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Abstract

This study aims to explore the spatio-temporal characteristics of meteorological and agricultural droughts using the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) and Standardized Soil Moisture Index (SSI), respectively, as well as their relationships over the past three decades (1986-2016) in South Korea. The SSI shows less frequent droughts and longer drought duration compared to the SPI, due to the gradual decrease in the autocorrelation functions of the SSI. The strongest cross-correlations are observed at a 1-month lag between the SPI and SSI for most stations. Thus, the SPI could be more appropriate for defining the onset of a drought, whereas the SSI appears to be more effective for describing drought persistence. Moreover, the transition from meteorological to agricultural droughts is significantly dependent on the season, indicating that the transition between them is highly correlated with antecedent moisture conditions. The copula-based Multivariate Standardized Drought Index (MSDI) is introduced to explicitly postulate interdependence between the SPI and SSI in the context of a multivariate probability distribution. We employ a hierarchical agglomerative clustering approach along with a quantile regression model to better understand the spatio-temporal pattern of the MSDI. More drought episodes under moderate to severe drought conditions are observed along the southern coast of South Korea. Additionally, persistent droughts with higher severity are observed in the northern part of South Korea, which may be attributed to a significant decreasing trend (or increasing drought risk).
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1. Introduction

Drought is a periodic phenomenon that exerts multifaceted negative impacts on a wide range of water-related sectors, which can eventually lead to severe direct (or indirect) socio-economic losses (Mckee et al. 1993; Spinoni et al. 2014; Vidal et al. 2009). Droughts occur virtually everywhere in the world, but their characteristics, such as duration, intensity and...
frequency, vary significantly depending on climate zones (Mirabbasi et al. 2013). Additionally, it is expected that climate change will accelerate changes in drought characteristics (Dai 2011; Van Loon et al. 2016). Thus, drought monitoring and early warning systems at global and local scales have emerged as powerful platforms for preventing and mitigating the negative effects of drought.

Drought is rather different from other water related hazards in terms of its spatio-temporal characteristics, resulting in structured spatial coverage with varying durations. Moreover, the spatio-temporal drought patterns may differ substantially by drought intensity. In these contexts, an exploration of the spatio-temporal drought patterns over different quantiles (i.e. severity) can serve as a basis to understand the evolution and nature of droughts in space and time. However, most of existing studies on droughts have not specifically analyzed the spatio-temporal patterns at different quantiles. Thus, this study will focus on exploring the underlying structure of drought occurrence and development.

Many studies have been conducted to estimate the onset, persistence and termination of drought events using meteorological and hydrological variables (Ganguli and Ganguly, 2016; Mo, 2011; Shukla et al., 2011; among others). Drought features such as duration, severity and intensity are commonly characterized by drought indices, which provide a more comprehensive perspective for drought monitoring and management compared to the direct use of hydro-meteorological variables (e.g., precipitation, soil moisture (SM) and streamflow) (Zargar et al. 2011). Nonetheless, the selection of the drought index for a certain purpose remains controversial (Farahmand & AghaKouchak 2015). Specifically, the identification of drought can be attributed to the choice of drought index that, with some limitations, incorporates different aspects of drought conditions (Hao & Singh 2015). Accordingly, various drought indices have been proposed to detect different types of droughts. For example, a meteorological drought index refers to deficits in precipitation and/or evaporation,
whereas agricultural and hydrological drought indices are based on deficits in SM and streamflow, respectively (Dracup et al. 1980).

The drought indices are mainly used to describe different types of droughts (i.e. meteorological, agricultural, hydrological, and socioeconomic droughts). They are commonly derived from a single hydrological variable (e.g., rainfall: the standardized precipitation index (SPI), and standardized anomaly index (SAI), streamflow: standardized streamflow index (SSI) and streamflow drought index (SDI), groundwater: standardized water-level index (SWI) and soil moisture: Standardized soil moisture index (SSI)). On the other hand, there are several examples that combine two or more variables such as the Palmer drought severity index (PDSI; Palmer, 1965), standardized precipitation evapotranspiration index (SPEI; Vicente-Serrano et al., 2010), surface water supply index (SWSI; Shafer and Dezman, 1982) and multivariate standardized drought index (MSDI; Hao and AghaKouchak, 2013). Here, we only introduced some of the drought indices, and would suggest that for more details readers are kindly referred to Svoboda and Fuchs (2017). Among many drought indices, the SPI, proposed by Mckee et al., (1993), has been widely adopted as a tool for monitoring long-term drought conditions at multiple time scales. More specifically, the advantage of the SPI method lies in its relative simplicity of computation and ease of interpretation, and the SPI approach is particularly useful in predicting drought onset (Hao & AghaKouchak 2013).

Thus, the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) endorsed the SPI as a standard drought indicator (Hayes et al. 2011). The fundamental idea behind the SPI can be applied to other hydrometeorological variables with the objective of building a standardized drought index (Van Loon 2015; Kumar et al. 2016).

Each drought index has limitations and strengths in measuring drought conditions. For instance, previous studies have shown that the SPI is more likely to detect the emergence of drought conditions, whereas drought persistence can be more effectively identified based on
the SM deficit (Mo 2011). In other words, drought information based on a single index may not be sufficient to provide an integrated picture of different types of drought (Kao & Govindaraju 2010). This issue has, in turn, led to the need for a combination of multiple drought indices that are derived from different hydrologic variables including rainfall, SM, groundwater and streamflow. Such hydrological variables particularly have been used to construct a joint drought index to characterize the complex nature of drought (Mirabbasi et al. 2012; Hao & Singh 2015).

Considering that drought is a multidimensional phenomenon, combining multiple variables (e.g., from precipitation to SM) is beneficial for successful drought preparedness and mitigation, and particularly useful for communication purposes between different types of drought. Here, we adopt the MSDI proposed by Hao and AghaKouchak (2013) that can combine meteorological and agricultural droughts, and the composite drought index was then grouped by the hierarchical agglomerative clustering approach for classifying regional patterns. Over the past decade, many researchers have proposed statistical models to build a multivariate drought index. In the context of multivariate analysis, copulas have been used in a wide range of hydrological studies such as multivariate drought frequency analysis (e.g., Ekanayake and Perera, 2014; Kao and Govindaraju, 2010; Kwon et al., among others), flood frequency/risk analysis (e.g., Favre et al., 2004; Jongman et al., 2014; Zhang and Singh, 2006), and rainfall simulation (Li et al. 2013). In this study, because of the interdependence and interaction between rainfall and SM, the copula-based MSDI (Hao and AghaKouchak, 2013) is used to consider the two indices (i.e., the SPI and SSI) jointly in the context of a multivariate probability distribution.

A key aim of this study is to explore a spatio-temporal drought pattern on the regional scale. A number of previous studies have been carried out to investigate regional drought patterns using cluster analysis, principal component analysis (PCA) or a combination of the two
techniques. Among them, clustering analysis, also known as an unsupervised classification model, is widely used to classify drought patterns into certain categories according to their relationships; such analysis can identify specific spatio-temporal patterns within the cluster (Shamshirband et al. 2015). Santos et al. (2010) employed K-means clustering and PCA to assess spatial and temporal patterns of the SPI series, whereas Yoo et al. (2012) applied the K-means approach to partition their study region into several sub-regions based on bivariate drought attributes. Furthermore, spatio-temporal drought patterns were regionally summarized by combining a quantile regression model and hierarchical agglomerative clustering algorithm (Shiau & Lin 2016; Yang et al. 2017). Despite the above-mentioned potential uncertainty in identifying drought features using a drought index based on a single variable, clustering analysis has not been applied extensively for a multivariate drought index. In other words, most studies on clustering analysis were dedicated to the delineation of homogeneous regions using a single drought index or their drought characteristics (e.g., duration, severity, and frequency).

Moreover, given that the nonstationarity in drought episodes is of increasing concern, the nonparametric Mann-Kendall (MK) test has been widely used to identify significant changes in drought pattern (Subash & Ram Mohan 2011; Güner Bacanli 2017). Despite its popularity in the hydrological community, the MK approach cannot be applied to explore the temporal variability of hydrologic variables at various quantiles of the distribution (Shiau & Lin 2016), which is important for water resources management, especially for extreme rainfall that translates into both droughts and floods. In this regard, this study uses a quantile regression model proposed by Koenker and Bassett (1978) to explore the non-Gaussian distribution of trend in drought characteristics in terms of the predefined quantiles (e.g., moderate, severe and extreme drought).
The main contributions of this study are threefold: (1) we propose to use a global reanalysis SM dataset to derive the SSI drought indices and its use to explore the transition from meteorological to agricultural droughts; (2) we propose quantile regression model-based spatio-temporal drought analysis at different quantiles, and (3) we classify spatio-temporal drought patterns using the multivariate drought index and the hierarchical agglomerative clustering approach, covering the period 1986-2016 across South Korea.

A brief background of this study was presented in this section. In the following section, we illustrate the precipitation and SM data and further describe the drought indices considered in this study. The theoretical aspects of modeling approaches including quantile regression, copula function and clustering analysis are provided in Section 3. The spatio-temporal analysis of the drought indices over South Korea obtained from this study is illustrated in Section 4, followed by conclusions and future tasks in Section 5.

2. Hydrologic Data and Drought Indices

2.1. Precipitation and Soil Moisture Data

The historical daily precipitation data measured at 55 weather stations over South Korea, which are operated by Korea Meteorological Administration (https://web.kma.go.kr/eng/), are collected for the period 1986-2016. Figure 1 shows the locations of weather stations used in this study. Additionally, a global SM data set from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) are used. ECMWF releases global reanalysis SM datasets (i.e. ERA-Interim) daily in quasi-real time with high spatial resolution, in 6-hour intervals, at four depths (i.e., 0-7, 7-28, 28-100 and 100-289 cm) (Albergel et al. 2012). ERA-Interim reanalysis data provides a spatial resolution of approximately 25 km covering the period 1979-present and can be accessed from https://www.ecmwf.int/. The accuracy of the ERA-Interim reanalysis data was assessed against in-situ observations from 117 stations across the
world by Albergel et al. (2012). Their results revealed robustness for various climate conditions with a reasonable level of accuracy; similar results were also achieved based on our preliminary analysis in the study area (see Figure S1). The Pearson correlation coefficients between the original ERA-Interim and the currently available in-situ SM data over all of South Korea are reasonably high, ranging from 0.60 to 0.75.

In our study, SM data are collected at 6-hour intervals (0:00, 6:00, 12:00 and 18:00 UTC) from the locations with centroids nearest to the weather stations and averaged to obtain a daily mean SM time series. The root-zone SM has a significant impact on crop yield so that it is evident that crop growth and root development should take into consideration in designing agricultural drought indices (Narasimhan & Srinivasan 2005). In this respect, the ERA-Interim SM data at the third layer (28-100 cm) are mainly used as the best proxy of the root-zone SM in this study. Both rainfall and SM data are then accumulated on a monthly basis for subsequent study.

[Fig. 1; Tab. 1]

2.2. SPI and SSI Drought Indices

The SPI has been widely used to effectively measure and detect the extent of a deficit of precipitation, providing locally specific early warnings of drought (Clayton 1978). Its popularity stems from its flexibility and ease of use for detecting droughts at multiple time scales (Ganguli & Ganguly 2016). Since the SPI was designed to provide a dimensionless index, SPI values can often be used to spatio-temporally compare an overall view of the drought at a national or global scale for a range of practical applications (Djerbouai & Souag-Gamane 2016). To compute the SPI, daily precipitation data is first aggregated at different timescales (e.g., 3, 6, 12, 24 or 36 months). In this study, we primarily focus on the SPI at 3- and 6-month timescales (hereinafter, SPI-3/6) to investigate the characteristics of
meteorological droughts and their spatio-temporal patterns. The aggregated precipitation data
are typically fitted to theoretical distribution functions such as the gamma and Pearson type
III distributions (Farahmand & AghaKouchak 2015). The SPI is then computed by
transforming the cumulative probability distribution into standardized normal variates with
zero mean and standard deviation equal to one (Mckee et al. 1993; Guttman 1999). However,
because the optimal probability distribution of rainfall can vary substantially, a parametric
approach is less flexible, leading to inconsistent results (Vidal et al. 2009; Kumar et al. 2016;
Farahmand & AghaKouchak 2015). In other words, the SPI values are inherently susceptible
to the selection of a distribution function. Therefore, we employ a non-parametric kernel
density estimation approach to reduce the sampling error associated with the choice of
distribution functions. While the SPI is mainly used to identify meteorological drought,
agricultural drought is generally represented by the SM deficit. Accordingly, the SSI, known
as an agricultural drought index monitoring the extent and degree of SM, plays a
complementary role in a comprehensive review of drought conditions. Similarly, the kernel
density estimation approach was used to transform SM data into the SSI. In this study, we
extracted information on the durations and severities (i.e., deficit volumes) from SPI and SSI
time series. Drought duration refers to the periods of the continuously negative phase,
whereas drought severity is the sum of cumulative deficits over the corresponding duration
(Kwon et al., 2016). Table 2 shows the SPI drought criteria defined by Mckee et al. (1993).
Note that the same drought severity categories are subsequently applied to the SSI and MSDI.

[Tab. 2]

3. Methodology

3.1. Quantile Regression
This study aims to assess not only the overall trends of drought characteristics but also the non-Gaussian distribution of trends in drought duration, severity, and frequency at various levels of quantiles. The first-order quantile regression (Koenker and Bassett, 1978) is applied to identify temporal trends in different drought characteristics. The $\tau^{th}$ quantile regression estimate is computed by minimizing Equation 1 as follows:

$$
\min \sum_{i, y_i \geq \alpha + \beta x_i} \tau |y_i - \alpha - \beta x_i| + \sum_{i, y_i < \alpha + \beta x_i} (1 - \tau) |y_i - \alpha - \beta x_i|
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are regression coefficients associated with the quantile $\tau$, ranging between 0 and 1, and $y$ indicates the drought indices (i.e., the SPI and SSI). In this study, the null hypothesis of a zero slope for drought characteristics was tested at a level of 95% at quantile $\tau$.

### 3.2. Overview of the Copula Function

In order to better represent the interdependence between precipitation and SM, we used the MSDI based on the joint distribution of two drought indices (i.e., the SPI and SSI). Among various types of multivariate models, the copula has been widely applied in various areas including hydrological and climatological applications since the copula can effectively link the marginal distributions together to construct the joint distribution (Kwon et al. 2016; Kao & Govindaraju 2010; Favre et al. 2004). From a modeling viewpoint, Sklar’s Theorem (Sklar 1959) allows us to model the marginal distributions separately from the dependence structure, which is described by a copula parameter $C$ (Rüschendorf 2009; Lall et al. 2016; Requena et al. 2013; Salvadori & De Michele 2004). The proposed approach provides a useful framework to assess overall drought conditions since the MSDI can integrate different aspects of drought dynamics, covering meteorological and agricultural droughts. Here, we briefly introduce the concept of the copula. For more details, readers are kindly referred to Joe (1997); Nelsen (1999); and Salvadori and De Michele (2004).
continuous random variables $X$ and $Y$. If a joint distribution exists with the marginal
distribution $F(X)$ and $G(Y)$, then the cumulative joint probability $p$ with a copula $C$ can be
represented as Eq. (2):

$$P(X \leq x, Y \leq y) = C[F(X), G(Y)] = p$$

Finally, the cumulative joint probability ($p$) of the SPI and SSI can be transformed into the
MSDI as follows (Hao & AghaKouchak 2013)…

$$\text{MSDI} = \varphi^{-1}(p)$$

…where $\varphi^{-1}$ is the inverse of the standard normal distribution function. The parameters of the
copula functions are estimated using the maximum likelihood (ML) method, and the optimal
copula for drought variables (i.e., $X$ and $Y$) is then selected based on the Akaike Information
Criteria (AIC) (Akaike 1974). In this study, an optimal copula for each station is selected
from five copula functions (i.e., Gaussian, t, Clayton, Frank and Gumbel). More details are
found in Li et al., (2013) and Clayton, (2016).

### 3.3. Clustering Analysis

The hierarchical agglomerative clustering analysis is adopted to classify spatio-temporal
drought patterns into certain categories, which is carried out in the MATLAB environment.
The algorithm, application and implementation of this technique can be found in the literature
(Martinez & Martinez 2004). In this manner, weather stations are partitioned into subsets by
defining a measure of distance or dissimilarity in terms of drought features. In other words,
each category should be mutually exclusive, and the drought characteristics assigned to a
certain category should be as similar as possible. The hierarchical agglomerative clustering
approach begins with a measure of the similarity (or dissimilarity) between the objects (i.e.
the MSDI time series over 55 weather stations) that are initially regarded as an individual
cluster, and the individual clusters are then successively merged until one cluster includes all...
objects. In this study, Ward’s method, which is referred to as an increase of sum-of-squares, is used to assess the proximity between two clusters…

\[ (r, s) = \frac{2n_r n_s}{(n_r + n_s)} || \bar{x}_r - \bar{x}_s ||^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

…where \( || ||^2 \) is the Euclidean distance, \( \bar{x}_r \) and \( \bar{x}_s \) are the centroids of clusters \( r \) and \( s \), and \( n_r \) and \( n_s \) are the number of elements in clusters \( r \) and \( s \).

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Drought identification and Relationship between the SPI and SSI

To explore drought propagation, we first evaluate cross-correlations between the SPI and SSI to quantify the lag time over the entire array of weather stations for 3- and 6-month accumulation periods, as shown in Figure 2 (Samples of cross-correlation between the SPI-n and SSI-n can be seen in Figure 3). Here, the strongest cross-correlation at each station is marked by a black dot. In other words, it appears to take one month at most weather stations (i.e., 50 stations for 3-month and 48 stations for 6-month accumulation periods out of 55 weather stations, respectively) for precipitation deficits to propagate to SM deficits through the hydrological cycle. In addition to the above drought features, an understanding of drought persistence, which has an impact on water resources management, is also of great interest for hydrologists (Meng et al. 2017; Ganguli & Ganguly 2016; AghaKouchak 2015). Drought persistence can be computed by the length of a dry spell for a certain threshold or by using temporal autocorrelations (Tatli 2015).

[Fig. 2-3]

We further explore a monthly variation of the correlation coefficient between the SPI-3 and SSI-3 for the 55 weather stations, and the lagged relationships between the drought indices are additionally examined to capture any possible delayed response. As shown in Figure 4, the SPI is in general positively correlated with the SSI, thus confirming that the deficit of SM
is substantially related to the meteorological drought (Van Loon 2015). However, there exist seasonal variations in correlation coefficients, which can be explained by the fact that agricultural drought in response to the deficit in rainfall may differ significantly, depending on the season. Furthermore, it can be concluded that the stronger relationship begins when rainfall starts after a long, dry winter-spring season in South Korea. In this stage, the water moves both through the soil and over the surface via a range of hydrologic processes such as base flow, seepage, infiltration and runoff throughout the summer. In contrast, the relationship weakens as SM content decreases below the wilting point, with the relationship continuing to weaken until the next wet season. Interestingly, it appears that during a dry season (winter-spring), there is a more robust relationship between the SPI and the 1-month lagged SSI. It can be concluded that the SSI has a delayed response to the SPI under dry soil conditions, whereas for wet soil conditions, the prompt response of the SSI to the SPI is dominant. In this perspective, it is acknowledged that the characteristics of the transition from meteorological drought to agricultural drought are significantly dependent on antecedent SM content over the season. Thus, we should consider the issue of presenting the role of antecedent SM content in connection with evidence concerning changes in the drought propagation feature over time.

[Fig. 4]

We investigate the temporal persistence of the drought indices using the autocorrelation function representing drought persistence over the entire array of weather stations. As shown in Figure 5, it is evident that the autocorrelation functions of SSI decrease gradually with higher degrees of autocorrelation compared to that of the SPI for both accumulation periods. On the other hand, the results also highlight the potential benefit of using different drought indices, i.e., the onset of a drought condition can be detected by the meteorological index (i.e., the SPI) earlier, whereas the SSI seems to be more appropriate for reliably describing
drought persistence (Farahmand & AghaKouchak 2015; Entekhabi et al. 1996). In this regard, we introduced a robust framework that allows multiple drought indices to be combined. The results associated with the combined drought indices are presented in Section 4.3.

4.2. Spatial Pattern of Drought over South Korea

For each accumulation period (3- and 6-month), drought events are identified using a threshold of -1.0 and their spatial distributions along with durations are displayed in Figure 6. The cokriging method (Pebesma 2004) is hereinafter employed to obtain the regional distribution of drought characteristics. Compared to the SPI, the SSI shows less frequent droughts for both accumulation periods, which can be attributed to the stronger persistence (i.e., a smaller fluctuation) that is more likely to be characterized by the SSI (Farahmand & AghaKouchak 2015). Furthermore, more frequent drought events appear to occur at a shorter timescale for both drought indices (Figures 6c and g), due to the relatively weaker persistence (Figures 6d and h). It was also clearly seen that drought duration of the SSI is significantly longer than that of the SPI, and the difference becomes more distinct for a longer timescale. In other words, the 3-month drought indices pertain negative values more frequently than do the 6-month drought indices, and the SPI recovers to wet states more quickly than does the SSI. More frequent drought events with the threshold -1.0 particularly stand out in western central South Korea for the SPI, while an increased frequency of moderate droughts is identified in the southern and northern parts of South Korea.

Next, drought characteristics such as duration and severity for the SPI and SSI are extracted and their spatial distributions are presented in Figures 7 and 8. For SPI-3, a longer drought
duration is predominantly identified in the northern and southern parts of South Korea and
the magnitude of drought severity is found to be similar to the spatial distribution of drought
duration. Yet, as the accumulation period increases (i.e., SPI-6), the spatial extent of droughts
is partially extended to the east coast. As expected, for both drought accumulation periods,
the spatial distribution of drought over South Korea clearly reveals the strong spatial
coherence between drought duration and severity. That is, regions with a prolonged drought
tend to experience more severe droughts, leading to more severe effects on water resource
management and vice versa. Additionally, there is a tendency for duration and severity to
increase in proportion to the accumulation period for either SPI or SSI. As shown in Figures
7-8, there are some differences in the spatial distribution of duration and severity between the
SSI and SPI. It can be seen that the SSI consistently yields higher drought durations and
severities, compared with the SPI. Again, this may be attributed to the stronger persistence of
the SSI. Locally significant severe droughts in terms of both drought duration and severity
are primarily represented in the southeastern region. Interestingly, the spatial distribution of
drought characteristics associated with the SPI is more dependent on the accumulation
periods (see Figure 7), while the SSI is less sensitive to the accumulation periods (see Figure
8).

[Figs. 7-8]
The entire time series of the SPI-6 and SSI-6 were divided into two halves (i.e. 1st period
(1987-2001) and 2nd period (2002-2016)), and the drought events and their durations and
severities were extracted using a threshold of -1.5. Note that the values given here are the
average values over the entire weather stations during that period. For the SPI-6 (Figures 9a-
c), the number of drought events are significantly decreased in the second half, while the
duration and severity are slightly increased. It can be concluded that the decreasing trend in
the drought events is apparently due to an increasing trend in precipitation since the SPI
represents deficits in precipitation. Relative to the decreasing trend in the number of drought events, a severe and prolonged drought has been observed over the last decade due to a recent increase in interannual climate variability (Nam et al. 2015). On the other hand, for the SSI-6 (Figures 9d-f), a drought with more pronounced frequency and severity compared to the SPI-6 was found in the second half. It may be the consequence of a significant increasing trend in temperature during the recent period while the recent decrease in precipitation may play a limited role for the tendency toward increased drought frequency and severity.

[Fig. 9]

The trends in the SPI and SSI time series covering 1986-2016 are further analyzed using a quantile regression model. The estimated slope parameters for the predefined drought categories (Table 2) at 55 weather stations are spatially interpolated. As shown in Figures 10a and d, for both indices, the trends for moderate drought (i.e., threshold -1.0) showed a downward tendency in the northern part of South Korea, while an upward trend is dominantly localized in the southern region. Interestingly, there exist significant differences in the spatial presence of trends in extreme drought (i.e., threshold -2.0) between the SPI and SSI. More specifically, the SSI shows a decreasing tendency over the entire region, while there is no significant difference in the spatial distribution of drought trend over different drought states for the SPI. In summary, there appears to be a more pronounced decreasing tendency (or increasing risk) of the drought in the northern part of South Korea. In South Korea, nearly 50-60% of total annual rainfall occurs during the summer monsoon season (Kim et al. 2002). It has been reported that a general declining trend is evident in the East Asian summer monsoon, and this tendency appears to be more prevalent since the early 1990s (Li et al. 2017). Similarly, the increased drought risk in the northern part of South Korea may be linked to weakened summer monsoons over the last three decades, which can be associated
with stagnation of the monsoon activity in the middle of South Korea, and then retreat toward a lower latitude (Zhang & Zhou 2015).

**[Fig. 10]**

### 4.3. Clustering Analysis on Multivariate Standardized Drought Index (MSDI)

A hierarchical clustering approach is applied to explore regional trends in droughts over the last three decades. One may consider a direct use of two drought indices for clustering regional patterns. However, based on our preliminary analysis (see Figures S3-S4), the distribution of the identified clusters in the SPI and SSI are significantly different, thus confirming that the direct use of indices together for the clustering may fail to identify regional patterns of drought. On the other hand, with multi-dimensional data, one can employ multivariate techniques, such as copulas, which can provide a better estimation for dependencies among the variables, prior to clustering. Specifically, we introduce the MSDI to provide a comprehensive perspective of the drought by constructing a joint probability distribution between the SPI and SSI. We consider two types of elliptical copulas and three types of Archimedean copulas to model the dependency structure of the drought indices, namely the student t, Gaussian, Clayton, Frank and Gumbel. As discussed in the methodology section, the marginal distributions are first specified with the independent identically distributed (IID) assumption for the drought variables, and the interdependence between the variables is then described through the copula functions. In this study, it might be desirable to assume the Gaussian distribution for the marginal distributions for both the SSI and SPI, since the indices are already normalized to their respective values. A set of parameters for the MSDI, four parameters in the marginal distribution and one parameter in the copula function, are estimated by using the maximum likelihood method (Kao & Govindaraju 2010; Renard & Lang 2007; Bouyé et al. 2000), and the optimal copula
functions are then selected using the Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) for each weather station. Among five types of copulas, the Frank copula is generally selected for both accumulation periods, and the spatial distribution of the selected copula is presented in Figure S5.

We use clustering analysis to explore the presence of a regional trend in drought, and an important issue with respect to the clustering approach is to determine the number of desired clusters. To systematically choose the optimum number of clusters with the hierarchical agglomerative clustering algorithm, the algorithm is recursively applied to the MSDI series with an increasing number of clusters and the optimum number of clusters is selected by maximizing (or minimizing) some measure of fitness. This study uses the upper-tail rule, proposed by Mojena (1977), as a measure of model fitness. The best cut-off level (i.e., the number of clusters) is determined by the distance analysis of the standardized fusion levels in a dendrogram. As shown in Figure 11, the inflection points of the MSDI-3 is found at a cut-off level of four (Figure 11a). In other words, the degree of decrease in the standardized fusion level is negligible for more than four clusters. On the other hand, an inflection point is found at five clusters for the MSDI-6 (Figure 11b). Therefore, four clusters for the MSDI-3 and five clusters for the MSDI-6 are subsequently selected for further analyses.

[Fig. 11]

The distribution of the resulting clusters is contiguous rather than spatially separated for both accumulation periods, as presented in Figure 12. It can be concluded that the results are more physically interpretable, which can lead to more effective strategies in the development and implementation of drought management and mitigation plans for certain areas. There is a notable contrast to the clustering over accumulation periods in the northern part of South Korea, namely Gyeonggi province and Gangwon province. More specifically, two subcategories, representing Gyeonggi and Gangwon province, in MSDI-6 are grouped
together as a category in MSDI-3. For a given cluster, this study further explores different aspects of drought features such as duration, severity and long-term trends at different quantile levels.

[Fig. 12]
The spatially averaged MSDI values over each cluster are shown in Figures 12-13, and their trends appear to differ significantly between thresholds (or exceedance probabilities; moderate (-1.0): 0.16, severe (-1.5): 0.07 and extreme (-2.0): 0.02). Compared to the MSDI-6, more frequent drought events appear to be identified at the MSDI-3, and the number of drought events for certain drought categories varies over different clusters, as summarized in Table 3. It is evident that drought duration of the MSDI-6 is significantly longer than that of the MSDI-3, and the difference becomes more distinct under extreme drought conditions.

Similarly, an overall increase in drought severity in the MSDI-6 is clearly observed. Specifically, more moderate to severe drought episodes are observed in the northern part of South Korea, covered by clusters CL-3 and CL-4 for the MSDI-3 and by clusters CL-1 and CL-2 for the MSDI-6. Clusters CL-2 for the MSDI-3 and CL-4 for the MSDI-6 notably for the longer duration period and the higher severity are mainly identified along the southern coast, under moderate to severe drought condition. Spatially aggregated drought features vary under extreme drought conditions. In other words, CL-3 for the MSDI-3 indicates a much more extreme drought condition in the northern part of South Korea, and as does CL-4 for the MSDI-6 in the southern coast.

[Tab. 3]
Additionally, we explore regional trends over predefined thresholds using a quantile regression model, as represented in Figures 13-14 and Table 4. Numbers in bold are statistically significant at the 0.05 level ($p < 0.05$) in Table 4. For the MSDI-3, CL-1 representing drought in the central eastern region showed no trend for all four levels, while a
significantly decreasing trend is shown in CL-3. A significantly decreasing trend (or increasing drought risk) in MSDI-3 was found at CL-2 (e.g., median) and CL-4 (e.g., extreme, moderate and median). Overall, for a longer-duration MSDI-6, a significant downward trend becomes more dominant. Furthermore, we explore the past three major drought episodes over the last three decades (1986-2016), as illustrated in Figures 13-14. As presented, drought episodes Ep1 (1994-1996), Ep2 (2000-2002) and Ep3 (2013-2015) are clearly identified as major drought events that have been reported in previous studies (Min et al. 2003; Kwon et al. 2016; Nam et al. 2015). As for Ep3, the amount of rainfall for this period was less than 35-50% of the annual mean rainfall (1973-2015) and the estimated return period was about 26 years (Kwon et al. 2016). Local governments during this dry period implemented a plan to restrict water usage in many cities across South Korea, thus confirming that the MSDI can accurately reproduce the historical drought.

[Figs. 13-14; Tab. 4]

5. Concluding remarks

Drought is an increasingly important issue in many parts of the world, requiring a hydro-meteorological modeling framework to assess and monitor its complex impact on natural hazards and associated socio-economic vulnerability. Here, we use two representative drought indices (the SPI and SSI) to evaluate changes in drought patterns at different spatio-temporal scales. The SPI and SSI, derived from precipitation and SM, respectively, are compared with each other by describing their individual characteristics as drought indicators as well as their interdependence and interaction. Furthermore, considering different aspects of the drought dynamics, this study introduces the MSDI, which is used to consider meteorological and agricultural droughts jointly in the context of a multivariate probability distribution. The MSDI derived for each station is then grouped using the hierarchical
The primary conclusions obtained in this study are as follows:

1. The transition from meteorological to agricultural drought is clearly identified, but the degree of their relationship is significantly dependent on the season. Specifically, the SSI had a 1-month delayed response to the SPI during the dry season (i.e., winter-spring), whereas the response of the SSI to SPI is generally prompt under wet soil conditions. Thus, one should consider the role of antecedent SM content to improve characterization of changes in drought propagation.

2. The SSI shows less frequent droughts and longer drought duration, due to the gradual decrease in the autocorrelation functions of SSI along with the higher degree of autocorrelation, compared to that of the SPI. In this perspective, the onset of a drought could be detected by the SPI, whereas the SSI appears to be more appropriate for describing drought persistence. Overall, this is also supported by the fact that the 1-month lag between the SPI and SSI was significant for most stations over the last three decades (1986-2016).

3. In this study, the copula-based MSDI is employed to consider the interdependence and interaction between rainfall and SM in the context of a multivariate probability distribution. Moreover, the hierarchical agglomerative clustering approach is employed to identify the spatial pattern of the MSDI. The distribution of the resulting clusters is contiguous rather than spatially isolated for both accumulation periods, contributing to more effective strategies in the development and implementation of drought management and mitigation plans for certain areas.

4. Here, we use a hierarchical clustering approach to the MSDI to investigate regional trends in drought pattern. By using this approach, the spatio-temporal drought patterns are clearly captured through the MSDI. Specifically, more drought episodes under
moderate to severe drought conditions are dominantly observed along the southern coast of South Korea. We also find persistent drought with a higher level of severity in the northern part of South Korea, which might be attributable to the significant decreasing trend (or increasing drought risk) that is noted in the northern part of South Korea. Overall, for a longer-duration MSDI-6, a significant downward trend has become more dominant.

Given that a drought index more effectively provides the status of drought for decision making in near-real time compared to raw data, and that a single drought index may not be sufficient for describing the complex aspects of drought, the findings and approaches used in this study are expected to provide useful guidelines for detecting the nature of droughts and contributing to drought preparedness. However, integration with other relevant drought indicators (e.g., streamflow and ground water) is still needed for a better understanding of multi-dimensional aspects of drought in future studies. Moreover, future work will also focus on the extension of data records with multiple sources such as satellite remote sensing data and multiple hydro-meteorological variables as potential predictors.
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Figure 1. Topographic map showing the locations of weather stations used in this study. Here, black solid lines indicate province boundaries.
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Figure 2. Heat maps showing cross-correlation coefficients between SPI and lagged SSI over the entire array of weather stations. Here, a) and b) represent accumulation periods of 3 and 6 months, respectively.
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Figure 3. Samples of cross-correlation between SPI and SSI for 3- and 6-month time scale.
Figure 4. Boxplots of the Pearson correlation coefficients for identifying time-lagged relationships between the SPI-3 and SSI-3 time series on a monthly basis across all stations.
Figure 5. Temporal autocorrelation functions of SPIs and SSIs representing drought persistence with respect to different time lags.
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Figure 6. Characteristics of SPI/SSI-n drought events based on a threshold of -1.0 (moderate drought): a-b and e-f show the spatial distributions of drought events across South Korea along with their boxplots (c and g), and their corresponding average drought durations are presented in d and h.
Figure 7. Spatial distribution patterns of meteorological drought (SPI-n) duration and severity using a threshold of -1.0 (moderate drought).
Figure 8. Spatial distribution patterns of agricultural drought (SSI-n) duration and severity using a threshold of -1.0 (moderate drought).
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Figure 9. Comparison of drought variables (i.e. frequency, duration and severity) between the first half (1987-2001) and second half (2002-2016) of the period 1987-2016.
Figure 10. Spatial distribution of trends in SPI-6 and SSI-6 at different quantile levels.
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Figure 11. Standardized fusion levels corresponding to the number of clusters for MSDI-3 and MSDI-6.
Figure 12. Spatial distribution of clusters for the MSDI over South Korea. Left panel, MSDI-3; right panel, MSDI-6.
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Figure 13. Regional trends of MSDI-3 corresponding to each cluster and their trends over different thresholds based on a quantile regression. Here, blue bars denote three major drought episodes over the past three decades.
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Figure 14. Regional trends of MSDI-6 corresponding to each cluster and their trends over different thresholds based on a quantile regression. Here, blue bars denote three major drought episodes over the past three decades.
Table 1. Rainfall stations used in this study and their annual rainfall.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sta. No</th>
<th>Sta. Name</th>
<th>Lat. (N)</th>
<th>Lon. (E)</th>
<th>Alt. (m)</th>
<th>Rainfall (mm)</th>
<th>Sta. No</th>
<th>Sta. Name</th>
<th>Lat. (N)</th>
<th>Lon. (E)</th>
<th>Alt. (m)</th>
<th>Rainfall (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Table 2. The SPI (SSI) drought severity classification and interpretation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPI values</th>
<th>Drought category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≥ 2.0</td>
<td>Extremely wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 to 1.99</td>
<td>Severely wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 to 1.49</td>
<td>Moderately wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.99 to -0.99</td>
<td>Near normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.0 to -1.49</td>
<td>Moderately dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.5 to -1.99</td>
<td>Severely dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ -2.0</td>
<td>Extremely dry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Summary of drought episodes based on clustering analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threshold</th>
<th>Number of Events</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Severity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSDI-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>33  29  41  34</td>
<td>3.4  4.5  3.0  3.5</td>
<td>5.5  7.2  4.6  5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>22  25  26  26</td>
<td>2.6  2.8  2.2  2.5</td>
<td>5.1  5.4  4.2  4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme</td>
<td>11  13  7  11</td>
<td>1.8  1.6  2.3  1.6</td>
<td>4.4  3.8  5.8  3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSDI-6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>25  25  23  20  24</td>
<td>4.6  4.8  5.2  6.4  5.0</td>
<td>7.1  7.6  8.3  10.3  8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>17  20  16  17  19</td>
<td>2.6  2.7  3.9  3.8  3.8</td>
<td>5.4  5.3  7.6  7.4  7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme</td>
<td>7  7  11  9  12</td>
<td>3.1  2.7  2.3  3.3  2.0</td>
<td>7.5  6.6  5.3  7.5  4.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Summary of the slope obtained from a quantile regression model at four different classes. Numbers in bold are statistically significant at the 0.05 level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>MSDI-3 ($\times 10^{-3}$)</th>
<th>MSDI-6 ($\times 10^{-3}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extreme</td>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL-1</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL-2</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL-3</td>
<td>-3.51</td>
<td>-3.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL-4</td>
<td>-2.21</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Spatio-temporal drought patterns of multiple drought indices based on precipitation and soil moisture: A case study in South Korea

Moonhyuk Kwon, Hyun-Han Kwon* and Dawei Han

We explore the spatio-temporal characteristics of meteorological and agricultural droughts, respectively, as well as their relationships over the past three decades (1986-2016). Further, the hierarchical agglomerative clustering approach is employed to identify the spatial pattern of the combined drought indices (the SSI and SPI) in South Korea.