Vardanega, P. J., & Bolton, M. D. (2016). Discussion of “Undrained Young’s Modulus of Fine-Grained Soils” by B. Casey, J. T. Germaine, N. O. Abdulhadi, N. S. Kontopoulos, and C. A. Jones. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 142(10), [07016023]. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)GT.1943-5606.0001571](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0001571)

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1061/\(ASCE\)GT.1943-5606.0001571](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0001571)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via American Society of Civil Engineers at [http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)GT.1943-5606.0001571](http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0001571). Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

This is the author version of a discussion that was accepted for publication in the *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering* on 29 February 2016. This author version is an edited version of the final draft of the manuscript. Further alterations to the final draft may have been introduced during the publishing process, such as: formatting changes and resolution of other minor typographical errors.

For the final version of record please refer to the published version at:

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)GT.1943-5606.0001571](http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0001571)

PJV

13-07-2016

Discussion of “Undrained Young’s Modulus of Fine-Grained Soils” by B. Casey, J. T. Germaine, N. O. Abdulhadim, N. S. Kontopoulos and C. A. Jones

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)GT.1943-5606.0001382](http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0001382)

P. J. Vardanega, Ph.D. M.ASCE¹ and M. D. Bolton, Ph.D. C.Eng.²

Introduction

The discussers read the recent paper by Casey et al. (2015) with great interest. The authors’ large body of work, developing geotechnical correlations (e.g., Casey and Germaine, 2013 and Casey et al. 2013) and CK₀UC testing (e.g., Sheahan et al. 1996) is acknowledged. The authors’ aims of clarifying the reported ranges of E_u/s_u values according to the effects of overconsolidation ratio, (OCR) and shear stress ratio (Duncan and Buchignani, 1976; Ladd et al. 1977) is very useful for traditional settlement analysis approaches (e.g., D’Appolonia, et al. 1971). The discussers have also had an interest in normalising the stress-strain curves of fine-grained materials and are particularly interested in the K_0 effect and the *applied shear stress ratio* as defined in Casey et al. (2015).

Simple models for K_0 -data

In Vardanega and Bolton (2011, 2012) equation (1) was presented for shifting upwards the stress-strain curves obtained from an initial state $K_0 = 1$ (note that while equation 1 uses an average value of the exponent, i.e., $b = 0.6$, which is based on the analysis of a large database, the value of the exponent will vary for individual soil tests)

$$\frac{\tau_{mob}-\tau_0}{s_u} = 0.5 \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma_{M=2}} \right)^{0.6} \quad (1)$$

¹ Lecturer in Civil Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1TR, United Kingdom (corresponding author). Email: p.j.vardanega@bristol.ac.uk

² Emeritus Professor of Soil Mechanics, Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CP2 1PZ, United Kingdom. Email: mdb8@cam.ac.uk

where τ_{mob} = current maximum shear stress, τ_0 = maximum shear stress in the specimen before undrained shearing commences, s_u = undrained shear strength, γ = shear strain and $\gamma_{M=2}$ is the shear strain required to mobilise $0.5s_u$. A similar approach (equation 2) was also successfully used by Li and Bolton (2014) to shift any shear modulus reduction curve (with variable shear modulus, G being less than or equal to the maximum shear modulus, G_{max})

$$\tau_{mob} = \tau_0 + G\gamma \quad (2)$$

A form of equation (3), shown in this discussion with a generalised exponent b , was used by Vardanega (2012) to study the applicability of the power-law function to describe the stress-strain behaviour of K_0 -consolidated materials. Figure 1 shows the digitised data of a reconstituted low plasticity “North Sea Clay” originally reported in Jardine et al. (1984, 1986). Figure 2 shows power curves of the form given as equation (3), fitted to the data between the range $0.2 < B < 0.8$ (analogous to the approach used in Vardanega and Bolton, 2011). Figure 3 shows that equation 3 can reasonably predict the data shown on Figure 2, utilising the computed average exponent of $b = 0.52$ (see Table 1)

$$\frac{\tau_{mob} - \tau_0}{s_u - \tau_0} = B = 0.5 \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma_{ref, K0}} \right)^b \quad (3)$$

where $\gamma_{ref, K0}$ is the K_0 -modified reference strain.

Vardanega et al. (2012, 2013) presented data for a kaolin clay that suggested that $\gamma_{M=2}$ is positively correlated with OCR (as is the exponent b): accepting some scatter. The regression relations developed are shown as equation 4 and equation 5 with accompanying statistical measures

$$\log_{10}(\gamma_{M=2}) = 0.680 \log_{10}(OCR) - 2.395$$

$$R^2 = 0.81, n = 18, SE = 0.151, p < 0.001 \quad (4)$$

$$b = 0.011(OCR) + 0.371$$

$$R^2 = 0.59, n = 18, SE = 0.064, p < 0.001 \quad (5)$$

Figures 4 and 5 also suggest a relationship of b varying with OCR for the data studied in this discussion but, interestingly, b seems to reduce with increasing OCR . The discussers would be interested to know whether any of the authors' datasets can also be successfully described using equation (3).

Notation

The following symbols are used in this discussion:

B = applied shear stress ratio;

b = an exponent;

E_u/s_u = ratio of undrained modulus to undrained shear strength;

G = shear modulus;

G_{max} = maximum shear modulus;

K_0 = earth pressure coefficient at the start of a test;

n = number of data-points used to generate a regression line;

OCR = overconsolidation ratio;

p = smallest level of significance that would lead to the rejection of the null hypothesis;

R^2 = coefficient of determination;

SE = standard error of a regression;

s_u = undrained shear strength;

γ = shear strain;

$\gamma_{M=2}$ = shear strain required to mobilise $0.5s_u$;

$\gamma_{ref,K0}$ = K_0 -modified reference strain;

ε = axial strain;

τ_{mob} = current maximum shear stress (denoted τ in the paper under discussion); and

τ_0 = maximum shear stress in the specimen before the undrained shearing commences.

References

- Casey, B. and Germaine, J. T. (2013). "The stress dependence of shear strength in fine-grained soils and correlations with liquid limit." *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, **139(10)**: 1709-1717.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)GT.1943-5606.0000896](http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0000896)
- Casey, B., Germaine, J. T., N. O. Abdulhadi, N. S. Kontopoulos and C. A. Jones (2015). "Undrained Young's Modulus of Fine-Grained Soils." *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, **142(2)**: 04015070.
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)GT.1943-5606.0001382](http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)GT.1943-5606.0001382)
- Casey, B., Germaine, J. T., Fleming, P. B., Reece, J. S., Gao, B. and Betts, W. (2013). "Liquid limit as a predictor of soil permeability." *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **44**: 256-263. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2013.04.008>
- D'Appolonia, D. J., Poulos, H. G. and Ladd, C. C. (1971). "Initial settlement of structures on clay." *Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division*, **97(10)**: 1359-1377.
- Duncan, J. M. and Buchignani, A. L. (1976). *An engineering manual for settlement studies*, University of California at Bekeley, Bekeley, California.
- Jardine, R. J., Potts, D. M., Fourie, A. B. and Burland, J. B. (1986). "Studies of the influence of non-linear stress-strain characteristics in soil-structure interaction." *Géotechnique*, **36(3)**: 377-396. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1680/geot.1986.36.3.377>
- Jardine, R. J., Symes, M. J. and Burland, J. B. (1984). "The measurement of soil stiffness in the triaxial apparatus." *Géotechnique*, **34(3)**: 323-340.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1680/geot.1984.34.3.323>
- Ladd, C. C., Foott, R., Ishihara, K., Scholsser, F. and Poulos, H. (1977). "Stress-deformation and strength characteristics." *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Soil*

Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Vol. 2, Japanese Society of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Tokyo, 421-494.

Li, Y. and Bolton, M. D. (2014). "Energy conservation validates deformation mechanisms around model cantilever wall excavations in sand." *Géotechnique*, **64(6)**: 419-430.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1680/geot.13.P.197>

Sheahan, T. C., Ladd, C. C., and Germaine, J. T. (1996). "Rate-dependent undrained shear behavior of saturated clay." *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, **122(2)**: 99-109.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9410\(1996\)122:2\(99\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9410(1996)122:2(99))

Vardanega, P. J. (2012). "Strength Mobilisation for Geotechnical Design & its Application to Bored Piles." Ph.D. thesis, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, U.K.

Vardanega, P. J., Lau, B. H., Lam, S. Y., Haigh, S. K., Madabhushi, S. P. G., Bolton, M. D., and Mayne, P. W. (2013). "Discussion: Laboratory measurement of strength mobilisation in kaolin: link to stress history." *Géotechnique Letters*, **3(1)**: 16-17,

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1680/geolett.13.00005>

Vardanega, P. J. and Bolton, M. D. (2011). "Strength Mobilization in Clays and Silts."

Canadian Geotechnical Journal, **48(10)**: 1485-1503. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/t11-052>

Vardanega, P. J. and Bolton, M. D. (2012). "Corrigendum "Strength Mobilization in Clays and Silts"." *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, **49(5)**: 631-631.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/t2012-023>

Vardanega, P. J., Lau, B. H., Lam, S. Y., Haigh, S. K., Madabhushi, S. P. G. and

Bolton, M. D. (2012). "Laboratory measurement of strength mobilization in kaolin: link to stress history." *Géotechnique Letters*, **2(1)**: 9-15,

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1680/geolett.12.00003>

Table 1: Test and curve fitting parameters shown on Figure 2 (*OCR* values quoted from Jardine et al. 1984)

Test identifier used in Jardine et al. (1984, 1986)	b	$\gamma_{ref,K0}$	<i>OCR</i>
R1	0.661	0.000155	1
R1.4	0.587	0.000369	1.4
R2	0.522	0.000664	2.05
R4	0.420	0.00195	3.73
R8	0.425	0.00593	7.4

Figure 1: Digitised triaxial data (data from Jardine et al. 1984, 1986)

Figure 2: Power-curve fits to the data from Figure 1 (curves fitted in the range $0.2 < B < 0.8$) (note that γ is taken as 1.5 times the axial strain)

Figure 3: Comparison of predicted values of B using equation 3 (with $b = 0.52$) with the measured values of B shown on Figure 2.

Figure 4: $\gamma_{ref, K0}$ plotted against OCR

Figure 5: b plotted against OCR