



Rajendram, R., Taylor, P. N., Wilson, V. J., Harris, N., Morris, O. C., Tomlinson, M., Yarrow, S., Garrott, H., Herbert, H. M., Dick, A. D., Cook, A., Gattamaneni, R., Jain, R., Olver, J., Hurel, S. J., Bremner, F., Drummond, S. R., Kemp, E., Ritchie, D. M., ... Dayan, C. M. (2018). Combined immunosuppression and radiotherapy in thyroid eye disease (CIRTED): a multicentre, 2 × 2 factorial, double-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Diabetes and Endocrinology*, 6(4), 299-309. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587\(18\)30021-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(18)30021-4)

Peer reviewed version

License (if available):
CC BY-NC-ND

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1016/S2213-8587\(18\)30021-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(18)30021-4)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Elsevier at <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2213858718300214?via%3Dihub>. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: <http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

1 Combined immunosuppression & radiotherapy in thyroid eye disease (CIRTED): a multi-
2 centre, factorial randomised controlled trial

3

4 *Rathie Rajendram MD(Res)², *Peter N Taylor MRCP^{4,13}, Victoria J Wilson PhD¹, Nicola
5 Harris², Olivia C Morris FRCOphth², Marjorie Tomlinson³, Sue Yarrow³, Helen Garrott MB
6 BS³, Helen Herbert FRCOphth³, Prof Andrew D Dick MD^{1,3}, Anne Cook MD⁷, Rao
7 Gattamaneni MD⁸, Rajni Jain FRCOphth⁹, Jane Olver MBBS⁹, Steven Hurel FRCP¹⁰, Fion
8 Bremner PhD¹⁰, Suzannah R Drummond FRCOphth¹¹, Ewan Kemp FRCOphth¹¹, Diana M
9 Ritchie MD¹², Nichola Rumsey PhD⁵, Daniel Morris FRCSEd¹³, Carol Lane DM¹³,
10 Nachi Palaniappan MD¹⁴, Chunhei Li MBChB⁴, Julie Pell PgC⁴, Robert Hills DPhil⁴, Daniel
11 Ezra MD², Mike J Potts MBBS³, Sue Jackson PhD⁵, Geoff E Rose Dsc², Nicholas Plowman
12 MD⁶, Catey Bunce DSc², +Jimmy M Uddin FRCOphth², +Richard WJ Lee^{1,2,3}, +Colin M
13 Dayan PhD^{1,4,13}

14

15 *RR and PT contributed equally to this work

16 +Joint senior authors

17

18 ¹University of Bristol, Tyndall Avenue, Bristol BS8 1TH, UK

19 ²Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, City Road, London EC1V 2PD, UK

20 ³Bristol Eye Hospital, University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, Lower Maudlin St, Bristol BS1
21 2LX, UK

22 ⁴Thyroid Research Group, Systems Immunity Research Institute, Cardiff University School of Medicine,
23 Cardiff, UK

24 ⁵Centre for Appearance Research, Department of Health and Social Sciences University of the West of England,
25 Frenchay Campus, Bristol, BS16 1QU, UK

26 ⁶St Bartholomew's Hospital, Bart's Health NHS Trust, West Smithfield, London, EC1A 7BE, UK

27 ⁷Manchester Royal Eye Hospital, Central Manchester Foundation Trust, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9WH,
28 UK

29 ⁸Wade Centre for Radiotherapy Research, The Christie NHS Foundation Trust, Wilmslow Road, Manchester,
30 M20 4BX, UK

31 ⁹Western Eye Hospital, Imperial College NHS Healthcare Trust, 153-173 Marylebone Road, London NW1 5QH
32 UK

33 ¹⁰University College London Hospitals NHS Trust, NW1 2PQ, UK

1

34 ¹¹ Tennent Institute of Ophthalmology, Gartnavel General Hospital, NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde, 1053
35 Great Western Road, Glasgow, G12 0YN, UK

36 ¹²Beatson West of Scotland Cancer Centre, 1053 Great Western Road, Glasgow, G12 0YN, UK

37 ¹³University Hospital of Wales, Eastern Avenue, Cardiff CF14 4XW UK

38 ¹⁴Velindre NHS Trust, Velindre Cancer Centre, Velindre Road, Whitchurch, Cardiff CF14 2TL, UK

39

40

41 Corresponding author: Richard WJ Lee

42 Corresponding author address:

43 University of Bristol

44 Biomedical Sciences Building (F38)

45 University Walk

46 Bristol, BS8 1TD

47 UK

48

49 Corresponding author telephone: +44(0)117 331 11478

50

51 Word count 4,004

52 **Abstract**

53 *Background*

54 Thyroid eye disease is a disabling inflammatory orbital condition causing visual dysfunction
55 and psychological morbidity. The additional benefit of concomitant orbital radiotherapy and
56 antiproliferative immunosuppression is unclear.

57

58 *Methods*

59 Participants all received a 24 week course of oral prednisolone and were also randomised to
60 receive radiotherapy or sham-radiotherapy, and azathioprine or placebo, in a 2x2 factorial
61 design. The primary outcomes were a binary composite clinical outcome score and
62 ophthalmopathy index at 48 weeks and clinical activity score at 12 weeks. (ISRCTN
63 22471573).

64

65 *Findings*

66 126 patients were randomized of which 103 (82%) provided outcome data. In those providing
67 data 39 (80)% of these randomised to radiotherapy remained in the study long enough to
68 complete it. Pre-specified intention-to-treat analysis of improvement in the binary clinical
69 composite outcome measure was observed for azathioprine $OR_{(adj)}=2.56$ (95%CI 0.98, 6.66;
70 $p=0.05$) but not radiotherapy $OR_{(adj)}=0.89$ (95%CI 0.36, 2.23; $p=0.80$). In a post hoc analysis
71 of patients completing their allocated therapy, improvement was more frequent on
72 azathioprine ($OR_{(adj)}=6.83$; 95%CI 1.66, 28.1; $p=0.008$) than radiotherapy ($OR_{(adj)}=0.71$;
73 95%CI 0.26, 1.95; $p=0.50$). The ophthalmopathy index, clinical activity score and also
74 number of adverse events (azathioprine N=161, radiotherapy N=156) did not differ between
75 treatment groups.

76

77 *Interpretation*

78 In patients receiving oral prednisolone for 24 weeks, the addition of radiotherapy was not
79 beneficial. Regarding azathioprine, our conclusions are limited by a high number of
80 withdrawals from treatment. However, these results suggest that disease severity at 48 weeks
81 was reduced in participants who completed azathioprine treatment.

82

83 *Funding*

84 *National Eye Research Centre, Moorfields Eye Charity, NIHR infrastructural investment*
85 *support.*

86

87

Research in Context

Active moderate-to-severe thyroid eye disease is currently treated with systemic corticosteroids, but outcomes are often sub-optimal. Corticosteroids are most effective when administered intravenously, but this is inconvenient, and oral administration remains common in global clinical practice. However, uncertainty remains about the additional benefit of orbital radiotherapy and antiproliferative immunosuppressive drugs.

Evidence before this study

Previous retrospective case series have reported that the antiproliferative immunosuppressive drug azathioprine reduces disease severity and the need for rehabilitative surgery, but no prior RCTs have been completed. The evidence base for orbital radiotherapy is stronger, but conflicting, especially in the context of systemic corticosteroid treatment.

Added value of this study

Eighty per cent of subjects completed radiotherapy, but no significant short (12 week) or longterm (48 week) benefit resulted over and above the improvement seen with a 24-week tapering course of oral corticosteroids. Less strong conclusions can be drawn with regard to azathioprine, as many patients did not complete treatment due to abnormalities in monitoring blood tests or side-effects, but those that continued azathioprine for more than 24 weeks benefitted, predominantly due to a prevention of deterioration after the end of corticosteroid treatment.

Implications of all the available evidence

These results do not support the use of radiotherapy in thyroid eye disease in patients also treated with systemic corticosteroids. They also provide evidence in favour of the use of anti-proliferative immunosuppressive agents such as azathioprine beyond the period of corticosteroid therapy to improve long-term clinical outcomes.

89 **Introduction**

90 Active moderate-to-severe thyroid eye disease, also known as Graves' orbitopathy or thyroid
91 associated orbitopathy) occurs in 5-10% of cases of Graves' disease(1). It can be both
92 visually disabling and cosmetically disfiguring and substantially impairs quality of life(1-3).
93 The aim of treatment is to suppress orbital inflammation and reduce consequent tissue re-
94 modelling in extraocular muscles, orbital fat and other periocular soft tissues(4, 5).
95 Immunosuppressive therapies, in particular corticosteroids(1, 4, 6), are the mainstay of
96 treatment for active moderate-to-severe thyroid eye disease (1). However, they are typically
97 withdrawn after 24 weeks of treatment to limit cumulative toxicity regardless of whether they
98 are administered via the oral or intravenous route(7), and given that active disease lasts 1–2
99 years, recurrence at the time of withdrawal often occurs(1, 7-9).

100

101 Consequently, the avoidance of corticosteroid side-effects, improvement in treatment efficacy
102 and maintenance of long-term disease control are major goals for the field of thyroid eye
103 disease as a whole. However, efforts to use monoclonal antibody therapies to more
104 selectively suppress disease are still either early in their route to market(10), or have failed to
105 demonstrate definitive treatment benefit(11, 12). Hence, given the proven short-term efficacy
106 of corticosteroids in the treatment of active moderate-to-severe thyroid eye disease , it is
107 likely that they will remain the gold-standard first-line treatment for several years to come,
108 and the need to find adjunctive therapies to augment and sustain their benefit remains very
109 real.

110

111 To date, the only non-corticosteroid conventional immunosuppressant drug to have been
112 evaluated in RCTs is cyclosporine A(13, 14), which was found to be beneficial, but its use
113 has not been widely adopted because of concerns about side-effects(6). An alternative
114 strategy is to use an antiproliferative agent such as azathioprine as it is better tolerated than
115 cyclosporine A(15, 16) and although ineffective as monotherapy(17), retrospective data
116 indicates that in combination with corticosteroids it reduces disease severity and the need for
117 rehabilitative surgery(18). In addition to immunosuppression, non-pharmaceutical treatment

118 of active thyroid eye disease with orbital radiotherapy has been advocated for decades, and
119 older RCTs demonstrated that this was more effective when used in combination with
120 corticosteroids(19, 20). . However, subsequent studies either questioned the role of orbital
121 radiotherapy or concluded that its benefit was limited to improvement in oculomotility(21-
122 23). This has generated significant controversy, in particular due to concerns about the entry
123 criteria, trial design and radiotherapy administration in Gorman et al's paper(22), which has
124 led to disparity in practice. Orbital radiotherapy has now been largely abandoned in North
125 America, whereas in European centres, including the UK, it is still routinely used(6, 23-25).
126 As it is administered daily over 2-3 weeks and patients are typically of working age, this also
127 has significant implications for the use of healthcare resources and patients' time.
128 Furthermore, only two relatively small studies have evaluated the additional effect of
129 radiotherapy when combined with a high-dose course of systemic corticosteroids(19, 20), and
130 clinical outcomes beyond 24 weeks have rarely been reported for any intervention in thyroid
131 eye disease. We therefore sought to evaluate the long-term benefit of orbital radiotherapy and
132 low-cost antiproliferative immunosuppression with azathioprine in the context of sustained
133 systemic corticosteroid treatment for active moderate-to-severe thyroid eye disease .

134

135 **Methods**

136 *Study design and participants*

137 We undertook this factorial design multicentre RCT in 6 centres in the UK. Patients were
138 recruited to receive either azathioprine or placebo, *plus* either orbital radiotherapy or sham-
139 radiotherapy, in *combination* with a standardised 24-week tapering oral prednisolone regime
140 (**Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 1**). In brief, all patients received an
141 initial oral prednisolone dose of 80mg / day, which reduced to 20mg / day by 6 weeks, 10mg
142 / day by 15 weeks and 5mg / day by 21 weeks. In accordance with the factorial design, study
143 recruits were then randomly allocated into 4 groups 2 weeks after starting corticosteroids:
144 azathioprine plus orbital radiotherapy, azathioprine plus sham-radiotherapy, placebo plus
145 orbital radiotherapy, or placebo plus sham-radiotherapy. Full protocol details, including pre-

146 specified primary and secondary outcome measures and statistical analyses, have been
147 previously peer-reviewed, published and are openly available(26).

148

149 Eligible patients had a clinical activity score(27) ≥ 4 (worst eye) OR ≥ 2 (worst eye) with a
150 history of proptosis or motility restriction of less than 6 months duration. They were also
151 required to have a past or present history of abnormal thyroid function or a clinical diagnosis
152 of thyroid eye disease made and confirmed by ≥ 2 muscle involvement on computed
153 tomography or magnetic resonance imaging scan. The clinical activity score was scored out
154 of 7 at the enrolment visit as its last 3 items (decreasing proptosis, decreasing visual acuity
155 and decreasing eye movement) require a change in consecutive measurements to be
156 calculated. This therefore cannot be done at the first assessment, but at all subsequent visits
157 clinical activity score was scored out of 10. If study recruits *either* had a < 6 month history
158 of thyroid eye disease (defined as time since first symptom) *or* an improvement in any item
159 of clinical activity score 2 weeks after starting the trial prednisolone regime, they were
160 considered to have active disease and were randomised at the second trial visit. Key
161 exclusion criteria included age < 20 or > 75 years, dysthyroid optic neuropathy, abnormal
162 thiopurine methyltransferase activity and use of radioiodine or any immunomodulatory or
163 cytotoxic drugs within the last 3 months (thyroidectomy was permitted).

164

165 *Randomisation and masking*

166 Patients were allocated to treatment groups by remote computerised randomization.
167 Minimisation was used to reduce baseline disparities in potential confounding variables
168 between trial interventions. These included smoking status at the time of thyroid eye disease
169 diagnosis, thyroid status on enrolment, previous corticosteroid use, gender, disease
170 severity, study centre, disease duration, age greater than 60 years and disease activity.

171

172 *Procedures*

173 *Orbital radiotherapy*

174 Twenty gray (Gy) of radiation was administered to the retrobulbar orbit in 10-12 fractions
175 over 2 to 3 weeks. Subjects receiving sham-radiotherapy also attended and underwent all the
176 same procedures other than no radiation being delivered. Extensive effort was used across
177 trial centres to ensure participants were unable to identify if they were receiving sham
178 therapy, including use of a noise emitting device to simulate treatment administration(26) (for
179 details of the radiotherapy procedures at each trial centre see **Supplementary Text 2**)

180

181 *Azathioprine*

182 Treatment dose varied between 100mg and 200mg daily (dispensed as 50 mg tablets),
183 depending on body weight. Matched placebo tablets and packaging were used and the dose
184 was adjusted according to a standard algorithm dependent on patients' blood test results.
185 Again, extensive effort was taken to ensure participants were unaware if they were receiving
186 placebo, including identical blood tests and random placebo dose adjustments. To reduce the
187 risk of serious adverse events, patients with abnormal thiopurine methyltransferase activity
188 who are at increased risk of developing bone marrow suppression (low activity) or
189 hepatotoxicity (high activity) with azathioprine were not enrolled.

190

191 *Follow-up and withdrawals*

192 Follow-up continued for a minimum of 48 weeks. Withdrawn subjects were returned to their
193 referring ophthalmologist, however they were invited to attend assessment visits at the early
194 (co-primary) and late (primary) outcome measure assessment times of 12 and 48 weeks to
195 obtain data in accordance with the planned intention-to-treat analyses. Withdrawal criteria
196 included worsening of disease (defined as a 2 point increase in clinical activity score or
197 development of optic neuropathy) and sustained blood test abnormalities (leucopenia,
198 lymphopenia or abnormal liver function tests despite dose adjustment of azathioprine or
199 placebo).

200

201 *Ethical approval and Trial Oversight*

202 The trial protocol was given a favourable opinion by the UK's National Health Service
203 South West Central Bristol Research Ethics Committee (REC reference: 05/Q2006/62).
204 Clinical Trial Authorisation was given by the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory
205 Agency (MHRA, reference: 03299/0003/001-0001; ISRCTN22471573) with the University
206 of Bristol acting as the legal sponsor. Research governance and local Research and
207 Development approvals were obtained across all sites prior to the start of recruitment. All
208 participants gave written informed consent.

209

210 *Outcomes*

211 As the principle objective of the trial was to evaluate treatment success and failure at the late
212 time-point of 48 weeks, our primary outcome measures of disease severity binary clinical
213 composite outcome measure (**BOX 1**) and Ophthalmopathy Index (**Supplementary Table 2**)
214 were selected to quantify the change in ocular deformity and visual dysfunction. An early,
215 12-week, assessment of disease activity using the clinical activity score score was given
216 lower priority and designated as a co-primary outcome (we expected that all participants
217 would have a significant improvement in clinical activity score by 48 weeks in accordance
218 with the natural history of the disease(28)). Secondary outcome measures included Total Eye
219 Score (**Supplementary Table 3**) as an additional assessment of disease severity, and the
220 patient-reported Graves' Ophthalmopathy Quality of Life score.

Box 1 Calculation of the Binary Clinical Composite Outcome Measure

Major Criteria

- An improvement of ≥ 1 grade in diplopia score
- An improvement of >8 degrees of eye movement in any direction
- A reduction of ≥ 2 mm in proptosis

Minor Criteria

- A reduction of ≥ 2 mm in lid aperture
- An improvement of ≥ 1 grade in soft tissue involvement
- An improvement in best-corrected visual acuity of ≥ 1 line on the Snellen chart
- Subjective improvement

All items refer to the worst eye

Response to treatment is calculated as follows

Improved = improvement in ≥ 1 major criteria or ≥ 2 minor criteria

No Change = improvement or deterioration in ≤ 1 minor criterion

Worse = deterioration in ≥ 1 major or ≥ 2 minor criteria (even if other criteria improve)

221

222 *Statistical analyses*

223 Planned statistical analyses were pre-specified in our protocol paper, based on a sample size
224 of 100 complete datasets at 48 weeks(26). These were undertaken according to CONSORT
225 guidelines for RCTs. As required by the factorial design, the primary intention-to-treat
226 analysis (ITT) combined the treatment groups to compare radiotherapy versus sham-
227 radiotherapy and azathioprine versus placebo for each of the two primary outcomes at 48
228 weeks follow up. This analysis was made using multivariable regression models, adjusting
229 for minimisation variables, the factorial design, and the value of the outcome variable at
230 baseline. Statistical significance was defined in advance as a p-value of <0.05. Patients who
231 had no outcome data for the primary analyses had data imputed using last observation carried
232 forward if they had data available between 24-48 weeks. Analysis was performed for all
233 primary outcomes (binary clinical composite outcome, Ophthalmopathy Index and Clinical
234 Activity Score) Patients who withdrew from treatment due to side-effects, disease
235 progression or personal preference, were encouraged to continue to attend for follow-up
236 assessments and their data included in the intention-to-treat analyses. Since there were a large
237 number of withdrawals from treatment (although most trial subjects still returned for
238 assessment at the primary endpoint visit), a post-hoc as-per-protocol analysis was conducted
239 including only patients who had not withdrawn and continued to receive their assigned
240 treatment. Testing for interaction was performed using likelihood ratio tests. Additional
241 sensitivity analyses were performed for the binary clinical composite outcome measure
242 including recoding those who withdrew due to deterioration, irrespective of their final status
243 at 48 weeks (as they may have received alternative rescue therapy). Secondary patient-
244 reported health economic analyses were planned but not completed due to insufficient data.
245 All statistical analyses were undertaken using STATA version 12 (STATA CORP, College
246 Station, TX, USA).

247

248 *Study Sponsor and role of the funding source*

249 The study sponsor was the University of Bristol. Funding was provided by the UK's
250 National Eye Research Centre and Moorfields Eye Charity supported by infrastructural
251 investment from the National Institute for Health Research. The sponsor and funders had no
252 role in the study design, in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data, in the writing of
253 the report or in the decision to submit the paper for publication. In addition, the
254 corresponding author had full access to all of the data and the final responsibility to submit
255 for publication.

256 **Results**

257 *Study Population*

258 126 people were recruited and randomised in this study between February 2006 and October
259 2013 (71 patients from Moorfields Eye Hospital, 34 from Bristol Eye Hospital, 7 from
260 Manchester Eye Hospital, 5 from the Western Eye Hospital, 4 from University College
261 London Hospital, 4 from Gartnavel General Hospital and 1 from the University Hospital of
262 Wales). The flow of study participants is shown in **Figure 1**. Data on both the primary
263 outcomes was provided by 103 participants. Baseline characteristics of the minimisation
264 variables by group are shown in **Table 1**. Individuals allocated to azathioprine had a
265 relatively lower proportion of non-caucasian patients (not a criterion used for minimisation).

267 *Intention-to-treat analysis*

268 *Binary Clinical Composite Outcome Measure (primary outcome)*

269 The difference in the binary clinical composite outcome measure between individuals
270 randomised to azathioprine versus placebo tablets was on the threshold of our pre-specified
271 significant p-value of <0.05 , but did not meet this (the adjusted odds ratio [OR_{adj}] of the
272 binary clinical composite outcome measure's improvement on azathioprine was 2.56; 95%CI
273 0.98, 6.66; $p=0.05$, **Table 2 Figure 2A**). In contrast, there was no improvement with orbital
274 radiotherapy (OR_(adj) =0.89, 95%CI 0.36, 2.23, $p=0.80$). Also with regard to the factorial
275 design, there was no evidence of interaction between azathioprine and radiotherapy ($p_{int} =$
276 0.86) and the combination of azathioprine and orbital radiotherapy did not offer additional
277 advantage over azathioprine alone. An overview of the impact on the binary clinical

278 composite outcome measure of azathioprine and orbital radiotherapy is shown in
279 **Supplementary Figure 2A+2B**. Furthermore, additional sensitivity analyses in which
280 withdrawn patients were coded to unfavourable outcomes regardless of their status at 48
281 weeks enhanced rather than lessened the improvement observed with azathioprine treatment
282 (OR_{adj} 3.65; 95%CI 1.34, 9.86; p=0.01, **Supplementary Table 4**).

283

284 *Ophthalmopathy Index (primary outcome)*

285 Analysis of all patients revealed that the ophthalmopathy index fell between week 12 (mean
286 9.15, SD 0.39) and week 48 (mean 8.43, SD 0.38, p=0.04). No additional benefits were seen
287 with either azathioprine or orbital radiotherapy. Individuals randomised to azathioprine had
288 an adjusted Beta (B)_(adj) of 0.46 (95%CI -1.04, 1.95; p=0.55) and in those randomised to
289 orbital radiotherapy B_(adj) was -0.89 (95%CI -2.34, 0.56; p=0.23) (**Table 2**). There was also
290 no evidence of an interaction between azathioprine and radiotherapy in their effect on
291 ophthalmopathy index (p_{int} = 0.51).

292

293 *Clinical Activity Score (co-primary outcome)*

294 Across all subjects, substantial improvement in median clinical activity score was seen over
295 the study period from 5 (IQR 4 - 5) at baseline to 3 (IQR 2- 4; p<0.0001) at week 12, and 2
296 (IQR 1-3; p<0.0001) at week 48 (**Figure 2B, 2C**). The majority of patients n=97 (70.0%)
297 improved their clinical activity score by week 12 and 96 (98%) of the 98 patients with
298 clinical activity score data at 48 weeks showed improvement in their clinical activity score
299 versus baseline. No difference in the change in clinical activity score at 12 weeks was
300 observed between individuals who received treatment with azathioprine versus not receiving
301 azathioprine, or who received radiotherapy versus sham radiotherapy B_(adj)= -0.01 (95%CI -
302 0.69, 0.68; p=0.99 – **Table 2**). There was no interaction between azathioprine and
303 radiotherapy in their effect on clinical activity score (p_{int}= 0.48). There was also no evidence
304 that azathioprine or orbital radiotherapy improved clinical activity score score at week 48
305 (**Supplementary Table 5**).

306

307 *Total Eye Score (secondary outcome)*

308 Total eye score improved considerably over the study period with a mean at baseline of 15.1
309 (95%CI 13.8, 16.3) falling to a mean of 9.36 (95%CI 8.12, 10.6; $p < 0.0001$), but this was
310 not affected by the addition of either azathioprine or orbital radiotherapy (**Supplementary**
311 **Table 6**).

312

313 *Graves Ophthalmopathy Quality of Life (secondary outcome)*

314 Across all subjects, mean Graves ophthalmopathy quality of life visual function was higher
315 (improved) at 12 weeks than at baseline (71.5 - 95%CI 66.1, 76.9 vs 64.1 - 95%CI 58.5,
316 70.0; $p=0.002$), and at week 48 (75.5 - 95%CI 70.3, 80.7; $p < 0.001$ versus baseline). GO-
317 QoL visual appearance was also higher at 12 weeks than at baseline (58.0 - 95%CI 52.5,
318 63.5 vs 53.2 - 95%CI 47.9, 58.6; $p=0.007$) and at week 48 (61.3 - 95%CI 55.6, 67.1;
319 $p=0.001$ versus baseline). Individuals who had an improvement in the binary clinical
320 composite measure at week 48 had a higher Graves ophthalmopathy quality of life visual
321 function ($B=17.9$ - 95%CI 7.07, 28.6; $p < 0.001$) and a higher Graves ophthalmopathy quality
322 of life visual appearance ($B_{(adj)}=11.5$ - 95%CI 0.60, 23.6; $p=0.06$). There was no clear benefit
323 from the addition of either azathioprine or orbital radiotherapy with regard to long-term
324 Graves ophthalmopathy quality of life visual function or visual appearance (**Supplementary**
325 **Table 7, Supplementary Figure 3**).

326

327 *As-per-protocol (APP) analysis*

328 Sixty individuals did not withdraw from study treatment before 48 weeks, completed their
329 therapy period as allocated and were included in the APP analysis. Ten of these patients were
330 randomised to azathioprine and sham-radiotherapy, 17 were randomised to orbital
331 radiotherapy and placebo alone, 12 were randomised to azathioprine and orbital radiotherapy
332 and 21 were randomised to sham-radiotherapy and placebo. Individuals in the APP analysis
333 appeared similar at baseline to those who were withdrawn from study treatment, although
334 there was a higher percentage of non-caucasians in those recruited from the larger study
335 centres (**Supplementary Table 8**).

336

337 In the APP analysis, individuals randomised to receive azathioprine (n=22) had a higher odds
338 ratio of improvement in their disease severity measured by the primary binary clinical
339 composite outcome measure at 48 weeks ($OR_{(adj)}=6.83$, 95%CI 1.66, 28.1; $p=0.008$). No
340 benefit was seen in individuals randomised to receive orbital radiotherapy ($OR_{(adj)} 1.32$,
341 95%CI 0.36, 4.84; $p=0.67$, **Table 3 Figure 2A**). To assess the effect of the duration of
342 exposure to azathioprine we also conducted a comparative analysis of patients who continued
343 to receive their allocated treatments at 12 weeks (n=84), 24 weeks (n= 79) and 36 weeks
344 (n=68). This indicated that benefit was observed with ≥ 24 weeks of azathioprine exposure
345 (**Figure 2A, Supplementary Table 9 and Supplementary Figure 2A**). Individuals
346 receiving azathioprine also had a modest improvement in TES ($B_{(adj)}= -3.23$, 95%CI -6.42,
347 0.03; $p=0.05$, **Supplementary Table 6**). However, the APP analysis did not reveal any
348 benefit in ophthalmopathy index, clinical activity score or Graves ophthalmopathy quality of
349 life of being randomised to receive either azathioprine or orbital radiotherapy (**Table 3**).

350

351 *Withdrawals from the study*

352 There was a high number of patients who withdrew from their allocated treatment (n=66,
353 52.4%) (**Figure 1**), but the majority of these (n=45, 68.2%) returned for primary outcome
354 evaluation. Twenty-five withdrawals were within the first 12 weeks (**Figure 3**). Withdrawals
355 were less in non-caucasians and in participants at two of the study centres (Moorfields and
356 Bristol Eye Hospitals). Before 48 weeks there were 40 withdrawals in those randomised to
357 receive azathioprine and 34 withdrawals in those randomised to receive orbital radiotherapy.
358 Overall, participants randomised to receive azathioprine had increased odds of withdrawal
359 compared to those who did not $OR_{(adj)}=2.82$ (95%CI 1.23, 6.45) $p=0.01$ (**Supplementary**
360 **Table 10**). The reasons for withdrawal are presented in **Supplementary Figure 4**. Patients
361 receiving azathioprine had an increased odds of withdrawal due to precautionary blood test
362 abnormalities or side effects $OR=9.10$ (95%CI 2.60, 31.9) $p=0.001$ (**Supplementary Table**
363 **11**). However, unlike patients receiving placebo, patients taking azathioprine did not
364 withdraw due to deterioration following cessation of steroid treatment at 24 weeks (**Figure**

365 **3C).** No baseline characteristics predicted withdrawal due to either azathioprine or orbital
366 radiotherapy although the highest odds of withdrawal for disease deterioration was in the
367 sham-radiotherapy and placebo group (**Supplementary Table 12**). There was no evidence of
368 bias between treatment groups with regard to failure to provide data at 48 weeks
369 (**Supplementary Table 13** and **Supplementary Table 14**).

370

371 *Rescue therapy (including surgery) and adverse events*

372 Twenty-one (47%) of the trial subjects who withdrew from study treatment but provided
373 outcome data were documented to have received additional therapy (**Supplementary Table**
374 **15**). In most cases this was additional steroid therapy continuing until the endpoint of the
375 study (week 48). Surgery was however required in 5 individuals, 3 of whom were in the
376 azathioprine group (3 orbital decompressions, 1 lid surgery and 1 strabismus correction). The
377 number of individuals experiencing an adverse event did not differ across the treatment
378 groups (**Supplementary Table 16** and **Supplementary Table 17**).

379

380 **Discussion**

381 CIRTED fulfilled its target sample size, with more than 100 complete data sets at 48 weeks.
382 Improvement in our primary, co-primary and secondary outcome measures (binary clinical
383 composite outcome measure, clinical activity score and Graves ophthalmopathy quality of life)
384 across all groups confirmed the previously reported benefits of high dose systemic
385 corticosteroid therapy in active moderate-to-severe thyroid eye disease (**Figures 2B and**
386 **2C**). In this context, orbital radiotherapy did not confer additional patient benefit in any pre-
387 specified outcome measure either in the short (12-week) or longer term (48-week).
388 Radiotherapy was delivered early in the treatment (before 12 weeks), and 80.2% (101
389 subjects) remained in the study up to this point; hence it is unlikely that this result is
390 significantly confounded by the high withdrawal rate later in the treatment course.

391

392 Less strong conclusions can be drawn with regard to azathioprine as comparatively few
393 patients completed the full course of treatment. Nonetheless, the improvement in the binary

394 clinical composite outcome measure observed in the azathioprine-treated group of subjects
395 that was on the threshold of statistical significance in our intention-to-treat analysis ($p=0.05$)
396 is likely to be real as the effect was sustained or enhanced in our sensitivity analyses
397 (**Supplementary Table 4, Supplementary Table 9**). This is reinforced by the post-hoc as-
398 per-protocol analysis results which showed substantial benefit in favour of azathioprine
399 ($OR_{(adj)}=6.83$ $p=0.008$). Of note, patient outcomes improved particularly in those receiving
400 azathioprine for 24 weeks or more (figure 3A). Since steroid therapy was stopped at 24
401 weeks (as is common practice in thyroid eye disease), this suggests that the key benefit of
402 azathioprine is to prevent relapse after withdrawal of steroids. This observation is consistent
403 with the generally recognised role of azathioprine as a steroid-sparing agent, used to prevent
404 relapse in other autoimmune conditions and the findings of the MINGO study using an
405 alternative antiproliferative agent (mycophenylate sodium) (REF). Furthermore, this view is
406 supported by analysis of the binary clinical composite outcome measure components
407 indicating that azathioprine did not increase major improvement rates overall but did reduce
408 major deterioration in the binary clinical composite outcome measure ($p=0.004$,
409 **Supplementary Figure 2A**), plus the observation that late withdrawal (after 24 weeks) due
410 to deterioration was not seen in patients treated with azathioprine (**Figure 3C**).

411
412 A major feature of this study was the high rate of withdrawal from patients' allocated
413 treatment. In all study groups, early withdrawals (before 24 weeks) due to disease
414 deterioration were seen as the steroid dose was reduced and this was not mitigated by orbital
415 radiotherapy (**Figure 3C**). Our masked protocol necessarily set strict thresholds for
416 withdrawal due to abnormal monitoring blood tests (white cell counts and liver function),
417 which together with treatment side-effects led to more common withdrawals in those
418 allocated to azathioprine (**Figure 3B**). Hence, it is likely that in usual clinical practice
419 azathioprine treatment would be continued in a higher percentage of patients. Importantly,
420 many of those withdrawing from treatment still completed their study follow-up visits until
421 the primary endpoint (48 weeks), resulting in the outcomes for over 80% of randomised
422 subjects being available for our intention-to-treat analysis.

423

424 The other key methodological point to consider is our use of two primary outcome measures
425 at 48 weeks. As we have previously published(26), this was because of the lack of fully
426 validated long-term disease severity measures in thyroid eye disease. We also wished to
427 mitigate the theoretical limitations of composite binary scoring systems, in particular with
428 regard to baseline variability between treatment groups, by using a continuous variable with
429 regression analyses in mind. However, our minimisation strategy was successful in balancing
430 baseline features across trial arms and the binary clinical composite outcome measure has
431 since become the preferred end-point for thyroid eye disease studies as it is more sensitive to
432 change(21, 23). We have therefore focused on this rather than the ophthalmopathy index
433 which has not been a primary endpoint in other recent trials.

434

435 The key strengths of this RCT include the use of minimisation, low rates of loss-to-follow-up
436 (including of withdrawn patients) and the extensive efforts that were made to mask both
437 azathioprine and radiotherapy treatment allocation to both the patients and clinicians
438 (including the use of sham radiotherapy). In addition, we observed no evidence of interaction
439 between the two interventions (radiotherapy and azathioprine), which is supportive of our
440 choice of a factorial design. Conversely, a major limitation of our study was the high
441 withdrawal rate, particularly for those randomised to receive azathioprine. Therefore our
442 conclusions with regard to the efficacy of this treatment need to be interpreted with caution.
443 We also permitted patients to enrol in the trial and start systemic corticosteroid therapy
444 before their thyroid function tests were normalised. This potentially confounds the
445 interpretation of our data with the benefit of returning to euthyroidism, but we judged
446 intervening with immunosuppression in the early active phase of disease to outweigh this
447 risk. Furthermore, given that demonstration of clinical improvement following a 2 week
448 course of high-dose oral steroids was a key entry criterion, our results cannot be extrapolated
449 to infer the value of radiotherapy or azathioprine in patients with steroid refractory disease.
450 Oral steroid therapy was used in this study and given to all study participants as this was the

451 standard of care in the study centres at the time of trial initiation and remains commonly
452 prescribed in many regions including North America(29).

453

454 In summary, our results suggest that low-dose orbital radiotherapy confers no additional short
455 or long-term treatment benefit when combined with a six-month reducing course of oral
456 corticosteroids. Our findings with regard to azathioprine are less definitive, but taken together
457 indicate that, if tolerated, azathioprine improves 48-week clinical outcomes in patients with
458 active moderate-to-severe thyroid eye disease. This supports the use of long-term
459 antiproliferative treatments in combination with systemic corticosteroids for the treatment of
460 active moderate-to-severe thyroid eye disease, consistent with established practice in other
461 autoimmune conditions.

462

463

464

465

466 **Table and figure headings**

467	Table 1	Characteristics of the 4 trial groups
468	Table 2	Intention to treat analysis Binary Composite Clinical Outcome Measure,
469		Ophthalmopathy Index and Change in Clinical Activity Score
470	Table 3	As per protocol analysis Binary Composite Clinical Outcome Measure,
471		Ophthalmopathy Index and Change in Clinical Activity Score
472	Figure 1	Consort Diagram
473	Figure 2A	Odds ratio of having an improved Binary Composite Clinical Outcome
474		Measure score by treatment and duration in study
475	Figure 2B	Boxplot of Clinical Activity Score at baseline, week 12 and week 48 by
476		whether a participant was randomised to azathioprine
477	Figure 2C	Boxplot of Clinical Activity Score at baseline, week 12 and week 48 by
478		whether a participant was randomised to radiotherapy
479	Figure 3A	Kaplan Meier survival showing withdrawals from treatment (all reasons)
480	Figure 3B	Kaplan Meier survival showing withdrawals from treatment (side effects and
481		abnormal blood results)
482	Figure 3C	Kaplan Meier survival showing withdrawals from treatment (deterioration)
483		

484

485 **Acknowledgements**

486 The authors wish to thank all the participants involved in this study. We would also like to
487 thank the Trial Steering Committee comprised of Professor Maarten Mourits, Professor John
488 Lazarus, Professor John Sparrow; and the Data Monitoring Committee: Dr Roberto Melotti,
489 Dr Irene Stratton, Professor John Forrester, Miss Gill Adams. The study was designed with
490 the help of Dr Alan Montgomery (Department of Community Based Medicine, University of
491 Bristol) and Dr Rosemary Greenwood (Research and Development Support Unit, United
492 Bristol Healthcare Trust) who gave statistical support and advice on trial design. Research
493 oversight was led on behalf of the Sponsor by Dr Birgit Whitman, Head of Research
494 Governance, University of Bristol. This study was also supported by the National Institute for
495 Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre based at Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS
496 Foundation Trust and UCL Institute of Ophthalmology, UK. The views expressed are those
497 of the authors and not necessarily those of the National Health Service, the NIHR or the
498 Department of Health.

499 We also wish to thank the following individuals for their assistance in this study:

500 University of the West of England, Centre for Appearance Research: Paul White (statistical
501 support); Jane Murray, Emma Williams (data collection); Laura Kingston (data collection
502 and administration)

503 University of Bristol and University Hospitals Bristol: Morag Adams, Freny Kalapesi, Dov
504 Hersch, Annie Hinchcliffe, Sarah Smith, Helen McCarthy, Mel Watson, Sue Yarrow,
505 Dorothy Griffiths, Sue Cowley and Sandra Hollinghurst.

506 Moorfields Eye Hospital and St Bartholomew's Hospital: Wen Xing, Emma Dawson, Kelly
507 Mackenzie, Tarang Gupta, Tahrina Salam, Hannah Timlin and Morag Griffiths

508 University Hospital of Wales: Pranav Kumar, Anna Scholz and Praneet Bolusani

509 Gartnavel General Hospital: Maria Elena Gregory and Anna Tarantini

510

511

512 **Author Contributions**

513

514 Study concept and design: Lee, Dayan, Rajendram, Uddin, Rumsey, Rose, Potts, Dick

515 Acquisition of data: Rajendram, Lee, Wilson, Harris, Morris O, Uddin, Garrott Herbert Ezra

516 Cook, Jain, Olver Hurrell Bremner Morris D, Lane, Kemp, Drummond, Ritchie, Plowman,

517 Tomlinson, Yarrow, Gattamaneni, Palanapian, Pell

518 Analysis and interpretation of data: Taylor, Hills, Dayan, Lee, Li, Bunce, Rajendram, Uddin,

519 Jackson

520 Drafting of the Manuscript: Taylor, Dayan, Lee, Rajendram, Wilson

521 Critical revision of the manuscript: Lee, Dayan, Taylor, Rajendram, Uddin, Wilson

522

523 All authors approved the final manuscript.

524

525 **Declaration of Interest**

526 The authors report no declarations of interest

527

529 **References**

- 531 1. Bartalena L, Pinchera A, Marcocci C. Management of Graves' ophthalmopathy:
532 reality and perspectives. *Endocr Rev.* 2000;21(2):168-99.
- 533 2. Wiersinga WM. Advances in treatment of active, moderate-to-severe Graves'
534 ophthalmopathy. *The Lancet Diabetes & endocrinology.* 2017;5(2):134-42.
- 535 3. Terwee C, Wakelkamp I, Tan S, Dekker F, Prummel MF, Wiersinga W. Long-term
536 effects of Graves' ophthalmopathy on health-related quality of life. *European journal of*
537 *endocrinology / European Federation of Endocrine Societies.* 2002;146(6):751-7.
- 538 4. Wiersinga WM. Advances in treatment of active, moderate-to-severe Graves'
539 ophthalmopathy. *The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology.*
- 540 5. Salvi M, Campi I. Medical Treatment of Graves' Orbitopathy. *Horm Metab Res.*
541 2015;47(10):779-88.
- 542 6. Bartalena L, Baldeschi L, Boboridis K, Eckstein A, Kahaly GJ, Marcocci C, et al. The
543 2016 European Thyroid Association/European Group on Graves' Orbitopathy Guidelines for
544 the Management of Graves' Orbitopathy. *Eur Thyroid J.* 2016;5(1):9-26.
- 545 7. Marcocci C, Bartalena L, Tanda ML, Manetti L, Dell'Unto E, Rocchi R, et al.
546 Comparison of the effectiveness and tolerability of intravenous or oral glucocorticoids
547 associated with orbital radiotherapy in the management of severe Graves' ophthalmopathy:
548 results of a prospective, single-blind, randomized study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.*
549 2001;86(8):3562-7.
- 550 8. Kahaly GJ, Pitz S, Hommel G, Dittmar M. Randomized, single blind trial of intravenous
551 versus oral steroid monotherapy in Graves' orbitopathy. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.*
552 2005;90(9):5234-40.
- 553 9. Bartalena L, Krassas GE, Wiersinga W, Marcocci C, Salvi M, Daumerie C, et al. Efficacy
554 and safety of three different cumulative doses of intravenous methylprednisolone for
555 moderate to severe and active Graves' orbitopathy. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.*
556 2012;97(12):4454-63.
- 557 10. Smith TJ, Kahaly GJ, Ezra DG, Fleming JC, Dailey RA, Tang RA, et al. Teprotumumab
558 for Thyroid-Associated Ophthalmopathy. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;376(18):1748-61.
- 559 11. Stan MN, Garrity JA, Carranza Leon BG, Prabin T, Bradley EA, Bahn RS. Randomized
560 controlled trial of rituximab in patients with Graves' orbitopathy. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.*
561 2015;100(2):432-41.
- 562 12. Salvi M, Vannucchi G, Curro N, Campi I, Covelli D, Dazzi D, et al. Efficacy of B-cell
563 targeted therapy with rituximab in patients with active moderate to severe Graves'
564 orbitopathy: a randomized controlled study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2015;100(2):422-31.
- 565 13. Kahaly G, Schrezenmeir J, Krause U, Schweikert B, Meuer S, Muller W, et al.
566 Cyclosporin and prednisone v. prednisone in treatment of Graves' ophthalmopathy: a

- 567 controlled, randomized and prospective study. *European journal of clinical investigation*.
568 1986;16(5):415-22.
- 569 14. Prummel MF, Mourits MP, Berghout A, Krenning EP, van der Gaag R, Koornneef L, et
570 al. Prednisone and cyclosporine in the treatment of severe Graves' ophthalmopathy. *N Engl*
571 *J Med*. 1989;321(20):1353-9.
- 572 15. Ahern MJ, Harrison W, Hollingsworth P, Bradley J, Laing B, Bayliss C. A randomised
573 double-blind trial of cyclosporin and azathioprine in refractory rheumatoid arthritis.
574 *Australian and New Zealand journal of medicine*. 1991;21(6):844-9.
- 575 16. MacPhee IA, Bradley JA, Briggs JD, Junor BJ, MacPherson SG, McMillan MA, et al.
576 Long-term outcome of a prospective randomized trial of conversion from cyclosporine to
577 azathioprine treatment one year after renal transplantation. *Transplantation*.
578 1998;66(9):1186-92.
- 579 17. Perros P, Weightman DR, Crombie AL, Kendall-Taylor P. Azathioprine in the
580 treatment of thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy. *Acta endocrinologica*. 1990;122(1):8-12.
- 581 18. Claridge KG, Ghabrial R, Davis G, Tomlinson M, Goodman S, Harrad RA, et al.
582 Combined radiotherapy and medical immunosuppression in the management of thyroid eye
583 disease. *Eye*. 1997;11:717-22.
- 584 19. Bartalena L, Marcocci C, Chiovato L, Laddaga M, Lepri G, Andreani D, et al. Orbital
585 cobalt irradiation combined with systemic corticosteroids for Graves' ophthalmopathy:
586 comparison with systemic corticosteroids alone. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1983;56(6):1139-
587 44.
- 588 20. Ng CM, Yuen HK, Choi KL, Chan MK, Yuen KT, Ng YW, et al. Combined orbital
589 irradiation and systemic steroids compared with systemic steroids alone in the management
590 of moderate-to-severe Graves' ophthalmopathy: a preliminary study. *Hong Kong medical*
591 *journal = Xianggang yi xue za zhi*. 2005;11(5):322-30.
- 592 21. Mourits MP, van Kempen-Harteveld ML, Garcia MB, Koppeschaar HP, Tick L, Terwee
593 CB. Radiotherapy for Graves' orbitopathy: randomised placebo-controlled study. *Lancet*.
594 2000;355(9214):1505-9.
- 595 22. Gorman CA, Garrity JA, Fatourech V, Bahn RS, Petersen IA, Stafford SL, et al. A
596 prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of orbital radiotherapy for
597 Graves' ophthalmopathy. *Ophthalmology*. 2001;108(9):1523-34.
- 598 23. Prummel MF, Terwee CB, Gerding MN, Baldeschi L, Mourits MP, Blank L, et al. A
599 randomized controlled trial of orbital radiotherapy versus sham irradiation in patients with
600 mild Graves' ophthalmopathy. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2004;89(1):15-20.
- 601 24. Bartalena L, Marcocci C, Pinchera A. Orbital radiotherapy for Graves'
602 ophthalmopathy. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2004;89(1):13-4.
- 603 25. Rajendram R, Bunce C, Lee RW, Morley AM. Orbital radiotherapy for adult thyroid
604 eye disease. *Cochrane database of systematic reviews (Online)*. 2012(7):Cd007114.
- 605 26. Rajendram R, Lee RWJ, Potts MJ, Rose GE, Jain R, Olver JM, et al. Protocol for the
606 combined immunosuppression & radiotherapy in thyroid eye disease (CIRTED) trial: A multi-
607 centre, double-masked, factorial randomised controlled trial. *Trials*. 2008;9:6-

- 608 27. Mourits MP, Prummel MF, Wiersinga WM, Koornneef L. Clinical activity score as a
609 guide in the management of patients with Graves' ophthalmopathy. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*.
610 1997;47(1):9-14.
- 611 28. Rundle FF, Wilson CW. Development and course of exophthalmos and
612 ophthalmoplegia in Graves' disease with special reference to the effect of thyroidectomy.
613 *Clinical science*. 1945;5(3-4):177-94.
- 614 29. Perumal B, Meyer DR. Treatment of severe thyroid eye disease: a survey of the
615 American Society of Ophthalmic Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery (ASOPRS). *Ophthalmic*
616 *plastic and reconstructive surgery*. 2015;31(2):127-31.
- 617