth isolated vertebra.’ Further, in describing *Huanghesaurus*, Gao (1983: 196) reports that “The hypantrum and hyposphene are all pronounced” in the dorsal vertebrae, although they are not marked in the figures or further described. In *Shihtienfenia*, these appear to be singular midline structures, and little more than the hollow between the base of the flaring postzygapophyses (‘hyposphene’) and an irregular single, midline projection above the neural canal (‘hypantrum’). In *Huanghesaurus*, for example the dorsal vertebrae IVPP V6722-8, 10 and 15 show a slight expansion of the lamina below the location of the (missing) prezygapophyses, on either side and close to the midline, just above the neural canal (Fig. 10D), and these angular structures are seen also in IVPP V6722-10, but these do not show any facet, and there is no matching structure beneath the postzygapophyses. Further, these putative facets are tiny when compared to the rather substantial zygapophyses, and are presumably just small projections that perhaps carried intervertebral muscles or ligaments and no more.

Such additional articulations are well known in trunk vertebrae of sauropodomorph dinosaurs (Apesteguia 2005) and theropods, and might be a saurischian synapomorphy, as they are absent in ornithischians (Gauthier 1986; Langer & Benton 2006). In addition, these accessory articulations have been identified in some basal archosaurs (notably, large rauisuchids), basal sauropterygians (Rieppel 1994), placodonts (Rieppel 2000), and diadectids (Kissel & Lehman 2002). In these taxa, the hyposphenes and hypantra are paired structures lying between, and slightly below the zygapophyses, and with definite facets for contact when the vertebrae are articulated. The hypantra and hyposphenes described in the Chinese pareiasaurs appear to be illusory.

SACRAL AND CAUDAL VERTEBRAE

The only sacrum so far reported is a substantial specimen in the type of *Shihtienfenia* (IVPP V2717) showing five vertebrae in articulation (Fig. 11). Young & Yeh (1963: 208) note that the first of the series is broken, and they speculate that there might have been a further sacral in front, making six in all. This is a high figure, in view of the fact that pareiasaurs generally had four or five sacral vertebrae; Lee (1995, 1997: 239-240) prefers to regard the putative

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1072 sixth sacral of *Shihtienfenia* as the first caudal. These statements may sound confusing, in that
1073 the first authors refer to an additional anterior sacral, and Lee refers to a putative additional
1074 posterior one. Young & Yeh (1963) are right that the first sacral is represented by a
1075 substantial sacral rib on the left side, but Lee (1995, 1997) is correct that the posteriormost of
1076 the six vertebrae in the block is likely the anteriormost caudal.

1077 The block of six vertebrae is fused, and the centra of vertebrae 2-5 form a dorsally
1078 convex curve, running smoothly from one to the next (Fig. 11A; Table 2). Despite distortion
1079 in many specimens, this arch seems so smooth and regular that it may be original. As noted,
1080 the first vertebra in the series is incomplete, missing most of the centrum. The
1081 postzygapophyses are present, but the neural spine is missing.

1082 The divisions between centra 2 to 4 are hard to make out because of the close
1083 association of these vertebrae, and the absence of extreme broadening or overturn at the
1084 articular faces. Sacral 5 shows a more spool-shaped centrum, with slightly expanded articular
1085 ends, and this is more marked in caudal 1. Sacral centra 2-4 then show little lateral narrowing,
1086 and no sign of a ventral ridge (Fig. 11D). Sacral 5 and caudal 1 do show some lateral
1087 pinching, but the ventral view shows no sign of a narrow ridge. All centra are of roughly
1088 equal length (Table 2), but observations on the articular faces and dorsal regions of the centra
1089 are impossible because of the way the specimens are so closely associated. The anterior face
1090 of sacral centrum 1 is partly obscured, but the posterior face of caudal centrum 1 appears
1091 deeply excavated.

1092 In the more complete sacrals 2-4, the neural spine is near vertical, and located well
1093 forward (Fig. 11A, B, n.sp.), as in the dorsals. The distal tips of the sacral neural spines are
1094 missing, but the remainder of the three spines is a laterally compressed rod, 55 mm long
1095 anteroposteriorly at the base and 45 mm at the distal end, as preserved, and from 25 mm wide
1096 mediolaterally at the base and 12 mm distally. The neural spine flares ventrally into the
1097 postzygapophyses: these diminish substantially from a length of 80 mm in sacral 1, to 45 mm
1098 in sacrals 2 and 3, and 40 mm in sacral 4. At the same time they become narrower, and
1099 directed much more dorsally than in the dorsal vertebrae, where they are massive laterally
1100 directed elements. The angle of the midline of the postzygapophyses in dorsal vertebrae was
1101 some 10° posterior to lateral, whereas these sacral postzygapophyses are oriented at some 60°
1102 posterior to lateral. The prezygapophyses are less clear, but can be seen firmly adhering below
1103 the narrow postzygapophyses of sacrals 3 and 4 (Fig. 11A–C, poz., prz.). Presumably the
1104 substantial fusion of the sacral centra and ribs reduces the need for strengthening of the
1105 vertebral column by substantial zygapophyses.

The lower neural arch expands anterior to the postzygapophysis to form a short transverse process that extends nearly vertically, but slightly posteriorly ventrally over the upper lateral face of the centrum. Sacral ribs are seen on both sides, but the first and second are best on the left. The first sacral rib appears largest, and it runs diagonally backwards from a massive near-vertical medial attachment to the neural arch of sacral vertebra 1 (Fig. 11A, D, s.r.1). The first sacral rib on the left measures 180 mm long on its dorsal margin, and tapers from a dorsoventral height of 115 mm proximally to 65 mm distally. At this distal end, it appears to be firmly fused to the second sacral rib of the left side, a shorter element, 105 mm along the dorsal margin. The joint lateral facet measures 65 mm dorsoventrally high by 70 mm anteroposteriorly wide at the dorsal margin, and 45 mm at the ventral margin. Young & Yeh (1963: fig. 3) show a putative portion of left sacral rib 3 forming part of this complex, but this cannot be seen in the specimen. Sacral ribs are seen on all vertebrae on the right side, but they are compressed to the midline, and lack their distal portions. The transverse processes and sacral ribs extend smoothly beneath and behind the prezygapophyses, and the junction between transverse process and rib is not clear. As preserved, these sacral ribs project posteriorly and ventrally, narrowing substantially distally, but their original length and orientation cannot be determined because of distortion and breakage.

The first caudal vertebra in this block (Fig. 11), identified as a putative sixth sacral by Young & Yeh (1963: 208), but as a first caudal by Lee (1997: 240), is similar in overall shape to the fifth sacral, showing the same shape of centrum, much diminished zygapophyses, the prezygapophyses spanning little more than 55 mm in all measured mediolaterally, a tiny fraction of the span of the zygapophyses of the dorsal vertebrae. The transverse process and presumed fused rib appear short, but the distal end on the right side is damaged, and this structure is missing on the left. Young & Yeh (1963: 208-209) mention one other caudal vertebra of the holotype (IVPP V2717) and one of the paratype (IVPP V2718, missing), but they 'are too imperfect for a detailed description'.

RIBS

A number of ribs and rib fragments of *Huanghesaurus* are preserved, some of them double-headed (IVPP V6722-17 to 19) and others single-headed (IVPP V6722-20 to 22). One double-headed rib, lacking its distal end (IVPP V6722-18; Fig. 12A, tu., ca.), shows the substantial tuberculum and smaller, projecting capitulum. This 188-mm long fragment measures 95 mm across the maximum spread of the articular heads and 40 mm deep. The

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1140 capitulum extends on a distinct lateral projection, some 50 mm long, extending from the side
1141 of the broader tuberculum. The single-headed ribs have elongate figure-of-eight articular
1142 facets that match the facets on transverse processes of presumed posterior dorsal vertebrae,
1143 such as IVPP V6722-20 (Fig. 12B). These articular facets vary from 71 mm along the
1144 maximum axis to 125 mm in **IVPP** V6722-22 (Fig. 12D). This last, most massive, of the
1145 several ribs, has a relatively straight shaft varying from **34–38** mm in maximum dimension,
1146 and showing the beginning of a deep groove on the posterior margin. This does not seem to
1147 correspond to any distal broadening of the rib, so *Shihtienfenia* **did not have** the derived
1148 condition of broadened ribs seen in *Pumilopareia* (Lee 1997, character 69).

1149 The double-headed ribs presumably pertain to the cervical or anterior dorsal vertebrae,
1150 and the single-headed ribs to the mid to posterior dorsals. Judging from comparisons with
1151 articulated pareiasaur skeletons (e.g. Seeley, 1889, 1892; Tsuji 2013), the most substantial rib
1152 (IVPP V6722-19) may come from the middle region of the torso, corresponding to the largest
1153 dorsal vertebrae, and perhaps the greatest mass of the torso region.

1154
1155
1156 **SHOULDER GIRDLE AND FORELIMB**

1157
1158 **SCAPULOCORACOID**

1159
1160 The scapulocoracoid of pareiasaurs is a three-part element, consisting of a scapula and two
1161 coracoid elements, often termed the **anterior coracoid and coracoid (= posterior coracoid; =**
1162 **metacoracoid)**, whose homologies to the equivalent elements of turtles (Lee 1998) and
1163 mammals (Vickaryous & Hall 2006) are complex and debated. Here, we term these two
1164 elements the anterior and posterior coracoids respectively, and regard the acromion process of
1165 the scapula as equivalent to that of other basal amniotes, and not a modified anterior coracoid
1166 (Lee 1998).

1167 The right scapula of *Shihtienfenia* (IVPP V2717) is a long slender element, seemingly
1168 more or less complete because it lacks broken edges (Fig. 13; Young & Yeh 1963: fig. 6).
1169 The element is maximally 630 mm dorsoventrally long, **of which** 450 mm forms the scapular
1170 blade, and 180 mm the acromion process and glenoid. The distal end of the blade apparently
1171 tapers to a rather rounded distal termination; the margins of the distal end are partly repaired
1172 with plaster, but sufficient of the edges are original to suggest the shape is about right. The
1173 distal portion of the blade is flat and slightly spoon-shaped, and the blade thickens proximally

1174 in a mediolateral orientation from 15 mm to 20 mm, and 35 mm proximally, at which point it
1175 measures 77-80 mm wide anteroposteriorly. The lateral face of the scapular blade shows
1176 coarse longitudinal striations. On the medial face, the distal end is roughened, and the
1177 remainder shows similar coarse longitudinal striations, including a large, irregular midline
1178 ridge.

1179 Proximally, the anterior margin of the scapular blade broadens and extends into the
1180 acromion process on the anterior margin (Fig. 13A, B, D, acr.) and an internal process seen in
1181 medial view (Fig. 13D, int.pr.). The acromion process is massive, located on the anterior
1182 scapular margin, and with a roughened boss some 55 mm dorsoventrally long and 25 mm
1183 mediolaterally wide at most. The internal process is slightly broken, but extends as a broad
1184 ridge to the coracoidal margin. The proximal portion of the scapula is 115 mm
1185 anteroposteriorly wide at the level of the acromion, and up to 60 mm mediolaterally deep. It
1186 narrows slightly to 95 mm and 55 mm respectively, and expands towards the glenoid, which
1187 is incomplete and cannot be described clearly.

1188 The left scapula consists of a blade and a separate portion of the fused proximal
1189 scapula, anterior coracoid, and posterior coracoid (Fig. 14; Young & Yeh 1963: fig. 7, right).
1190 The scapular blade is preserved for 460 mm from acromion to distal end, which is irregularly
1191 broken. This is equivalent to the length on the right side above the acromion, so
1192 interpretations of the distal end of the former might require care. On the left side, there is no
1193 sign of thinning, nor of the apparently spoon-shaped expanded and curved distal end seen on
1194 the right. As preserved, the left scapular blade narrows from 120 mm distally to 85 mm
1195 proximally, measured anteroposteriorly. At the same time, the blade thickens from 30 mm
1196 deep mediolaterally at the distal end to 75 mm in line with the acromion. This creates a
1197 somewhat cylindrical scapular blade, especially proximally (Lee 1997). Anterior and posterior
1198 margins of the scapular blade are nearly straight. On the anterior margin, the acromion and
1199 medial internal process form roughly equal-sized processes on either side of the clavicle. The
1200 roughened distal face of the acromion measures 80 x 25 mm. Below the acromion, the scapula
1201 expands to an anteroposterior width of about 200 mm, partly by an anterior flange, but mainly
1202 through the upper portion of the glenoid facet (Fig. 14A, gl.).

1203 Sutures between scapula, posterior coracoid, and anterior coracoid are hard to
1204 distinguish in lateral view (Fig. 14A), and they are entirely obscured in medial view. Further,
1205 the anterior, ventral, and posterior margins of the posterior coracoids are missing, so their
1206 original dimensions cannot be determined. At most, the anterior coracoid-posterior coracoid
1207 plate measures 270 mm anteroposteriorly. The glenoid (Fig. 14A, gl.) is a broad and deeply

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1208 concave articulation face with its long axis oriented at approximately 45° above horizontal.
1209 The glenoid measures 210 mm long at most along this long axis, and 105 mm wide, measured
1210 orthogonally to the long axis. A large, deep coracoid foramen (Fig. 14A, co.f.) is located just
1211 anterior to the glenoid and it penetrates the posterodorsal portion of the anterior coracoid
1212 deeply. In medial view (Fig. 14B), the scapulocoracoid presents a smooth aspect, with no
1213 evidence of the sutures dividing scapula from posterior coracoid and anterior coracoid, but the
1214 groove to the subscapular fossa and the deep foramen, which connects with the coracoid
1215 foramen are evident.

1216 The left scapulocoracoid of *Huanghesaurus* (IVPP V6722-22) presents similar
1217 characters (Gao 1983: fig. 197), but is one-quarter again larger. The whole specimen (Fig.
1218 15A–D) is at most 870 mm long, of which the scapular blade is about 600 mm. The distal end
1219 is incomplete, and has a maximum anteroposterior width of 190 mm. The blade is roughly
1220 straight-sided, but it narrows to a minimum anteroposterior width of 95 mm, and expands to
1221 130 mm just above the acromion process. The blade is flattened distally, becoming more
1222 cylindrical proximally. The acromion process is set on a pedestal projecting from the anterior
1223 margin of the scapula (Fig. 15C, D, acr.), and the medial part of the scapula expands
1224 anteriorly. The glenoid is steeply angled from anterodorsal to posteroventral, and measures
1225 210 x 105 mm, with a twist halfway along its length. In lateral view, the anterior and posterior
1226 coracoids (Fig. 15A, B, aco., pco.) have incomplete ventral margins, and they seem to have
1227 been of similar dimensions. The coracoid foramen penetrates the posterodorsal angle of the
1228 anterior coracoid in lateral view (Fig. 15B, D, co.f.), and passes upwards to emerge in the
1229 middle of the basal portion of the scapula, in medial view. The sutures between all three
1230 elements can just be discerned in lateral view, but they are invisible in medial view (Fig.
1231 15C). The medial face is uniform and smooth, interrupted only by the substantial subscapular
1232 foramen, which measures 40 x 20 mm in diameter.

1233 The left scapulocoracoid of *Shansisaurus xuecunensis* (CAGS V301), as illustrated
1234 (Cheng 1980: fig. 19; pl. 1, fig. 1), shows the same overall shape, size, proportions, and detail
1235 as in that of *Huanghesaurus* (Gao 1983: fig. 4). The scapular blade is long and tapers slightly,
1236 while its cross-sectional shape changes from rather flattened at the distal end, to more
1237 cylindrical proximally. The acromion is projected on a distinct square process, and has a
1238 distinct facet. The glenoid is angled at some 30° above horizontal and is distributed almost
1239 equally between scapula and posterior coracoid. The anterior coracoid is of similar
1240 dimensions to the posterior coracoid in lateral view, and it carries the exit of the coracoid
1241 foramen in its extreme posterodorsal corner, close to the junction of all three elements.

1242 An incomplete right scapulocoracoid, IVPP V6727 (Fig. 15E, F), was assigned by
1243 Gao (1989: 1238-1239) to *Shansisaurus* sp., and he identified some diagnostic features,
1244 namely 'a long, narrow, and internally curved scapular blade; a weakly developed and low-
1245 positioned acromion; and a distinct precoracoid foramen, the internal opening of which is
1246 located entirely in the anterior coracoid'. These are, however, all features seen widely among
1247 pareiasaurs, and indeed in many basal tetrapods, and cannot distinguish *Shansisaurus* from
1248 *Shihtienfenia*. The specimen shows the proximal portion of the scapula and much of the
1249 anterior coracoid, but it lacks the margins of the latter, the posterior coracoid is missing, and
1250 the glenoid fossa is badly damaged. The coracoids are in the same plane as the scapula, and
1251 the suture between anterior coracoid and scapula is firmly fused, but still detectable laterally
1252 as a fine interdigitating suture line running from the anterior margin to the glenoid. The
1253 anterior coracoid is a broad flat plate in lateral view (Fig. 15E, aco.), and it bears a large
1254 coracoid foramen in its posterior portion, measuring 20 mm across (Fig. 15E, co.f.). The
1255 anterior coracoid thickens posterodorsally towards the glenoid, but this region is damaged and
1256 its original location and shape cannot be identified. The scapula has a massive proximal
1257 portion, 80 mm thick mediolaterally at the glenoid region. The base, as preserved, is 140 mm
1258 anteroposteriorly wide, and at most 255 mm ventrodorsally long, from the posterior coracoid-
1259 scapula suture to the broken distal end of the scapular blade. There is a massive, rectangular
1260 acromion process (Fig. 15E, F, acr.), rugose and 70 mm along its longest axis, extending
1261 substantially laterally from the scapula. In addition, the anterior, narrow margin of the lower
1262 portion of the scapula also extends as a rectangular process, with a 38 mm long rugose
1263 surface, and beginning 53 mm above the scapula-anterior coracoid suture. Above the
1264 acromion, the preserved portion of the scapular blade is of approximately equal
1265 anteroposterior width, measuring 70-75 mm, and 35 mm medio-laterally deep at the centre of
1266 the blade, which is marked by a gentle midline ridge. In medial view (Fig. 15F), the whole
1267 preserved portion of the scapulocoracoid is remarkably smooth and uniform, and the main
1268 feature is the very obvious and deep subscapular fossa (Fig. 15F, s.s.f.), which penetrates at
1269 the middle of the ventral margin of the scapula, on the faint interdigitating suture line between
1270 scapula and anterior coracoid. This fossa is at the ventral end of a slight channel down the
1271 medial face of the scapula, and the canal opening enters the anterior coracoid medially and
1272 exits as the coracoid foramen.

1273 An isolated left scapula (IVPP V8533), assigned by Gao (1989: 1239, pl. 2K) to
1274 'Pareiasauride gen. et sp. indet.', is a long, slender, strap-like element, 85 mm antero-
1275 posteriorly wide at the base of the blade, narrowing to 73 mm at mid-length, and expanding

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1276 distally to a maximum of 107 mm (Fig. 15G, H). The whole specimen is some 400 mm long,
1277 and it lacks the glenoid region, the contacts with the coracoids, and the distalmost parts of the
1278 blade. The scapula blade is a thin plate of bone, the primitive condition (Lee 1997, character
1279 76), and not **cylindrical** as in some derived pareiasaurs. There is a modest acromion process
1280 on the anterior margin (Lee 1997, character 74), and behind it, visible in medial view (Fig.
1281 15H), the base of another process, broken off. There is no groove on the anterior margin of
1282 the blade for a cleithrum.

1283 These scapulocoracoids provide several phylogenetically informative characters, and
1284 they appear to be identical in all three nominal ‘taxa’: there is an acromion process on the
1285 anterior surface of the scapula (Lee 1997, character 74; Tsuji 2013, character 68); the scapular
1286 blade is very long, with a length at least three times the diameter of the glenoid fossa (Lee
1287 1997, character 75; Tsuji 2013, character 69); and the dorsal edge of the posterior coracoid is
1288 almost horizontal, and meets the posterior border of the scapula at an angle of less than 135°
1289 (Lee 1997, character 77; Tsuji 2013, character 70). This last character has been coded as
1290 derived in previous studies, but it is hard to determine because it depends on the orientation of
1291 the scapulocoracoid, whether with scapular blade vertical or pointing posterodorsally. With
1292 the scapular blade vertical, as shown by Lee (1997: fig. 12), there is a distinct shift from a
1293 more or less horizontal suture line to one that runs at about 45° in a posterodorsal direction
1294 (equivalent to the 135° angle – measured from the anterior orientation - mentioned in previous
1295 character definitions). In the Chinese pareiasaurs, the sutures are hard to determine in the
1296 specimens thanks to extensive fusion. Although Cheng (1980: fig. 19) shows the posterior
1297 coracoid-scapular contact at an angle of about 30° in *Shansisaurus*, the angle is near-
1298 horizontal in *Shihtienfenia* and *Huanghesaurus* (**Figs. 14, 15**).

1300 DERMAL SHOULDER GIRDLE

1301
1302 The dermal shoulder girdle of *Shihtienfenia* (IVPP V 2717) is represented by both clavicles,
1303 but no interclavicle. The clavicles are elongate, slender elements, each some 530 mm long
1304 and with a **blade 70** mm anteroposteriorly long distally, and 80 mm proximally (Fig. 14B,
1305 16A). The clavicle begins proximally as a flat, bladed element that fitted into the anterior
1306 facet of the (missing) interclavicle, then turns gently in line with the broadly semi-circular
1307 cross section of the whole pectoral girdle, terminating in a distal rod-like structure. As
1308 reconstructed, the posterior margin of the clavicle sits close to the anterior margin of the
1309 scapula, with the acromion process located laterally, and the medial internal **process medially**

(Figs. 13D, 16A, acr., int.pr.). There is **no slot** or distinct marking on the anterior margin of the scapula for the clavicles, so they presumably did not adhere closely in life.

This is confirmed by the dermal shoulder girdle of *Huanghesaurus*, which is represented by a pair of clavicles, but also a **well-preserved** interclavicle. A cleithrum occurs in the pareiasaurs *Embrithosaurus* and *Bradysaurus*, but has not been identified among the materials of *Shihtienfenia*, and the absence of a groove on the anterior margin of the scapula suggests there was no cleithrum (Lee 1997, character 79; Tsuji 2013, character 71).

The right and left **clavicles** of *Huanghesaurus* (IVPP V6722-23, 24) are both elongate, blade-like elements (Fig. 16B–E) that fitted tightly into the anterior groove on the interclavicle, and met each other in the midline of that element. The right clavicle is 515 mm long **mediolaterally**, and at most 120 mm deep **anteroposteriorly** at the proximal end. The clavicle narrows to 65 mm deep **anteroposteriorly** at mid-shaft, and the distal end is 62 mm deep. Proximally, the clavicle shows a long, narrow process on the dorsal (interior) surface (Fig. 16D, icl.pr. r.cl.) that fits snugly into the anterior groove on the interclavicle. The clavicle has a broad, rounded anterior portion, up to 50 mm deep **dorsoventrally**, that runs from the proximal to the distal end, and provides the structural strength of the element. The anterior portion is demarcated from the posterior flange of the clavicle, which is 15–20 mm thick **dorsoventrally**. The posterior flange (Fig. 16E, p.fl.) forms a deep slot at the proximal end, and the exact shape of the anterior margin of the posterior flange matches the anterior margin of the interclavicle. Distally, the posterior flange of the clavicle expands posteriorly into a short, 70 mm long **mediolaterally** oriented blade. This, and the middle and distal parts of the posterior flange acted as the major site of origin for the pectoralis muscle. The distal end of the clavicle is marked by the loss of the posterior flange, and a twist of the anterior margin to form a rounded tongue-like termination, covered in a sculptured region of longitudinally oriented irregular ridges, **each 2–3 mm** wide. The ventral (exterior) surface of the clavicle (Fig. 16C) shows a uniform, smoothly curved appearance, and a distinct tapering to the distal end.

The single, substantial interclavicle of *Huanghesaurus* (IVPP6722-25) is preserved more or less complete, lacking just the distal end of the left-hand anterior process (Fig. 16F, G). The whole element measures 345 mm wide across the anterior processes, and 310 mm **anteroposteriorly**, so showing the derived condition of a long anterior processes (Lee 1995; 1997, character 80). The anterior part of the interclavicle bears a deep facet for reception of the clavicles along its entire width (Fig. 16F, cl.f.). This facet has a roughened surface, and radiating ridges and grooves at the lateral ends, and there is a **distinct lip** at the midline

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1344 posterior margin, which overlapped the clavicles ventrally when they were in place. The
1345 posterior portion of the interclavicle is a short tongue-shaped structure, 92 mm wide at its
1346 narrowest, and 135 mm at its widest. The posterior process (Fig. 16F, G, p.pr.) is thickest in
1347 the centre, measuring 35 mm ventrodorsally. The posterior margin of the posterior process is
1348 curved around the arc of a circle, and it bears deep radial grooves, seen in both external
1349 (ventral) and internal (dorsal) views. These radial grooves are seen only along the posterior
1350 margin, and all are oriented anteroposteriorly, and they are deepest on the dorsal face. These
1351 were presumably structures for the attachment of parts of the pectoralis muscle, and were
1352 adapted to withstand substantial stresses and strains.

1353 Together, the three dermal elements of the shoulder girdle formed a powerful
1354 supporting structure beneath the thorax, and the clavicles presumably met the anterior margin
1355 of the scapular blade at mid-length. The initial reconstruction (Fig. 16H, I), based on IVPP
1356 V2717 (Young and Sun 1963, pl. 1, 2), shows the broad thorax surrounded by clavicles below
1357 and scapulae round the sides. Here, the interclavicle is missing, but it would have bound the
1358 clavicles together into a powerful ventral cuirass that also provided the origin of the
1359 substantial pectoralis muscle.

1360

1361 HUMERUS

1362

1363 In the description of the humerus and femur, I use the terms ventral, dorsal, anterior, and
1364 posterior, assuming the limb is at rest, and in sprawling pose. Both humeri of the type
1365 specimen of *Shihtienfenia* (IVPP V2717) are preserved, the left essentially complete, and the
1366 right as a much distorted proximal portion that shows the proximal articular face, measuring
1367 180 x 60 mm. The description is devoted to the left humerus (Fig. 17A–D), some 400 mm
1368 long, with an expanded proximal end, 295 mm wide at most, and an expanded distal end, 250
1369 mm wide at most, and with a twist of the shaft that sets the major planes of the two articular
1370 ends at an angle of 45° to each other. The proximal articular end carries the articulation for the
1371 glenoid of the scapulocoracoid, an elongate facet up to 210 mm long and 70 mm wide at the
1372 middle point (Fig. 17A–D, a.f.). The articular facet has narrow distal ends, and it rolls from
1373 proximally- to proximodorsally-facing in the anterior portion. In dorsal view, the proximal
1374 articular end of the humerus is roughly square, with the anterior dorsoventral prominent ridge
1375 that separates an anterodorsal face from the remainder of the proximal humerus. In ventral
1376 view (Fig. 17A), the proximal end of the humerus shows a flaring posterior and middle
1377 portion, and a massively thickened anterior margin that extends into the deltopectoral crest

(Fig. 17A–C, dpc.), which projects **as** a substantial **boss about** halfway down the length of the humerus. The narrow humeral shaft is oval, measuring 80 x 65 mm in diameter. The flared distal end of the humerus shows a damaged entepicondylar region, but a large and delicate ectepicondylar lamina extending on the dorsal face, for the distalmost 170 mm of the humerus (Fig. 17C, ect.). This terminates proximally as a thin lamina. Young and Yeh (1963, p. 210) noted that there is an entepicondylar groove, which runs parallel to the shaft orientation, on the anterior face of the lamina, but no ectepicondylar foramen. However, at the proximal end of the ectepicondylar groove, there is a distinct pocket or pit that has been scooped out by the preparators (Fig. 17B, ect.) – it is in the correct location to be an ectepicondylar foramen, but it is impossible to say whether this might indeed be a foramen or not. Suffice to say that all other pareiasaurs appear to possess such a foramen (Lee 1997, p. 237). In posterior view (Fig. 17D, ect., ent.), the ectepicondylar lamina provides a squared margin to the dorsal edge of the distal end of the humerus, the entepicondylar projection being less complete. The supinator process, forming part of the **ectepicondylar** lamina, projects (Fig. 17D, sup.). The distal end of the humerus is damaged, so the tibial and fibular condyles cannot be clearly distinguished.

The left humerus of *Huanghesaurus* (IVPP V6722-26) is a massive element, with more or less complete proximal end, but lacking the distal articular end (Fig. 17E, F). The bone is at most 350 mm long, as preserved. The massively expanded proximal portion is up to 360 mm across **anteroposteriorly**, and the shaft narrows to 85 mm, and the distal end expands again to 145 mm. In dorsal view (Fig. 17E), the anterior margin is marked by a massive process with a deeply roughened surface. Behind, there is a distinct and rather straight anterior **dorsoventral** line (Fig. 17E, a.d.v.l.) that **marked areas** for major dorsal musculature, the deltoid insertion on the deltopectoral crest portion, and the latissimus dorsi and triceps on the other side. This **structure** is a raised, broad ridge that separates the two planes of the anterior portion of the humerus. In the posterior portion is the deltopectoral crest (Fig. 17E, F, dpc.), an irregular ridge that wraps around from the posterior margin. In ventral view (Fig. 17F), the expanded proximal end of the humerus is broadly convex and apparently featureless.

The proximal articular facet is located entirely along the proximal margin of the element, with only its posteriormost **portion visible** in ventral view (Fig. 17F, p.a.f.). The face is **elongate**, **225 mm mediolaterally** long in all, with a narrow anterior portion and a broader posterior portion, up to 82 mm across, which shows a distinct corkscrew twist, matching the natural rolling motion of the humerus as the forearm moves position in the typical sprawling pareiasaur posture. The humerus shows torsion, the long axis of the proximal articular head

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1412 set at about 60-70° to the distal, but the absence of the distal articular facets makes this
1413 uncertain.

1414 The distal end of the humerus, although missing the articular condyles, shows distinct
1415 ectepicondylar and entepicondylar expansions. These lie on either side of the deep
1416 anterodorsally located intercondylar fossa (= trochlear fossa; olecranon fossa; Fig. 17E, ic.f.),
1417 the deep channel in which the substantial olecranon of the ulna could move. The
1418 entepicondyle consists of a narrow bone rod that encompasses an entepicondylar foramen
1419 (Fig. 17F, ent.f.). The proximal part of the encompassing bone rod is original, but the distal
1420 part of the rod has been remodelled in plaster, so its original dimensions are not certain.
1421 Nonetheless, the proximal portion shows that the entepicondylar foramen was entirely
1422 surrounded by bone, measured 32 x 10 mm, and angled from an antero-distal to a postero-
1423 proximal orientation. The ectepicondyle projects substantially dorsally as a broad process
1424 with an external margin that forms a helical curve that terminates with an antero-dorsally
1425 sweeping point, the supinator process (Fig. 17E, ect., sup.). The ectepicondylar foramen (Gao
1426 1983, fig. 5) has been excavated into the bluish sediment that invests the broken distal end of
1427 the humerus, so its original dimensions, including depth, are uncertain. Indeed, the bone
1428 edges are not clear, so it cannot be claimed with certainty that this is an original structure.

1429 A further essentially complete left humerus (IVPP V8534) was identified by Gao
1430 (1989: 1239, pl. 2L) as ‘Pareiasauride gen. et sp. indet.’ The element is flattened and
1431 distorted, and lacks the articular ends, so it is hard to assess the degree of torsion of the
1432 humerus: both articular ends lie in the same plane. As preserved (Fig. 17G), the humerus
1433 measures 435 mm long, and it has a hugely expanded proximal end, up to 265 mm across, and
1434 this narrows dramatically about 230 mm from the proximal margin to a distal shaft measuring
1435 71 mm in maximum dimension at its narrowest, and expanding distally to measure 140 mm.
1436 In ventral view, the distinct facet for articulation with the scapulocoracoid measures 100 x 45
1437 mm. The deltopectoral crest forms part of the hugely flared proximal end. The condition of
1438 preservation does not allow any consideration of entepicondylar and entepicondylar foramina,
1439 nor of the distal articulation facets.

1440 Of the phylogenetically informative characters of the humerus, the humeri of
1441 *Shihtienfenia* and *Huanghesaurus* show: torsion such that the expanded proximal and distal
1442 ends stand at an angle of less than or equal to 45° (Lee 1997, character 81; Tsuji 2013,
1443 character 72); the ectepicondyle is expanded and forms a wide rectangular flange that projects
1444 in front (preaxially) of the radial condyle (Lee 1997, character 82; Tsuji 2013, character 73);
1445 there is no ectepicondylar foramen (Lee 1997, character 83; Tsuji 2013, character 74); the

entepicondyle is rounded, narrow, and with a reduced distal expansion (Lee 1997, character 84; Tsuji 2013, character 75); the entepicondylar foramen is present in the form of an open groove in *Shihtienfenia*, and apparently as a fully enclosed structure in *Huanghesaurus*, although the area has been much repaired (Lee 1997, character 85; Tsuji 2013, character 76); the entepicondylar foramen is situated on the side of the epicondyle and is feebly exposed in dorsal view (Lee 1997, character 86; Tsuji 2013, character 77; wrongly coded 0 by Lee 1997); the entepicondyle and ectepicondyle do not project distally beyond the epicondylar region, in *Shihtienfenia* at least (Lee 1997, character 87; Tsuji 2013, character 78); there is a transverse ridge on the intercondylar depression on the distal humerus, defined dorsally by the ulnar articular surface (Lee 1997, character 88; Tsuji 2013, character 79); the ulnar articulation surface of the humerus takes the form of a groove bordered posteriorly by a faint ridge, with no expansion (Lee 1997, character 89; Tsuji 2013, character 80); and the radial condyle of the humerus is hemispherical and located entirely on the ventral surface of the humerus (Lee 1997, character 90; Tsuji 2013, character 81). Characters 78–81 cannot be coded in *Huanghesaurus* because the distal end of the humerus is damaged.

ULNA AND RADIUS

A largely complete, but crushed, left ulna of *Huanghesaurus* (IVPP V6722-27) is striking because of the massive olecranon portion (Fig. 18A, B., ole.), the primitive condition for pareiasaurs (Lee 1997, character 91). The ulna is 535 mm long, but lacks the proximalmost and distalmost articular terminations. The proximal expanded portion of the ulna extends for nearly half its length (260 mm) and is at most 170 mm antero-posteriorly broad, which may be partially exaggerated by the flattening, and the shaft narrows to 62 mm, before expanding slightly distally to 80 mm. In lateral (external) view (Fig. 18A), the ulna shows the two sigmoid processes of similar dimensions, defining a narrow sigmoid notch (= radial notch), which in life received the head of the radius. The anterior margin of the ulna is rounded in section and describes a gentle curve, whereas the posterior margin is marked by a distinct ridge, extending distally from the olecranon for 140 mm. In medial (internal) view (Fig. 18B), the ulna shows a flat proximal portion with some longitudinal cracks suggesting crushing during fossilisation. The posterior distal flange is demarcated from the main shaft. The expanded olecranon and medially facing proximal articular surface of the ulna indicate the primitive condition for Tsuji's (2013) character 82 (= Lee's 1997 character 91).

The left radius of *Huanghesaurus* (IVPP V6722-28; Fig. 18C, D) is shorter than the ulna, measuring 385 mm long, but lacking the articular ends. The element has expanded ends, the proximal measuring 125 x 80 mm, the distal 135 x 85 mm, and the shaft narrowing to 57 x 40 mm at mid-length. The element bears a marked, but damaged, expansion on the lateral (external) face (Fig. 18C) at the proximal end, which runs into a narrow diagonal ridge extending at least halfway down the shaft, but is relatively flat on the medial (internal) face (Fig. 18D). There is also a broad ridge in the midline towards the distal end. Both ends of the radius are deeply excavated, and filled with sediment, indicating loss of the epiphyses before fossilisation.

PELVIC GIRDLE AND HINDLIMB

PELVIC GIRDLE

The pelvic girdle is represented by the fused elements of both sides in *Shihtienfenia* (IVPP V2727), of which the left side is almost complete, and the right side less so, with the lower borders of pubis and ischium being damaged. The left side of the pelvis (Fig. 19A, B) is massive and compact. The whole pelvis is firmly fused, and measures some 430 mm in dorsoventral height from the anterior tip of the iliac blade to the ventral public margin at the anterior end and 370 mm from the posterior tip of the iliac blade to the ventral ischiadic margin at the posterior. The dorsal blade of the ilium has a substantial anterior process (Fig. 19A, B, ant.pr.), and the blade slopes steeply posteroventrally, being 320 mm long in all. The dorsal margin is massive, 60 mm mediolaterally thick at the everted and horizontally oriented anterior process, but tapering to 25 mm at the posterior process. Ventrally, the ilium narrows to 125 mm wide anteroposteriorly at the neck, and expands to 150 mm at the level of the acetabulum. The whole ilium slopes well forwards with respect to the horizontal dorsal margin of the iliac blade. The acetabulum (Fig. 19A, ac.) is nearly perfectly circular, measuring about 160 mm across in every dimension from the high point of the surrounding lip. It is generally shallow, but deepens to 40 mm beneath a marked expansion, or buttress, on the dorsal iliac margin. The sutures with pubis and ischium are heavily fused, but can still be determined approximately.

In medial view (Fig. 19B), the left ilium shows a groove above the posteroventral margin of the blade. Along the dorsal blade margin is a groove sloping posteroventrally in the

1513 anterior portion, associated with sacral ribs 1 and 2, and below it lies a ridge, the crista
1514 sacralis (Hartmann-Weinberg 1933, 1937; Lee 1997: 240; Fig. 19B, cr.sac.). There is a
1515 fragment, possibly of sacral rib 2 still adhering in the anteroventral angle. Anteriorly, and still
1516 close to the dorsal blade margin are more fragments of sacral ribs, presumably ribs 3 and 4.
1517 The fourth rib is quite substantial (Fig. 19B, s.r.4), measuring 85 mm anteroposteriorly and 52
1518 mm dorsoventrally, and narrowing from its flared distal end to dimensions of 30 x 25 mm
1519 respectively at 75 mm medially from the medial face of the iliac blade.

1520 In lateral view, the ilium expands more or less symmetrically around the circular
1521 acetabulum, leaving a margin of some 30 mm anteriorly and posteriorly. The somewhat fused
1522 **contacts** between the three pelvic elements can be discerned (Fig. 19A, il., is., pu.). The pubis
1523 and ischium are relatively modest-sized elements, the former appearing to lack its anterior and
1524 ventral margins. The pubis carries a slightly smaller portion of the ventral part of the
1525 acetabulum than the ischium, if their sutured contact is correctly identified. The ischium has a
1526 modest posteroventral process, whose distal end is missing. In medial view (Fig. 19B), the
1527 surface behind the acetabulum, and the medial faces of pubis and ischium, are relatively
1528 smooth and featureless, and the bone contacts cannot be seen. The maximum anteroposterior
1529 length of the puboischiadic plate, missing anterior and posterior projections, is 245 mm.

1530 The right pelvic plate (Fig. 19C, D) is rather less complete, lacking the distal ends of
1531 the iliac blade and most of the pubis and ischium below the ventral margin of the acetabulum.
1532 It appears to show similar features to the left pelvic plate, measuring 280 mm
1533 anteroposteriorly along the iliac blade, and 265 mm at most across the ischiopubis.
1534 Dorsoventral heights are 420 mm anteriorly and 340 mm posteriorly, and the acetabulum is
1535 circular and 160 mm in diameter, as on the left side. The acetabulum is deepest in its dorsal
1536 portion. Most of the features in medial view (Fig. 19D) are the same as on the left pelvic
1537 **plate, except that** the sacral rib attachments are less clear. From the distal end of the anterior
1538 process, a deep groove runs posteroventrally on the medial face of the iliac blade. Further
1539 ventrally, below the 120 mm anteroposterior waist of the pelvic plate, there appears to be a
1540 twist, with a broad process sweeping across the posterior ventral portion of the ilium and
1541 across to the posteroventral point of the ischium. Near the anterior margin, the ilium forms a
1542 slightly overturned and flattened area and a distinct broad, vertical groove and process
1543 descends across the posterior portion of the pubis.

1544 The pelvis of *Shihtienfenia* provides evidence about a number of phylogenetically
1545 informative characters: the crista sacralis of the ilium is a well developed ridge (Lee 1997,
1546 character 95; Tsuji 2013, character 85); the iliac shaft is inclined anterodorsally, forming an

angle with the vertical of more than 20° (Lee 1997, character 96; Tsuji 2013, character 86); the iliac blade is expanded well anterior of the iliac shaft (Lee 1997, character 97; Tsuji 2013, character 87); the anterior extent of the ilium is concave along the vertical dimension and the anteroventral margin is strongly everted, even pointed looking and oriented almost horizontally (Lee 1997, character 98; Tsuji 2013, character 88); the posterior process of the iliac blade is strongly reduced (Lee 1997, character 99; Tsuji 2013, character 89); the dorsal buttress on the acetabulum is strongly developed (Lee 1997, character 100; Tsuji 2013, character 90); and the edge of the acetabulum is anteriorly rounded or slightly oval (Lee 1997, character 101; Tsuji 2013, character 91).

HINDLIMB ELEMENTS

The only identified hindlimb element of any of the Shanxi pareiasaurs is an incomplete left femur of the *Shansisaurus xuecunensis* holotype (CAGS V301, not currently accessible; Cheng 1980: fig. 20; pl. 2, fig. 1). This element (Fig. 19E, F) was robust, and it measured 420 mm long. If complete, the proximal end would have been about 168 mm wide, narrowing to 92 mm at mid-shaft, and expanding distally to 184 mm. In dorsal view (Fig. 19E), the twist of the narrow, and somewhat flattened shaft is clear, as is the intercondylar groove between tibial and fibular facets. The ventral view of the proximal face (Fig. 19F, i.tr., tr.m.) shows the incurved internal trochanter near the posterior margin, but the anterior margin with the trochanter major is missing. Between them lies a broad, concave intetrochanteric fossa. On the expanded distal end of the femur the substantial tibial and fibular articular condyles occupy the distal end (Fig. 19F, fi.c., ti.c.), and wrap round some distance onto the ventral face of the femur, a characteristic of sprawling forms.

The proximal head of this femur of *Shansisaurus* is curved slightly anteriorly (preaxially) (Lee 1997, character 107; Tsuji 2013, character 95); the postaxial flange is present, and extends the entire length of the femur, but is narrower in the middle, so the femur looks concave in dorsal or ventral view (Lee 1997, character 112; Tsuji 2013, character 97); and the internal (minor) trochanter is long and curved in its proximal region in ventral view, with the preaxial (anterior) side concave and the postaxial (posterior) side convex (Lee 1997, character 114; Tsuji 2013, character 98).

Additional undescribed limb elements are three polygonal bones that may have been elements of the ankle or wrist. They are part of IVPP V6722, and so presumably belong with the other elements, and yet three of them (Fig. 19G–I) cannot readily be matched with ankle

or wrist elements from other pareiasaurs. The largest (Fig. 19G) is a flattened element, bearing three articular facets, two at one end, separated from each other by a narrow bone bridge, and set at an angle of about 90° to each other, and a single facet at the other end. This element measures 68 mm long, 70 mm wide across the double facets, and 56 mm wide at the other end. This could be identified as an astragalocalcaneum, in which the double facets were for contact with tibia and fibula, and the single, or broader facet at the other end, with a number of smaller distal tarsals. The second element (Fig. 19H) is 66 mm long and with terminal ends 60 mm and 50 mm wide, and is generally similar in shape. The identity of these two elements is uncertain: they look like the astragalus of the stem-amniote *Diadectes* (Schaeffer 1941: fig. 13D), and very different from the rectangular astragalocalcaneum, pierced with a foramen, seen in other pareiasaurs (e.g. Lee 1997: fig. 18; Tsuji 2013: fig. 7). The third, smaller element (Fig. 19I) is more equidimensional, with terminal facets, and a narrowed shaft between. It is 46 mm long and 45 mm and 34 mm wide across each end, and could be a distal tarsal or carpal. If correctly identified, these elements suggest *Huanghesaurus* had a fused astragalocalcaneum (Tsuji 2013, character 100; Lee, 1997, character 116), a typical feature of pareiasaurs (Lee, 1995).

DERMAL ARMOUR

Osteoderms of the Chinese pareiasaurs have not been described or illustrated, but Young & Yeh (1963: 211) noted ‘There is no sure indication of the presence of the dermal scutes, although some of the fragmentary bone may be proved as such’. Gao (1983, p. 200) describes, but does not illustrate armour plates from *Huanghesaurus*. Indeed, among the un-catalogued material of *Huanghesaurus* (IVPP V6722), there are five armour plates (Fig. 19J), each saddle-shaped, with a smooth, convex internal face, and a sculpted external face, with a central boss and generally radiating sculpture. The best specimen measures 48 x 33 mm, and 23 mm thick at the boss. These are similar to the armour plates of *Scutosaurus* (Lee, 1997, fig. 20B), but more regular in outline. Presumably these plates were set in the skin of *Huanghesaurus* in regular rows, with their long axes mediolaterally oriented, as in the reconstruction of *Scutosaurus* armament (Lee, 1997: fig. 19B). An extraneous rounded structure is preserved on the radius of *Huanghesaurus*, adhering to the bone at the distal end. This rounded 45 x 25 mm bony object could be interpreted as a dermal ossification of the kind seen in *Anthodon*, but it seems to lack internal structure. Four or five similar rounded

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1615 objects occur among the uncatalogued *Huanghesaurus* material (IVPP V6722) and they may
1616 be either coprolites of some smaller animal, or inorganic nodules of some kind.
1617 These previously undescribed armour plates allow coding of some cladistic characters,
1618 namely osteoderms present (Tsuji, 2013, character 105; Lee, 1997, character 122); dorsal
1619 surface of osteoderm possesses a distinct rounded central boss (Tsuji, 2013, character 106;
1620 Lee, 1997, character 123); osteoderm ornamentation consists of few, large, lumpy ridges,
1621 irregularly spaced (Tsuji, 2013, character 107; Lee, 1997, character 124); and osteoderms are
1622 round and small, no larger than the diameter of the centra of dorsal vertebrae (Tsuji, 2013,
1623 character 108; Lee, 1997, character 125).

RECONSTRUCTION

1628 A detailed reconstruction of *Shihtienfenia* is not attempted because so many portions of the
1629 skeleton and skull are missing. However, the articulated shoulder girdle region confirms that
1630 this pareiasaur resembled the Russian *Scutosaurus* closely in size and proportions, so a
1631 reconstruction is attempted (Fig. 20) based on the classic sketch reconstruction of *Scutosaurus*
1632 by Helen Ziska, reproduced in Gregory (1946), with modifications based on known elements
1633 from *Shihtienfenia*. Like other derived pareiasaurs, *Shihtienfenia* was a bulky animal, with a
1634 massive torso, powerful, sprawling limbs, and a short neck and relatively small head. The
1635 teeth are those of a herbivore, and the massive torso implies substantial digestive systems,
1636 also typical of herbivores.

PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS

1641 The aim is not to provide a new phylogenetic analysis of the pareiasaurs. Indeed, in a series of
1642 recent publications (Lee 1997; Jalil and Janvier 2005; Tsuji & Müller 2008; Tsuji 2013; Tsuji
1643 *et al.* 2013), with slight revisions of the data matrix, a reasonably stable phylogeny has been
1644 established. The aim here has been to code the Chinese pareiasaurs first-hand, perhaps for the
1645 first time, instead of simply from publications, and to do so in light of the thorough alpha-
1646 taxonomic review just performed. The major specimens were coded separately so that the
1647 relative positions of the synonymised taxa *Shihtienfenia*, *Shansisaurus*, and *Huanghesaurus*
1648 might be assessed. The data matrix of Tsuji (2013) was used, representing a substantial

1649 revision of the Lee (1997) matrix, and character codings for the four putative Chinese taxa
1650 **considered here**, *Shihtienfenia*, *Shansisaurus*, *Huanghesaurus*, and *Sanchuansaurus*, are
1651 shown in Table 4. The evidence for the codings is presented throughout the descriptive
1652 portion of the paper. ***Honania* is not included, as the author has not seen the new material**
1653 **first-hand.**

1654 The cladistic data matrix, comprising 30 taxa and 126 characters, with all characters
1655 run as unordered and equally weighted, was analysed in **PAUP 4.0a146 for Macintosh (X86)**,
1656 using standard settings for a parsimony analysis by the branch-and-bound method. Six
1657 characters were parsimony uninformative, and the analysis based on the remaining 120
1658 characters retained 11340 trees of length 219 steps (consistency index excluding
1659 uninformative characters = 0.7170, retention index = 0.8611, rescaled consistency index =
1660 **0.6252**). **The** strict consensus tree (Fig. 21) was well resolved, except for some uncertainty in
1661 the relationships of the nycteroleterids in the outgroup, *Provelosaurus* and *Nanoparia*, and the
1662 three Chinese taxa *Shihtienfenia*, *Shansisaurus*, and *Huanghesaurus*. **Bootstrap values were**
1663 **100% throughout, except for the two lower values shown (Fig. 21).**

1664 The cladistic analysis confirms previous analyses in broad outline (e.g. Lee 1997; Jalil
1665 and Janvier 2005; Tsuji 2013), but differs in obtaining better resolution of the **several**
1666 **outgroup taxa, from Millerettidae to Macroleter**, especially in discriminating Nycteroleteridae
1667 from the other taxa, **and in** resolving the relationships of the basal pareiasaurs, and those of
1668 the derived clade around *Pareiasaurus* and *Arganaceras*. The analysis confirms the clades
1669 **Pareiasauromorpha, Pareiasauria**, Velosauria, Pumiliopareiasauria (*Provelosaurus*–
1670 *Pumilopareia*), Therischia, and the *Pareiasuchus*–*Shihtienfenia* subclades. The clade name
1671 Pareiasauria was erected by Seeley (1888), the names Velosauria, Therischia, and
1672 Pumiliopareiasauria by Lee (1994), and used first in print by deBraga and Rieppel (1997),
1673 although not by Lee (1997) himself. The clade Elginiidae was named by Cope (1896), and
1674 widely used by Russian authors in particular since then.

1675 This leaves unnamed the *Pareiasuchus*–*Shihtienfenia* clade, which we term here the
1676 **Sinopareiasauria**, referencing the Chinese pareiasaurs in this small clade. This clade has
1677 been robust in all recent cladistic analyses (e.g. Lee 1997; Jalil and Janvier 2005; Tsuji 2013),
1678 and yet has only a single apomorphy (character 69, 1 → 2; scapular blade length: very long,
1679 with a length at least three times the diameter of the glenoid fossa).

1680 As for the Chinese taxa, *Sanchuansaurus* is clearly separated from the other three
1681 genera and placed in a more basal position in the cladogram (Fig. 21), between *Deltavjatia*
1682 and the derived Velosauria. This confirms its distinctiveness as a separate genus from the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1683 other Chinese taxa. Importantly, the other three taxa form an unresolved tritomy paired with
1684 the two valid species of *Pareiasuchus*, and this strongly suggests that *Shansisaurus* and
1685 *Huanghesaurus* can be reasonably synonymised with *Shihtienfenia*, as suggested earlier in the
1686 systematic and descriptive section of this paper.

1687 The cladogram makes no indications about the palaeogeographic history of the
1688 pareiasaurs. Indeed, the 22 taxa divide into subclades that do not correspond to geographic
1689 regions, with African, Russian, and Chinese taxa occurring together within subclades, perhaps
1690 suggesting that many taxa were more-or-less worldwide in occurrence over the supercontinent
1691 Pangaea. There is an approximate stratigraphic equivalence of the cladogram, with three
1692 broad age bands represented: Middle Permian (*Bradysaurus*, *Embrithosaurus*,
1693 *Nochelesaurus*), Wuchiapingian (*Deltavjatia*, *Pumiliopareiasauria*, *Pareiasuchus*,
1694 *Pareiasaurus*) and Changhsingian (*Sanchuansaurus*, *Shihtienfenia*, *Scutosaurus*, *Elginiidae*).

CONCLUSION

1698 The detailed redescription of the various pareiasaur fossils from the Late Permian of China
1699 has confirmed earlier suggestions that there might be only two valid taxa, *Sanchuansaurus*
1700 and *Shihtienfenia* in the Sunjiagou Formation. These are distinguished by several characters,
1701 especially those that place *Shihtienfenia* in the derived clades Velosauria and Therischia, as
1702 well as the lower number of marginal cusps in tooth crowns (9-11) in *Sanchuansaurus*, and
1703 the general points that it is of smaller size and of greater stratigraphic age. Nearly all the
1704 specimens described by Chinese authors from 1963 onwards could be examined first-hand,
1705 and these confirm the key features presented in earlier papers by Young and Yeh (1963), Gao
1706 (1983, 1989), Cheng (1980), and Young (1979).

1707 Phylogenetic analysis confirms that pareiasaurs are related to nycteroleterids and
1708 procolophonians, but probably not to turtles. In detail, the phylogeny broadly tracks the
1709 evolution of the clade through time, with origins in the Middle Permian, and substantial
1710 diversification through the Late Permian across Russia, Africa, and China, with occasional
1711 incursions into South America and western Europe. The palaeogeographic history appears to
1712 suggest a main centre of evolution in South Africa, and with repeated excursions of taxa
1713 worldwide happening several times.

1714 The relative completeness of the first-named Chinese pareiasaur, *Shihtienfenia*
1715 *permica*, suggests that complete specimens can be found in the Sunjiagou Formation.
1716 Materials collected later were less complete, and yet the individual bones appear to be

generally in good condition; this suggests that the materials may have been limited as much for logistical reasons as taphonomic. Therefore, complete skeletons, as found in Russia and South Africa, may await an expedition with sufficient lifting and transporting equipment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am very grateful to the Chinese Academy of Sciences for funding my visit to China in April and May 2012 as a CAS Visiting Professor, and to Fucheng Zhang for arranging the application. At the Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, I am grateful to Jun Liu for advice and conversations about Chinese Permian tetrapods, to Zheng Fang for making the IVPP collections available and helping in manhandling unwieldy specimens, and to my PhD student Qi Zhao for making daily arrangements and for teaching me some Chinese. Further, I thank Mike Lee and an anonymous referee for many helpful comments that helped restructure the paper.

REFERENCES

- Apesteguía S.** 2005. Evolution of the hyposphene–hypantrum complex within Sauropoda. In: Tidwell V, Carpenter, K, eds. *Thunder-lizards: the sauropodomorph dinosaurs*. Bloomington: University of Indiana Press, Bloomington, 248–267.
- Araújo DCF.** 1985. Sobre *Pareiasaurus americanus* sp. nov., do Permiano Superior do Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil. I. Diagnose específica. *Anais Academie do Brasileira de Ciências* **57**: 63–66.
- Benton MJ.** 2012. No gap in the Middle Permian record of fossil vertebrates. *Geology* **40**: 339–342.
- Benton MJ, Newell AJ, Khlyupin AY, Shumov IS, Price GD, Kurkin AA.** 2012. Preservation of exceptional vertebrate assemblages in Middle Permian fluviolacustrine mudstones of Kotel'nich, Russia: stratigraphy, sedimentology, and taphonomy. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **319–320**: 58–83.
- Canoville A, Thomas DB, Chinsamy A.** 2014. Insights into the habitat of Middle Permian pareiasaurs (Parareptilia) from preliminary isotopic analyses. *Lethaia* **47**: 266–274.
- Cheng ZW.** 1980. Mesozoic stratigraphy and paleontology of the Shaanxi-Gansu-Ningxia Basin. Vol. 2. Beijing: Publishing House of Geology, 115–119. [In Chinese.]

- 1751 **Cope ED. 1896.** The reptilian order Cotylosauria. *Proceedings of the American Philosophical*
1752 *Society* **34**: 436–457.
- 1753 **DeBraga M, Rieppel O. 1997.** Reptile phylogeny and the affinities of turtles. *Zoological*
1754 *Journal of the Linnean Society* **120**: 281–354.
- 1755 **DeMar R 1972.** Evolutionary implications of Zahnreihen. *Evolution* **26**: 435–350.
- 1756 **Embleton BJJ, McElhinny MW, Ma XH, Zhang ZK, Li ZX. 1996.** Permo-Triassic
1757 magnetostratigraphy in China: the type section near Taiyuan, Shanxi Province, North
1758 China. *Geophysical Journal International* **126**: 382–388.
- 1759 **Field DJ, Gauthier JA, King BL, Pisani D, Lyson TR, Peterson KJ. 2014.** Toward
1760 consilience in reptile phylogeny: miRNAs support an archosaur, not lepidosaur, affinity
1761 for turtles. *Evolution and Development* **16**: 189–196.
- 1762 **Gao KQ. 1983.** A new pareiasaur from Liulin, Shanxi. *Vertebrata Palasiatica* **21**: 193–203.
- 1763 **Gao KQ. 1989.** Pareiasaurs from the Upper Permian of north China. *Canadian Journal of*
1764 *Earth Sciences* **26**: 1234–1240.
- 1765 **Gauthier JA. 1986.** Saurischian monophyly and the origin of birds. *Memoirs of the*
1766 *California Academy of Sciences* **8**: 1–55.
- 1767 **Gregory WT. 1946.** Pareiasaurs versus placodonts as near ancestors to turtles. *Bulletin of the*
1768 *American Museum of Natural History* **86**: 276–323.
- 1769 **Hartmann-Weinberg A. 1933.** Die Evolution der Pareiasauriden. *Trudy*
1770 *Paleontologicheskogo Instituta, Akademia Nauk SSSR* **3**: 3–66.
- 1771 **Hartmann-Weinberg A. 1937.** Pareiasauriden als Leitfossilien. *Problemy Paleontologii* **2/3**:
1772 649–712.
- 1773 **Hedges SB, Poling LL. 1999.** A molecular phylogeny of reptiles. *Science* **283**: 998–1001.
- 1774 **Hou JP, Ouyang, S. 2000.** Palynoflora from the Sunjiagou Formation in Liulin County,
1775 Shanxi Province. *Acta Palaeontologica Sinica* **39**: 356–368.
- 1776 **Jalil N-E, Janvier P. 2005.** Les pareiasaures (Amniota, Parareptilia) du Permien supérieur du
1777 Bassin d'Argana, Maroc. *Geodiversitas* **27**: 35–132.
- 1778 **Joyce WG. 2015.** The origin of turtles: a paleontological perspective. *Journal of*
1779 *Experimental Zoology Part B: Molecular and Developmental Evolution* **324B**: 181–193.
- 1780 **Kissel RA, Lehman TM. 2002.** Upper Pennsylvanian tetrapods from the Ada Formation of
1781 Seminole County, Oklahoma. *Journal of Paleontology* **76**: 529–545.
- 1782 **Langer MC, Benton MJ. 2006.** Early dinosaurs: a phylogenetic study. *Journal of Systematic*
1783 *Palaeontology* **4**: 309–358.

- 1784 **Lee MSY. 1993.** The origin of the turtle body plan: bridging a famous morphological gap.
1785 *Science* **261**: 1716–1720.
- 1786 **Lee MSY. 1994.** *Evolutionary morphology of pareiasaurs*. PhD Thesis, University of
1787 Cambridge, UK.
- 1788 **Lee MSY. 1995.** Historical burden in systematics and the interrelationships of ‘parareptiles’.
1789 *Biological Reviews* **70**: 459–547.
- 1790 **Lee MSY. 1996.** Correlated progression and the origin of turtles. *Nature* **379**: 812–815.
- 1791 **Lee MSY. 1997.** Pareiasaur phylogeny and the origin of turtles. *Zoological Journal of the*
1792 *Linnean Society* **120**: 197–280.
- 1793 **Lee MSY. 1998.** Similarity, parsimony and conjectures of homology: the chelonian shoulder
1794 girdle revisited. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **11**: 379–387.
- 1795 **Lee MSY. 2000.** The Russian pareiasaurs. In: Benton MJ, Shishkin MA, Unwin DM,
1796 Kurochkin EN, eds. *The age of dinosaurs in Russia and Mongolia*. Cambridge:
1797 Cambridge University Press, 71–85.
- 1798 **Lee MSY, Gow CE, Kitching JW. 1997.** Anatomy and relationships of the pareiasaur
1799 *Pareiasuchus nasicornis* from the Upper Permian of Zambia. *Palaeontology* **40**: 307–
1800 335.
- 1801 **Li C, Wu XC, Rieppel O, Wang LT, Zhao J. 2009.** Ancestral turtle from the late Triassic of
1802 southwestern China. *Nature* **456**: 497–501.
- 1803 **Li J. 2001.** The most primitive lower tetrapod fauna in China. *Science in China Series D:*
1804 *Earth Sciences* **44**: 47–51.
- 1805 **Li XW, Liu J. 2013.** New specimens of pareiasaurs from the Upper Permian Sunjiagou
1806 Formation of Liulin, Shanxi and their implications for the taxonomy of Chinese
1807 pareiasaurs. *Vertebrata Palasiatica* **51**: 199–204.
- 1808 **Liu J, Li XW, Jia SH, Pu HY, Liu XL. 2014.** The Jiyuan tetrapod fauna of the Upper
1809 Permian of China–2. Stratigraphy, taxonomical review, and correlation. *Vertebrata*
1810 *Palasiatica* **52**: 328–339.
- 1811 **Lucas SG. 2001.** *Chinese fossil vertebrates*. New York: Columbia University Press, 375 pp.
- 1812 **Menning M, Jin YG. 1998.** Comment on ‘Permo-Triassic magnetostratigraphy in China: the
1813 type section near Taiyuan, Shanxi Province, North China’ by B. J. J. Embleton, M. W.
1814 McElhinny, X. Ma, Z. Zhang and Z. X. Li. *Geophysical Journal International* **133**: 213–
1815 216.

1
2
3 1816 **Mueller JF, Rogers JJW, Jin YG, Wang HY, Li WG, Chronic J, Mueller JF. 1991.** Late
4 1817 Carboniferous to Permian sedimentation in Inner Mongolia, China, and tectonic
5 1818 relationships between North China and Siberia. *Journal of Geology* **99**: 251–263.
6 1819 **Norin E. 1922.** The late Palaeozoic and early Mesozoic sediments of central Shansi. *Bulletin*
7 1820 *of the Geological Survey of China* **4**: 1–79.
8 1821 **Rieppel O. 1994.** Osteology of *Simosaurus gaillardoti*, and the phylogenetic
9 1822 interrelationships of stemgroup Sauropterygia. *Fieldiana (Geology) N.S.* **28**: 1–85.
10 1823 **Rieppel O. 2000.** *Paraplagodus* and the phylogeny of the Placodontia (Reptilia:
11 1824 Sauropterygia). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* **130**: 635–659.
12 1825 **Rieppel O, deBraga M. 1996.** Turtles as diapsid reptiles. *Nature* **384**: 453–455.
13 1826 **Rieppel O, Reisz R. 1999.** The origin and evolution of turtles. *Annual Review of Ecology and*
14 1827 *Systematics* **30**: 1–22.
15 1828 **Rubidge BS, Erwin DH, Ramezani J, Bowring SA, de Klerk, WJ. 2013.** High-precision
16 1829 temporal calibration of Late Permian vertebrate biostratigraphy: U-Pb zircon
17 1830 constraints from the Karoo Supergroup, South Africa. *Geology* **41**: 363–366.
18 1831 **Schoch RR, Sues H-D. 2015.** A Middle Triassic stem-turtle and the evolution of the turtle
19 1832 body plan. *Nature* **253**: 584–587.
20 1833 **Seeley HG. 1888.** Researches on the structure, organization, and classification of the fossil
21 1834 Reptilia. VI. On the anomodont Reptilia and their allies. *Philosophical Transactions of*
22 1835 *the Royal Society of London, Series B (Biological Sciences)* **44**: 381–383
23 1836 **Seeley HG. 1892.** Researches on the structure, organization, and classification of the fossil
24 1837 Reptilia. VII. Further observations on *Pareiasaurus*. *Philosophical Transactions of the*
25 1838 *Royal Society of London, Series B (Biological Sciences)* **183**: 311–370.
26 1839 **Shen SZ, Crowley JL, Wang Y, Bowring SA, Erwin DH, Sadler PM, Cao CQ, Rothman**
27 1840 **DH, Henderson CM, Ramezani J, Zhang H, Shen Y, Wang XD, Wang W, Mu L, Li**
28 1841 **WZ, Tang YG, Liu XL, Liu LJ, Zeng Y, Jiang YF, Jin YG. 2011.** Calibrating the end
29 1842 Permian mass extinction. *Science* **334**: 1367–1372.
30 1843 **Stevens LG, Hilton J, Bond DPG, Glasspool IJ, Jardine PE. 2011.** Radiation and
31 1844 extinction patterns in Permian floras from North China as indicators for environmental
32 1845 and climate change. *Journal of the Geological Society* **168**: 607–619.
33 1846 **Sun A, Li J, Ye XK, Dong Z, Hou L. 1992.** The Chinese fossil reptiles and their kins.
34 1847 Beijing: Science Press, 260 pp.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1848 **Tsuji LA. 2013.** Anatomy, cranial ontogeny and phylogenetic relationships of the pareiasaur
1849 *Deltavjatia rossicus* from the Late Permian of central Russia. *Earth & Environmental*
1850 *Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh* **104**: 81–122.
- 1851 **Tsuji LA, Müller J. 2008.** A reevaluation of *Parasaurus geinitzi*, the first named pareiasaur
1852 (Amniota, Parareptilia). *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences* **45**: 1111–1121.
- 1853 **Tsuji LA, Sidor CA, Steyer JS, Smith RMH, Tabor NL, Ide O. 2013.** The vertebrate fauna
1854 of the Upper Permian of Niger–VII. Cranial anatomy and relationships of *Bunostegos*
1855 *akokanensis* (Pareiasauria). *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **33**: 747–763.
- 1856 **Vickaryous MK, Hall BK. 2006.** Homology of the reptilian coracoid and a reappraisal of the
1857 evolution and development of the amniote pectoral apparatus. *Journal of Anatomy* **208**:
1858 263–285.
- 1859 **Wang ZQ. 1993.** Evolutionary ecosystem of Permian-Triassic redbeds in North China: a
1860 historical record of global desertification. In: Lucas, SG, Morales, M, eds. *The*
1861 *Nonmarine Triassic*. Albuquerque: New Mexico Museum of Natural History & Science,
1862 471–476.
- 1863 **Wang ZQ, Chen AS. 2001.** Traces of arborescent lycopsids and dieback of the forest
1864 vegetation in relation to the terminal Permian mass extinction in North China. *Review of*
1865 *Palaeobotany and Palynology* **117**: 217–243.
- 1866 **Wang ZQ, Wang LX. 1986.** Late Permian fossil plants from the lower part of the
1867 Shiqeunfeng (Shihshoenfeng) group in North China. *Bulletin of the Tianjin Institute of*
1868 *Geology and Mineral Resources* **15**: 1–80 [in Chinese with English abstract].
- 1869 **Xu L, Li XW, Jia SH, Liu J. 2015.** The Jiyaun tetrapod fauna of the Upper Permian of
1870 **China. New** pareiasaur material and the reestablishment of *Honania complicidentata*.
1871 *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* **60**: 689–700.
- 1872 **Young CC. 1979.** A Late Permian fauna from Jiyuan, Henan. *Vertebrata Palasiatica* **1979**:
1873 17: 99–113. [In Chinese.]
- 1874 **Young CC, Yeh HK. 1983.** On a new pareiasaur from the Upper Permian of Shansi, China.
1875 *Vertebrata Palasiatica* **17**: 195–214.
- 1876 **Zhang Y, Zheng SL, Naugolnykh SV. 2012.** A new species of *Lepidopteris* discovered from
1877 the Upper Permian of China with its stratigraphic and biologic implications. *Chinese*
1878 *Science Bulletin* **57**: 3603–3609.
- 1879

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure 1. Locality map of western Shanxi Province, showing the principal pareiasaur localities, at Baode, on the banks of the Yellow River, and at Xuecun, and other localities in Liujin, on the Sanchuan River. The base map (from Google Maps) shows topography and main roads and towns, and the Lower and **Middle-Upper** Permian (LP, **M-UP**) outcrop, is marked, showing continuity of occurrences in the Upper Permian from Baode to Luliang.

Figure 2. Summary stratigraphic chart of the Middle and Late Permian, showing the international marine stratigraphic epochs and stages, the magnetostratigraphic pattern and key zones, the Russian stages, gorizonts, tetrapod zones, and faunal complexes, the South African tetrapod assemblage zones, and the North Chinese formations and tetrapod faunas. The outlines of the diagram are from Benton (2012) and Benton *et al.* (2013), with revisions of the Karoo boundaries, and radiometric dates (indicated by solid circles) from Rubidge *et al.* (2012). The Chinese horizons and correlations are discussed in the text, and are based mainly on Stevens *et al.* (2011).

Figure 3. Teeth of *Honania complicidentata* Young, 1979. A, Isolated tooth, IVPP V4015.1. B, Isolated tooth, IVPP V4015.3. C, Original image from Young (1979, fig. 4), showing the *Honania* type series, teeth IVPP V4015.1 (two views), V4015.2, and V4015.3 (two views). D, Original image from Young (1979, fig. 5), showing the *Tsiyuania* type series, teeth IVPP V4016.1 (two views) and V4016.2 (two views). Abbreviation: ci, cingulum.

Figure 4. Right maxilla of *Sanchuansaurus pygmaeus* Gao, 1989 (IVPP V6723), in lateral (A), medial (B), and dorsal (C) views. Abbreviations: a.p., antorbital process; io.c., infraorbital canal; p.s., palatal shelf; 1–15, tooth numbers.

Figure 5. Hindlimb elements of *Sanchuansaurus pygmaeus* Gao, 1989. (A–D) left femur (IVPP V6724), in dorsal (A), posterior (B), ventral (C), and anterior (D) views. (E–H) left fibula (IVPP V6725) in dorsal (E), posterior (F), ventral (G), and anterior (H) views. Abbreviations: a.t., anterior trochanter; fib., facet for articulation with fibulare; fi.c., fibular condyle of femur; int., facet for articulation with intermedium; i.s., intercondylar sulcus; p.f., posterior flange; ti.c., tibial condyle of femur.

Figure 6. Putative left jugal (IVPP V6722-3) of *Shihtienfenia permica* Young and Yeh, 1963, originally ascribed to *Huanghesaurus*, in lateral (A) and medial (B) views.

1914

1915

Figure 8. Mandible elements of *Shihtienfenia permica* Young and Yeh, 1963, originally ascribed to *Huanghesaurus*. (A, B) portion of left dentary (IVPP unnumbered) in lateral (A) and medial (B) views. (C–E) details of the dentary dentition (IVPP V6722-1) in lateral (C) and medial (D) views, and close-up of dentary teeth 11 and 12 (E). Abbreviations: ci, cingulum; ri, ridge.

1921

Figure 9. Posterior cervical vertebrae of *Shihtienfenia permica* Young and Yeh, 1963. (A) Posterior cervical vertebra (IVPP V2717) in anterior view. (B) Posterior cervical vertebrae, originally ascribed to *Huanghesaurus* (IVPP V6722-4 to 7), in lateral view. Abbreviations: ce., centrum; dp., diapophysis; n.a., neural arch; n.c., neural canal; n.sp., neural spine; poz., postzygapophysis; pp., parapophysis; prz., prezygapophysis; t.p., transverse process.

1927

Figure 10. Posterior dorsal vertebrae of *Shihtienfenia permica* Young and Yeh, 1963. (A–C) Posterior dorsal vertebrae (IVPP V2717; presacrals 16–20?) in dorsal (A~), left lateral (B), and ventral (C) views. Abbreviations: n.c., neural canal; n.sp., neural spine; poz., postzygapophysis; prz., prezygapophysis; t.p., transverse process; 16–20, estimated numbers of dorsal vertebrae.

1933

Figure 11. Sacral vertebrae and first caudal vertebra of *Shihtienfenia permica* Young and Yeh, 1963 (IVPP V2717), in right lateral (A), left lateral (B), near-dorsal (C), and ventral (D) views. Abbreviations: ca.v.1, caudal vertebra 1; n.sp., neural spine; poz., postzygapophysis; prz., prezygapophysis; s.r.1, sacral rib 1; 1–5, sacral vertebrae 1–5.

1938

Figure 12. Isolated ribs of *Shihtienfenia permica* Young and Yeh, 1963, originally ascribed to *Huanghesaurus*, in lateral (above) and medial (below) views. (A) cervical rib (IVPP V6722-18), (B, C) anterior dorsal ribs (IVPP V6722-20, 21), and (D) mid-dorsal rib (IVPP V6722-22). Abbreviations: ca., capitulum; tu., tuberculum).

1943

Figure 13. Right scapula of *Shihtienfenia permica* Young and Yeh, 1963 (IVPP V2717), in lateral (A), anterior (B), medial (C) and posterior (D) views. Abbreviations: acr., acromion process; gl., glenoid; int.pr., internal process.

1947

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1948 **Figure 14.** Left shoulder girdle of *Shihtienfenia permica* Young and Yeh, 1963 (IVPP
1949 V2717), in lateral (A) and medial (B) views, comprising scapula, coracoid, and clavicle,
1950 partly held together by a metal armature used when the specimen was displayed in the IVPP
1951 public museum. Abbreviations: acr., acromion process; cl., clavicle; co., coracoid; co.f.,
1952 coracoid foramen; gl., glenoid; sc., scapula.

1953
1954 **Figure 15.** Various scapulocoracoid remains of *Shihtienfenia permica* Young and Yeh, 1963.
1955 (A–D) Left scapulocoracoid, originally ascribed to *Huanghesaurus* (IVPP V6722-22), in
1956 lateral (A, B) and medial (C, D) views, showing the specimen and a sketch interpretation. (E,
1957 F) Left scapulocoracoid originally assigned to *Shansisaurus* sp. (IVPP V6727), in lateral (E)
1958 and medial (F) views. (G, H) Left scapula originally assigned to ‘*Pareiasauride* sp.’ (IVPP
1959 V8533), in lateral (E) and medial (F) views. Abbreviations: aco., anterior coracoid; acr.,
1960 acromion process; co.f., coracoid foramen; gl., glenoid; pco., posterior coracoid; pr., process;
1961 sc., scapula; s.s.f., subscapular fossa.

1962
1963 **Figure 16.** Dermal shoulder girdle of *Shihtienfenia permica* Young and Yeh, 1963. (A) Left
1964 side of shoulder girdle (IVPP V2717), showing clavicle in place. (B–E) Right and left
1965 clavicles originally ascribed to *Huanghesaurus* (IVPP V6722-22) in anterior (B), external (C),
1966 posterior (D), and internal (E) views. (F, G) Interclavicle originally ascribed to
1967 *Huanghesaurus* (IVPP V6722-22) in external/ ventral (F) and internal/ dorsal (G) views, the
1968 latter showing the proximal part of the left clavicle in position. (H, I) Sketch reconstructions
1969 of the shoulder girdle of IVPP V2717, and anterior (H) and left lateral (I) views.
1970 Abbreviations: cl., clavicle; cl.f., clavicular facet; co., coracoid; h, humerus; icl.pr.,
1971 interclavicle process; p.fl., posterior flange; p.pr., posterior process; R, right-hand side; sc.,
1972 scapula.

1973
1974 **Figure 17.** Humerus of *Shihtienfenia permica* Young and Yeh, 1963. (A–D) Left humerus of
1975 *Shihtienfenia* (IVPP V2717) in ventral (A), anterior (B), dorsal (C), and posterior (D) views.
1976 (E, F) Left humerus of *Huanghesaurus* (IVPP V6722-26) in dorsal (E) and ventral (F) views.
1977 (G) Left humerus of ‘*Pareiasauridae* indet.’ (IVPP V8534) in ventral view. Abbreviations:
1978 a.d.v.l., anterior dorso-ventral line; a.f., articular facet; dpc, deltopectoral crest; ect.,
1979 ectepicondyle; ent, entepicondyle; ent.f., entepicondylar foramen; ic.f., intercondylar fossa;
1980 p.a.f., posterior articular facet; sup., supinator process.

Figure 18. Ulna and radius of *Shihtienfenia permica* Young and Yeh, 1963. (A, B) Left ulna of *Huanghesaurus* (IVPP V6722-27) in lateral (A) and medial (B) views. (C, D) Left radius of *Huanghesaurus* (IVPP V6722-28) in lateral (C) and medial (D) views. Abbreviations: ole., olecranon; sig.n., sigmoid notch; sig. p., sigmoid process.

Figure 19. Pelvis and hindlimb of *Shihtienfenia permica* Young and Yeh, 1963. (A, B) Left side of pelvis of *Shihtienfenis* (IVPP V2727), in lateral (A) and medial (B) views. (C, D) Right side of pelvis of *Shihtienfenis* (IVPP V2727), in lateral (C) and medial (D) views. (E, F) Left femur of *Shansisaurus* (CAGS V301), in dorsal (E) and ventral (F) views. (G–H) Ankle bones of *Huanghesaurus* (IVPP V6722): possible astragalocalcaneum (G), unidentified ankle bone (H), and possible tarsal or **carpal** (I). (J) Dermal plates of *Huanghesaurus* (IVPP V6722). Abbreviations: ac., acetabulum; ant.pr., anterior process; cr.sac., crista sacralis; fi.c., fibular condyle; i.tr., internal trochanter; ic.f., intercondylar foramen; il., ilium; is., ischium; pu., pubis; sa.r.4, sacral rib 4; ti.c., tibial condyle; tr.m., trochanter major.

Figure 20. Reconstruction of *Shihtienfenia permica* Young and Yeh, 1963, based on preserved elements, photographs of the whole-skeleton mount (Young and Yeh 1963, pls. 1, 2), and broad comparability with *Scutosaurus*, **as reconstructed in Ziska in Gregory (1946).**

Figure 21. Strict consensus cladogram of relationships among pareiasaurs, with the four named Chinese taxa highlighted in bold. Bootstrap values are 100% throughout, except for the two values shown (51%, 52%).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Basic measurements of the crowns of Chinese pareiasaur teeth. Explanation of measurements: breadth, maximum anteroposterior width of the crown, measured on the medial (lingual) face, in mm; height, maximum dorsoventral measurement from tooth tip to centre of cingulum, measured on medial (lingual) face, in mm; cingulum and marginal denticles represent totals. Position in tooth row is indicated for teeth of *Sanchuansaurus* and *Huanghesaurus*, in parentheses after the repository number; abbreviation: R, replacement.

					Cingulum	Marginal
	Genus	Specimen	Height	Breadth	denticles	denticles
2005	<i>Honania</i>	IVPP V4015.1	13	10	c. 12	16
2006	<i>Honania</i>	IVPP V4015.2	-	10	-	15-17
2007	<i>Honania</i>	IVPP V4015.3	11.5	9.5	c. 12	12-14
2008	<i>Tsiyuania</i>	IVPP V4016.1	13.5+	14	c. 15	8+
2009	<i>Tsiyuania</i>	IVPP V4016.2	12+	12+	-	-
2010	<i>Sanchuansaurus</i>	IVPP V6723 (4)	5.5	8	10-12	c. 10
2011	<i>Sanchuansaurus</i>	IVPP V6723 (7)	c. 8	9	10-12	9-10
2012	<i>Sanchuansaurus</i>	IVPP V6723 (8R)	9	c. 9	-	c. 10
2013	<i>Sanchuansaurus</i>	IVPP V6723 (9)	8.5	9	10-12	c. 10
2014	<i>Sanchuansaurus</i>	IVPP V6723 (11)	8+	10	10-12	c. 9
2015	<i>Sanchuansaurus</i>	IVPP V6723 (13)	10+	11	c. 12	c. 10
2016	<i>Huanghesaurus</i>	IVPP V6722-1 (1)	12.5+	c. 12	-	-
2017	<i>Huanghesaurus</i>	IVPP V6722-1 (3)	14	12	-	15-16
2018	<i>Huanghesaurus</i>	IVPP V6722-1 (5)	19	12	5-6	15-16
2019	<i>Huanghesaurus</i>	IVPP V6722-1 (10)	15	11-12	5-6	15-17
2020	<i>Huanghesaurus</i>	IVPP V6722-1 (12)	14	12	5-6	13-15

Table 2. Measurements of the vertebrae of *Shihtienfenia* (IVPP V2717). Individual specimens are not numbered separately, so references to figures in Yang and Yeh (1963) are given, together with their identifications.

1. Height from base of centrum in posterior view to top of neural spine.

2. Maximum width across distal tips of transverse processes.

3. Height of anterior articular face of centrum

4. Width of anterior articular face of centrum

5. Height of posterior articular face of centrum

6. Width of posterior articular face of centrum

7. Maximum length of centrum from anterior to posterior face.

2042

2043

Number	Identity	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Fig. 5, lower left	Posterior cervical	-	?280	90	74	110	70	82
Fig. 5, upper left	?Presacral 11	-	230	c. 130	75	c. 130	c. 70	90
Fig. 5, upper left	?Presacral 12	-	230	c. 130	c. 75	c. 120	-	90
Fig. 5, upper right	?Presacral 13	-	-	c. 110	c. 65	-	-	80
Fig. 5, upper right	?Presacral 14	-	c. 220	c. 95	c. 70	-	-	c.70
Fig. 2.	?Presacral 16	-	190	77	105	-	-	88
Fig. 2.	?Presacral 17	-	220	-	-	-	-	90
Fig. 2.	?Presacral 18	-	220	-	-	-	-	90
Fig. 2.	?Presacral 19	-	220	-	-	-	-	95
Fig. 2.	?Presacral 20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fig. 3.	Sacral 1	-	c. 170	-	-	-	-	-
Fig. 3.	Sacral 2	c. 240	c. 160	-	c. 65	-	-	85
Fig. 3.	Sacral 3	180+	c. 180	-	-	-	-	85
Fig. 3.	Sacral 4	180+	c. 170	-	-	-	-	87
Fig. 3.	Sacral 5	-	c. 130	-	-	-	-	87
Fig. 3.	Caudal 1	-	c. 100	-	-	-	c. 55	c.80

2061

2062

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

2063 **Table 3.** Measurements of the vertebrae of *Huanghesaurus* (IVPP V6722).
2064 1. Height from base of centrum in posterior view to top of neural spine.
2065 2. Maximum width across distal tips of transverse processes.
2066 3. Height of anterior articular face of centrum
2067 4. Width of anterior articular face of centrum
2068 5. Height of posterior articular face of centrum
2069 6. Width of posterior articular face of centrum
2070 7. Maximum length of centrum from anterior to posterior face.

2073	Number	Identity	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
2074	IVPP V6722-4	Posterior cervical	-	-	-	-	87	72	80
2075	IVPP V6722-5	Posterior cervical	-	-	78	c. 75	80	82	80
2076	IVPP V6722-6	Posterior cervical	200	-	c. 90	82	90	90	80
2077	IVPP V6722-7	Posterior cervical	220	c. 140	-	-	c. 95	-	c.75
2078	IVPP V6722-8	Posterior dorsal	265	245	110	115	95	110	65
2079	IVPP V6722-9	Posterior dorsal	-	-	110	110	95	110	60
2080	IVPP V6722-10	Posterior dorsal							
2081	IVPP V6722-11	Posterior dorsal	-	-	110	105	-	-	-
2082	IVPP V6722-12	*Posterior dorsal	-	-	90	77	98	85	64
2083	IVPP V6722-13	*Posterior dorsal	322	-	95	70	90	70	80
2084	IVPP V6722-14	Posterior dorsal	-	-	117	102	94	102	62
2085	IVPP V6722-15	Posterior dorsal	293	255	90	86	85	80	58
2086	IVPP V6722-16	Posterior dorsal	-	252	-	-	-	-	-

2087 *crushed and distorted
2088

Table 4. Character codings for the Chinese pareiasaurs, listed according to the original designations of the taxa. Character numbering follows Lee (1997) and Tsuji (2013). Character absences are coded ‘?’.

Taxon	Character number									
	11	11-11	1-1-1	12222	22333	33-33	44444			
[Lee 1997]	23456	78901	23-45	6-7--	89013	56012	36-78	13567		
	1	11111	11112	22222	22223	33333	33334			
[Tsuji 2013]	12345	67890	12345	67890	12345	67890	12345	67890		
<i>Shihtienfenia</i>	?????	?????	?????	?????	?????	?????	?????	?????		
<i>Shansisaurus</i>	?????	?????	?????	?????	?????	?????	?????	?????		
<i>Huanghesaurus</i>	?????	?????	?????	?????	?????	?????	?????	?????		
<i>Sanchuansaurus</i>	?????	?????	?????	?????	?????	1????	?????	?????		
	---	4-	555-2	55656	66666	-6977	77777	78888	88888	
[Lee 1997]	---	9-	124-7	58190	34567	-8301	23457	91234	56789	
	44444	44445	55555	55556	66666	66667	77777	77778		
[Tsuji 2013]	12345	67890	12345	67890	12345	67890	12345	67890		
<i>Shihtienfenia</i>	?????	?????	?????	?????	?03??	??120	11111	11011		
<i>Shansisaurus</i>	?????	?????	?????	?????	?????	??120	1????	?????		
<i>Huanghesaurus</i>	?????	1110?	?11?4	11????	?0????	??120	11111	01????		
<i>Sanchuansaurus</i>	?????	?????	0113?	?1????	?????	?????	?????	?????		
	1	11111	11111	11111	11111	11111	1----	-----		
	99999	99990	00000	01111	11222	22222	2----	-----		
[Lee 1997]	01245	67890	13457	92456	89012	34567	8----	-----		
				1	11111	11111	11111	11111		
	88888	88889	99999	99990	00000	00001	11111	11112		
[Tsuji 2013]	12345	67890	12345	67890	12345	67890	12345	67890		
<i>Shihtienfenia</i>	0???01	11211	0????	?????	?????	?????	?????	?????	?????	
<i>Shansisaurus</i>	?????	?????	?????	111??	?????	?????	?????	?????	?????	
<i>Huanghesaurus</i>	?0????	?????	?????	?????	?????	120??	?????	?????	?????	
<i>Sanchuansaurus</i>	?????	?????	?????	?1????	?????	?????	?????	?????	?????	
	-----	-								
	-----	-								
[Lee 1997]	-----	-								
	11111	1								
	22222	2								
[Tsuji 2013]	12345	6								
<i>Shihtienfenia</i>	?????	?								
<i>Shansisaurus</i>	?????	?								
<i>Huanghesaurus</i>	?????	?								
<i>Sanchuansaurus</i>	?????	?								

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

A

B

C

D

20 mm

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60