



Gordon, H., Nath, S., Trevillion, K., Moran, P., Pawlby, S., Newman, L., Howard, L. M., & Molyneaux, E. (2019). Self-Harm, Self-Harm Ideation, and Mother-Infant Interactions: A Prospective Cohort Study. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 80(5), [18m12708].
<https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.18m12708>

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):
[10.4088/JCP.18m12708](https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.18m12708)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc at <https://www.psychiatrist.com/JCP/article/Pages/2019/v80/18m12708.aspx>. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

Self-harm, self-harm ideation and mother-infant interactions: a prospective cohort study

Hannah Gordon (MD)¹, Selina Nath (PhD)², Kylee Trevillion (PhD)², Paul Moran (MD)³, Susan Pawlby (PhD)⁴, Louise Newman (PhD)^{1,5}, Louise M Howard (PhD)^{2}, Emma Molyneaux (PhD)^{2*}.*

¹ Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, The University of Melbourne, ²Section of Women's Mental Health, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, ³School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol, ⁴Department of Psychological Medicine, King's College London, ⁵Royal Women's Hospital, Melbourne. **Joint senior authors.*

Corresponding author: Dr Hannah Gordon

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology

Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences

University of Melbourne, Building 181 University of Melbourne

Grattan St, Melbourne VIC 3010

and

Austin Health

145 Studley Road, Heidelberg 3084

Email: hannah-gordon@outlook.com

Telephone: +61 3 8344 5892 (MDHS faculty reception)

Previous presentation: Preliminary partial results presented as a selected oral poster at the 26th European Congress of the European Board and College of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Paris, France,

March 8–10, 2018; final results presented orally at the RANZCOG Annual Scientific Meeting, Adelaide, Australia, September 16–19, 2018.

Role of the funding agency: This paper summarises independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) under its Programme Grants for Applied Research (PGfAR) Programme (Grant Reference Number: RP-PG-1210-12002) and supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) / Wellcome Trust King’s Clinical Research Facility and the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King’s College London. The Nuffield Foundation (grant reference number KID/42599) funded the 3-month postpartum follow-up home visits for the data collection of observational mother-infant interactions.

PM is supported by the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Bristol.

The study team acknowledges the study delivery support given by the South London NIHR Clinical Research Network. The views expressed are those of the author and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. The funders had no role in the conduct of the study nor the collection, analysis and interpretation of data.

Conflicts of interest:

No authors have any conflicts of interest to declare.

Abstract

Objective: To investigate the association between maternal self-harm (lifetime history of self-harm and self-harm ideation during pregnancy) and mother-infant interactions in a representative cohort from southeast London.

Methods: Data were drawn from a prospective cohort of 545 women attending antenatal appointments between 2014 and 2016. Women were asked about history of self-harm and current self-harm ideation during a research interview following first antenatal visit. Follow-up data on depressive symptoms using the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) were collected at 28 weeks gestation and 3 months postpartum and mother-infant relationship using the CARE-Index and Postpartum Bonding Questionnaire at 3 months postpartum. Linear regression analyses were conducted to investigate the associations between history of self-harm and (a) depressive symptoms and (b) the mother-infant relationship. Analyses were repeated with current self-harm ideation as the exposure.

Results: The population prevalence of history of self-harm was 7.9% (95%CI 5.5-11.2%), and 2.3% (95%CI 1.2-4.2%) for self-harm ideation. History of self-harm was associated with baseline depressive symptoms (adjusted regression coefficient 2.23 (95%CI 0.16-4.29), $p=0.035$) and self-harm ideation was associated with depressive symptoms at all time-points (adjusted regression coefficients 11.53 (95%CI 10.13-12.94), $p<0.001$, 8.16 (95%CI 5.43-10.89), $p<0.001$ and 6.73 (95%CI 4.48-8.99), $p<0.001$ respectively. Self-harm ideation, but not history of self-harm, was associated with maternal controlling behaviors (adjusted regression coefficient 2.34 (95%CI 0.40-4.48), $p=0.019$) and infant compulsive behaviors (adjusted regression coefficient 2.37 (95%CI 0.36-4.38), $p=0.021$).

Conclusion: Self-harm ideation during pregnancy is associated with elevated depressive symptoms in the perinatal period, and poorer quality mother-infant interactions. These women require effective psychological help that targets their distress, risk, and interactions with their infants.

Key words: Self-injurious behavior; mother-infant interactions; self-harm, self-harm ideation; suicidality; depression; mother-infant relationship.

1. Introduction

Reports of self-harm are increasingly common.^{1,2} Among women aged 16 to 24 in the UK, lifetime prevalence of self-harm increased from 6.5% in 2000 to 19.7% in 2014.¹ Self-harm is also a marker of psychosocial vulnerability; recent population-based research³ found that adolescent self-harm is associated with adverse psychosocial outcomes nearly 20 years later. Many young women who self-harm are, or may soon be, mothers. Yet, we know little about whether self-harm is associated with adverse perinatal mental health or mother-infant interactions. This is important to explore, given the evidence for persisting vulnerability among individuals with a history of self-harm and the potentially challenging transition associated with parenthood.⁴

Maternal mental illness, most notably depression, has been found to be associated with certain patterns of mother-infant interactions, specifically less sensitivity and responsiveness of some mothers to their infants.⁵ For infants, these patterns have been associated with adverse social and academic outcomes, persisting through to adulthood.⁶ Importantly however, these outcomes are not inevitable, effect sizes are generally small or moderate, and effective interventions are available.⁷ Previous studies^{8,9,10} have found a relationship between suicidality and/or self-harm and adverse mother-infant bonding on self-report and observer-scored measures. There is a robust association between depressive disorders and self-harm, with suicidal thoughts and behaviors forming part of the diagnostic criteria for depression in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) and the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10).^{11,12} However, no published studies have focused specifically on the implications of a history of self-harm or self-harm ideation in pregnancy on mother-infant interactions. In light of this, we set out to investigate 2 questions:

1. Is there an association between a history of maternal self-harm and a) antenatal and postnatal depressive symptoms, and b) the quality of mother-infant interactions and maternal perceptions of bonding at 3 months postpartum?

2. Is there an association between maternal self-harm ideation in pregnancy and a) antenatal and postnatal depressive symptoms, and b) mother-infant interactions and maternal perceptions of bonding at 3 months postpartum?

2. Methods

2.1 Participants and procedure

Data were drawn from the WEll-being in pregNancy stuDY (WENDY), a prospective cohort study of pregnant women attending antenatal appointments in southeast London. Baseline interviews were conducted between November 2014 and June 2016 with follow-up between December 2014 and June 2017.

During the study period, 9,963 women were asked the Whooley questions (2 questions used to screen for depressive symptoms in pregnancy) by a midwife during their first antenatal appointment.¹³ All Whooley positive women (endorsing depressive symptoms) and a randomised sample of Whooley negative women were approached for inclusion into the study. The recruited cohort included 258 Whooley negative women and 287 Whooley positive women. Women were excluded if: ≤ 15 years, unable to provide informed consent, first antenatal booking interview already undergone elsewhere, or pregnancy terminated or miscarried between booking and baseline interview. In the UK, the first antenatal appointment typically includes a full medical, obstetrics, psychosocial and psychiatric history conducted by midwives. Further details of the recruitment process and study design have been published elsewhere.¹⁴ The participant flow diagram is given in Supplementary Figure 1.

Within 3 weeks of first antenatal visit, 545 women completed baseline questionnaires, with follow-up interviews at 28 weeks gestation (n=514, 94%) and 3 months postpartum (n=474, 87%). Videotaped footage of mother-infant interactions was recorded in women's homes at 3 months postpartum for a subsample of the original study (197 women, 78% response rate among those approached), as funding for videotaping was only obtained after the 3 month postpartum follow-up of the WENDY study had

already started. Ethics approval was granted from the Camberwell St Giles Research Ethics Committee, London (reference 14.LO.0075) on 14/02/2014. All participants gave written informed consent.

3. Measures

3.1 History of self-harm

All women completed the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID)-I Research Version for Axis I mood episodes, mood disorders and anxiety disorders module; SCID Axis I eating disorders module (SCID-I) and SCID-II personality disorders subsection module for borderline personality disorder. The SCID is a gold standard research assessment used in the diagnosis of psychiatric disorders, with moderate to excellent inter-rater reliability across all diagnoses.¹⁵ A history of self-harm or attempted suicide was determined from responses to:

- “Have you tried to hurt or kill yourself...” “Have you ever cut, burned, or scratched yourself on purpose?” (from the borderline personality disorder module).¹⁶
- “During the worst 2 weeks of the last month... were things so bad that you were thinking a lot about death or that you would be better off dead?” “Did you do anything to hurt yourself?” (from the depression module).¹⁷

History of self-harm was defined as at least 1 episode of participant-reported deliberate self-injury with or without suicidal intent. Data on history of self-harm was missing for 1 participant.

3.2 Self-harm ideation and depression

The Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) is a 10-item self-report questionnaire which was administered at baseline, 28 weeks gestation and 3 months postpartum. The EPDS has been validated for assessing depressive symptoms during antenatal and postnatal periods.^{18,19} Each item is scored between 0 and 3, with overall scores ≥ 13 suggesting probable depression.¹⁹

Self-harm ideation was measured based on question 10 of the EPDS, which has been validated and used more widely than the single question in the SCID interview that addressed thoughts of self-harm.²⁰ Question 10 of the EPDS states: “The thought of harming myself has occurred to me...” (0) never, (1) hardly ever, (2) sometimes, (3) yes, quite often. Answers (2) and (3) were coded as endorsements of self-harm ideation, and (0) and (1) were coded as negative responses in keeping with similar published research.²⁰

3.3 Mother-infant interactions

Mother-infant interactions were assessed using videotaped footage of a 5-minute free-play interaction between mothers and infants. All coding was done by an independent Child-Adult Relationship Experiment Index (CARE-Index) coder who was unaware of the study’s aims and hypotheses. The coder was Level II+ (research coding level reliability) certified from the Family Relations Institute.^{21,22} The CARE-Index is a validated, standardised and widely-used tool for assessing interactional and regulatory behaviors in infant-parent relationships.²³ It assesses maternal sensitivity, control and unresponsiveness, and infant cooperativeness, compulsivity, difficultness, and passivity. Each mother-infant interaction receives 7 scores plus an overall score for dyadic synchrony.

The focus of our analyses of mother-infant interactions were maternal control and infant compulsivity. Previous research²⁴ has found maternal controlling and unresponsive behaviors to be highly and negatively correlated, a finding also observed in our sample. Therefore, for simplicity, only maternal controlling scales were included. While other measures of infant behavior were analysed and are included in the results, the focus is on infant compulsivity (where infants suppress undesirable behaviors and comply with mothers’ demands as a maladaptive coping strategy). This is because infant compulsivity is commonly found to correlate with maternal control.^{25,26}

3.4 Mother-infant bonding

Mothers' perceptions of bonding with their infants were assessed using the Postpartum Bonding Questionnaire (PBQ). The PBQ is a validated, self-report questionnaire consisting of 25 statements, with participants rating responses to each statement on a scale from 'never' (0) to 'always' (5).²⁷ Scores ranged from 0 to 55 (out of 125), with lower scores indicating greater perceived bonding.

3.5 Socio-demographic factors

Demographic information was collected at first antenatal research interview and included maternal age, ethnicity, country of birth, yearly household income, highest qualification, parity, employment status and relationship status.

3.5 Data analysis

Survey weighting

Baseline demographics were survey weighted to account for the over-representation of Whooley positive women in the sample, as described in a previous publication.¹⁴ Weights were based on the number of Whooley positive and negative women in the study as a proportion of all those who had maternity appointment bookings at the maternity unit during the study period (the sampling frame): 906/287 for Whooley positive and 9057/258 for Whooley negative.

Missing data

To address loss to follow-up, inverse probability weights were created for EPDS scores at 28 weeks and 3 months postpartum, and PBQ scores at 3 months postpartum. Variables used to generate these weights were age, ethnicity, relationship status, country of birth, highest level of education, number of other children, and baseline Whooley status.

Incomplete EPDS data were imputed using predictive mean matching for participants with 1-3 missing items on the EPDS (11, 5 and 4 participants at baseline, mid-pregnancy and 3 months postpartum respectively). Five, 69 and 71 participants at each respective time point had no EPDS data, and 92 participants had missing PBQ data. Data were not imputed for these women.

Statistical Analyses

Analyses were computed using Stata 15.²⁸

Summary statistics for clinical and demographic characteristics were calculated without survey weights to compare women with and without a history of self-harm, additionally comparing women lost and not lost to follow-up at 3 months postpartum (Supplementary Table 1). Pearson chi² tests were performed to compare the associations between variables.

Linear regression analyses were performed to examine the relationship between history of self-harm and continuous EPDS score at baseline, 28 weeks gestation and 3 months postpartum. Survey weights were used to account for over-sampling of Whooley positive women at baseline, and inverse probability weights were used to account for loss to follow up. Analyses were adjusted for maternal age and ethnicity. The same analyses were performed using self-harm ideation across pregnancy as the exposure and continuous EPDS score (excluding question 10, as this was used to identify self-harm ideation) as the outcome.

Linear regression analyses were performed to examine the relationship between history of self-harm and mother-infant relationship on the PBQ and the CARE-Index. Analyses were adjusted for maternal age and ethnicity, infant sex and gestational age at birth, and additionally for maternal drug and alcohol use and socioeconomic status, and continuous baseline EPDS score. The same analyses were performed using self-harm ideation as the exposure and PBQ and CARE-Index scores as outcomes, adjusting for the same variables. Regression analyses using the PBQ as an outcome were performed in 2 ways: (1) using the CARE-Index sub-population (unweighted as this group represented a subsample of the

population (n=197) and were not intended to be representative of the original sample), and (2) using the complete sample with inverse probability weights and survey weights.

4. Results

545 women were recruited, with a mean age of 32.8 years (sd 5.7).¹⁴ Clinical and demographic characteristics are summarised in Table 1. 5.7% and 13.0% of the sample were lost to follow-up at 28 weeks and 3 months postpartum respectively. Women lost to follow-up were likely to be younger, born outside the UK, and to report higher depressive symptoms at baseline. For further demographic characteristics of loss to follow-up see Supplementary Table 1.

Based on survey weighting, the population prevalence estimate for history of self-harm was 7.9% (95%CI 5.5-11.2%). Women reporting a history of self-harm were more likely to be young (aged 16-24 years: 23.7% vs. 8.1%) and born in the UK (67.1% vs. 44.9%), compared to women without a history of self-harm. Lifetime self-harm was associated with a higher prevalence of probable depression on the EPDS at baseline (46.7% vs. 22.0%).

The estimated population prevalence of self-harm ideation at baseline was 2.3% (95%CI 1.2-4.2%), with 2.0% (95%CI 0.9-4.3%) and 1.6% (95%CI 0.8-3.2%) reporting self-harm ideation at 28 weeks and 3 months postpartum respectively. Women reporting self-harm ideation at baseline were more likely to be young (aged 16-24: 28.1% vs. 9.0%) and black (53.1% vs. 31.2%), compared with those who reported rare or no thoughts of self-harm.

4.1 Depressive symptoms during pregnancy and the postpartum

Weighted prevalence estimates suggest that 22.4% (95%CI 11.9-38.1) of women with a history of self-harm had scores above the cut-off for probable depression on the EPDS at baseline, compared with 10.5% (95%CI 7.6-14.3) of women without a past history (Figure 1). Based on linear regression

analyses, women with a history of self-harm had EPDS scores 2.56 (95%CI 0.53-4.60) ($p=0.014$) points higher than women without a history of self-harm at baseline (Table 2). This relationship attenuated at mid-pregnancy (1.69 (95%CI -0.34-3.73)), and 3 months postpartum (1.46 (95%CI -0.39-3.31)). The same trends were seen following adjustment for confounders (see Table 2).

At baseline, an estimated 98.6% (95%CI 88.8-99.8%) of women with self-harm ideation in early pregnancy had scores above the cut-off for probable depression, compared with 9.4% (95%CI 6.8-12.8%) of women without self-harm ideation (Figure 2).

Self-harm ideation in pregnancy (EPDS Question 10) was strongly associated with EPDS score (based on Questions 1-9) at all time points. Women reporting baseline self-harm ideation had EPDS scores that were 11.91 (95%CI 10.52-13.30%; $p<0.001$), 8.65 (95%CI 6.07-11.22%; $p<0.001$) and 6.85 (95%CI 4.70-9.00%; $p<0.001$) points higher than those without thoughts of self-harm at baseline, 28 weeks and 3 months postpartum respectively, with the same trends seen after adjustment (see Table 2).

4.2 Maternal perception of mother-infant bonding

There was no evidence for a relationship between a history of self-harm and PBQ score when the total sample was included and weighted (regression coefficient 1.07 (95%CI -1.76-3.91)), or whether the sample only included women who also completed the CARE-Index (regression coefficient -1.41 (95%CI -4.43-1.60)).

However, women with baseline self-harm ideation had PBQ scores 6.28 (95%CI 1.76-10.81; $p=0.007$) points higher (i.e. lower perceived bond) than those without self-harm ideation when using the entire weighted sample, with adjustments. This relationship was substantially attenuated when adjusting for depression at baseline (regression coefficient 3.34 (95%CI -1.75-8.42)). When including only women who completed both the PBQ and the CARE-Index ($n=187$), there was no association between self-harm ideation and PBQ scores (regression coefficient 1.48 (95%CI -2.71-5.66)).

4.3 Mother-infant interactions using the CARE-index

Women with a history of self-harm did not demonstrate differences in quality of mother-infant interactions across all scales of mother and infant behavior when compared with women with no history of self-harm (see Table 3). However, self-harm ideation at baseline was significantly associated with several aspects of mother-infant interactions. Mothers with self-harm ideation were more controlling, with CARE-Index scores 3.52 (95%CI 1.46-5.57; $p=0.001$) points higher than those without self-harm ideation. This association persisted when adjusting for maternal age and ethnicity, gestational age at delivery, infant sex (regression coefficient 2.34, 95%CI 0.40-4.28; $p=0.019$), and then additionally for socioeconomic status and maternal drug and alcohol use (regression coefficient 3.55, 95%CI 1.15-5.95; $p=0.004$), and finally depressive symptoms at baseline (regression coefficient 2.22, 95%CI 0.14-4.29; $p=0.036$). Infants were more compulsive, with scores 3.63 (95%CI 1.48-5.79; $p=0.001$) points higher than infants whose mothers reported no self-harm ideation. This association persisted with the same adjustments (regression coefficients 2.37, 95%CI 0.36-4.38; $p=0.021$; 3.84, 95%CI 1.20-6.48; $p=0.005$, and 2.41, 95%CI 0.26-4.56; $p=0.028$ respectively). Thoughts of self-harm were also associated with lower overall dyadic synchrony (see table 3).

5. Discussion

In this prospective study of a cohort of pregnant women, a history of self-harm was not associated with poorer quality mother-infant interactions or maternal perceptions of bonding, despite history of self-harm being associated with elevated depressive symptoms in early pregnancy. Self-harm ideation in early pregnancy was associated with depressive symptoms at all time-points and increased maternal control and infant compulsivity, even after adjusting for baseline depressive symptoms. This suggests that the association between thoughts of self-harm in early pregnancy and the mother-infant relationship is not merely because thoughts of self-harm are a proxy for depressive symptoms.

Mothers with self-harm ideation in early pregnancy additionally had poorer perceived bonding on the self-reported measure. Interestingly, this relationship was only significant when considering the entire cohort, and not the cohort who completed the PBQ and had CARE-Index data. This suggests that the CARE-Index sample is perhaps not representative of the total study cohort. Women who reported increased depressive and anxiety symptoms on the EPDS and Generalised Anxiety Disorder 2-item (GAD-2) were slightly less likely to agree to being filmed for the CARE index, reporting they felt uncomfortable being recorded (mean EPDS score 8.28 and GAD-2 score 1.53 for the cohort who declined compared with 7.07 (EPDS) and 1.34 (GAD-2) for those who participated). This may explain the differences between the 2 cohorts.

5.1 Relationship with previous research

In our study, the prevalence of pregnant women endorsing self-harm ideation at baseline was 2.3%, slightly lower than research²⁹ estimating prevalence at a similar stage of pregnancy (4-5%). It is plausible that women may be less likely to endorse a history of self-harm during pregnancy due to concerns about being judged for behaviors that occurred years ago or being perceived as ‘unfit’ future mothers, so our estimate may be an under-estimate.

To our knowledge, this is the first published study addressing the relationships between history of self-harm, self-harm ideation in pregnancy and observed mother-infant interactions. Borschmann et al¹⁰ found that in a population of 384 women, a past history of maternal self-harm was associated with depressive symptoms in pregnancy and adverse mother-infant bonding on maternal self-report. Whilst our research found a relationship between history of self-harm and depressive symptoms in early pregnancy, history of self-harm was not predictive of depressive symptoms in the later perinatal period and for self-reported mother-infant bonding. Our research additionally measured self-harm *ideation* in pregnancy and used externally-scored as well as self-reported measures of mother-infant interactions and bonding.

Two further previous studies^{8,9} found that women reporting acute suicidality were more likely to experience adverse self-perceived and/or observer-reported bonding outcomes relative to peers not expressing suicidal ideation. However, both studies included high-risk psychiatric populations, examined acute suicidality in the postpartum and were not prospective cohort studies.

5.2 Strengths and limitations

This was a large longitudinal study, representative of an ethnically diverse population of women in southeast London. Unlike other studies, the exposures were measured during pregnancy and both self-report and observer-scored measures were used to assess mother-infant interactions postpartum. Self-report measures of bonding can be problematic, as it is common for mental disorders to partly determine the way mothers view their relationships with their infants, e.g. women with depression have negative cognitions associated with failure.³⁰ However, an observational measure is less prone to information bias associated with self-report, and arguably provides more objective insights into mother and infant behaviors.²³ We were unable to follow up all women in this cohort but attrition was relatively low with more than 85% of women followed up, and weights predicting missingness were used to mitigate bias.

Maternal depression may be a confounding variable explaining the association between self-harm ideation and the quality of the mother-infant relationship. However, adjusting for baseline EPDS score did not change the relationships observed, suggesting that self-harm ideation could be an independent predictor of mother-infant relationship problems. For history of self-harm, we could not separate suicidal from non-suicidal intent, nor recent from earlier historical events. Recent self-harm and self-harm with suicidal intent may be more strongly associated with vulnerabilities in the transition to parenthood. Finally, the diagnostic label of borderline personality disorder has been associated with both self-harm and potential difficulties in early mother-infant interactions.³¹ Self-harm and self-harm ideation may also be markers of other mental disorders or, importantly, adversities such as childhood

trauma and domestic or sexual violence victimisation that can be associated with mental health problems or interaction difficulties.^{32,33,34} These relationships should be explored in future studies.

5.3 Implications for future research and practice

Self-harm has been identified in the NICE guidelines³⁵ as a potential risk area to be explored for women with suspected mental health problems during pregnancy and the postpartum period. Our results suggest that asking about self-harm ideation may be useful in clinical practice for identification of women at risk of mother-infant interaction difficulties, in addition to other maternal psychopathologies. Further research is needed on self-harm ideation in the perinatal period, specifically, reporting thoughts of self-harm during this time may itself have implications on the mother-infant relationship. Additionally, further research is warranted into the acceptability of maternity service professionals asking about self-harm in routine care.

Conclusion

Women with a history of self-harm or current self-harm ideation are more likely to experience depressive symptoms during pregnancy and the postpartum. History of self-harm was not found to be associated with poorer mother-infant interaction quality; however, asking about *thoughts* of self-harm in early pregnancy may identify a group of women with vulnerabilities throughout pregnancy and the postpartum.

Clinical points

- Reports of self-harm and self-harm ideation are increasing, but little is known about their potential relationship with maternal mood and behavior during pregnancy and the postpartum.
- Women with a history of self-harm or current self-harm ideation in pregnancy are more likely to experience depressive symptoms during the perinatal period, and thoughts of self-harm in pregnancy were found to be associated with controlling maternal and compulsive infant behaviors.
- Asking about thoughts of self-harm in early pregnancy may help identify a group of women with vulnerabilities throughout pregnancy and the postpartum.

References

1. McManus S, Bebbington P, Jenkins R, Brugha T, NHS Digital, UK Statistics Authority. Suicidal thoughts, suicide attempts, and self-harm. In: *Mental Health and Wellbeing in England: Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey 2014 : A Survey Carried out for NHS Digital by NatCen Social Research and the Department of Health Sciences, University of Leicester.* ; 2016.
2. Hawton K, Fagg J, Simkin S, Bale E, Bond A. Trends in deliberate self-harm in Oxford, 1985-1995. Implications for clinical services and the prevention of suicide. *Br J Psychiatry.* 1997;171(6):556-560.
3. Borschmann R, Becker D, Coffey C, et al. 20-year outcomes in adolescents who self-harm: a population-based cohort study. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health.* 2017;1(3):195-202.
4. Pollock L, Williams J. Problem-solving in suicide attempters. *Psychol Med.* 2004;34(1):163-167.
5. Murray L, Fearon P, Cooper P. Postnatal depression, mother–infant interactions, and child development. *Identifying Perinat Depress Anxiety Evid-Based Pract Screen Psychosoc Assess Manag.* 2015:139-164.
6. Raby K, Lee, Roisman Glenn I, Fraley R. Chris, Simpson Jeffrey A. The Enduring Predictive Significance of Early Maternal Sensitivity: Social and Academic Competence Through Age 32 Years. *Child Dev.* 2014;86(3):695-708. doi:10.1111/cdev.12325
7. Stein A, Pearson RM, Goodman SH, et al. Effects of perinatal mental disorders on the fetus and child. *The Lancet.* 2014;384(9956):1800-1819. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61277-0
8. Sockol LE, Battle CL, Howard M, Davis T. Correlates of impaired mother-infant bonding in a partial hospital program for perinatal women. *Arch Womens Ment Health.* 2014;17(5):465-469. doi:10.1007/s00737-014-0419-6
9. Paris R, Bolton RE, Weinberg MK. Postpartum depression, suicidality, and mother-infant interactions. *Arch Womens Ment Health.* 2009;12(5):309. doi:10.1007/s00737-009-0105-2
10. Borschmann R, Molyneaux E, Spry E, et al. Pre-conception self-harm, maternal mental health and mother–infant bonding problems: a 20-year prospective cohort study. *Psychol Med.*:1-9. doi:10.1017/S0033291718003689
11. American Psychiatric Association,. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders.* 5th ed. Washington, DC; 2013.
12. World Health Organization, ed. *The ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioral Disorders: Diagnostic Criteria for Research.* Geneva: World Health Organization; 1993.
13. Whooley MA, Avins AL, Miranda J, Browner WS. Case-finding instruments for depression. *J Gen Intern Med.* 1997;12(7):439-445.
14. Howard LM, Ryan EG, Trevillion K, et al. Accuracy of the Whooley questions and the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale in identifying depression and other mental disorders in early pregnancy. *Br J Psychiatry.* 2018;212(1):50-56.
15. Lobbetael J, Leurgans M, Arntz A. Inter-rater reliability of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (SCID I) and Axis II Disorders (SCID II). *Clin Psychol Psychother.* 2011;18(1):75-79. doi:10.1002/cpp.693

16. First M, Spitzer R, Gibbon M, Williams J. Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis II Personality Disorders, (SCID-II). 1997.
17. First M, Spitzer R, Gibbon M, Williams J. Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders, Clinician Version (SCID-CV). 1996.
18. Murray D, Cox JL. Screening for depression during pregnancy with the Edinburgh Depression Scale (EDDS). *J Reprod Infant Psychol.* 1990;8(2):99-107.
19. Cox JL, Holden JM, Sagovsky R. Detection of postnatal depression: development of the 10-item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. *Br J Psychiatry.* 1987;150(6):782-786.
20. Howard LM, Flach C, Mehay A, Sharp D, Tylee A. The prevalence of suicidal ideation identified by the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale in postpartum women in primary care: findings from the RESPOND trial. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2011;11(1):57.
21. Crittenden PM. A Dynamic-Maturational Model of Attachment. *Aust N Z J Fam Ther.* 2006;27(2):105-115.
22. Crittenden PM. CARE-Index: Infants coding manual. 2003.
23. Tryphonopoulos PD, Letourneau N, Ditommaso E. Attachment and caregiver-infant interaction: a review of the observational-assessment tools. *Infant Ment Health J.* 2014;35(6):642-656. doi:10.1002/imhj.21461
24. Stephenson LA, Macdonald AJ, Seneviratne G, Waites F, Pawlby S. Mother and Baby Units matter: improved outcomes for both. *BJPsych Open.* 2018;4(3):119-125.
25. Udry-Jørgensen L, Pierrehumbert B, Borghini A, et al. Quality of attachment, perinatal risk, and mother–infant interaction in a high-risk premature sample. *Infant Ment Health J.* 2011;32(3):305-318.
26. Crittenden PM, DiLalla DL. Compulsive compliance: The development of an inhibitory coping strategy in infancy. *J Abnorm Child Psychol.* 1988;16(5):585-599.
27. Brockington I, Fraser C, Wilson D. The postpartum bonding questionnaire: a validation. *Arch Womens Ment Health.* 2006;9(5):233-242.
28. StataCorp. *Stata Statistical Software: Release 15.* College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC; 2017.
29. Giallo R, Pilkington P, Borschmann R, Seymour M, Dunning M, Brown S. The prevalence and correlates of self-harm ideation trajectories in Australian women from pregnancy to 4-years postpartum. *J Affect Disord.* 2018;229:152-158.
30. Hornstein C, Trautmann-Villalba P, Hohm E, Rave E, Wortmann-Fleischer S, Schwarz M. Maternal bond and mother–child interaction in severe postpartum psychiatric disorders: Is there a link? *Arch Womens Ment Health.* 2006;9(5):279-284. doi:10.1007/s00737-006-0148-6
31. Newman LK, Stevenson CS, Bergman LR, Boyce P. Borderline personality disorder, mother–infant interaction and parenting perceptions: preliminary findings. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry.* 2007;41(7):598-605.
32. Lyons-Ruth K, Block D. The disturbed caregiving system: Relations among childhood trauma, maternal caregiving, and infant affect and attachment. *Infant Ment Health J Off Publ World Assoc Infant Ment Health.* 1996;17(3):257-275.
33. Howard LM, Oram S, Galley H, Trevillion K, Feder G. Domestic violence and perinatal mental

disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Med.* 2013;10(5):e1001452.

34. O'Connor A, Geraghty S, Doleman G, De Leo A. Suicidal ideation in the perinatal period: A systematic review. *Ment Health Prev.* 2018;12:67-75.

35. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (Great Britain). *Antenatal and Postnatal Mental Health: Clinical Management and Service Guidance*. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence; 2007.