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Regio- and Stereoselective Homologation of 1,2-Bis(Boronic Esters): Stereocontrolled Synthesis of 1,3-Diols and Sch725674

Alexander Fawcett, Dominik Nitsch, Muhammad Ali, Joseph M. Bateman, Eddie L. Myers, and Varinder K. Aggarwal

Abstract: 1,2-Bis(boronic esters), derived from the enantioselective diboration of terminal alkenes, can be selectively homologated at the primary boronic ester by using enantioenriched primary/secondary lithiated carbamates or benzoates to give 1,3-bis(boronic esters), which can be subsequently oxidized to the corresponding secondary-secondary and secondary-tertiary 1,3-diols with full stereocontrol. The transformation was applied to a concise total synthesis of the 14-membered macrolactone, Sch725674. The nine-step synthetic route also features a novel desymmetrizing enantioselective diboration of a divinyl carbinol derivative and high-yielding late-stage cross-metathesis and Yamaguchi macrolactonization reactions.

Developments in the homologation of boronic esters has continued unabated for almost 40 years, reaching a point where iterative homologation (“one pot”) of a simple boronic ester into a molecule bearing 10 contiguous methyl substituents with full stereocontrol was recently demonstrated. Its use in complex natural product synthesis, such as (+)-10-hydroxyphthioceranic acid, has also been demonstrated (Scheme 1a). The methodology clearly works well in the construction of carbon chains rich in non-polar residues, but these represent a rather small sub-set of natural products. Most natural products contain polar residues where 1,3-hydroxy groups are ubiquitous. However, whilst 1,3-hydroxy groups can be easily prepared from alternative starting materials (e.g. carbonyl compounds), they cannot be prepared from boronic esters because the intermediate boronate complex that would be required to generate this moiety can either undergo the desired 1,2-migration or undesired β-elimination (Scheme 1b). When X is a halide (Matteson homologation) or a carbamate (our work), the desired 1,2-migration does occur but β-elimination often competes limiting its efficiency and generality, thus rendering these common motifs inaccessible to the current methodology. To address this problem, we considered masking the β-alkoxy group with another boron atom (Scheme 1c) because 1) the β-boronic ester does not undergo elimination, 2) oxygen functionality can be readily generated, and 3) the required 1,2-bis(boronic esters) are readily available through Morken/Nishiyama catalytic asymmetric diboration of terminal alkenes. The new process would require regio- and stereoselective homologation of the 1,2-bis(boronic ester). By combining Morken/Nishiyama diboration with lithiation–borylation we now show that powerful new methodology can be generated, enabling incorporation of the 1,3-diol motif through boronic ester homologation. In addition, we demonstrate its application in the concise total synthesis of the 14-membered macrolactone, Sch725674.

The key selective homologation of a primary boronic ester over a secondary boronic ester was initially examined. A 1,2-bis(boronic ester), (R)-2 (c.r. 95:5, 1.2 equiv), which was
prepared by Morken asymmetric diboration of 1-octene,[9a]
was added to a solution of preformed sparteine-ligated lithiated carbamate 1a-Li(+)-sparteine ligand (1.0 equiv, >99:1 e.r.)[10] at –78 °C. Subsequent heating at 35 °C for 16 h, followed by oxidation (H₂O₂/NaOH/H₂O), gave the desired 1,3-diol (S,S)-3 (66 % yield) together with only trace amounts of the double-
addition product, that is, derived from homologation of both the primary and secondary boronic esters (Scheme 2a, entry 1). The use of the lithiated triisopropylbenzoate (1b-Li(+)-sp 1.0 equiv, 96:4 e.r.)[11] in place of the carbamate gave similar yields of 3 (69 % yield; Scheme 2a, entry 4).

Because the enantioenriched 1,2-bis(boronic ester) can sometimes be more valuable than the lithium-stabilized carbenoid, we were keen on identifying conditions where the latter could be used in excess. However, standard conditions led to increased amounts of the double-addition product (Scheme 2a, entry 2). Suspecting that this product was only generated while the reaction mixture was being warmed from –78 °C to room temperature, we performed an experiment using excess carbamate and where MeOH was added to the reaction mixture immediately prior to warming, thus protonating any remaining lithiated carbamate.[12] Pleasingly, the use of this methanol-quench protocol, for both the carbamate and the benzoate, gave a good yield of 3 and only trace amounts of the double-addition product (Scheme 2a, entries 3 and 5), thus supporting our hypothesis and completing a suite of conditions for the regio- and stereoselective homologation of 1,2-bis(boronic esters).

The selectivity of this transformation may seem unremarkable: the less hindered primary boronic ester reacts in preference to the secondary boronic ester. However, we have found that it is critically dependent on the nature of the nucleophile. For example, the use of the either the TMEDA-
ligated or diamine-free lithiated carbamate 1a, or chloro-
methyl lithium (all less-hindered) gave a mixture of starting material, mono- and double-addition products (see the Supporting Information for details). Thus, only by using suitably hindered diamine-ligated lithiated carbamate 1a or benzoate 1b can high selectivity for reaction of the primary boronic ester over the secondary boronic ester be achieved.

With these conditions established, we prepared the remaining three stereoisomers of 3 by using the appropriate enantiomer of both 1,2-bis(boronic esters) 2 (1.2 equiv) and lithiated carbamate 1a-Li (Scheme 2A). In all cases, diols 3 were obtained with the same high diastereoselectivity and yield showing that there were no matched/mis-matched effects and that the reactions were dominated by reagent control. The scope of the selective transformation was also explored. The 1,2-bis(boronic ester), (R)-2, was treated with a range of lithiated primary and alkyl-alkyl, alkyl-aryl, and alkyl-vinyl secondary carbamates/benzoates to give the corresponding secondary-secondary and secondary-tertiary 1,3-diols in moderate to good yield and with excellent levels of diastereo- and enantioselectivity (4–12, Scheme 2B). By using the secondary benzyl carbamate, in combination with a range of 1,2-bis(boronic esters) of different steric demand bearing commonly encountered functional groups (ester, silyl ether, carbamate, alkene), the 1,3-diols were again obtained with high regio- and stereoselectivity (13–19, Scheme 2C). The ability to prepare secondary-secondary and secondary-tertiary 1,3-diols in moderate to good yield and with excellent levels of diastereo- and enantioselectivity (10–12, Scheme 2B). By using the secondary benzyl carbamate, in combination with a range of 1,2-bis(boronic esters) of different steric demand bearing commonly encountered functional groups (ester, silyl ether, carbamate, alkene), the 1,3-diols were again obtained with high regio- and stereoselectivity (13–19, Scheme 2C).

We decided to showcase this methodology in a total synthesis of Sch725674 (21; Figure 1), a 14-membered macro-

Scheme 2. Selective homologation of 1,2-bis(boronic esters): optimization and scope. Yields given are of isolated product, d.r. values were determined by using 1H NMR spectroscopy. [a] 0.55 mmol of the limiting reagent was used; 1, s-BuLi, (+)- or (−)-sparteine, Et₂O (0.2 m), –78 °C; then 2 (1 m in Et₂O), –78 °C, 1 h; for ODG = OCb: warm to RT, then 35 °C overnight; for ODG = OTBS: warm to RT; 3 m eq. NaOH/30%aq. H₂O₂ (2:1), THF, 0 °C to RT. [b] Reaction conditions: entry 4. [c] Reaction conditions: entry 1. [d] Reaction conditions: entry 2. [e] Reaction conditions: entry 1; sparteine was not used; MgBr₂ in MeOH was added prior to warming. [f] Reaction conditions: entry 4; TMEDA was used in place of sparteine. [g] 0.28 mmol of the TIB ester (0.33 m) and 0.14 mmol of the 1,2-
bis(boronic ester) was used. DG = directing group, Cb = N,N-dissopro-
pyrrol carbamoyl, TIB = trisisopropylbenzoate, TMEDA = tetramethyl-
ethylene diamine.
Aspergillus of 27, 22 did. homologation as it is representative of a much larger class of 24b, we decided on cross-(1.0 equiv), @ (3.0 equiv), toluene, in 70% yield on multigram scale nantio- 29, 55. Th 28, (2:1), THF, RT, 1 h. d) TBSOTf( 4.1 equiv), 2,6-lutidine (6.2 equiv), CH 24b, s O(1.2 mol%), B 27, 28 products 23. The reaction was selective for formation of the primary boronic ester of 24b, thus the expected reagent-controlled deprotonation of primary triisopropyl-benzoates.[1] The reaction was selective for transformation of the primary boronic ester; we did not observe any products arising from homologation of the secondary boronic ester or homologation of both boronic esters. The remaining benzoate ester was then reacted with penty!] boronic ester 23 in another lithiation–borylation–oxidation to give tris(tert-butylsilyl)-protected tetraol 29, which was isolated in 85% yield as a 90:5:5 mixture of diastereomers. The diastereopurity of 29 again is in line with the expected reagent-controlled ≈ 95:5 selectivity in the sparteine-mediated deprotonation of 28, imposed on a 95:5 mixture of diastereomers of 28. This reaction was scaled up to provide grams of material (2.0 g). The terminal alkene was then converted into the α,β-unsaturated methyl ester through ruthenium-catalyzed cross-metathesis with methyl acrylate.

Figure 1. Retrosynthetic analysis of Sch 725674. L-B-O: lithiation–borylation–oxidation.

lactone, which was isolated in 2005 from Aspergillus sp.[13] The molecule exhibits moderate antifungal activity and is a rare example of a macrocyclic polyketide natural product that does not contain any methyl-group branching. It is a popular target that has been frequently used to demonstrate methodology[14,15] as it is a representative of a much larger class of important macrolactone polyketide-derived enolides.[16] Our retrosynthesis involves a novel desymmetrizing diboration of a divinylcarbinol derivative (25—22, Figure 1), setting the C4 and C5 stereocenters, followed by two reagent-controlled C—C bond-forming lithiation–borylation reactions on a dicarbenoid precursor, 24, the first being a regioselective transformation of the primary boronic ester of 22 and the second installing the pentyl-substituted C13 carbonyl. Cognizant of previous syntheses of Sch 725674,[14,15] we decided on cross-metathesis/macrolactonization to incorporate C1 and C2 and form the ring.

We began by investigating the novel desymmetrizing asymmetric diboration of divinyl carbino] derivatives. We found that O-silyl derivatives, such as 25a, gave very high yields of the single-diboration product (27) using Morken’s conditions, which were obtained as single diastereomers (> 95:5 d.r.; Scheme 3). Nishiyama’s conditions and other more coordinating O-protection groups including the free alcohol were also tested but they performed less well (see the Supporting Information for details), so the TBS-protected derivative 27 (90% yield, > 95:5 d.r.) was taken forward. Conversion of 1,2-bis(boronic ester) 27 to the known triol (C—B oxidation/TBS deprotection), and then to the tri(p-bromobenzoyl ester) confirmed both the identity of the major diastereomer as being anti and the high levels of enantioselectivity (98:2 e.r.).

With a significant amount of 27 in hand, we moved to the other coupling partner 24a (X = N(Pr)2), Figure 1). Unfortunately the bis-carbamate did not undergo lithiation under standard deprotonation conditions (sBuLi, diamine, Et2O, –78°C), but the corresponding bis(trisopropylbenzoate) 24b (X = 2,3,5-trisopropylbenzene, Figure 1) did. Thus, enantioselective deprotonation of 24b with sBuLi (1.2 equiv) in Et2O in the presence of (−)-sparteine (1.3 equiv) at –78°C, followed by addition of 1,2-bis(boronic ester) 27, warming to room temperature, an oxidative workup, and TBS protection of the resulting secondary hydroxy groups gave the tris-TBS protected 1,2,4-triol 28 in 70% yield on multigram scale (Scheme 3). The diastereoselectivity of the transformation was ca. 95:5, which is in line with the levels of enantioselectivity we often obtain for sparteine-mediated deprotonation of primary triisopropyl-benzoates.[11] The reaction was selective for transformation of the primary boronic ester; we did not observe any products arising from homologation of the secondary boronic ester or homologation of both boronic esters. The remaining benzoate ester was then reacted with penty!] boronic ester 23 in another lithiation–borylation–oxidation–mining to give tris(tert-butylsilyl)-protected tetraol 29, which was isolated in 85% yield as a 90:5:5 mixture of diastereomers. The diastereopurity of 29 again is in line with the expected reagent-controlled ≈ 95:5 selectivity in the sparteine-mediated deprotonation of 28, imposed on a 95:5 mixture of diastereomers of 28. This reaction was scaled up to provide grams of material (2.0 g). The terminal alkene was then converted into the α,β-unsaturated methyl ester through ruthenium-catalyzed cross-metathesis with methyl acrylate.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Sch 725674. Reaction conditions: a) Pt(dba)3 (1 mol%), 26 (1.2 mol%), Bpin, (1.1 equiv), THF, 60°C, 16 h. b) 24b (1.3 equiv), sBuLi (1.2 equiv), (−)-sparteine (1.3 equiv), Et2O, –78°C, 2 h; then 27 (1.0 equiv), –78°C, 1 h; then 35°C, 16 h. c) 2 ml aq. NaOH/30%aq. H2O2 (2:1), THF, RT, 1 h. d) TBSOT (4.1 equiv), 2,6-lutidine (6.2 equiv), CH2Cl2, RT, 1.5 h. e) 28 (1.0 equiv), sBuLi (1.2 equiv), (−)-sparteine (1.3 equiv), Et2O, –78°C, 2 h; then 23 (1.4 equiv), –78°C, 1 h; then 35°C, 16 h. f) Hoveyda–Grubbs 2nd gen cat. (10 mol%), methyl acrylate (3.0 equiv), EtOAc, 80°C, 16 h. g) LiOH (10 equiv), THF/MeOH/H2O (1:1:1), 40°C, 16 h, h) trichlorobenzoyl chloride (1.2 equiv), NEt3 (3.0 equiv), toluene, RT, 4 h; then DMAP (2.0 equiv), 80°C, 16 h. i) HF (48 wt %, H2O2/CH2Cl2/CH3CN (1:2:6), RT, 3 h.

This reaction initially proved rather difficult, presumably due to the steric hindrance surrounding the terminal alkene. However, by slow dropwise addition of a solution of the second-generation Hoveyda–Grubbs catalyst (10 mol%) to the reaction mixture, which was maintained at 80°C, over a period of 19 hours the product was obtained in good yield (67%).[17] Conducting the reaction at ambient temperature, having the full amount of the catalyst present at the beginning of the reaction or by adding it in portions gave poor yields of the cross-metathesis product. These results were suggestive of a catalyst decomposition pathway that was at least second order in catalyst concentration.[18] Following hydrolysis of the methyl ester, which could be isolated in diastereomerically pure form, macro lactonisation of sec-oic acid 30 was accomplished using Yamaguchi conditions giving the hydroxyprotected macrocycle in 87% yield.[19] All three TBS groups were then removed by treatment withaq. HF/MeCN/CH₂Cl₂,[20] thus giving the target compound, Sch725674 (21) in 88% yield.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that 1,2-bis(boronic esters) derived from the asymmetric Morken/Nishiyama diboration of terminal alkene1, undergo regio- and stereoselective homolagation of the primary boronic ester, in the presence of enantioenriched lithiated carbamates or benzoates, to give stereo defi ned 1,3-bis(boronic esters), which can be oxidized to the corresponding 1,3-diol. This merging of asymmetric diboration with lithiation–borylation overcomes the long-standing difficulty in homologating β-alkoxy boronic esters, thus allowing lithiation–borylation to be used for preparing highly oxygenated target molecules, including secondary-tertiary 1,3-diols, for which there have been no generally applicable synthetic routes. We employed this methodology in a very short (9 steps LLS), high-yielding and scalable synthesis of Sch725674. The synthesis was additionally enabled by a novel desymmetrizing diboration of divinyl carbinals, the products of which should prove to be highly useful intermediates in synthesis.
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[7] The selective homolagation of 1,2-bis(catechol boronic esters) with TMSCHN, has been reported; see: M. Kalendra, R.A. Duehes, J.P. Morken, Synlett 2005, 1749–1751. However, we have shown that the corresponding 1,2-bis(pinacol boronic esters), which are less electrophilic, do not react with TMSCHN; see the Supporting Information for details.
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