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Using $\delta^{13}$C to reveal the importance of different water transport pathways in two nested karst basins, Southwest China
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Abstract: This study used carbon (C) isotope sourcing to determine transport processes of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) from the land surface to river catchments in Southwest China. Both nested karst watersheds investigated (Chenqi and Houzhai) are representative of typical karst landform environments (e.g., primary...
forest, secondary forest, and farmland). We measured DIC concentrations and the \( \delta^{13}C \) values of rainfall, river water, groundwater, soil, and plants. To do so, we used IsoSource (a Visual Basic program) to determine source partitioning over time (seasonal) and across the two nested watersheds. In 2017, the mean DIC concentration was \( 0.06 \pm 0.03 \text{ mmol L}^{-1} \) and the rainfall \( \delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}} \) value was \(-14.4\%\pm 1.9\%\). We found similar DIC concentrations in the surface and groundwater of both watersheds, ranging from \( 0.20 \) to \( 0.71 \text{ mmol L}^{-1} \) (seasonal) and from \(-3.7\%\) to \(-9.4\% \) (\( \delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}} \)) in the Chenqi catchment and from \( 0.33 \) to \( 0.60 \text{ mmol L}^{-1} \) (seasonal) and from \(-10.3\%\) to \(-6\% \) (\( \delta^{13}C_{\text{DIC}} \)) in the Houzhai watershed. The average \( \delta^{13}C \) values of soil and local plants were \(-24.6 \pm 1.4\%\) and \(-28.9 \pm 1.2\%\) in the Chenqi catchment and \(-25.8 \pm 0.9\%\) and \(-27.2 \pm 1.8\%\) in Houzhai watershed, respectively. In addition, carbonate bedrock and groundwater were the main sources of surface water in the Chenqi and Houzhai nested watersheds, both being greater than 30\%. Source percentages were \(~20\%\) from atmospheric deposition and \(~10\%\) from soil. Furthermore, \( \text{HCO}_3^- \) was the predominant form of DIC (pH values > 8), and the contribution rates of dissolved carbonate minerals (\( \text{HCO}_3^- \)) were approximately 10.4\% and 19.6\% in the Chenqi catchment and the Houzhai watershed, respectively.
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1. **Introduction**

Continual increases in atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO\(_2\)) that result in global warming and associated climate changes necessitate a deeper understanding of global
carbon (C) cycles (Huang et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2015; Shin et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2010). Rivers play the leading role in transporting C from terrestrial to marine ecosystems in the global carbon cycle, being the main conduit of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) from the land surface to the oceans (McClanahan et al., 2016). Compared to organic and particulate fractions, DIC concentrations can provide more information on C sources and processes involved in riverine C cycles (Li et al., 2010; Cao et al., 2016; Brunet et al., 2009; Wachniew, 2006). The approximate global C flux from DIC through river transport is \(0.4 \times 10^{15} \text{gC yr}^{-1}\), representing ~50% of the total C flux (Cole et al., 2007).

DIC concentrations are influenced by water-air CO\(_2\) exchange processes, hydrologic inputs, vegetation, and carbonate weathering (McClanahan et al., 2016; Shin et al., 2011; Tobias and Böhlke, 2011; Brunet et al., 2009). Sources of DIC in river water include inputs from soil CO\(_2\) (through groundwater), atmospheric CO\(_2\) exchange, planktonic respiration, chemical weathering, and the dissolution of carbonate rocks. Recent studies have used stable isotopes to trace DIC sources which are usually characterized by \(\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}\) values. Each DIC source has a different \(\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}\) isotopic signature (from -26‰ to -9‰ for soil organic matter (SOM) (Wang et al., 2017a), -8‰ to -6‰ for atmospheric CO\(_2\) and approximately 0‰ for carbonate rocks (Brunet et al., 2005). Moreover, C isotopes are used to assess riverine C transformation as well as follow the riverine DIC transport into oceans (Zeng et al., 2015 Brunet et al., 2005; Deirmendjian and Abril, 2018; Hu et al., 2017; Ye et al., 2017). Monitoring changes in spatial and temporal DIC concentrations and \(\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}\)
values from inland water systems can provide a better understanding of C sources. At the same time, this approach is useful in revealing reaction pathways and transportation processes which would be difficult to discern with the normative carbonate systems involved in C cycling in freshwater systems (Cao et al., 2016; Tallini et al., 2014).

Approximately 67% of the global DIC in rivers is known to originate from soil CO$_2$ through C$_3$ plants, the typical photosynthetic pathway (van Geldern et al., 2015). C$_3$ plants have $\delta^{13}$C values that range from -22‰ to -30‰, with an average approximate value of -27‰ (Marx et al., 2018; van Geldern et al., 2015). Along with photosynthesis, the main soil CO$_2$ transport pathways include rivers and streams. In stream zones, the contribution of groundwater to water flow is significant and the input of DIC-containing groundwater is derived from soil CO$_2$. Soil CO$_2$ tends to contain lower $\delta^{13}$C$_{DIC}$ values in river water because soil CO$_2$ mainly derives from the microbial degradation of SOM (Shin et al., 2011).

Karst zones are dynamic (McGee et al., 2010), being characterized by surface erosion and extensive subsurface drainage (Song et al., 2017; Chang et al., 2015). Moreover, karst aquifers are apt to form in areas of limestone and dolostone (Williams and Fong, 2010), wherein water is transported under conditions of dissolution. Water transport that takes place in bedrock, sinkholes, sinking streams, and runoff then percolates into groundwater and surface water (Lawhon, 2014). Such areas are environmentally sensitive and are known for their rapid hydrologic and chemical response to changing surface conditions (Yan et al., 2014; Li et al., 2012; Hartmann et
Karst landscapes comprise approximately 11.2% of the surface area of the planet, namely, approximately 15 million km$^2$ (Song et al., 2017; Dürr et al., 2005). Additionally, karst landscapes cover approximately 0.45 million km$^2$ in Southwest China (Yan et al., 2012). However, karst desertification (i.e., total soil loss) has increasingly become a serious problem in this region and could potentially become a global problem (e.g., changing climatic patterns) (Song et al., 2017).

Guizhou Province is suitable to study karst environments being located in the center of the South China Karst, the largest karst area in the world (Liao et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017b; Zeng et al., 2015). Moreover, karst water resources account for 80% of the total water resources in this province (Li et al., 2018; Lu, 2007). This subtropical region has abundant rainfall (with an average of 1340 mm) as well as distinct rainy (May to August) and dry seasons. In addition, the surface-groundwater hydraulic system is a typical karst system, which facilitates the study of rainfall-driven hydrological C processes and will help in our understanding of C transport pathways and their implications. Accordingly, the objective of this study was to determine the importance of different transport pathways in surface and groundwater in a karst river basin (comprised of nested karst watersheds) using $\delta^{13}$C$_{DIC}$ to trace C sources. However, the present researches generally focused on relationship between surface water and groundwater or C sources of groundwater in karst watersheds (Zeng et al., 2015; Deirmendjian and Abril 2018; Marx et al. 2018). Therefore, the novelty of our research lies in using $\delta^{13}$C$_{DIC}$ from precipitation, plant,
soil to water throughout the whole watershed to trace the C transport and analyzing seasonal differences in C sources, thereby contributing to the further understanding of C transport and storage in large karst basins.

2. Materials and Methods

Study site

This study was conducted in the Houzhai watershed (80.65 km²) (26°15′ N; 105°41′ E) located in Guizhou Province, Southwest China (Figure 1a). The bedrock type in the drainage area is predominantly limestone and dolomite of the Middle Triassic Guanling Formation (Liu et al., 2010a, 2010b; Zhao et al., 2010). The drainage basin ranges in altitude between 1218 and 1585 m above mean sea level (AMSL). This region is under the influence of a subtropical monsoon climate with an annual mean temperature of 15.2°C (Yan et al., 2012). Annual average precipitation is 1314.6 mm, and 85% of rainfall occurs during the rainy season (from May to October) (Yan et al., 2012). Tianlong Mountain (26°14′48″ N; 105°45′51″ E) is located within the Houzhai watershed. The area of this mountain is 0.5 km² and its altitude is 1460 m AMSL (Figure 1a). The mountain is covered by nearly 100% natural forests where the average tree height is 6.26 m, the average shrub layer is 1.3 m, and the average herbaceous layer is 0.4 m. In the study area, the black “residual” soil from 50 to 80 cm deep follows the Chinese soil taxonomic system. The Chenqi catchment (105°42′ E; 26°14′ N), which has an area of 1.31 km², is nested within the Houzhai watershed (see Figure 1b). Annual mean temperature is 14.2°C, mean precipitation is 1336 mm, and the altitude ranges from 1338 to 1491 m AMSL. The
land-use type of the Chenqi catchment is predominantly agricultural, including dry
crops (i.e., maize; 56%), rice paddies (14%), abandoned areas dominated by shrubs
(23%), and fruit trees (7%).

**Water sampling**

Surface and groundwater samples were collected from the Houzhai watershed and
the Chenqi catchment twice a month from June 28th, 2016, to May 23rd, 2017. **Figure 1b** shows the 10 sampling locations in the Houzhai watershed: No. 1 is comprised of
three sampling point (No. 1 surface water, No. 1G groundwater, and No. 1M the
surface–groundwater mixed sampling points) and No. 2 to No. 10 are all comprised of
surface water sampling points. **Figure 1c** shows that the Chenqi catchment is
comprised of four groundwater sampling points (No. 1G, No. 3G, No. 6G, and No.
8G), six surface water sampling points (No. 1 through No. 6), and one
surface-groundwater mixed sampling point (No. 7).

Samples were collected in 100 mL polyethylene plastic bottles and stored prior to
analysis (4°C). Samples were filtered through a 0.45 μm membrane filter (Millipore)
(Jiangsu Jiuding Group Co., Ltd., China). Water samples used to determine DIC,
taken from the Millipore membranes, were thereafter heated to 80°C and maintained
at this temperature for 8 h to remove impurities. We determined DIC using a Vario
TOC Analyzer (Elementar, Langenselbold, Hesse, Germany) and δ^{13}C_{DIC} using a
Finnigan MAT-252 mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Darmstadt, Hesse,
Germany) We measured K^+, Ca^{2+}, SiO_2, Na^+, and Mg^{2+} using an inductively coupled
plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES) (Thermo Fisher Scientific,
Darmstadt, Hesse, Germany) and Cl− and SO₄²⁻ using an ion chromatograph (Thermo Scientific Aquion IC, USA). We measured electrical conductivity (COND), redox potential (ORP), total dissolved solids (TDS), and water acidity (pH) using Ultrameter pH meters (Myron L company, Carlsbad, CA, USA). To collect rainfall samples, we installed a rain gauge on the roof of the station near No.1 sampling point in the test area of Houzhai watershed.

Plant and soil sampling

We collected plant and soil samples from the Chenqi catchment and the Tianlong Mountain in July 2016. For the Tianlong Mountain, we chose four height gradients (A, B, C, and D), and each height gradient was divided into four separate soil layers (0–10, 10–30, 30–50, and 50–100 cm) for soil sampling. Plant samples were collected from leaves, roots and twigs of different tree species. In the Chenqi catchment, two transects were established (Figure 1d) downslope on the hillside. Four quadrats were established on each transect from which plant and soil samples were collected. A total of 18 soil samples were collected from farmland, orchard, and forest land use types. Continuous soil cores were taken and subsampled at depth intervals of S1 (0–10 cm), S2 (10–30 cm), S3 (30–50 cm), and S4 (50–100 cm). Plant samples were collected as mixed samples according to type (i.e., tree, shrub, or herb). These plant samples were oven-dried at 60°C and then ground to <150 µm. Two milligrams of the subsamples were prepared for stable isotope analysis, and soil samples were then air-dried and ground to 150 µm. Finally, subsamples (3 g) were acidified (5% HCl) and then analyzed for δ¹³C using the Finnigan MAT-252 mass spectrometer.
Statistical analysis

Isotopic mixing model

Utilizing one isotope system and three sources, we used the following mass balance equations to determine the proportions ($f_A$, $f_B$, and $f_C$) of isotopic signature sources ($\delta_A$, $\delta_B$, and $\delta_C$), which coincide with the observed signatures of the mixture ($\delta_M$):

$$\delta_M = f_A \delta_A + f_B \delta_B + f_C \delta_C$$
$$1 = f_A + f_B + f_C$$

However, with $n$ (A, B, C, …) isotope systems and $>n+1$ sources, we were still able to apply the requirement for mass balance conservation to determine multiple combinations of source proportions that offer feasible solutions (Phillips and Gregg, 2003; Hao et al., 2018).

Stable isotope compositions of DIC in water, soil, and plant samples were expressed as conventional delta notations, the difference between measured ratios of the samples and references over the measured ratios of the references:

$$\delta^{13}C_{DIC}\%o = \left(\frac{R_{sample} - R_{standard}}{R_{standard}}\right) \times 1000$$

where $R_{sample}$ and $R_{standard}$ represent $^{13}C/^{12}C$ in the samples and the standard references. Carbon isotope data were reported on the Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (VPDB) (%o) scale, with a standard deviation (1σ) of 0.15‰ (Zhao et al., 2015).

Principal component analysis (PCA) and factor analysis were used with SPSS 17.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, the USA). The model outputs presented feasible ranges of isotope contributions given that the mass balance with qualitative statistics were calculated by IsoSource.
3 Results

3.1 Seasonal hydrochemical variations

Figure 2a shows the seasonal variation of pH ranges in the Houzhai watershed. The pH ranges were highest in autumn, namely, from 8.6 to 9.1 (except for the outliers) and lowest in summer, namely, from 8.3 to 8.5, and from 8.2 to 8.7 and from 8.2 to 8.5 in winter and spring, respectively. Figure 2b shows the seasonal variation of pH in the Chenqi catchment. Compared to the Houzhai watershed, pH ranges in the Chenqi catchment were narrow with the exception of spring. The highest values occurred in summer, namely, from 8.4 to 9.1 (except for the outliers) and the lowest in spring (from 7.8 to 8.2). Autumn and winter pH values were similar.

Figure 2c shows linear relationships between pH, COND, TDS, and ORP of 21 rainfall events, respectively. We found that COND and TDS values were positively correlated to pH values, while ORP values were negatively correlated to pH values. Table 1 shows the seasonal variation in water quality parameters of surface water, groundwater, and mixed sampling points in the Houzhai and Chenqi nested watersheds. As Table 1 shows, seasonal variation of ORP and pH in surface water, groundwater and mixed water were only slightly different in Houzhai watershed, while ORP values were much higher in summer and spring in Chenqi catchment. Furthermore, pH values in the Houzhai watershed were lower than Chenqi catchment with the exception of spring. Compared to other seasons, only COND and TDS values were higher in surface water than groundwater in the Houzhai watershed. Additionally, COND and TDS values in Chenqi were obviously
higher than the Houzhai watershed all year round. However, ORP values were particularly lower in the Chenqi catchment compared to the Houzhai watershed with the exception of spring in surface water.

### 3.2 Variations in dissolved inorganic carbon and $\delta^{13}$C

We collected data on 21 rainfall events from June 28th, 2016, to May 23rd, 2017, and found that DIC values for eight rain events were nearly 0 mmol L$^{-1}$. As Figure 3a shows, seasonal changes were significant, where the maximum concentration was 0.09 mmol L$^{-1}$ in the spring of 2017 and the minimum concentration was nearly 0 mmol L$^{-1}$ in the autumn of the same year. The average DIC concentration for all rain events was 0.02 ± 0.03 mmol L$^{-1}$. Additionally, DIC concentrations for rainfall events in 2017 were significantly higher compared to 2016, where the average DIC concentration for rain events from January to May 2017 was 0.06 ± 0.03 mmol L$^{-1}$. Figure 3b shows variation in $\delta^{13}$C values for 11 rain events throughout 2016 to 2017. Seasonal variation was not obvious, wherein the maximum was -12.3‰ in the spring of 2017 and the minimum was -18.3‰ in the autumn of 2016. The average for all 11 rain events was -14.4‰ ± 1.9‰.

As Figure 4a shows, DIC concentrations in the Houzhai watershed ranged from 0.33 to 0.48 mmol L$^{-1}$ during the summer, from 0.33 to 0.49 mmol L$^{-1}$ during the autumn, from 0.39 to 0.58 mmol L$^{-1}$ during the winter, and from 0.37 to 0.57 mmol L$^{-1}$ during the spring. We found greater variation in DIC during the winter and spring compared to the summer and autumn. In sampling point No.1, surface water and groundwater exhibited similar variation, and DIC concentrations in groundwater were
higher than surface water.

**Figure 4b** shows DIC variation in the Chenqi catchment. We were able to obtain a complete dataset of groundwater sampling points for all four seasons (winter, spring, summer, and autumn). With the exception of No. 8G, namely, the groundwater sampling points from the hilly area, all groundwater sampling points exhibited similar variation, wherein the highest DIC concentration was measured in spring and lowest in autumn. The No. 7 sampling point was also a surface and groundwater mixed sampling point (where No. 6 and No. 6G were combined), and we found little difference in DIC concentrations between surface and groundwater for all four seasons. The maximum DIC concentration in the Chenqi catchment was 0.71 mmol L⁻¹ in spring and the minimum was 0.20 mmol L⁻¹ in summer.

In both watersheds, DIC concentrations between surface water and groundwater were only slightly different. Moreover, we found higher concentrations for both during spring, when the averages were 0.50 and 0.56 mmol L⁻¹, and lower concentrations were measured during autumn, when the averages were 0.39 and 0.41 mmol L⁻¹ in the Houzhai and Chenqi nested catchments, respectively. Surface water was abundant in summer due to high rainfall frequency and abated in the autumn and winter, while abundant groundwater was available all year around.

**Figure 4c** shows the 2016–2017 δ¹³C values in the Houzhai watershed during the autumn, winter, and spring. The range in variation of δ¹³C values was from -10.3‰ to -6‰, and the average δ¹³C values in the autumn, winter, and spring were -9.0‰ ± 0.9‰, -8.1‰ ± 1.0‰, and -7.4‰ ± 0.9‰, respectively. δ¹³C values were more depleted in
autumn and were more enriched in spring, Figure 4d shows that δ\(^{13}\)C values in surface water and groundwater of the Chenqi catchment exhibited little difference, wherein the average δ\(^{13}\)C values were -7.4‰ ± 2.0‰ in surface water and -7.2‰ ± 2.2‰ in groundwater. Furthermore, different months yielded obviously different values in sampling point No. 6, where the maximum value was -3.7‰ in surface water in August and the minimum value was -9.4‰ in groundwater in August due to frequent rainfall.

3.3 Variation in δ\(^{13}\)C values for soil and vegetation

Figure 5a shows the δ\(^{13}\)C soil values of the four sampling depths of the different height gradients (from A to D) in the Tianlong Mountain, wherein the lowest values occurred in topsoil at all sampling points. The δ\(^{13}\)C values in sampling points B and C exhibited the same trend, namely, the deeper the soil layers were, the more depleted the δ\(^{13}\)C values were. The minimum value was -27.0‰ for the 0 to 10 cm soil layer in sampling point A, and the maximum value was -24.0‰ for the 50 to 100 cm soil layer in sampling point B. Figure 5b and 5c show the differences in two transects of a ditch between two hills in the Chenqi catchment. For example, the δ\(^{13}\)C value range in CQ-1 was from -28.3‰ to -22.6‰ and the δ\(^{13}\)C value range in CQ-2 was from -27.6 ‰ to -22.0‰. The averages of CQ-1 in S1 (0–10 cm), S2 (10–30 cm), S3 (30–50 cm), and S4 (50–100 cm) were -24.9‰, -24.8‰, -24.2‰, and -24.5‰, respectively, and the averages of CQ-2 were -25.5‰, -24.3‰, -24.7‰, and -24.6‰, respectively. We found that \(^{13}\)C values were more enriched in the deeper soil layers (10–50 cm).

Figure 6a shows the δ\(^{13}\)C values of the four plant types in the Tianlong Mountain, which is near sampling point No. 8 in the Houzhai watershed. The highest δ\(^{13}\)C value was
-24.6‰ (cedar wood) and the lowest δ¹³C value was -27.6‰ (*Broussonetia papyrifera*) among the four plant types. Figure 6b shows the mixed samples of trees divided into leaves, twigs, and roots, wherein the average δ¹³C values were -30.2‰ ± 0.3‰, -28.7‰ ± 0.6‰, and -27.7‰ ± 0.5‰, respectively. Therefore, ¹³C concentrations increased in the roots of plants.

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1 Inorganic river chemistry

Dissolved and particulate C is likely associated with the chemical weathering of basin soil and bedrock (*McClanahan et al.*, 2016). COND is an indicator that reflects ion changes under some conditions. Higher COND values indicate higher ionic concentrations in water bodies, which may stem from the dissolution of carbonate minerals. Both surface water and groundwater in the Chenqi catchment (TDS values: 510~723 mg·L⁻¹) were much more carbonate-rich compared to the Houzhai watershed (TDS values: 262~432 mg·L⁻¹) all year around (*Table 1*). This indicated that there was not only an increase in karst dissolution processes adding to the dissolved constituents in the water, but other potential sources along the surface water path were contributing COND and TDS values in karst water. These potential sources included overland flow and anthropogenic impacts which also have influences on ionic concentrations (COND) especially agricultural activities including potassium, nitrates, and phosphates fertilizers (*Lawhon, 2014; Moore et al., 2009*).

The nature of redox reactions and redox-sensitive aqueous species is quite
different from most other reactions in aquifer systems (Liu et al., 2017). Therefore, it is necessary to set a unique redox status indicator in aquifer systems (Kumar and Riyazuddin, 2012). In water, ORP is strongly associated with temperature, pH, salinity, and dissolved oxygen concentrations (Liu et al., 2009; Li et al., 2014). The greater the dissolved oxygen (DO) is, the higher the ORP will be. As Table 1 shows, the Houzhai watershed yielded higher ORP compared to the Chenqi catchment; thus, its living conditions were better suited for aquatic organisms, when considering variations in pH and DO simultaneously.

In karst areas, such as the Houzhai and Chenqi nested watersheds, given the abundant carbonate bedrock, carbonates will help remove CO₂ from the system. Under most conditions, CO₂ is consumed because carbonate minerals dissolve in H₂O–CO₂ solutions, and pH will buffer to higher values due to adjustments in relevant reactions (chemical equilibrium).

As Figure 2c and Table 1 show, pH values were generally high in the sampling areas, and pH values in the Chenqi catchment were higher than in the Houzhai watershed. The CO₂ that escaped from streams in the Chenqi catchment reacted much more significantly than river water in the Houzhai watershed. Guizhou Province has a rich karst landscape, including carbonates and other minerals; therefore, water quality parameters, such as COND and TDS, are much higher in water than other areas. Moreover, these parameters have a direct effect on pH values in that they are higher than other areas (McClanahan et al., 2016; Lawhon, 2014; Mondal et al., 2010).

However, previous literature reported that karst water bodies had been found to have
Using $\delta^{13}C$ values to distinguish between sources of dissolved inorganic carbon

Many internal and external factors, such as soil respiration, carbonate mineral dissolution, atmospheric precipitation, and the pH value of water bodies, etc., have an effect on $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$ in water catchments (Shin et al., 2011; Doctor et al., 2008). In this study, the average $\delta^{13}C$ values of surface water were lower than groundwater in the Houzhai and Chenqi nested watersheds. This could be explained in that groundwater may be derived more from “old” water in matrix pores that is more enriched in $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$ due to the longer time required for CaCO$_3$ dissolution to take place, while river water has many sources, including groundwater inputs, atmospheric deposition, soil water, and carbonate reactions. In order to determine $\delta^{13}C$ proportions from various sources, the isotope model that we used to calculate results from IsoSource is provided for the Houzhai and Chenqi nested watersheds (see Figure 7). As it pertains to river water (surface water) and groundwater time spans, atmospheric deposition results are typically taken from long-term monitoring, where, for example, average soil $\delta^{13}C$ values are from -21‰ to -22‰ in karst areas of USA (McClanahan et al., 2016). In China, the average soil $\delta^{13}C$ value is -24‰ (Zhao et al., 2015).

In this study, soil water was assumed to be -24‰ and carbonate mineral sources were hypothesized to be 0‰ (Das et al., 2005; Hu et al., 2017; Li et al., 2010). The main sources in the Chenqi catchment were groundwater and carbonate reactions, wherein the mean percentages were 31.7% and 38.1%, respectively; the minimum
source was soil water, with a mean percentage of only 10.1% during the summer (Figure 7). In autumn, when stream flows were lower, percentages of groundwater were higher compared to carbonate reactions (Yan et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2010). The proportion in the Houzhai watershed was similar to that of the Chenqi catchment, wherein the main sources were groundwater and carbonate reactions, which showed little differences between seasons. The mean proportions of the four sources were 32.4% in the autumn and 35.5% in the spring from groundwater, 20.5% in the autumn and 18.8% in the spring from atmospheric deposition, 11.4% in the autumn and 10.5% in the winter from soil water, and 35.7% in the autumn and 34.6% in the spring from carbonate reactions. In autumn, rainfall contributed more, which reduced the overall groundwater impact. Furthermore, winter and spring showed little seasonal differences in the difference in Houzhai watershed.

Figure 7 shows the mean percentage of each source. All values are provided in Table 2, which also summarizes seasonal trends. To present model results more accurately, median values of model averages are provided along with the standard. The median value of carbonate reactions was highest (38% ± 13%) in summer and groundwater was highest (32% ± 24%) in autumn. The lowest DIC source was found in soil water, which likely derived from the interflow of water being infiltrated along slopes within the basin (9% ± 8%) in summer and (11% ± 9%) in the Chenqi catchment in autumn. In summer, rainfall was more frequent and subsequently surface water was more abundant; thus groundwater and soil water were lower than in the autumn. We found little differences between seasons in the Houzhai watershed. The
highest mean for all \textit{in situ} carbonate reactions was 36%, and the lowest mean for all soil was 8% as shown in Table 2.

**4.3 Influence and contribution rates of carbonate dissolution on dissolved inorganic carbon concentrations**

River chemistry is strongly influenced by carbonate dissolution, which has an obvious effect on pH value and supplies a large quantity of DIC in the form of bicarbonates (Schulte et al., 2011). The study area lies within the carbonate area of the region, and the distribution of pH in groundwater ranged from 7.9 to 9.0. Therefore, the type of inorganic C in water is predominantly HCO$_3^-$ (Doctor et al., 2007). COND and pH values are shown in Table 1, which were completely consistent for both sampling points. They showed that carbonate minerals control dissolution, including the main DIC components in both the Chenqi catchment and the Houzhai watershed.

Cations (Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, K$^+$, and Na$^+$) and anions (HCO$_3^-$, Cl$^-$, and SO$_4^{2-}$) were the main ions found in the Chenqi catchment and the Houzhai watershed (Table 3). The Piper diagram reflects not only the chemical composition of river water but also distinguishes between different weathered sources of species composition (Stallard et al., 1983). In the cationic ternary diagram (Figure 8), evaporite mineral weathering products fall on the high Na$^+$+K$^+$ line, while limestone weathering products fall on Mg$^{2+}$-Ca$^{2+}$ line. Due to dolomite characteristics, weathering products fall in the middle of the Mg$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ (Ca: Mg = 1:1) lines (Li et al., 2010).

Furthermore, the weathering products of silicate minerals fall on the Mg$^{2+}$-Ca$^{2+}$ line, which is relatively biased towards one side of Na$^+$+K$^+$. In the anion ternary graph,
the weathering material of pure carbonates is primarily \( \text{HCO}_3^- \); thus, the data points fall at the relatively high end of \( \text{HCO}_3^- \). The weathering products of evaporated salt minerals fall on the \( \text{Cl}^- + \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) line, and the proportion is relatively high, while the weathering of silicate minerals causes both \( \text{HCO}_3^- \) and \( \text{SiO}_2 \) to be present in river systems. In this study, the proportion of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) and \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \) were higher than \( \text{Na}^+ + \text{K}^+ \). In particular, \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \), \( \text{HCO}_3^- \), and \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \) were obviously higher than \( \text{Cl}^- \) and \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \) in the Chenqi catchment and the Houzhai watershed, which suggested that river water in these two nested watersheds are controlled by carbonates, silicate minerals, and small amounts of evaporites.

In order to further quantify the influence of different rock types on the main ions found in rivers, we used the main ion concentrations (\( \text{Ca}^{2+} \), \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \), \( \text{K}^+ \), \( \text{Na}^+ \), \( \text{Cl}^- \), \( \text{SiO}_2 \), and \( \text{HCO}_3^- \)) of surface water in the Chenqi catchment and the Houzhai watershed for PCA and factor analysis of river water chemistry as shows in Table 3 and Figure 9. In the Chenqi catchment, as Figure 9 shows, the cumulative contribution rate of the first three variables reached 79.6% (<80%), but eigenvalues from the extraction factor were less than 1 of the fourth variable, and therefore deleted. Factor 1 was highly correlated to \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \), \( \text{Mg}^{2+} \), \( \text{Na}^{2+} \), and \( \text{SiO}_2 \), indicating that the dissolution of silicate minerals contributes to chemical weathering in the sampling areas. Factor 2 was more significantly correlated to \( \text{K}^+ \) and \( \text{HCO}_3^- \), and Factor 3 was more significantly correlated to \( \text{Cl}^- \) and \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \), indicating that the contribution of dissolved carbonate minerals was relatively high compared to silicate minerals (Figure 9). Bare carbonate rocks accounted for almost 75% of the study area, which suggested that carbonate
dissolution should play a key role in rock weathering (Cao et al., 2005; Li et al., 2010).

In the Houzhai watershed, as Figure 9 shows, the cumulative contribution rate of the first three variables reached 81.2% (>80%), and the relationship between each factor was similar to the Chenqi catchment. The squares of each variable factor load divided by the common variance is the relative variance contribution of dissolution of each type of rock to each variable. After calculations, the contribution rates of dissolved carbonate minerals to HCO$_3^-$ were approximately 10.4% and 19.6% in the Chenqi catchment and the Houzhai watershed, respectively.

4.4 δ$^{13}$C characteristics of vegetation and soil

The δ$^{13}$C values of the C$_3$ and C$_4$ photosynthetic pathway plants were distinctly different, namely, the average value of C$_3$ plants is -27.1‰ (from -21‰ to -35‰) and the average value of C$_4$ plants is -13.1‰ (from -10‰ to -14‰) (Rouw et al., 2015). In our study, all plant δ$^{13}$C values in the Houzhai watershed were from -24.6‰ to -27.6‰ and all plant δ$^{13}$C values in the Chenqi catchment were from -27.7‰ to -30.2‰. For CQ-1, soil δ$^{13}$C values were more enriched in the deeper soil layers, with an order rank of S1<S2<S4<S3, and the order rank of soil δ$^{13}$C values in CQ-2 was S1<S3<S4<S2. The reason for this could potentially be that surface soil is easily transported by rain, promoting nutrient accumulation in deeper soil layers (10–50 cm) in karst areas. The 0–10 cm soil layer in these soil profiles had the lowest δ$^{13}$C values of the corresponding profile. This is due to the fact that the top of the soil profile had a relatively large amount of undecomposed plant residue and better preserved plant δ$^{13}$C signatures, while underneath the surface soil, due to the uneven distribution of
plant roots, different degrees of plant residue decomposition and differences in organic or acid species in the soil itself, etc., could lead to differences in $\delta^{13}C$ soil sample values (Wynn et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2008).

The average $\delta^{13}C$ value of SOC for the four soil profiles was -24.6‰±1.4‰ in the Chenqi catchment, with an $\delta^{13}C$ enrichment value of 4‰ compared to the weighted average $\delta^{13}C$ value of local plants (-28.9‰±1.2‰). This trend was also seen in the Houzhai watershed, with an average value of -25.8‰±0.9‰, an increase of nearly 2‰ compared to local plants. During isotope fractionation, which occurs through microbial decomposition of organic matter and leads to the uneven distribution of $^{13}C$ and $^{12}C$ during the different C phases between reactants and products, there is relatively more $^{12}C$ in released CO$_2$, whereas $^{13}C$ is more prevalent in C derived from microbial biomass, eventually being returned as SOM (Luo and Wang, 2009; Li et al., 2012). Furthermore, even for individual plants, $^{13}C$ tends to concentrate in root systems followed by twigs and leaves, and this is because the lighter $^{12}C$ isotope exits plants through transpiration. In order to fully understand C cycling, more research is required on interannual changes, photosynthesis and transpiration of C$_3$ and C$_4$ plants, and soil microbial respiration to better understand internal C cycling dynamics that could influence DIC sources, transportation, and storage, which could even lead to an improvement in global C sink estimations (Figure 10).

5. Conclusions

Carbonate karst environments may play an indispensable role in the global C
budget. Investigations into the impacts of karst watersheds such as C transportation and storage within this system on a local level are vital to understanding the global C cycle. International and domestic academics have provided credible scientific data regarding many aspects of karst watersheds in China. However, additional research is needed for a better comprehensive understanding of DIC and its sources in this type of system. In this study, carbonate reactions and groundwater were the main sources of river water in Houzhai and Chenqi watersheds, whose proportions were both greater than 30% in river water. Sources proportions were influenced by seasonality which related to the frequency of rainfall, especially regarding groundwater and soil water. Furthermore, DIC mainly exists in the form of HCO$_3^-$ in the sampling areas of this study, of which the contribution rate of dissolved carbonate minerals (HCO$_3^-$) was approximately 10.4%-19.6%. Silicate minerals and evaporites had a negligible effect on DIC in the nested watersheds investigated in this study. The amount of carbonate mineral dissolution had a significant influence on surface water and groundwater (in the form of a C sink) under karst hydrological conditions. Additionally, water-soil and soil-plant processes together comprised the complete karst eco-hydrological C cycle in this study which is not mentioned in other studies at present. More relevant studies, however, are required in order to gain a more comprehensive understanding of biogeochemical cycles in large karst-influenced watersheds.
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Table 1 Water quality parameters of surface water, groundwater and mixed water in Houzhai and Chenqi.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Autumn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COND (uS cm(^{-1}))</td>
<td>TDS (mg.L(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HZ-S</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HZ-G</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HZ-M</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ-S</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ-G</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ-M</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>514</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Winter</th>
<th>Spring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COND (uS cm(^{-1}))</td>
<td>TDS (mg.L(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HZ-S</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HZ-G</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HZ-M</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ-S</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ-G</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CQ-M</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>557</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note: pH, COND, TDS and ORP were measured by Ultrameter II (Myron L company USA. HZ-S values represent the average for all the surface water points (No. 1 through No. 10) in the Houzhai watershed; HZ-G values represent the average for No.1G in different months; HZ-M values represent the average for No.1M in different months. CQ-G values represent the average of the four groundwater sampling points in different months; CQ-S values represent the average of the six surface water sampling points in different months and CQ-M values represent the average for the No.7 in different months.)
Table 2 Seasonal trends of model results for Chenqi and Houzhai

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ground water value</th>
<th>median standard</th>
<th>Atmosphere deposition value</th>
<th>median standard</th>
<th>Soil water value</th>
<th>median standard</th>
<th>Carbonate reaction value</th>
<th>median standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>(Chenqi)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(Houzhai)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note: IsoSource calculation automatically generated results. Groundwater, atmosphere deposition, soil water and carbonate reactions as the four sources of river water in this study. The median, minimum and maximum of possibility within the river routinely produced and median standard contributions of 20–25% and 8–16%, respectively).
Table 3 Cations and anions concentrations of surface water, groundwater in Houzhai and Chenqi.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points Concentrations (mmol • L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Autumn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CQ-S</td>
<td>CQ-G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg²⁺</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na⁺</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K⁺</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl⁻</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO₃⁻</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂⁻</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>season</th>
<th>Winter</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Point</td>
<td>CQ-S</td>
<td>CQ-G</td>
<td>HZ-S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg²⁺</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na⁺</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K⁺</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl⁻</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO₃⁻</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂⁻</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note: HZ-S values represent the average for all the surface water points (No. 1 through No. 10) in the Houzhai watershed; HZ-G values represent the average for No.1G in different months. CQ-G values represent the average of the four groundwater sampling points in different months; CQ-S values represent the average of the six surface water sampling points in different months.)
Figure Caption

Figure 1 (a) Study sites location in Puding County, Guizhou. (b) The water sampling points in Houzhai watershed. (c) The water sampling points in Chenqi catchment. (d) The soil sampling points in Chenqi catchment.

Figure 2 (a) The seasonal variation of pH values in Houzhai. (b) The seasonal variation of pH values in Chenqi. (c) Correlation between pH with water quality parameters of atmospheric deposition from 2016 to 2017.

Figure 3(a) Variation of [DIC] of rainfall during 2016 to 2017. (b) The variation of $\delta^{13}$C$_{DIC}$ of rainfall during 2016 to 2017.

Figure 4 (a) The seasonal variation of DIC in Houzhai watershed. (b) The seasonal variation of DIC in Chenqi catchment. (c) Seasonal variation of $\delta^{13}$C values in Houzhai watershed. (d) The variation of $\delta^{13}$C values in surface water and groundwater in Chenqi catchment.

Figure 5(a) The $\delta^{13}$C values of soil collected at four different locations (A to D) on Tianlong Mountain. The $\delta^{13}$C values of two transects of a ditch between two hills with four depths in Chenqi (b) CQ-1 and (c) CQ-2.

Figure 6 (a) The $\delta^{13}$C values of arbor plants in Tianlong Mountain. (b) The $\delta^{13}$C values of leaves, twig and roots of tree in Chenqi.

Figure 7 Season series analysis of mixing model results for Chenqi and Houzhai.

Figure 8 The Piper diagram of cationic and anion in surface water and groundwater in Chenqi and Houzhai watershed.

Figure 9 Component loadings of principal component analysis of Chenqi and Houzhai watershed.
Figure 10 $^{13}$C cycle in a nested karst watershed and proportion of carbon sources in the river.

Figure 1

- **a**: Puding County in Guizhou Province
- **b**: Houzhai Watershed
- **c**: Chenqi catchment
- **d**: NO. 6, NO. 6G, NO. 7, NO. 1G, and 3G in blue pipeline
Figure 2

(a) pH

(b) pH

(c) COND

Linear fit of COND

y = 13.07x - 59.84 \( R^2 = 0.28 \)

(c) TDS

Linear fit of TDS

y = -27.04x + 412.76 \( R^2 = 0.24 \)

(c) ORP

Linear fit of ORP

y = -27.04x + 412.76 \( R^2 = 0.24 \)
Figure 3

DIC Concentrations (mmol L$^{-1}$)

Date

DIC

2016-6-28

-19
-18
-17
-16
-15
-14
-13
-12
-11
2017-5-23
2016-11-29
2016-11-9
2017-5-6
2017-4-21
2016-10-28
2016-11-5
2016-10-7
2016-9-8
2016-8-31

$\delta^{13}C_{DIC}(‰)$
Figure 4
Figure 5
Figure 6

-24  -25  -26  -27  -28  -29

Cedarwood  Broussonetia papyfera  Platycladus orientalis  Plum tree

-25  -26  -27  -28  -29  -30  -31

Tree-leaves  Tree-twig  Tree-root

$\delta^{13}C(\%o)$

-24  -25  -26  -27  -28  -29

Tree-leaves  Tree-twig  Tree-root
Figure 7
Figure 8
Figure 9
Figure 10

[Diagram showing the process of photosynthesis and its impacts on water and carbon isotopes.]
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