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Persistence of bactericidal antibodies following booster vaccination with 4CMenB at 12, 18 or 24 months and immunogenicity of a fifth dose administered at 4 years of age—a phase 3 extension to a randomised controlled trial

Mildred A. Iro, Matthew D. Snape, Merryn Voysey, Sena Jawad, Adam Finn, Paul T. Heath, Gianni Bona, Susanna Esposito, Javier Diez-Domingo, Roman Prymul, Adefowope Odueyungbo, Daniela Toneatto, Peter Dulli, Andrew J. Pollard, The European Men B Vaccine Study Group

Background: 4CMenB is immunogenic in infants and toddlers. We assessed persistence of human complement serum bactericidal activity (hSBA) following a fourth dose administered at 12, 18 or 24 months and characterised the antibody response to a fifth dose administered at 4 years of age.

Methods: A phase 3, open label, multi-centre extension to a randomised controlled trial conducted in four countries (number of centres): Czech Republic (nineteen), Italy (four), Spain (four) and the United Kingdom (four). Four-year-old children who were either 4CMenB-naïve or had previously received a variety of 3-dose infant priming schedules and a booster vaccine as toddlers (follow-on group) were recruited. Venous blood samples were obtained to determine hSBA against four reference strains; acting as targets to assess immunity to each of the vaccine antigens, NadA (5/99), fHbp (H44/76), PorA (NZ98/254), and NHBA (M10713) at baseline (prior to vaccination, all participants) and one month following a dose of 4CMenB for all vaccine-naïve and follow-on participants primed with the 2, 3, 4 schedule, and a third of follow-on participants primed with a 2, 4, 6 month schedule.

Results: At baseline (prior to vaccination), the proportion of participants (n = 468) with hSBA titers ≥ 5 was similar across all followon groups: 89–100% against 5/99; 12–35% for H44/76; 8–12% for NZ98/254 and 53–80% for M10713 compared with 5%, 0%, 0%; and 60% respectively, for the vaccine-naïve controls (n = 206). Following a dose of 4CMenB at 4 years of age, this increased to 100% (5/99), 97–100% (H44/76), 80–95% (NZ98/254) and 84–100% (M10713) (n = 210), compared with 89%, 70%, 24%, and 76% respectively for vaccine-naïve controls (n = 192).

Conclusion: Waning of protective antibodies occurred 12–36 months after toddler booster regardless of age at boost. This was least marked against target strains 5/99 and M10713. A robust memory response occurred after a booster dose given at 4 years of age.

© 2016 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The licensed four-component capsular group B meningococcal vaccine (4CMenB) was introduced into the UK routine infant immunisation programme in September 2015 [1]. Demonstration
of immunogenicity of this vaccine in a range of infant and toddler immunisation schedules is important to establish its potential in the varied international vaccine programmes. Whilst antibody responses and persistence following different vaccination schedules in infancy [2] and toddler years [3–5] have been described, data on the impact of the previously received vaccination schedule on long term bactericidal antibody persistence and by extrapolation, protection against disease, are few.

In this study we assessed persistence of bactericidal antibodies to 4 years following a fourth dose of 4CMenB given at either 12, 18 or 24 months of age to participants who had received different 4CMenB priming schedules in infancy. In addition, we investigated the antibody response and reactogenicity following a fifth dose of 4CMenB given at 4 years of age.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design

A Phase 3, open label, multi-centre extension to a randomised controlled trial conducted (November 2012 to October 2013) in Czech Republic, Italy, Spain, and the United Kingdom (nineteen, four, four, four centres respectively). Recruitment in the UK was via mail out of information letters through the National Health Service child health computer databases. In other centres, this was through paediatric hospitals or private practices. This study was conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki (1996) and the International Conference on Harmonization Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice and appropriate regulatory approval was obtained from relevant authorities in each participating country prior to recruitment.

2.2. Study objectives

The primary objective was to explore antibody persistence to 4 years of age after a fourth dose of 4CMenB administered in toddler years. Secondary objectives included characterisation of antibody response to, and tolerability of a fifth dose of 4CMenB.

2.3. Participant selection and randomisation

In the original infant study [2], participants were randomised (2:2:1:1) to receive 4CMenB either at (a) 2, 4, 6 months of age with routine immunisation (246Con), (b) 2, 4, 6 months of age, with routine vaccines at 3, 5, 7 months of age (246Int), or (c) 2, 3, 4 months concomitant with routine vaccines (234Con), while a fourth group of infants received routine vaccines alone (Control). In the first extension study [5], previously immunised participants were randomised (1:1:1:1) to receive a fourth dose at 12, 18 or 24 months of age. In addition, follow-on participants from the Control group of the original infant study as well two new Control groups of 4CMenB-naive participants received two catch-up doses at 12 and 14, 18 and 20, and 24 and 26 months.

Healthy 4-year-old children who completed the vaccination course in the first extension study were invited to participate in this study. Inclusion and exclusion criteria are shown in Table 1 Supplementary file.

Groups 246Con and 246Int participants were randomised in a 2:1 ratio to a non-vaccination subset (subset#1) and a vaccination subset (subset#2) using a randomisation list produced by a validated system used by the Novartis Vaccine Diagnostics. The randomisation sequence within each group was executed with a permuted block size of 3. Allocation until the point of enrolment was masked from parents of participants through the use of sealed opaque envelopes. Group allocation was not masked from study staff and parents of participants after enrolment, but the label code ensured blinding of the laboratory personnel.

Participants assigned to subset #1 were evaluated for antibody persistence alone. Participants assigned to subset #2 and all participants from Group 234Con received a fifth dose of 4CMenB and were evaluated for both persistence and antibody response. An additional group of healthy 4CMenB-naive 4-year-old children were recruited to act as a comparison group for antibody persistence and response to single dose of 4CMenB. All ‘control’ follow-on participants from the two previous studies received a third dose of 4CMenB and were also evaluated for both persistence and antibody response. Data from this cohort are presented elsewhere [6].

2.4. Study vaccine

4CMenB consisted of 50 µg each of Neisserial adhesin A (NadA), and fusion proteins containing Neisseria heparin binding antigen (NHBA) and Factor H binding protein (fHbp), 25 µg detoxified OMV from N. meningitidis strain NZ98/254, 1.5 mg aluminium hydroxide and 10 mM histidine in 0.5 ml water for injection (Lot number 112801). This was administered by intramuscular injection into the deltoid muscle of the non-dominant arm, using a 23G needle.

2.5. Immunogenicity evaluation

Venous blood samples were collected at baseline (prior to vaccination) and 30 (−4±10) days after each 4CMenB dose to determine hSBA against three chosen target strains selected to broadly determine responses to the individual target strains: 5/99 (NadA), 44/76-SL (fHbp), and NZ98/254 (OMV).

As previously described [7], an additional strain M10713 was included post hoc and assessed to determine SBA response against NHBA. hSBA was expressed as interpolated titers according to reciprocal serum dilutions yielding 50% or greater killing of the target strain after 60 min of incubation compared with growth at time 0. An interpolated titre ≥ 5 represented 95% confidence that participants achieving this titre had a protective hSBA (≥ 1: 4) [8]. The Novartis Vaccines Clinical Sciences Laboratory, Marburg, Germany performed the serological analysis.

2.6. Reactogenicity evaluation

All participants were observed for approximately 30 min after each vaccination for any reactions. Parents recorded and graded both local injection site and systemic reactions (Table 2, Supplementary File) for seven days following vaccination. Safety follow-up was completed 6 months after the last dose of 4CMenB. All serious adverse events (SAEs) reported during the study were recorded; the relationship between adverse events and the study vaccine was determined by a study investigator.

2.7. Statistical analysis

The pre-specified analysis population for immunogenicity was the Full analysis set (FAS) population, consisting all participants who provided at least one evaluable serum sample either at baseline (Persistency immunogenicity population) or after receipt of 4CMenB (Immunogenicity population). Antibody persistence was determined by calculating, for each of four indicator strains, the percentage of participants with hSBA titres ≥ 5 at baseline and associated two-sided 95% Clopper-Pearson confidence intervals (CIs). In addition, hSBA titers were log transformed and their geometric mean titers (GMTs), and associated 2-sided 95% CI were computed per study group and per strain using two-way analysis of variance with factors for vaccine group and country. Safety
was assessed in terms of the number of participants per study group reporting local and systemic adverse events (AEs) and/or SAEs after vaccination. All participants who received at least one immunisation and provided some safety data were included in safety analyses. Safety results are reported descriptively.

The sample size for the follow-on groups was determined using the number of participants in the first extension study who were eligible to participate in this study. For the 4CMenB-naïve group, 190 participants were needed to detect an underlying percentage immune response rate of 80% for reference strains 44/76-SL, 5/99, NZ98/254 with 80% power, assuming a 15% dropout rate. Statistical analysis was performed using SAS version 9.1 and independent validation of the analysis using SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

3. Results

Participant disposition is shown in Fig. 1. A total of 682 participants [non-vaccination group = 242; booster vaccination group = 231; vaccine-naïve controls = 209] were enrolled from the four countries [Italy: 140 (20.5%), Czech Republic: 187 (27.4%), Spain: 87 (12.8%), United Kingdom: 268 (39.3%)]. There were 355 (52%) male and 327 (48%) female participants. The median age at the time of enrollment was 51 months (range 48–59 months). The most common self-defined ethnicity was white (97%). Baseline demographic data are summarised in Table 1.

3.1. Antibody persistence to 4 years of age

The proportions of participants with hSBA titres ≥ 5 for each target strain are shown in Table 2 and Fig. 1 Supplementary File. Against all target strains and across all study groups, the GMTs at baseline were lower than those at one month after the last vaccination. Against all target strains and across all study groups, the GMTs one month following vaccination in the follow-on groups ranged from 85–100% (for 5/99); 92–100% (44/76-SL); 61–88% (NZ98/254) and 84–100% (M10713) and were generally lower in the naïve control group (80%, 73%, 27% and 24% respectively). Against three of the four target strains (5/99, 44/76-SL, NZ98/254), hSBA GMTs were higher in the follow-on groups than the vaccine-naïve controls (Table 3, Fig. 2) but were similar for the follow-on and vaccine-naïve controls for NHBA.

The proportions of participants with a 4-fold increase in hSBA titres one month following vaccination in the follow-on groups ranged from 85–100% (for 5/99); 92–100% (44/76-SL); 61–88% (NZ98/254); 31–49% (M10713) and were 90%; 73%; 27% and 24% respectively for the vaccine-naïve group (Table 2).

3.2. Antibody response to vaccination at 4 years of age

The proportions of participants reporting solicited AEs are shown in Table 2 Supplementary File. Reactogenicity was similar across all follow-on groups. Most solicited reactions were experienced within 6–24 h, a declining trend observed for all reactions in the seven days following vaccination (Fig. 2 Supplementary File).

The most reported solicited local adverse event was transient injection site pain, experienced by (follow on vs. vaccine-naïve) 84–100% of participants (severe in 11–32%) and 91% (severe in 13%) respectively. Most pain was experienced within the first two days following vaccination, with 4–11% of follow-on, and 1–6% of vaccine-naïve participants still experiencing pain seven days post vaccination.
The most reported solicited systemic reaction was irritability, reported in 47–74% of follow-on participants (severe in 2–12%) compared with 33% (severe in 4%) for the vaccine-naive group. Fever rates in the follow-on group participants were 4–21% (severe (≥39 °C) in 2–6%; treated in 6–25%; medically attended in 2–7%), compared with 10% (severe in 1%; treated in 11%; medically attended in 1%) for the vaccine-naive group. Most fever occurred within 6 h post vaccination and resolved by day 3–4 post vaccination for majority of participants with the exception of those in study groups 246Con24 and 234Int12 and the vaccine-naive group of which 7–8%; 2% and 1–3% respectively still reported fever between day 4 and 6 post vaccination. Fever completely resolved by day 7 post vaccination (follow-on participants) but persisted in 2% of the vaccine-naive participants at this time.

SAEs observed within a month of the first vaccine were croup and trauma. Both were judged not related to the study vaccine.

4. Discussion

This is the first study to report data on persistence of immune responses to 4-years of age and in particular, the pattern of decline of antibodies for the different reference strains following infant priming and different 4CMenB boosting schedules in the second year of life. The data show that the pattern of the decline in bactericidal antibodies 24–36 months after boosting was similar for the different follow-on groups, suggesting that the age at priming or boosting does not impact on antibody persistence following toddler vaccination. Of note, the magnitude of this decline varied by strain. In the absence of data reporting on the role of relatively persistent bactericidal antibodies in long-term protection against strains bearing the relevant antigens, it is unclear whether this finding is of clinical relevance or only reflects different susceptibilities of the target strains strain to killing in the SBA assay. Despite the decline, bactericidal antibody titres at baseline in this study (i.e. point of enrolment and prior to vaccination) were higher in the follow-on groups than in the 4CMen B naive group for three of the target strains tested (5/99, 44/76-SL, NZ98/254). Even though the target strains selected are thought to be indicative of the immune response to NadA, fHBP and PorA, the relation between test strain and vaccine antigen is likely to be more nuanced due to additional antigen components in the OMV, and a potential for cross protection between fHBP sub-variant 1.1 in the vaccine and fHBP subvariant 1.14 in the NZ98/254 strain.

Following a dose of 4CMenB given at 4 years of age, a robust response occurred, with consistently higher antibody titres in the follow-on groups compared with the 4CMenB-naive group, indicating induction of immunological memory following vaccination at earlier time points. These data provide important information on the probable duration of protection with different vaccination schedules, which will be informative for policymakers.

Data from this study indicate the likely need for booster doses of 4CMenB in later childhood if ongoing protection is required. While booster vaccination in pre-school years may be justified because the incidence of MenB disease remains high in 1 to 4–year-old children [9], its benefit beyond pre-school years is uncertain given the much lower risk of disease during this time. In addition, the findings of previous studies [3,4] suggest that such a strategy is unlikely to provide long-term protection especially to adolescence when the next peak in disease occurs. Since the rates of MenB disease are much lower risk of disease during this time. In addition, the findings of previous studies [3,4] suggest that such a strategy is unlikely to provide long-term protection especially to adolescence when the next peak in disease occurs. Since the rates of MenB disease are much lower risk of disease during this time. In addition, the findings of previous studies [3,4] suggest that such a strategy is unlikely to provide long-term protection especially to adolescence when the next peak in disease occurs. Since the rates of MenB disease are much lower risk of disease during this time. In addition, the findings of previous studies [3,4] suggest that such a strategy is unlikely to provide long-term protection especially to adolescence when the next peak in disease occurs.
The loss of protective antibodies over time is not surprising and has previously been described following vaccination with 4CMenB and other meningococcal vaccines.[5,10–13] In this study, the proportion of participants with protective antibodies at baseline is similar to earlier 4CMenB phase II paediatric studies in which persistence of bactericidal antibodies to 5 years following booster vaccination at 40 months was assessed in children who had received either a 3+1 (2, 4, 6, 12 months) or 2+1 (6, 8, 12 months) schedule. In this study, the safety profile of 4CMenB as a fifth dose was observed in similar aged children [4] and adolescents [14], and rates of severe pain were generally higher following a fifth dose of 4CMenB given at 4 years than with a first dose given to vaccine-naïve participants of the same age. The proportion of vaccine-naïve participants experiencing severe pain with the first vaccine dose at 4 years in this study is similar to that observed with a first dose given at 40 [3] and 60 months [4]. In contrast, overall pain rates with a first dose of 4CMenB at 4 years of age in this study are higher than those reported in infant studies [2,22] but comparable to those reported in similar aged children [4] and adolescents [14], and were mostly of mild to moderate intensity and had resolved by day 3–4 post vaccination for the majority of the participants with none reporting fever by day 7 post vaccination. The proportion of participants receiving treatment for fever was low across all study groups and <8% of participants sought medical attention for fever. To minimise the high rates of fever observed following infant

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain 5/99 (NadA)</th>
<th>Strain N298254 (ONV)</th>
<th>Strain M10717 (NHBa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline @ 4 years</td>
<td>Baseline @ 4 years</td>
<td>Baseline @ 4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98/100 98%</td>
<td>6/66 9%</td>
<td>17/25 68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/66 9%</td>
<td>9/15 60%</td>
<td>6/10 60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/15 60%</td>
<td>3/6 50%</td>
<td>3/5 60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/6 50%</td>
<td>1/4 25%</td>
<td>1/3 33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/4 25%</td>
<td>1/5 20%</td>
<td>1/3 33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/5 20%</td>
<td>1/6 17%</td>
<td>1/3 33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/6 17%</td>
<td>1/7 14%</td>
<td>1/3 33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/7 14%</td>
<td>1/8 13%</td>
<td>1/3 33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/8 13%</td>
<td>1/9 11%</td>
<td>1/3 33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/9 11%</td>
<td>1/10 10%</td>
<td>1/3 33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/10 10%</td>
<td>1/11 9%</td>
<td>1/3 33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/11 9%</td>
<td>1/12 8%</td>
<td>1/3 33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/12 8%</td>
<td>1/13 7%</td>
<td>1/3 33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/13 7%</td>
<td>1/14 6%</td>
<td>1/3 33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/14 6%</td>
<td>1/15 5%</td>
<td>1/3 33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/15 5%</td>
<td>1/16 4%</td>
<td>1/3 33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/16 4%</td>
<td>1/17 3%</td>
<td>1/3 33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/17 3%</td>
<td>1/18 2%</td>
<td>1/3 33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/18 2%</td>
<td>1/19 1%</td>
<td>1/3 33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/19 1%</td>
<td>1/20 0%</td>
<td>1/3 33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fourfold rise in hSBA titres after one dose of 4CMenB at 4 years.
Table 3
hSBA geometric mean titres (95% confidence interval) following a fifth dose of 4CMenB (follow on groups) compared with titres (i) after toddler booster vaccination, (ii) at baseline at 4 years and (iii) following first dose (vaccine-naive group).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>1 month post</th>
<th>4 years</th>
<th>One month post</th>
<th>4 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N = 61</td>
<td>N = 61</td>
<td>N = 61</td>
<td>N = 61</td>
<td>N = 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1261</td>
<td>2048</td>
<td>1599</td>
<td>1767</td>
<td>1750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 61</td>
<td>N = 61</td>
<td>N = 61</td>
<td>N = 61</td>
<td>N = 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(27–48)</td>
<td>(50–94)</td>
<td>(50–96)</td>
<td>(45–78)</td>
<td>(43–75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 67</td>
<td>N = 67</td>
<td>N = 67</td>
<td>N = 67</td>
<td>N = 67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>766</td>
<td>1725</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusion

These data demonstrate that 4CMenB induced immunological memory when administered using different infant vaccination schedules and is immunogenic in vaccine-naive 4-year-old children, but antibody levels wane after administration of a booster dose to toddlers. The introduction of 4CMenB in the UK represents an important milestone in the prevention of childhood meningitis and septicaemia. Data from the impact evaluation will allow effectiveness to be aligned with these data on antibody persistence and waning and will inform future policy decisions.
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