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Abstract In October and November 2014, spectra covering the 1.436 to 1.863-μm wavelength range from the SINFONI Integral Field Unit Spectrometer on the Very Large Telescope showed the presence of a vast bright north polar cap on Uranus, extending northward from about 40°N and at all longitudes observed. The feature, first detected in August 2014 from Keck telescope images, has a morphology very similar to the southern polar cap that was seen to fade before the 2007 equinox. At strong methane-absorbing wavelengths (for which only the high troposphere or stratosphere is sampled) the feature is not visible, indicating that it is not a stratospheric phenomenon. We show that the observed northern bright polar cap results mainly from a decrease in the tropospheric methane mixing ratio, rather than from a possible latitudinal variation of the optical properties or abundance of aerosol, implying an increase in polar downwelling near the tropopause level.

Plain Language Summary In this paper we show that Uranus’s northern polar cap in 2014 results from a hole-like depletion of methane rather than a formation or accumulation of aerosols as was previously thought. In this regard, our results provide key insights on the Uranus postequinox circulation as well as on the formation and nature of the different bright features observed before and after 2007 equinox in both hemispheres.

1. Background

Uranus undergoes a cycle of dramatic seasonal atmospheric changes in cloud and hazes, driven by its extremely large obliquity (98°). Different observations have revealed, for instance, the presence of discrete clouds and convective systems at different locations and time periods (e.g., de Pater et al., 2015; Irwin et al., 2017; Sromovsky & Fry, 2007) or the formation and dissipation of bright polar regions in both hemispheres before and after the 2007 equinox (e.g., Hofstadter & Butler, 2003; Irwin, Teanby, et al., 2012; Irwin et al., 2016; Karkoschka, 2001; Karkoschka & Tomasko, 2009; Rages et al., 2004; Sromovsky & Fry, 2007). Voyager observations of Uranus in 1986 recorded for the first time the presence of a bright south polar cap extending southward from about 45°S. Although observations made between 1994 and 2003 showed temporal variations in brightness in the south polar region; they did not record signs of a northern polar cap with comparable brightness to that observed in the south pole (Hammel & Lockwood, 2007; Rages et al., 2004). Near equinox in 2007, however, Uranus’ atmosphere underwent a number of seasonal changes that led to a reversal in the polar brightness: a south polar collar at 45°S diminishing in brightness relative to midlatitudes and a north polar collar at 45°N becoming steadily brighter with time (Irwin, Teanby, et al., 2012). In August 2014, a large bright polar-cap-like feature was identified in Uranus’s northern pole from Keck telescope images (de Pater et al., 2015), whose progressive formation over 2013 was observed by amateur telescopes (see PVO database, Hueso et al., 2018). Based on long-term records of Uranus brightness variations before the 2007 equinox (Hammel & Lockwood, 2007; Lockwood & Jerzykiewicz, 2006), it was thought that the polar cap could be a seasonal formation or redistribution of aerosols (de Pater et al., 2015; Sromovsky et al., 2015).

Observations of Uranus in October and November 2014 with the Wide Field Camera 3 instrument of the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) and the SINFONI Integral Field Unit Spectrometer on the Very Large Telescope (VLT) confirmed the presence of the ubiquitous bright cap from 40° to 90°N (Figure 1a; Irwin et al., 2017). The feature does not appear at 1.47 and 0.727-μm methane-absorbing wavelengths, where the fraction of radiance transmitted to space is less than 0.5 for pressures greater than ~0.1 bar, the pressure of the tropopause. This indicates that if the polar cap is the result of variations in aerosol distribution,
these variations must occur at altitudes below the tropopause. This result was also tested using the cloud properties and methane concentration obtained at polar cap latitudes (see section 3.2). The purpose of this work is to determine the nature of Uranus’ polar cap in 2014. To this end, we analyzed spectra covering the 1.46 to 1.70-μm wavelength range of the VLT/SINFONI observations taken in 2014, which provide a clear mapping of the feature at both high spatial and spectral resolutions.

2. Observations, Cloud Model and Radiative Transfer Analysis

We analyzed observations of Uranus performed by the SINFONI instrument on 31 October and 11 November 2014, at the European Southern Observatory (ESO) VLT in Paranal, Chile. SINFONI is an Integral Field spectrograph that can make use of adaptive optics to yield a spatial resolution of about 0.1″ and returns 64 × 64-pixel spectral cubes, where each “spaxel” has 2,048 wavelengths. SINFONI has three spaxel scale settings: 0.25″, 0.1″, and 0.025″ resulting in fields of views of 8″ × 8″, 3″ × 3″, and 0.8″ × 0.8″, respectively. Uranus was imaged with the 0.1″ pixel scale and the H-grism, which has a spectral resolution of 0.0005 μm and covers the wavelength range 1.436–1.863 μm. The data were first reduced with the ESO VLT SINFONI pipeline, with additional photometric corrections as described by Irwin et al. (2016), and then averaged with a triangular-shaped instrument function with full width half maximum = 0.002 μm, resulting in a final spectral resolution of R ~775. It was found in previous analyses of Uranus that this spectral resolution provides the best compromise between modeling computational speed, signal-to-noise ratio, and accurate representation of the methane absorption features (Irwin, de Bergh, et al., 2012).

The NEMESIS correlated-k radiative-transfer and retrieval code (Irwin et al., 2008) was used to simulate the absorption and scattering of Uranus’ atmosphere. The methane absorption was calculated using the WKMC-80 K line database (Campargue et al., 2012) and assuming the “F1” temperature profile of Sromovsky et al. (2011), which has a He:H2 ratio of 0.131 and assumes a 0.04% mole fraction of neon and a deep CH4 mole fraction of 4% at nonpolar latitudes (Karkoschka & Tomasko, 2009). Although the temperature profile may change at polar latitudes, these changes are not expected to cause an impact in our retrievals since the thermal structure of Uranus is not strongly variable. We tested what is the effect of adding a
random noise with different amplitudes to the F1 profile, and we found that the retrieved aerosol parameters do not differ significantly. Figure S1 in the supporting information provides more detail on these tests. The line data were converted to k-distribution look-up tables, covering the VLT spectral range and assuming a triangular-shaped instrument function with full width half maximum = 0.002 μm. Collision induced absorptions by H₂–H₂ and H₂–He collision-induced were computed using the coefficients of Borysow et al. (1989), Borysow et al. (2000), and Zheng and Borysow (1995), and an equilibrium ortho/para-H₂ ratio was assumed at all altitudes and locations. Absorption by H₂–CH₄ and CH₄–CH₄ collision-induced was also computed (Borysow & Frommhold, 1987). Although the assumption of equilibrium ortho/para-H₂ may not valid for all the latitudes, we emphasize that for our wavelength range this parameter does not have an impact on the retrievals.

3. Analysis Procedure and Results

3.1. Analysis at Latitudes <40°N

To determine the nature of the polar cap, we first conducted a number of limb-darkening analyses of the VLT/SINFONI spectra (covering the 1.46 to 1.70-μm wavelength range) at latitudes away from the polar cap. For each latitude selected, four spectra taken at different emission angles (ranging from 20° to 75°) were fitted simultaneously using a three-layer cloud model comprising a thick tropospheric cloud based near the 2-bar pressure level and two vertically extended haze layers with bases in the troposphere (~0.56 bar) and near the tropopause (~0.19 bar); the first two pressure levels were selected based on previous analyses of clouds and haze (Irwin et al., 2016, 2017; Sromovsky et al., 2011). The main difference of this model compared with the two-layer cloud model used in previous analyses (Irwin et al., 2015, 2016, 2017) is the addition of a stratospheric haze layer, which was required for fitting VLT spectra observed over a wide range of emission angles simultaneously. The vertical distribution of each cloud layer is characterized by the total opacity at 1.4 μm (τ), the fractional scale height (FSH), and the cloud base altitude (h), parameters that can be retrieved or kept fixed in the analysis. The aerosol scattering properties (single-scattering albedo, phase function, and extinction cross section) are computed at all wavelengths using Mie theory, with the phase functions approximated with Henyey-Greenstein functions to average over the characteristic “glory” and “rainbow” of spherical particles. To calculate these properties, the parameters required (that can be retrieved or kept fixed) are the size distribution of the aerosol particles, the imaginary refractive index spectrum (assumed to be the same at all vertical levels), and the real part of the refractive index at a single wavelength; the real part of the refractive index at all other wavelengths is calculated using the Kramers-Kronig relation (e.g., Sheik-Bahae, 2005).

The bases of the three layers were fixed in the model, while the FSH was retrieved for the two haze layers but fixed for the tropospheric cloud to a value of 0.01 (Irwin et al., 2016), to make it vertically thin. For the radiative-transfer simulations, the atmosphere was split into 39 levels equally spaced in log pressure between 12 and 0.003 bar. The total opacity, particle effective radius (assuming a standard Gamma distribution), and the imaginary part of the refractive index spectrum of each of the three cloud layers were retrieved. The real refractive index spectrum was derived using the Kramers-Kronig analysis assuming a real refractive index of 1.4 at a wavelength of 1.6 μm, and the spectrum of the imaginary refractive index was retrieved at 6 wavelengths assuming a certain correlation in order to retrieve a smooth spectrum (and so to avoid unrealistic variations). We find that effective radii of ~1 μm for the tropospheric cloud, and of ~0.8 and 0.05 μm, respectively, for the tropospheric and stratospheric haze layers provide the best results in the limb-darkening analyses performed at different nonpolar latitudes. This model was able to achieve fits with reduced χ² (χ²_red) values smaller than 1. Figure 1b shows, as an example, a limb darkening analysis carried out at 32°N with the three-cloud model and whose retrieved refractive index is illustrated in Figure 2. Similar limb-darkening analyses performed at different latitudes showed that the imaginary refractive index spectrum (and derived real part) and size distribution of each cloud layer are very similar at all the locations. Therefore, for the analysis of the cloud and haze at polar latitudes, we use the refractive index and size distribution derived in this section as reference.

3.2. Analysis at Polar-Cap Latitudes

The same 3-cloud model was used at latitudes lying within the polar “cap” but assuming different values of the deep methane mixing ratio: 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, and 4%. This selection of values is based on previous analyses that found a depletion of methane at polar latitudes (de Kleer et al., 2015; Irwin et al., 2012; Karkoschka & Tomasko, 2009; Sromovsky et al., 2011, 2014; Tice et al., 2013). For different polar latitudes,
we fitted four spectra taken at different emission angles (whose range of values depends on the latitude) for these different methane concentrations and using the refractive index and size distribution derived in previous section (latitudes < 40°N). Although this 3-cloud model is able to achieve fits with $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$ values < 1 at polar latitudes, we found a strong correlation between the tropospheric cloud altitude and the methane concentration. This correlation results from the fact that the absorption of light by methane above the cloud deck is reduced as the cloud altitude increases. Therefore, an overestimation of the deep methane volume mixing ratio (CH$_4$ VMR) in the pole can occur if the retrieved tropospheric cloud altitude ($h_{tc}$) is higher at these latitudes. In order to study the possible combinations of solutions, we carried out a number of limb-darkening analyses for a set of cloud altitudes ($C_0$24, $C_0$22, $C_0$20, $C_0$18, $C_0$16, $C_0$14, and $C_0$12 km or in pressure units 2, 1.89, 1.78, 1.68, 1.58, 1.47, and 1.22 bars, respectively) and deep methane volume mixing ratios (0.015, 0.020, 0.025, 0.030, 0.035, and 0.040). For each $h_{tc}$-CH$_4$ VMR combination, we

Figure 2. Fitted refractive index (RI) spectra from the limb-darkening observations using NEMESIS for the three cloud layers. (left) Real RI and (right) imaginary RI. Data at 32°N (outside the polar region) were used to constrain RI, but results for other latitudes were highly consistent with these values.

Figure 3. Contours of $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$ (upper panels) in the $h_{tc}$-CH$_4$ volume mixing ratio space obtained from a number of limb-darkening analyses performed at 38°, 42°, 55°, and 70°N. The lower panels show contours of the tropospheric cloud opacity retrieved from these analyses. The altitudes −24, −22, −20, −18, −16, −14, and −12 km correspond to the pressure levels 2, 1.89, 1.78, 1.68, 1.58, 1.47, and 1.22 bars, respectively.
retrieved the opacity of the three cloud layers and the FSH of the two haze layers by fitting four spectra taken at different emission angles. These limb-darkening analyses were conducted at latitudes within and near the polar cap edge. The upper panels of Figure 3 show contour plots of $\chi_{\text{mean}}^2$ in the $h_2$-CH$_4$ VMR space for limb-darkening analyses performed at 38°, 42°, 55°, and 70°N, while the lower panels show the contour plots of the retrieved tropospheric cloud opacity ($\tau_{tc}$). Note that limb-darkening analyses at higher latitudes were not performed due to the limited range of emission angles at such latitudes. For example, the emission angles of the observations at 38°N were found to lie between 17° and 73° while at 70°N between 43° and 73°. We tested that this change in the emission-angle range between the different latitudes does not have a major impact on our retrievals (see Figure S2 for more details).

We observe that at 38° and 42°N (far-from and near-to the polar cap edge) the minimum values of $\chi_{\text{mean}}^2$ are found for CH$_4$ VMRs greater than 0.03, while at 55° and 70°N (within the polar cap) the best solutions are given for values between 0.02 and 0.04. Sromovsky et al. (2014) found CH$_4$ concentrations smaller than 0.03 at latitudes poleward of 40°N and of ~0.035–0.04 for the rest of nonpolar latitudes in 2012, using wavelength ranges with complementary hydrogen and methane absorption. Assuming a similar distribution of methane in 2014, the lower panels of Figure 3 show a retrieved opacity at 1.4 μm of the tropospheric cloud of 2.5 ± 0.4 at 38°N and 2.8 ± 0.4 at 70°N. In order to examine the main polar cap signatures in the VLT spectra and to study whether such an increase in the tropospheric cloud opacity can cause the change in brightness seen in both VLT and HST images (see Figure 1a), we took the difference between spectra at the 26° and 46°N locations (Figure 4a). These signatures can be compared with those observed when changing some of the parameters of the model, such as the tropospheric cloud opacity or the CH$_4$ VMR. We found that the polar cap signature illustrated in Figure 4a does not correlate well with the variation obtained in the simulated 46°N spectrum when the tropospheric cloud opacity is increased from 2.5 to 3 or from 2.5 to 3.5. Note also that results illustrated in Figure 3 show tropospheric cloud opacity differences between polar and nonpolar latitudes of only ~0.5 (close to our formal uncertainty $\Delta\tau_{tc} = 0.4$).

Therefore, these results indicate that the mean features of the polar cap are not the result of a significant variation of the tropospheric cloud opacity. Similar conclusions are derived for the tropospheric haze for which we found opacities of $0.049 \pm 0.001$ and $0.0395 \pm 0.0015$ at 38 and 70°N, respectively (i.e., a slight decrease in the polar latitudes). Regarding the stratospheric haze, we found opacities of $0.242 \pm 0.003$ and $0.263 \pm 0.004$ at 38 and 70°N, respectively. This 10% increase in stratospheric haze opacity near the pole is a statistically significant difference, but it cannot be the cause of the prominent polar cap because the polar brightening is seen only at wavelengths probing deeper than the tropopause (section 1).

These results show that the polar cap observed in VLT images cannot be explained by an accumulation of aerosol; neither by variations in the cloud/haze scattering properties since the same refractive indices and size distributions derived in section 3.1 at 32°N were used and found to provide a good fit. The observed time scales for the formation of the polar cap discard a possible haze accumulation due to changes in haze mass production rate over the solar cycle after 2007 equinox. Indeed, the response of the haze to changes in its production rate is much too sluggish (Pollack et al., 1987) to explain the polar cap formation. Thus, this indicates that dynamics may be important in the formation of the polar cap through variations in the atmospheric circulation before and after 2007 equinox. These variations in the atmospheric circulation would lead to a redistribution of the haze (particle size and column mass) by transporting small particles from upwelling regions to the North pole. Although this transport of small haze particles to the polar region could play a role in the polar cap formation, their small cross section at near-IR wavelengths (small optical depth) may explain why our limb-darkening analyses do not show a noticeable latitudinal variation in the aerosol properties.
Results illustrated in the upper panels of Figure 3 show that the observations in the polar cap and near the polar cap edge (determined by the change in brightness in VLT and HST images) can be fitted using a lower CH₄ concentration and a similar tropospheric cloud altitude to that found at nonpolar latitudes. We found also that the polar cap signature illustrated in Figure 4a correlates well with the variation obtained in the simulated 46°N spectrum when the methane mixing ratio is modified from 4 to 2% or from 4 to 3% (Figure 4b). Since these mixing ratios correspond to the values found at latitudes outside and within the polar “cap,” the results shown in Figure 4b suggest that the strong contrast observed between the polar “cap” and lower latitudes is mainly the result of the depletion of methane. Note that at polar latitudes methane mixing ratios between 2 and 4% (Figure 3) can provide good fits because the altitude of the tropospheric cloud is a free parameter as well. Since the absorption of light by methane increases as the cloud altitude decreases, similar signatures as illustrated in Figure 4b can be produced by increasing the cloud altitude in the model. However, the possibility of an increase of the brightness as a result of an increase in the cloud altitude (instead of from a decrease in the methane mixing ratio) is not consistent with the observed tropospheric methane depletion at pressure levels not greater than ~3 bar at polar latitudes (Sromovsky et al., 2014).

4. Conclusions

The bright polar cap observed by VLT/SINFONI and HST/Wide Field Camera 3 in 2014 is mainly due to the decrease in the methane concentration at polar latitudes. By observing the brightness spatial variations, the VLT observations show the presence of the polar cap at latitudes 40° to 90°N, indicating a hole-like depletion of methane. This methane latitudinal distribution supports scenarios consisting of an upwelling of methane gas at low latitudes, a condensation of methane in the cooler troposphere, and a descent of the now dried-out gas back to the deep atmosphere at high latitudes (Karkoschka & Tomasko, 2009; Sromovsky et al., 2011). The temporal variation of the latitudinal distribution of methane depletion can result from latitudinal variations in the gas upwelling rates, which in turn may be due to a Hadley overturning circulation or to a latitudinal variation in the rate of vertical eddy mixing. However, for clarifying which processes are involved in the formation and stability of the northern polar cap, we require coupled dynamics-microphysics simulations of Uranus’ atmosphere. These coupled simulations will clarify the role played by methane clouds in the polar methane depletion and therefore in the polar cap formation.
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