



Horner, P. J., Pitt, R., Alexander, S., Hathorn, E., Gould, P., Woodford, N., & Cole, M. (2018). Phenotypic antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Chlamydia trachomatis* isolates from patients with persistent and successfully treated infections. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 73(3), 680-686.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkx454>

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1093/jac/dkx454](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkx454)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via OXFORD ACADEMIC at <https://academic.oup.com/jac/advance-article/doi/10.1093/jac/dkx454/4682922?searchresult=1>. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

1 **Phenotypic antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Chlamydia trachomatis* isolates from**
2 **patients with persistent and successfully treated infections**

3 Rachel PITT^{1,2*}, Sarah ALEXANDER², Catherine ISON², Patrick HORNER³, Emma HATHORN⁴, Penny
4 GOOLD⁴, Neil WOODFORD¹ and Michelle COLE^{1,2}

5 ¹ Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare Associated Infections (AMRHAI) Reference Unit, National
6 Infection Service, Public Health England, London, U.K., ²formerly of The Sexually Transmitted
7 Bacteria Reference Unit, Public Health England, London, U.K., ³Population Health Sciences, Bristol
8 Medical School, University of Bristol, UK. ⁴Whittal Street Clinic, University Hospitals Birmingham
9 NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, U.K.

10

11 *Corresponding Author Address: Antimicrobial Resistance in Sexually Transmitted Infections
12 (AMRSTI), Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare Associated Infections (AMRHAI) Reference Unit,
13 National Infection Service, Public Health England, Colindale, NW9 5EQ

14 Rachel.pitt@phe.gov.uk

15 Tel: 020 8327 7339

16

17 **Running title: Susceptibility testing of *Chlamydia trachomatis***

18

19

20

21

22 **Synopsis**

23 Objectives: Antimicrobial susceptibility data for *Chlamydia trachomatis* are lacking.
24 Methodologies for susceptibility testing in *C. trachomatis* are not well-defined, standardised
25 or performed routinely owing to its intracellular growth requirements. We sought to
26 develop an assay for the *in vitro* susceptibility testing of *C. trachomatis* isolates from two
27 patient cohorts with different clinical outcomes.

28 Methods: Twenty-four clinical isolates (11 from persistently infected and 13 from
29 successfully treated patients) were overlaid with media containing two-fold serial dilutions
30 of azithromycin or doxycycline. After incubation, aliquots were removed from the stock
31 inoculum (SI) and each antimicrobial concentration for total RNA extraction, complementary
32 DNA generation and real-time PCR. The MIC was defined as the lowest antimicrobial
33 concentration where a 95% reduction in transcription was evident in comparison with the SI
34 for each isolate.

35 Results: MICs of azithromycin were comparable for isolates from the two patient groups
36 (82% ≤ 0.25 mg/L persistently infected and 100% ≤ 0.25 mg/L successfully treated patients).
37 Doxycycline MICs were at least two-fold lower for isolates from the successfully treated
38 patients (53.9% ≤ 0.064 mg/L) than for the persistently infected patients (100% ≥ 0.125 mg/L)
39 ($p=0.006$, Fisher's exact test). Overall, 96% of isolates gave reproducible MICs when re-
40 tested.

41 Conclusions: A reproducible assay was developed for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of
42 *C. trachomatis*. MICs of azithromycin were generally comparable for the two different
43 patient groups. MICs of doxycycline were significantly higher in the persistently infected

44 patients. However, interpretation of elevated MICs in *C. trachomatis* is extremely
45 challenging in the absence of breakpoints, or wild-type and treatment failure MIC
46 distribution data.

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62 Introduction

63 *Chlamydia trachomatis* is the most prevalent bacterial sexually transmitted infection
64 worldwide with 202,546 diagnoses in England in 2016. ¹ Current first-line recommended
65 treatment regimens for uncomplicated infection are 1 g stat azithromycin, or 100 mg
66 doxycycline twice a day for seven days. ² Whilst the efficacy of these treatments is
67 considered to be extremely high, ^{3, 4} treatment failure with 1 g stat azithromycin has been
68 demonstrated in *C. trachomatis*-positive men with non-gonococcal urethritis and rectal
69 chlamydia and in women not at risk of re-infection. ⁵⁻⁷ Further reports of treatment failure
70 have been described in patients where the risk of re-infection is low. ⁸⁻¹⁵ There are a
71 number of possible reasons why patients may remain positive for chlamydia after
72 treatment: non-adherence to the treatment regimen; re-infection from a new or untreated
73 partner; inadequate exposure to the antimicrobial as a result of host pharmacokinetics or
74 short duration of treatment, ¹⁶ and heterotypic or homotypic antimicrobial resistance.
75 Heterotypic resistance, also known as phenotypic switching, occurs when a heterogeneous
76 population of both resistant and susceptible organisms replicate from a single predecessor.
77 ⁷ It is not genetically inherited but is a result of adaptations by the bacteria to make them
78 less susceptible to the antimicrobial e.g. induction of slow growing, non-replicative or
79 persistent forms in the presence of antibiotic, which revert back to replicating forms once
80 the antibiotic pressure has been removed resulting in a relapse in infection. Homotypic
81 antimicrobial resistance is, by contrast, genetically inherited.

82 At high bacterial loads, e.g. as found in patients with symptoms of urethritis, ¹⁷ *C.*
83 *trachomatis* has been shown to exhibit heterotypic resistance. ^{18, 19} Confirmed phenotypic
84 decreased susceptibility to antimicrobials of clinical significance has been reported rarely in

85 *C. trachomatis*.^{9, 11, 20, 21} Stable genotypic resistance to antimicrobials in clinical practice has
86 yet to be documented in human urogenital *C. trachomatis* infection.¹⁶ However very little is
87 known about the susceptibility profiles of circulating strains because antimicrobial
88 susceptibility assays are not routinely performed and the methodology is neither
89 standardised nor well-defined.^{7, 18, 22}

90 We describe the development of a robust antimicrobial susceptibility testing methodology
91 (adapted from Storm *et al*²³), and report susceptibility data for azithromycin and
92 doxycycline for a cohort of *C. trachomatis* isolates from patients who were persistently
93 infected with *C. trachomatis*. Susceptibility data are also presented for *C. trachomatis*
94 isolates from a group of control patients who were *C. trachomatis*-positive at initial
95 presentation and were then confirmed to have been treated successfully.

96 **Methods**

97 ***Patient recruitment***

98 As reported previously²⁴ patients with persistent *C. trachomatis* infections were recruited
99 from sexual health clinics across England and Wales. Patients were deemed to have a
100 persistent infection if they had tested positive at least twice by a *C. trachomatis*-specific
101 assay (e.g. a nucleic acid amplification test, NAAT), had fully adhered to the prescribed
102 treatment regimens in line with current guidelines² (including any abstinence periods) and
103 were assessed to be at low risk of re-infection. Risk of re-infection was categorised using
104 self-declared sexual contact behaviour in the time since initial diagnosis, reported via a
105 clinician-completed questionnaire. Patients were considered at low risk of re-infection
106 following treatment if they had: a) no sexual contact, b) protected sexual contact only, or c)

107 unprotected sexual contact with a partner who had not tested positive or who had tested
108 positive, but had been treated. These groups were designated categories 1, 2 and 3
109 respectively. Clinical data collected for some of the patients in this report were reported
110 previously.²⁴

111 Control isolates were collected from patients who had been treated for *C. trachomatis*
112 infection in line with current UK guidelines² and had a negative test-of-cure by NAAT at
113 least 30 days later.

114 ***Ethical approval***

115 Patients with persistent infections were referred as part of an enhanced surveillance
116 programme and therefore ethical approval was not sought or required. Public Health
117 England has permission to handle these data under the Health Service (Control of Patient
118 Information) regulation 2002, overseen by the Confidentiality Advisory Group.

119 Control patients were recruited through a sexual health clinic, ethics reference number
120 13/WM/0088.

121 **Culture methods**

122 ***Stock inoculum culture***

123 Clinical specimens (persistently infected group: 6 specimens from male patients [5 urethral
124 swabs and 1 rectal swab] and 5 specimens from female patients [4 cervical swabs and 1
125 urethral swab; successfully treated group: 2 specimens from male patients [1 urethral swab
126 and one swab from an unknown site and 11 specimens from female patients [3 cervical
127 swabs, 8 self-collected vaginal swabs were inoculated on to confluent McCoy cell mono-

128 layers in shell vials. Shell vials were centrifuged at 2300 x g for 1 h at 35°C and were then
129 incubated for 4 h at 35°C in 5% CO₂. The inoculum was then aspirated and the infected
130 mono-layer was overlaid with Dulbecco's Modified Eagles Medium (DMEM, Gibco, Hemel
131 Hempstead, U.K.) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (Gibco), 200 mM L-
132 glutamine (Sigma, Gillingham, U.K.), 1 mg/L cycloheximide (Sigma), 100 mg/L gentamicin
133 (Gibco), 25 U/mL nystatin (Sigma) and 100 mg/L vancomycin (Sigma). Shell vials were
134 incubated for 48 h at 35°C in 5% CO₂ to produce a stock inoculum of each strain for
135 antibiotic susceptibility testing assays. Inclusion forming units (IFUs) were visualised after
136 staining with the MicroTrak® *Chlamydia trachomatis* culture confirmation test (Trinity
137 Biotech, Newmarket, U.K.).

138 ***Susceptibility assays***

139 MICs of azithromycin and doxycycline were determined as follows; confluent McCoy cell
140 monolayers in 48-well plates were overlaid with the stock inoculum of each strain (10^3 - 10^5
141 inclusion forming units per well), plates were centrifuged for 1 h at 1350 x g and 35°C and
142 were then incubated at 35°C, 5% CO₂ for 4 h to facilitate infection. Wells were aspirated
143 and overlaid with two-fold serial dilutions of antimicrobial (0.125-2 mg/L azithromycin or
144 0.064-1 mg/L doxycycline) in supplemented DMEM (as above). An antimicrobial-free
145 control was included for each strain to allow identification of assay failure. Due to the lack
146 of known azithromycin- or doxycycline- resistant control isolates of *C. trachomatis*, a
147 susceptible control *C. trachomatis* isolate (from a successfully treated patient, isolate 314)
148 was used in the azithromycin assays, and the tetracycline-resistant *C. suis* strain R19²⁵ was
149 used in the doxycycline assays. Plates were then incubated for 48 h at 35°C in 5% CO₂.

150 **RNA extractions and cDNA generation**

151 The RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (QIAgen, Manchester, U.K.) was used as per the manufacturer's
152 instructions to extract total RNA from aliquots of culture media collected from each
153 antibiotic concentration and negative control at varying stages during the susceptibility
154 assay, namely the initial inoculum (P0) and after the 48 h incubation with antimicrobial (P1).
155 Complementary DNA (cDNA) was reverse transcribed from the total RNA (2 µL per reaction)
156 using the Quantitect reverse transcription kit (QIAgen) as per manufacturer's instructions.
157 This kit includes a step for removing contaminating genomic DNA negating the need for a
158 separate *DNaseI* digestion.

159 **Real Time-PCR to detect transcription and interpretation of MIC endpoint**

160 cDNA was used as template for real time PCR (RT-PCR) on the RotorGene (QIAgen) platform
161 (primer and probe sequences in Table 1) to quantify the *C. trachomatis* transcripts and allow
162 assignment of an MIC of each antimicrobial for each strain. The method described by Storm
163 *et al*²³ was modified to facilitate use of an L2 internal control, prepared in-house, for
164 transcript quantification. In place of the *omp2* gene target a predicted virulence factor on
165 the *C. trachomatis* cryptic plasmid was used as the chlamydia specific target.²⁶ The McCoy
166 cell B-actin gene (inhibition control) and the *C. suis* R19 23S rRNA gene were detected
167 qualitatively only where appropriate. To increase assay sensitivity each target was run as a
168 separate reaction.

169 Twenty-five microliter reactions were prepared for each target in HotStarTaq master mix
170 (QIAgen). Primer and probe sequences can be found in Table 1. *C. trachomatis*-specific
171 target: 200 nM Ct-Forward primer, 320 nM Ct-Reverse primer, 200 nM Ct-Probe and 10 µL
172 cDNA. McCoy cell β-actin-specific target: 100 nM McCoy-Forward primer, 100 nM McCoy-

173 Reverse primer, 24 nM McCoy-Probe and 5 μ L cDNA. *C. suis*-specific target: 200 nM R19-
174 Forward primer, 200 nM R19-Reverse primer, 200 nM R19-Probe and 5 μ L cDNA. Reactions
175 were run on the RotorGene platform (QIAGEN) using the following programme: initial
176 denaturation and Taq activation step of 95°C for 10 minutes followed by 50 cycles of 95°C
177 for 30 seconds, 60°C for 40 seconds (acquiring in the green [FAM, *C. trachomatis*-specific
178 PCR], yellow [JOE, McCoy cell-specific PCR] or red [Cy5, *C. suis*-specific PCR] channel) and
179 72°C for 40 seconds. A standard curve was generated using a previously quantified *C.*
180 *trachomatis* L2 cryptic plasmid positive control on each *C. trachomatis*-specific PCR run to
181 allow quantification of transcripts. As described by Storm *et al*²³ the MIC was assigned to
182 the lowest antimicrobial concentration where a $\geq 95\%$ reduction in transcription was
183 observed after a passage in the presence of antimicrobial (P1) in comparison with the initial
184 inoculum (P0) for each strain. RT-PCR was used only for MIC assignment to negate
185 subjectivity of immunofluorescent staining interpretation.

186 **Statistical analysis**

187 Geometric means of the azithromycin and doxycycline MICs were calculated and linear
188 regression was used to analyse the relationship between the MICs and the different patient
189 groups. As absolute MICs were not available for a number of isolates (i.e. MICs were \leq or \geq)
190 then MIC values a doubling dilution above or below the recorded MIC e.g. ≤ 0.064 mg/L was
191 analysed as 0.032 mg/L and ≥ 1 mg/L was analysed as 2 mg/L). Fisher's exact test was used
192 to compare azithromycin MICs ≤ 0.25 mg/L versus MICs > 0.25 mg/L and doxycycline MICs
193 ≤ 0.064 mg/L versus MICs > 0.064 mg/L in the persistently infected and successfully treated
194 patient groups respectively. Results for both tests were deemed significant if the *p* value
195 was ≤ 0.05 .

196 **Results**

197 **Isolate retrieval**

198 Isolates were retrieved from eleven patients with persistent *C. trachomatis* infections that
199 met the inclusion criteria outlined previously (five in category 1, two in category 2 and four
200 in category 3). In addition, isolates were retrieved from thirteen control patients with linked
201 negative test-of-cure samples.

202 **MIC data**

203 Azithromycin MICs were ≤ 0.25 mg/L for 81.8% (9/11) of the isolates from patients with
204 persistent infections and for 100% (13/13) of isolates from the successfully treated control
205 patients (Table 2, Table 3). The azithromycin geometric mean MICs were 0.127 mg/L and
206 0.071 mg/L for isolates from the persistently positive group and the successfully treated
207 patient group, respectively. Azithromycin MICs for two isolates (18.2%) in the persistently
208 infected group were 2 mg/L and 0.5 mg/L (Table 2, Table 3). No difference (Fisher's exact
209 test, $p = 0.3$; linear regression $p = 0.1$) was observed between the azithromycin MICs for
210 isolates from the persistently infected patients compared with those for isolates from the
211 successfully treated patients. The MICs of doxycycline for the isolates from the successfully
212 treated patient group were significantly lower than MICs for isolates from the persistently
213 infected patient group (Fisher's exact test, $p = 0.006$); doxycycline MICs for 7/11 (63.6%)
214 isolates from patients with persistent infections were 0.125 mg/L, and for the remaining
215 four isolates (36.4%) were ≥ 1 mg/L. The doxycycline MICs for most (7/13, 53.9%) isolates in
216 the successfully treated group were ≤ 0.064 mg/L, at least two-fold lower than the lowest
217 MICs for isolates from the treatment failure group. The doxycycline MICs for the five

218 remaining isolates from the successfully treated group were 0.125 mg/L (3 isolates), 0.25
219 mg/L (1 isolate) and 1 mg/L (3 isolates) (Table 2). These patients were all treated with
220 azithromycin 1 g only. The doxycycline geometric mean MICs were 0.322 mg/L and 0.097
221 mg/L for isolates from the persistently positive group and the successfully treated patient
222 group, respectively ($p = 0.032$).

223 **Assay reproducibility**

224 To investigate the robustness of the susceptibility testing methodology, 11 (45.8%) isolates
225 chosen at random (8 [8/11, 72.7%] from the persistently infected and 3 [23.1%] from the
226 successfully treated patient groups) were repeat tested on the azithromycin assay and the
227 MICs from both assay runs compared. All (11/11, 100%) repeat MICs were in complete
228 agreement with initial testing. Thirteen (54.2%) isolates chosen at random (5 [5/11, 45.5%]
229 from the persistently infected and 8 [8/13, 61.5%] from the successfully treated patient
230 groups) were repeat tested on the doxycycline assay. Twelve (12/13, 92.3%) of the repeat
231 MICs were in agreement with the initial MIC data. For one isolate in the successfully treated
232 group the repeat MIC for doxycycline (≤ 0.064 mg/L) was at least four-fold (two dilution
233 steps) lower than the initial MIC (≥ 0.25 mg/L). During initial validation of the assays the
234 range of antimicrobial concentrations tested were altered as considered appropriate based
235 on the MICs obtained. The initial assay for this isolate had an antibiotic range tested of
236 0.064 – 0.25 mg/L doxycycline whilst later assays were tested up to 1 mg/L doxycycline.
237 Collectively 23/24 (95.8% [confidence interval: 76.9-99.8%]) of the isolates that were
238 retested on either assay gave reproducible MIC.

239

240

241 **Discussion**

242 We have adapted and further developed an assay for phenotypic *in vitro* antimicrobial
243 susceptibility testing of *C. trachomatis*. The assay was used to test clinical isolates sourced
244 from two distinct patient groups, one with persistent *C. trachomatis* infections and the
245 other with *C. trachomatis* infections successfully treated following first-line recommended
246 therapy (i.e. 1 g stat azithromycin). Clinical isolates from both groups were assayed against
247 azithromycin and doxycycline. The assay methodology produced reproducible MICs of both
248 antimicrobials when isolates were retested, with 95.8% of isolates giving identical MICs. The
249 exception was an MIC obtained in a 'failed' repeat assay that was at least four-fold lower
250 than for the initial assay.

251 MICs of doxycycline for the isolates from patients who had persistent infections were
252 significantly higher than for isolates from successfully treated patients. A number of the
253 patients in the persistently infected group had been treated with doxycycline in addition to
254 azithromycin (Table 2). The doxycycline MICs for these isolates varied from 0.125 mg/L,
255 which is comparable to the majority of the MICs for isolates from the successfully treated
256 group, to >1 mg/L which is significantly less susceptible. However, the doxycycline MICs for
257 two isolates in the successfully treated group were also 1 mg/L and neither of these patients
258 were treated with doxycycline regimens. The significance of these raised MICs is unclear.

259 There did not appear to be a difference between the MICs of azithromycin for isolates from
260 the two patient groups. Indeed, whilst the majority of patients in the persistently infected
261 group were treated at least twice with 1 g azithromycin stat regimens (Table 2) the MICs for
262 the isolates from these patients were mostly within a two-fold dilution compared with the
263 MICs for the isolates from the successfully treated patients and the 'susceptible' control

264 strain, 314. There were two isolates in the persistently infected group with azithromycin
265 MICs at least two dilutions higher than control strain 314 and the successfully treated
266 patient group. Interestingly, both of these patients had only been treated once with 1 g
267 azithromycin stat regimens. Overall, this suggests that the antibiotic pressure exerted by re-
268 treatment with the same antibiotic did not select for increased MICs (reduced susceptibility)
269 in these isolates. It may be hypothesised that heterotypic resistance induced *in vivo* may
270 account for the similarity of MIC, but difference in clinical outcome seen with these patients
271 if re-infection can truly be excluded, as asserted.

272 What is clear from the data presented is that much further work is needed to understand
273 the relevance of the MICs obtained from both patients who resolve infection after
274 treatment with first-line therapies and from patients who remain infected. *In vitro*
275 susceptibility testing can only be performed with cultured isolates, which for *C. trachomatis*,
276 are a rare commodity in the current diagnostic environment. Whilst molecular detection of
277 known markers associated with antimicrobial resistance can infer genotypic susceptibility,
278 emerging resistance can only be detected through *in vitro* susceptibility testing. Therefore
279 access to isolates of clinically significant pathogens, such as *C. trachomatis*, is imperative.

280 For many organisms, such as *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, there are internationally recognised
281 standard protocols for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. No such standardisation exists for
282 *C. trachomatis*¹⁸ and antimicrobial susceptibility testing is particularly complex as it is an
283 obligate intracellular organism requiring tissue cell culture for *in vitro* growth.¹⁸ This,
284 combined with the biphasic nature of the *C. trachomatis* lifecycle (where the extracellular
285 phase is non-replicative), introduces a potential for assay variability not seen for other
286 organisms. Suchland *et al.* (2003) and Wang *et al* (2005) described a range of factors that

287 may influence the MICs for *C. trachomatis in vitro*, such as cell line used, inoculum size and
288 time from where infection occurs to addition of the antimicrobial. Interpretation of the
289 endpoint of the MIC assay can also be problematic. Traditionally, immunofluorescent
290 staining of tissue cultures has been commonly used to identify aberrant chlamydial
291 inclusions, but this method is time-consuming and subjective. In addition, failure to visualise
292 *C. trachomatis* inclusions in *in vitro* cultures does not exclude a viable state that can
293 proliferate once the antibiotic pressure has been removed.²⁷

294 To negate subjectivity and to detect all viable organisms, we adapted a method previously
295 described by Storm *et al.* (2005), which monitored the presence of mRNA transcripts in pre-
296 and post-antimicrobial treated *C. trachomatis* cultures. Whilst the efficiency of reverse
297 transcriptase PCR is known to be variable, the reproducibility of the MICs presented in this
298 report indicate that this procedure was standardised as much as possible. The Storm assay
299 was adapted to include detection of a predicted virulence factor gene on the *C. trachomatis*
300 cryptic plasmid in place of the original *C. trachomatis omp2* gene. The cryptic plasmid is
301 constitutively expressed throughout the *C. trachomatis* life-cycle and, whilst the number of
302 copies of the plasmid carried can vary between different strains of *C. trachomatis*,²⁸ isolates
303 were compared with themselves only. It was assumed that the plasmid copy number
304 remained stable within a strain however it is possible that they may vary during different
305 lifecycle stages and/or when challenged with antimicrobial; investigation of this was beyond
306 the scope of this study but is a recognised potential limitation. We also increased the time
307 that infected cultures were incubated prior to application of the antimicrobials from two
308 hours, as described in Storm *et al.* (2005), to four hours to allow infections to establish more
309 completely before challenge. Clean cell lines were screened for the presence of

310 contaminants prior to inoculation as part of routine tissue culture maintenance and all
311 infected cell line work was carried out in the presence of multiple antimicrobial/antifungal
312 agents. However, as the isolates were clinical in origin it cannot be fully excluded that no
313 other organism was present in the tissue culture at the time of susceptibility testing,

314 Despite development of a reproducible assay, there are limitations to this work.
315 Interpretation of our MIC results was difficult as no susceptibility or resistance breakpoints
316 exist for *C. trachomatis* and there is very limited data ^{29, 30} regarding the wild-type
317 distributions of susceptibility to azithromycin and doxycycline for circulating strains. Due to
318 the dearth of susceptibility data for this organism, how *in vitro* MICs correlate with
319 treatment success or failure in the patient is poorly understood. Indeed, when the results
320 presented in this report are taken into account i.e. evidence of consistent *in vivo* phenotypic
321 resistance to azithromycin in the patients persistently infected with *C. trachomatis* without
322 evidence of reduced susceptibility of the isolate *in vitro*, the picture becomes even more
323 complex.

324 Given the move to the use of doxycycline as the preferred first-line therapy for NGU, in
325 which *C. trachomatis* is the most commonly identified pathogen, ³¹ understanding the
326 relevance of the raised doxycycline MICs in isolates from the persistently infected patient
327 group is important. Particularly as doxycycline may in the future be given as prophylaxis to
328 men who have sex with men as PrEP for bacterial sexually transmitted pathogens ³² and the
329 impact of this increased doxycycline usage on *C. trachomatis* MICs is unknown. The high-
330 level of assay reproducibility suggests that whilst the majority of strain MICs differed by only
331 one doubling dilution, the difference ($p=0.006$) was unlikely related to the susceptibility
332 testing methodology and an MIC shift towards less-susceptible was observed in the

333 persistently infected patient group. However the root cause of this shift and its impact on
334 clinical outcome is unclear. A larger observational case control study is required to generate
335 data to allow appropriate antimicrobial stewardship.³³ This data may strengthen the case
336 for recommendation of a test of cure in all patient groups.

337 In addition, a number of physiological factors, such as the host inflammatory response, that
338 would form part of natural infection resolution (in addition to antimicrobial therapy) and
339 individual patient pharmacokinetics that cannot be replicated in *in vitro* cell culture systems
340 must also be considered. It is therefore difficult to hypothesise how representative an MIC
341 alone would be as a marker of likelihood of treatment success. There are also few data
342 available regarding how *in vitro* culturing of isolates affects the organisms' susceptibility to
343 antimicrobials. The patients who were persistently infected with *C. trachomatis* were
344 exposed to a minimum of two rounds of antimicrobial therapy, but viable organisms
345 remained. These patients were thought unlikely to have been re-infected, but this cannot be
346 excluded completely. Antimicrobial susceptibility assays were carried out secondary to the
347 primary isolation from the clinical specimen. As a result, it was necessary to re-culture each
348 isolate from an archived aliquot. It is possible that multiple passages in tissue culture in the
349 absence of antimicrobial challenge could have affected the MIC obtained especially if
350 surviving antimicrobial therapy in the patient led to a fitness cost. The authors recognise
351 this as a weakness of the study and would recommend progressive processing through
352 primary isolation and antimicrobial susceptibility testing to limit time in culture as an ideal.
353 Further to this, the length, complexity and cost of the testing procedure is not amenable to
354 large-scale phenotypic testing over a wide antibiotic concentration range. Processing of
355 isolates from recovery from archive to obtaining MIC results took on average 15 working

356 days. Nevertheless, we determined MICs of two therapeutically-relevant antibiotics for 24
357 clinical *C. trachomatis* strains. Any large-scale antimicrobial resistance surveillance in *C.*
358 *trachomatis* would need to take advantage of molecular techniques to screen for genetic
359 markers of reduced susceptibility in addition to *in vitro* susceptibility testing if reliable
360 indicators could be identified. Reassuringly, in a recent large-scale genome sequencing
361 study of global *C. trachomatis* isolates, no known molecular markers of antimicrobial
362 resistance were detected.³⁴

363 In summary, a reproducible method for phenotypic antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *C.*
364 *trachomatis* has been described. The assay was employed for the analysis of a small number
365 of clinical isolates from two groups of patients who had very different treatment outcomes.
366 The azithromycin MICs for the majority of strains within the persistently-positive group
367 were comparable with those for strains in the successfully treated group. However, the
368 MICs of doxycycline were higher in the persistently infected than in the successfully treated
369 patient group. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing and interpretation of elevated MICs in *C.*
370 *trachomatis* is extremely challenging in the absence of breakpoints. Further work to
371 generate wild-type and treatment failure distribution data should be undertaken.

372 **Acknowledgements**

373 The authors would like to acknowledge Dr H. Mallinson and Dr D. Rockey for the kind
374 donations of the 314 and R19 control strains respectively. The authors would also like to
375 acknowledge Jessica Townley and Tanya Mikael for their assistance with laboratory work
376 and the clinicians who collected and referred the clinical specimens.

377

378 **Funding**

379 The work presented in this manuscript was partially funded by an MRC grant (grant number:
380 G0601663 “Antimicrobial resistance in *Chlamydia trachomatis*: is it a reality?”) and by grant
381 in aid.

382 **Transparency**

383 The authors have no competing interests to declare.

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401 Reference List

402

- 403 1. Public Health England. Sexually Transmitted Infections and Chlamydia Screening in England,
404 2016. In: 2017.
- 405 2. BASHH. UK National Guideline for the Management of Genital Tract Infection with Chlamydia
406 trachomatis. In: 2006.
- 407 3. Geisler WM, Uniyal A, Lee JY, *et al.* Azithromycin versus Doxycycline for Urogenital Chlamydia
408 trachomatis Infection. *N Engl J Med* 2015; **373**: 2512-21.
- 409 4. Kong FY, Tabrizi SN, Law M, *et al.* Azithromycin versus doxycycline for the treatment of genital
410 chlamydia infection: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Clin Infect Dis*
411 2014; **59**: 193-205.
- 412 5. Kong FY, Hocking JS. Treatment challenges for urogenital and anorectal Chlamydia
413 trachomatis. *BMC Infect Dis* 2015; **15**: 293.
- 414 6. Kong FY, Tabrizi SN, Fairley CK, *et al.* Higher organism load associated with failure of
415 azithromycin to treat rectal chlamydia. *Epidemiol Infect* 2016; **144**: 2587-96.
- 416 7. Horner PJ. Azithromycin antimicrobial resistance and genital Chlamydia trachomatis infection:
417 duration of therapy may be the key to improving efficacy. *Sex Transm Infect* 2012; **88**:
418 154-6.
- 419 8. Bhengraj AR, Srivastava P, Mittal A. Lack of mutation in macrolide resistance genes in
420 Chlamydia trachomatis clinical isolates with decreased susceptibility to azithromycin.
421 *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2011; **38**: 178-9.
- 422 9. Bhengraj AR, Vardhan H, Srivastava P, *et al.* Decreased susceptibility to azithromycin and
423 doxycycline in clinical isolates of Chlamydia trachomatis obtained from recurrently
424 infected female patients in India. *Chemotherapy* 2010; **56**: 371-7.
- 425 10. Misyurina OY, Chipitsyna EV, Finashutina YP, *et al.* Mutations in a 23S rRNA gene of Chlamydia
426 trachomatis associated with resistance to macrolides. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*
427 2004; **48**: 1347-9.
- 428 11. Somani J, Bhullar VB, Workowski KA, *et al.* Multiple drug-resistant Chlamydia trachomatis
429 associated with clinical treatment failure. *J Infect Dis* 2000; **181**: 1421-7.
- 430 12. Afrakhteh M, Mahdavi A, Beyhaghi H, *et al.* The prevalence of Chlamydia trachomatis in
431 patients who remained symptomatic after completion of sexually transmitted
432 infection treatment. *Iran J Reprod Med* 2013; **11**: 285-92.
- 433 13. Dukers-Muijers NH, Speksnijder AG, Morre SA, *et al.* Detection of anorectal and
434 cervicovaginal Chlamydia trachomatis infections following azithromycin treatment:
435 prospective cohort study with multiple time-sequential measures of rRNA, DNA,
436 quantitative load and symptoms. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**: e81236.
- 437 14. Gotz HM, Bom RJ, Wolfers ME, *et al.* Use of Chlamydia trachomatis high-resolution typing: an
438 extended case study to distinguish recurrent or persistent infection from new
439 infection. *Sex Transm Infect* 2014; **90**: 155-60.

- 440 15. Batteiger BE, Tu W, Ofner S, *et al.* Repeated Chlamydia trachomatis genital infections in
441 adolescent women. *J Infect Dis* 2010; **201**: 42-51.
- 442 16. Lanjouw E, Ouburg S, de Vries HJ, *et al.* Background review for the '2015 European guideline
443 on the management of Chlamydia trachomatis infections'. *Int J STD AIDS* 2015; **0**: 1-25.
- 444 17. Michel CE, Sonnex C, Carne CA, *et al.* Chlamydia trachomatis load at matched anatomic sites:
445 implications for screening strategies. *J Clin Microbiol* 2007; **45**: 1395-402.
- 446 18. Wang SA, Papp JR, Stamm WE, *et al.* Evaluation of antimicrobial resistance and treatment
447 failures for Chlamydia trachomatis: a meeting report. *J Infect Dis* 2005; **191**: 917-23.
- 448 19. Horner P. The case for further treatment studies of uncomplicated genital Chlamydia
449 trachomatis infection. *Sex Transm Infect* 2006; **82**: 340-3.
- 450 20. Jones RB, Van Der Pol B, Martin DH, *et al.* Partial characterization of Chlamydia trachomatis
451 isolates resistant to multiple antibiotics. *J Infect Dis* 1990; **162**: 1309-15.
- 452 21. Lefevre JC, Lepargneur JP, Guion D, *et al.* Tetracycline-resistant Chlamydia trachomatis in
453 Toulouse, France. *Pathol Biol (Paris)* 1997; **45**: 376-8.
- 454 22. Suchland RJ, Geisler WM, Stamm WE. Methodologies and cell lines used for antimicrobial
455 susceptibility testing of Chlamydia spp. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2003; **47**: 636-
456 42.
- 457 23. Storm M, Gustafsson I, Herrmann B, *et al.* Real-time PCR for pharmacodynamic studies of
458 Chlamydia trachomatis. *J Microbiol Methods* 2005; **61**: 361-7.
- 459 24. Pitt RA, Alexander S, Horner PJ, *et al.* Presentation of clinically suspected persistent chlamydial
460 infection: a case series. *Int J STD AIDS* 2013; **24**: 469-75.
- 461 25. Dugan J, Rockey DD, Jones L, *et al.* Tetracycline resistance in Chlamydia suis mediated by
462 genomic islands inserted into the chlamydial inv-like gene. *Antimicrob Agents
463 Chemother* 2004; **48**: 3989-95.
- 464 26. Chen CY, Chi KH, Alexander S, *et al.* The molecular diagnosis of lymphogranuloma venereum:
465 evaluation of a real-time multiplex polymerase chain reaction test using rectal and
466 urethral specimens. *Sex Transm Dis* 2007; **34**: 451-5.
- 467 27. Beatty WL, Byrne GI, Morrison RP. Morphologic and antigenic characterization of interferon
468 gamma-mediated persistent Chlamydia trachomatis infection in vitro. *Proc Natl Acad
469 Sci U S A* 1993; **90**: 3998-4002.
- 470 28. Pickett MA, Everson JS, Peard PJ, *et al.* The plasmids of Chlamydia trachomatis and
471 Chlamydophila pneumoniae (N16): accurate determination of copy number and the
472 paradoxical effect of plasmid-curing agents. *Microbiology* 2005; **151**: 893-903.
- 473 29. Ljubin-Sternak S, Mestrovic T, Vilibic-Cavlek T, *et al.* In vitro susceptibility of urogenital
474 Chlamydia trachomatis strains in a country with high azithromycin consumption rate.
475 *Folia Microbiol (Praha)* 2013; **58**: 361-5.

476 30. Mestrovic T, Ljubin-Sternak S, Sviben M, *et al.* Antimicrobial Sensitivity Profile of Chlamydia
477 trachomatis isolates from Croatia in McCoy Cell Culture System and Comparison with
478 the Literature. *Clin Lab* 2016; **62**: 357-64.

479 31. Horner P, Blee K, O'Mahony C, *et al.* 2015 UK National Guideline on the management of non-
480 gonococcal urethritis. *Int J STD AIDS* 2016; **27**: 85-96.

481 32. Bolan RK, Beymer MR, Weiss RE, *et al.* Doxycycline prophylaxis to reduce incident syphilis
482 among HIV-infected men who have sex with men who continue to engage in high-risk
483 sex: a randomized, controlled pilot study. *Sex Transm Dis* 2015; **42**: 98-103.

484 33. NICE Medicines and Prescribing Centre. Antimicrobial stewardship: systems and processes for
485 effective medicine use. In. *Full guideline; Methods, evidence and recommendations*,
486 2015.

487 34. Hadfield J, Harris SR, Seth-Smith HMB, *et al.* Comprehensive global genome dynamics of
488 Chlamydia trachomatis show ancient diversification followed by contemporary mixing
489 and recent lineage expansion. *Genome Res* 2017; **27**: 1220-9.

490 35. Pantchev A, Sting R, Bauerfeind R, *et al.* Detection of all Chlamydomonada and Chlamydia spp. of
491 veterinary interest using species-specific real-time PCR assays. *Comp Immunol
492 Microbiol Infect Dis* 2010; **33**: 473-84.

493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506

507 Table 1. Primer and probe sequences used for RT-PCR

	Sequence 5'-3'	Reference
--	----------------	-----------

Ct-Forward	GGA TTG ACT CCG ACA ACG TAT TC	Chen ²⁶
Ct-Reverse	ATC ATT GCC ATT AGA AAG GGC ATT	
Ct-Probe	FAM-TTA CGT GTA GGC GGT TTA GAA AGC GG-BHQ-1	
McCoy-Forward	TCA CCC ACA CTG TGC CCA TCT ACG A	Storm ²³
McCoy-Reverse	TGG TGA AGC TGT AGC CAC GCT	
McCoy-Probe	JOE-TAT GCT CTC CCT-(TAMRA)-CAC GCC ATC CTG CGT	
R19-Forward	CCT GCC GAA CTG AAA CAT CTT A	Modified from Pantchev ³⁵
R19-Reverse	CCC TAC AAC CCC TCG CTT CT	
R19-Probe	Cy5-CGA GCG AAA GGG GAA GAG CCT AAA CC-BHQ3	

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517 Table 2. Summary of the characteristics of *C. trachomatis* strains isolated from patients who
 518 were treatment failures (Pt.) or successfully treated (Ctrl).

		<i>omp1</i> genotype	Treatment prescribed			MIC (mg/L)		
			Azithromycin 1 g stat	Doxycycline 100 mg bd 7 days	Other	Azithromycin	Doxycycline	
Persistently infected	Cat. 1	Pt.1	G	X1	X2		≤0.125	0.125
		Pt.2	E	X2			≤0.125	>1
		Pt.3	E	X3	X1(14 days)		0.125	0.125
		Pt.4	E	X1	X1		2	0.125
		Pt.5	J	X2	X1	500 mg stat azithromycin then unknown dose od 4 days	≤0.125	0.125
	Cat. 2	Pt.6	D	X2			≤0.125	0.125
		Pt.7	G	X2	X1		≤0.125	0.125
	Cat. 3	Pt.8	E	X2			≤0.125	0.125
		Pt.9	E	X2			0.25	1
		Pt.10	E	X2	X1		≤0.125	>1
		Pt.11	E	X1		500 mg erythromycin qd 7 days	0.5	>1
Successfully treated controls	Ctrl.1	F	x1			≤0.125	≤0.064	
	Ctrl.2	E	x1			≤0.125	≤0.064	
	Ctrl.3	E	x1			≤0.125	0.125	
	Ctrl.4	E	x1			≤0.125	≤0.064	
	Ctrl.5	E	x1			≤0.125	0.125	
	Ctrl.6	D	x1			≤0.125	≤0.064	
	Ctrl.7	E	x1			≤0.125	1	
	Ctrl.8	F	x1			≤0.125	0.125	
	Ctrl.9	E	x1			≤0.125	0.064	
	Ctrl.10	D	x1			≤0.125	0.064	
	Ctrl.11	D	x1			0.25	0.25	
	Ctrl.12	E	x1			≤0.125	1	
	Ctrl.13	E	x1			≤0.125	≤0.064	

Control strains	314*	D				≤0.125	-
	R19 ^Δ	N/A				-	≥1

519 * isolate from a successfully treated patient, ^Δ tetracycline resistant *C. suis* strain R19²⁵.

520 *Persistently infected patients were categorised based on their likelihood of re-infection through a self-declared*
 521 *sexual behaviour questionnaire. Cat. 1 – no sexual contact since initial diagnosis, Cat. 2 – protected sexual*
 522 *contact only and Cat. 3 – unprotected sexual contact with a regular partner who had also tested positive and*
 523 *had been treated or a partner that did not test positive. Stat – statim, od – once daily, bd – bi-daily, qd –*
 524 *quarter-daily, N/A – not applicable.*

525 Table 3. MICs of azithromycin and doxycycline obtained from isolates from two different *C.*
 526 *trachomatis*-infected patient cohorts.

		Persistently infected (n=11)	Successfully treated (n=13)	
Azithromycin	≤0.25	9	13	n°
		81.8	100	%
	>0.25	2	0	
		18.2	0	
Doxycycline	≤0.064	0	7	
		0	53.9	
	>0.064	11	6	
		100	46.2	

527 Geometric mean of MICs: Azithromycin - 0.127 mg/L (persistently infected) and 0.071 mg/L
 528 (successfully treated), Doxycycline – 0.322 mg/L (persistently infected) and 0.097 mg/L (successfully
 529 treated).