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Abstract: Nickel-catalyzed coupling of benzyl acrylates with activated ketones and imines provides γ-butyrolactones and lactams, respectively. The benzyl alcohol byproduct released during the lactonization/lactamization event is relayed to the next cycle where it serves as the reductant for C–C bond formation. This strategy represents a conceptually unique approach to transfer-hydrogenative C–C bond formation, thus providing examples of reductive heterocyclizations where hydrogen embedded within an alcohol leaving group facilitates turnover.

The identification of catalytic paradigms for the direct and atom-economical assembly of C–C bonds is a key goal of organic chemistry. Within this context, transfer-hydrogenative C–C bond formation has emerged as a powerful platform for reaction design. For example, hydrogen borrowing allows the direct α-alkylation of carbonyl compounds with alcohols by a catalytic dehydrogenation/condensation/reduction sequence (Scheme 1a).[1] The related Guerbet reaction effects the dehydrative union of two alcohols, thus providing an efficient method to upgrade bioethanol to butanol (Scheme 1b).[2] Krische and co-workers have pioneered transfer-hydrogenative alcohol C–H functionalizations as exemplified by processes where alcohol dehydrogenation drives the reductive generation of nucleophilic metal allyls in advance of carbonyl addition (Scheme 1c).[3] Each of these reaction classes merges redox events with C–C bond formation, thus avoiding stepwise generation of reactive functionality and enhancing substantially atom economy. As such, new transfer-hydrogenative C–C bond-forming strategies are likely to find wide utility in reaction design.

Our studies in this area were initiated by considering synthetic entries to γ-butyrolactones and lactams,[4–7] which are versatile intermediates as well as core motifs in an array of natural products. An appealing, yet unrealized approach to these compounds resides in metal-catalyzed reductive coupling of either a carbonyl or imine with an acrylate to afford a γ-amino or γ-hydroxy ester, which upon cyclization would provide the target (Scheme 1d). This disconnection requires the identification of a strategy which enables reductive C–C bond formation, but avoids nonproductive reduction of the starting materials. We reasoned that these criteria might be fulfilled by coupling the release of the reductant to the formation of either the lactone or lactam, thereby minimizing nonproductive background reduction events. Such a proposition appears practically challenging, however, a simple solution is availed by harnessing the native reducing power of the alcohol released upon cyclization to drive turnover. In this way, the alcohol byproduct from one cycle is relayed to the next, where it then serves as the reductant for C–C bond formation. Herein, as proof-of-concept, we show that lactones and lactams can be generated by nickel-catalyzed union of activated ketones and ketimines, respectively, with O-benzyl acrylates. This approach provides unique examples of reductive heterocyclizations where hydrogen embedded within an alcohol leaving group facilitates catalytic turnover,[8] thus adding a new vista to the wider area of transfer-hydrogenative C–C bond formation.[1–3]

Scheme 1. Transfer hydrogenative C–C bond-forming strategies.
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In early studies, we assayed a wide range of late-transition-metal systems for the reductive coupling of isatin 1a and ethyl acrylate (2a, R = Et; Table 1). At 150 °C in PhMe, and with 10 mol % benzyl alcohol as the initiator (see Scheme 1d), the combination of 7.5 mol % Ni(cod)_2 and 15 mol % P(o-OMeC_6H_4)_3 provided the target lactone 3a in 19% yield, with unreacted starting material accounting for the mass balance (Table 1, entry 1). Here, according to our reaction design, ethanol released during the first turnover must then function as the reductant for subsequent cycles. Based on this we considered whether more easily oxidized alcohol-based leaving groups might provide increased efficiencies.

Ultimately, this led to the reaction conditions outlined in entry 3, which use 300 mol % benzyl acrylate (2b, R = Bn) as the reaction partner, and generate 3a in 84% yield. Some turnover was observed in the absence of the initiating alcohol (entry 4), likely facilitated by hydrolytic release of BnOH from benzyl acrylate under the reaction conditions. This generates acrylic acid as a byproduct, a component which control experiments found to be inhibitory to the reductive lactonization process.

Lower loadings of either the benzyl alcohol initiator or the nickel pre-catalyst resulted in diminished efficiencies (entry 5), and use of stoichiometric BnOH also resulted in a lower yield (entry 6). This latter result highlights the benefits of coupling reductant release to turnover. 3a was generated in 58% yield when the reaction was run with only 100 mol % 2b (entry 7). A nickel(0) pre-catalyst is essential for efficient reactivity; nickel(II) systems (e.g. entry 8) or commonly employed transfer-hydrogenation catalysts, such as [IrCp*Cl_2]_2 (entry 9), were completely ineffective.

The scope of the process with respect to the isatin component is outlined in Table 2. A variety of electronically distinct systems (1a–j) participated to provide the target spirocyclic systems 3a–j in moderate to excellent yield. The protocol shows useful functional-group tolerance, with both esters (3h) and methoxy (3d) substituents surviving, despite the established lability of these functionalities under nickel(0)-catalyzed conditions. Processes involving substituted acrylates required the addition of Mg(OTf)_2 as a Lewis-acidic co-catalyst. By using this modification, reductive coupling of 1a with α-methyl (2c) and α-phenyl (2d) benzyl acrylate provided the targets 3k and 3l, respectively, in high yield and as single diastereomers (>20:1 d.r.). The relative stereochemistries of 3k and 3l were assigned by X-ray diffraction. Interestingly, these products possess opposite relative configurations. β-Substituted acrylates also participate, such that targets 3m and 3n were formed in 77 and 73% yield, respectively. In the latter case, the Lewis acid co-catalyst was not required, likely due to the high electrophilicity of the acrylate partner, dibenzylfumarate 2f.

Our observations are that isatins are privileged substrates for this reductant relay process. Nevertheless, we have
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[a] Yield determined by 1^H NMR analysis using 1,4-dinitrobenzene as an internal standard. [b] Using 100 mol % benzyl acrylate (2b).
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Yields are those of isolated products. [a] Mg(OTf)_2 (10 mol %) was used as an additive. [b] 600 mol % of acrylate was used.
established that, in certain cases, other classes of 1,2-dicarbonyl also participate, thus suggesting potentially wider applications of the strategy. For example, benzil systems 4a–c generated the corresponding monocyclic lactones 5a–c in modest to very good yield (Scheme 2). Cyclic system 6 was also a competent reaction partner, generating lactone 7 in 52% yield when Mg(OTf)$_2$ was used as co-catalyst. As far as we are aware, the examples in Table 2 and Scheme 2 are the first catalytic reductive lactonizations which harness carbonyls and unfunctionalized acrylate esters. Existing noncatalytic protocols use exogenous stoichiometric reductants, whereas catalytic approaches require alcohols as the starting material, and, in turn, mandate prior reduction of the carbonyl partner.\[4]\]

To probe the mechanism of the process a series of experiments was undertaken. When deuterio-2b, which incorporates deuterium at the benzylic positions, was exposed to the optimized reaction conditions, 40% deuterium transfer to C2 of deuterio-3a was observed (Scheme 3a). Significant deuterium incorporation was also found at C2', thus indicating that the nickel(0) system can also activate the N-benzylic position.\[15\] For 1a to 3a (84% Yield), GCMS analysis of the crude reaction mixture revealed the concomitant formation of benzaldehyde in 78% yield. These observations show that the benzyloxy unit of the acrylate partner (2b) acts as the reductant for C–C bond formation. Under optimized reaction conditions we have confirmed that benzyl acrylates are most effective (Scheme 3b). Other systems with either primary or secondary alcohol based leaving groups, such as methyl, ethyl, and cyclohexyl acrylate, also enabled turnover, but provided 3a in significantly diminished yields. Conversely, phenyl and tert-butyl acrylate, which release “non-oxidizable” phenol or tBuOH, did not allow turnover, with the yield of 3a limited to the loading of the benzyl alcohol initiator (10 mol%). Overall, all these observations are consistent with the reductive formation of γ-hydroxy ester 9, in advance of lactonization to give 3a (Scheme 3c). Intermediate 9 might arise by either a carbonyl reduction/conjugate addition pathway (Path a)\[16\] or an oxidative coupling/reduction sequence (Path b).\[17,18\] Two key observations provide circumstantial support for Path a: 1) an adjacent acidifying group is required on the carbonyl partner\[19\] and 2) products of oxidative coupling with the benzaldehyde byproduct are not formed.\[20\] The beneficial effects of Mg(OTf)$_2$ in certain cases would be consistent with Lewis acid activation of the acrylate for conjugate addition. Exposure of 8 (the reduced form of 1a) to the optimized reaction conditions, with either 2b or 2c, generated 3a in high yield (Scheme 3d). Lactone formation from 8 in the absence of the nickel catalyst was feasible, but resulted in low conversion to 3a (15% yield). Thus, if Path a is operative, the nickel catalyst must play an intimate role in enhancing the C–C bond-forming event. One possibility is that oxidative addition of nickel(0) into the C3–H bond of 8 generates a nickel enolate, a process which has been suggested in other contexts.\[21\] Exposure of 8 to benzaldehyde (100 mol%) under standard catalytic conditions (in the absence of acrylate) resulted in a 35% yield of 1a, thus showing that reduction of 1a is reversible. Because of this, initial oxidation of 8 to 1a in advance of spiro lactonization by Path b cannot be ruled out. As already discussed, either nickel(II) systems...
or commonly employed ruthenium- and iridium-based transfer-hydrogenation catalysts do not promote the reaction, thus supporting a role for the nickel(0) system beyond simply effecting transfer hydrogenation of 1a.

According to the mechanistic blueprint outlined in Scheme 1d, other classes of process might be achievable using a reductive relay approach. Although further expansion of the strategy will require the identification of new catalysts and/or fragment coupling steps, we were keen to uncover additional processes which might be achieved using the nickel(0) system presented here. Specifically, we envisaged that α-oxo imines might couple with acrylates to provide lactams. This proposition was appealing because only sparse reports document the use of stoichiometric metallic reductants to achieve this seemingly simple process, and no catalytic approaches are available. Pleasingly, when the N,N-p-methoxyphenyl imine 10a was exposed to the reaction conditions optimized for lactonization, spirocyclic lactam 11a was generated in 68% yield (Table 3). Further evaluation revealed that this lactamization process has similar scope to the lactonization methodology. Indeed, electronically diverse isatin-based imines (10b–e) all engaged in smooth reductive coupling to provide lactam targets 11b–e in good to excellent yield. Extension of the protocol to the imine derived from benzil 4b provided monocyclic system 12 in 68% yield; the alternate lactone product was not observed. We also investigated a one-pot imine formation/lactamization sequence (Scheme 4). Exposure of 1a to p-methoxyaniline under acidic conditions generated imine 10a. Removal of the volatile components was followed by direct addition of the reagents required for reductive lactamization, allowing a telescoped synthesis of 11a in 50% yield over the one-pot, three-component process.

In summary, we demonstrate a unique approach to transfer-hydrogenative C–C bond formation, wherein the native reducing power of an alcohol released upon either lactonization or lactamization is used to drive catalytic turnover. This approach provides an interesting example of an atom-economical methodology, highlighting how an otherwise wasted byproduct can be used productively. The studies described herein encompass the first catalytic methods for accessing lactones and lactams by the direct reductive coupling of carbonyls and imines, respectively, with unfunctionalized acrylates. Future studies will seek to identify other catalyst systems which can promote the stereocontrolled coupling of a wider range of reaction partners.
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Communications


Addition of 20 mol% acrylic acid to optimized reaction conditions completely suppressed the formation of 3a, and 1a was recovered in 82% yield. This is likely due to competitive conditions completely suppressed the formation of oxanickelacyclopentane: T. Yamamoto, K. Igarashi, S. Kojima, A. Yamamoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, *102*, 7448.

A wide range of other NiIII or Ir sources, such as NiBr2, Ni(acac)2, Ni(OAc)2, [Ir(cod)Cl]2, [IrCp2Cl]2, and IrCl, did not lead to appreciable yields of 3a. At this stage, we have been unable to confirm whether or not the active catalytic species is a nickel(0) complex.


Other Lewis acids, such as ZnCl2 and In(OTf)3, were less effective.

CCDC 1564245–1564249 contain crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.


For transfer-hydrogenative cleavage of catalytically generated oxanickelacyclopentenones by alcohols, see: K. Nakai, Y. Yoshida, T. Kurashiki, S. Matsubara, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 7797. In this process, the aldehyde “byproduct” serves as the substrate for the next cycle. For a conceptually similar strategy which proceeds via an oxaruthenacyclopentane, see Ref. [4b].

The adjacent carbonyl group may facilitate enolization in advance of conjugate addition. For isatin-based systems (e.g. compound 8), enolization may also be driven by aromatization.

Benzaldehyde is an established substrate for accessing oxanickelacyclopentenones by alcohols, see: K. Nakai, Y. Yoshida, T. Kurashiki, S. Matsubara, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 7797. In this process, the aldehyde “byproduct” serves as the substrate for the next cycle. For a conceptually similar strategy which proceeds via an oxaruthenacyclopentane, see Ref. [4b].
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