This electronic thesis or dissertation has been downloaded from Explore Bristol Research, http://research-information.bristol.ac.uk

Author: Ockenden, Amy R O
Title: Investigating The Effects Of Titanium Nanoparticles On Freshwater Benthic Algae

General rights
Access to the thesis is subject to the Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International Public License. A copy of this may be found at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/legalcode This license sets out your rights and the restrictions that apply to your access to the thesis so it is important you read this before proceeding.

Take down policy
Some pages of this thesis may have been removed for copyright restrictions prior to having it been deposited in Explore Bristol Research. However, if you have discovered material within the thesis that you consider to be unlawful e.g. breaches of copyright (either yours or that of a third party) or any other law, including but not limited to those relating to patent, trademark, confidentiality, data protection, obscenity, defamation, libel, then please contact collections-metadata@bristol.ac.uk and include the following information in your message:

• Your contact details
• Bibliographic details for the item, including a URL
• An outline nature of the complaint

Your claim will be investigated and, where appropriate, the item in question will be removed from public view as soon as possible.
Investigating The Effects Of Titanium Nanoparticles On Freshwater Benthic Algae

Amy Ockenden

Online graphic illustration of a variety of diatoms (not scientifically accurate) by Amy Ockenden

A dissertation submitted to the University of Bristol in accordance with the requirements for award of the degree of Masters by Research in the Faculty of Life Sciences, School of Biological Sciences, 2019.

Word count: c., 28,500
Abstract

Background
Titanium dioxide nanoparticles (n-TiO$_2$) possess a range of unique physico-chemical properties, which makes them differ greatly from their bulk counterparts. These unique properties make them highly desirable, and they are used in a broad range of cosmetic, textile and medical products. Increasing consumer demands are expected to drive worldwide production up to 2.5 million tonnes/year by 2025. The amount of n-TiO$_2$s entering freshwater bodies is increasing, causing concerns about the potential toxic impacts on freshwater biota, including microalgae. Previous studies have shown that freshwater algae are negatively affected by the presence of n-TiO$_2$s, however, most of this research has focused on the effects on green, planktonic algal species. Due to the high sedimentation rate of n-TiO$_2$s, the benthic sediment may be a temporary repository, and benthic algae may be more at risk of n-TiO$_2$ exposure.

Aims and Methods
The main aim of this thesis was to investigate the impacts of n-TiO$_2$ on benthic freshwater algae. The two main objectives were i) to quantify the impacts of n-TiO$_2$s on the growth and photophysiology on a single species benthic diatom, *Nitzschia palea* and ii) to quantify the impacts of n-TiO$_2$s on the biomass, photophysiology and species composition of whole benthic biofilm communities in outdoor artificial mesocosms using river water from the River Frome (Dorset, UK).

Results
Increasing n-TiO$_2$ concentration exerted an acute toxic effect on benthic algae. Exposure to n-TiO$_2$ negatively impacted the growth and photophysiology of *N. palea* in the laboratory and negatively impacted the photophysiology and pigment concentration of biofilm communities in the field. Negative impacts were more pronounced in the field, as biofilms were impacted by lower n-TiO$_2$ concentrations, suggesting *N. palea* may be a relatively tolerant species. Negative effects, both in the laboratory and field, were less pronounced after 72 hours.

Implications
This research confirms that n-TiO$_2$s negatively impact benthic algal communities and highlights the need for extensive testing of n-TiO$_2$ on benthic species. The use of mesocosm experiments in nanotoxicology is vital for understanding the toxicity impacts in the field, which may be under-estimated in the laboratory due to field conditions potentially altering the toxicity of n-TiO$_2$s. Due to the variability in algal response to n-TiO$_2$ throughout the literature, future research should consider how methodological variations can change the toxicity profile of n-TiO$_2$s, leading to the production non-comparable and non-reproducible data.
Dedication and Acknowledgements

I would like to dedicate this research to the following people and organisations...

To my primary supervisor, **Professor Marian Yallop** - I would like to emphasize my sincere appreciation for your supervision throughout this masters. You have taught me new scientific techniques, provided excellent feedback and advice, and most importantly, you have inspired a future in freshwater ecotoxicology.

To my mum, **Claire** - thank you for making this research all possible. Your emotional and financial support throughout this masters has been incredible. You have always made me feel relaxed at home when I have needed a break from my studies, and from Bristol. Your positive outlook on life has reflected in my studies, and I am so grateful.

To my grandparents, **Barbara** and **Rodney** - thank you for always showing massive amounts of interest in my work, and always offering to read through sections of my thesis, and giving me advice on spelling and grammar! Your interest in my research has filled me with joy and confidence. Thank you also for letting me use your beautiful home as a writing retreat.

To my younger brother, **Toby** - thank you for making me smile and laugh throughout the last year and a half, your happiness and jokes has made writing up this thesis so much easier.

To my dad, **Keith** - thank you for supporting me throughout University, your help and support throughout my undergraduate degree, allowed me to progress into research.

To my secondary supervisor, **Professor Gary Barker** - thank you for always providing me with help and advice when I needed it.

To the **Freshwater Biological Association (FBA)** - thank you for allowing me to use your on-site resources for field work.

To members in my lab group, **Dr Kelly Atkins** and **Dan Fagan** - thank you both for all your support and providing me with all the relevant training I needed to complete this research.

To my friends, - Thank you to all my friends that have supported me and made sure I took a healthy number of breaks when writing up. Specifically, thank you too **Laura Case, Kelly-Louise Ray, Lizzie Senior, Jacob Povey** and **Jonathan Girt** for being amazing friends, and allowing me to escape the thesis from time to time.

To **Sam Smithers** and **Sam Huguet** - thank you for allowing me to stay with you whilst writing up, the surrounding environment has been so much nicer with you guys around. It has been so much easier being able to write up here in Bristol, and I am so grateful for your kindness.

To my best friends, **Benito Wainwright, Sam South** and **Tom Timberlake** - thank you
for always boosting my confidence during setbacks, dealing with my negativity from time to time, reading my thesis endlessly, and just being amazing people. So much love.

Last but definitely not least, I would like to thank my doggo, Jack - for providing me with love and cuddles throughout.

This research was partly supported by the NERC grant, (NE/N006518/1): 'Multimodal characterization of nanoparticles in the environment.'
Author’s Declaration

I declare that the work in this dissertation was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the University’s Regulations and Code of Practice for Research Degree Programmes and that it has not been submitted for any other academic award. Except where indicated by specific reference in the text, the work is the candidate’s own work. Work done in collaboration with, or with the assistance of, others, is indicated as such. Any views expressed in the dissertation are those of the author.

SIGNED: .................................................................................. DATE:..........................
## Contents

1 Introduction

1.1 Types of engineered nanoparticles (ENPs) ........................................... 2
1.2 Titanium dioxide nanoparticles (n-TiO$_2$s) ........................................... 3
  1.2.1 Particle Size .................................................................................. 3
  1.2.2 Crystalline Structure ...................................................................... 4
1.3 Fate of ENPs in the environment .......................................................... 4
  1.3.1 The entry of ENPs into the aquatic environment .............................. 4
  1.3.2 Fate and behaviour of ENPs in the aquatic environment ................. 5
  1.3.3 The influence of pH on the properties and behaviour of titanium diox-
       ide nanoparticles in aqueous media ...................................................... 5
  1.3.4 The influence of ionic strength (IS) on the properties and behaviour
       of titanium dioxide nanoparticles in aqueous media .......................... 6
  1.3.5 The influence of natural organic matter (NOM) on the properties and
       behaviour of titanium dioxide nanoparticles in aqueous media .......... 7
  1.3.6 The influence of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) on the prop-
       erties and behaviour of titanium dioxide nanoparticles in aqueous media 7
1.4 Regulations for the production, toxicity testing and evaluation of ENPs .... 8
1.5 Variation in toxicity testing protocols for microalgae ............................. 9
1.6 Evidence of toxicity of n-TiO$_2$s to freshwater microalgae .................... 11
  1.6.1 Particle Composition and Size ......................................................... 12
  1.6.2 Growth Medium ........................................................................... 12
1.7 Freshwater benthic biofilms and their uses as bio-indicators of water quality 15
1.7.1 The importance of biofilms and benthic diatoms in water quality assessment .............................................. 15
1.7.2 Freshwater biofilms for measuring the impacts of ENPs .................. 16
1.8 Knowledge gaps and aims of thesis ................................................................. 17
  1.8.1 Knowledge gaps .................................................................................. 17
  1.8.2 Aims of thesis ...................................................................................... 18
1.9 Thesis Outline .............................................................................................. 18

2 The impacts of n-TiO$_2$ on freshwater benthic diatom *Nitzschia palea* 20
  2.1 Introduction .............................................................................................. 21
    2.1.1 The importance of testing the impacts of ENPs on benthic diatoms . .. 21
    2.1.2 The impacts of ENPs on benthic diatoms ........................................... 22
    2.1.3 The OECD Freshwater Alga and Cyanobacteria Growth Inhibition Test: A guide for ENP toxicity testing ................................. 22
    2.1.4 The rationale for selecting *Nitzschia palea* as a possible test species for evaluating n-TiO$_2$ toxicity on benthic diatoms ....................... 25
  2.2 Aims of study ............................................................................................ 25
  2.3 Methods ..................................................................................................... 26
    2.3.1 Specimen collection and maintenance .............................................. 26
    2.3.2 Titanium dioxide nanoparticle (n-TiO$_2$) characterization ................... 28
    2.3.3 Preparation of the titanium dioxide nanoparticles (n-TiO$_{28}$) ....... 28
    2.3.4 Experimental set-up ........................................................................... 29
    2.3.5 Cell growth analysis .......................................................................... 29
    2.3.6 Pulse amplitude modulated (PAM) fluorometry ................................ 31
    2.3.7 Imaging Diatom Cells ........................................................................ 32
    2.3.8 Statistical analysis .............................................................................. 32
  2.4 Results ........................................................................................................ 33
    2.4.1 Titanium dioxide nanoparticle (n-TiO$_2$) characteristics .................. 33
    2.4.2 The impact of an increasing n-TiO$_2$ exposure concentration on the growth of *Nitzschia palea* ......................................................... 35
2.4.3 The impact of an increasing n-TiO₂ exposure concentration on the photophysiology of *Nitzschia palea* ........................................ 38
2.4.4 Imaging diatom cells using light microscopy ............................. 45
2.4.5 Summary of results .......................................................... 45

2.5 Discussion ........................................................................... 46
  2.5.1 The effect of n-TiO₂ exposure on the growth of the freshwater diatom, *Nitzschia palea* ..................................................... 46
  2.5.2 The effect of n-TiO₂ on the photophysiology of *Nitzschia palea* ................................................................. 50
  2.5.3 Limitations and caveats of study ........................................ 52
  2.5.4 Conclusion .................................................................. 52

3 The impacts of n-TiO₂ on riverine biofilm assemblages ............. 54
  3.1 Introduction .................................................................... 55
    3.1.1 The roles of benthic biofilms in freshwater ecosystems .......... 55
    3.1.2 The diatom fraction of freshwater biofilms ....................... 55
    3.1.3 The use of artificial mesocosms to study biofilm functioning in freshwater environments ................................................. 56
    3.1.4 The impact of titanium dioxide nanoparticles (n-TiO₂s) on freshwater biofilms .......................................................... 57
  3.2 Aims of study .................................................................. 58
  3.3 Methods ........................................................................... 59
    3.3.1 Study site and environmental data ..................................... 59
    3.3.2 Experimental design ....................................................... 60
    3.3.3 Experimental set-up ......................................................... 62
    3.3.4 Mesocosm water properties .............................................. 62
    3.3.5 Biofilm sampling ............................................................ 63
    3.3.6 Chlorophyll pigment extraction ........................................ 63
    3.3.7 Dry mass ..................................................................... 65
    3.3.8 Pulse amplitude modulated (PAM) fluorometry ................... 65
    3.3.9 Diatom cleaning and species identification ......................... 65
3.3.10 Statistical analysis ................................................. 66

3.4 Results ............................................................... 67
3.4.1 Mesocosm water properties and local weather data ............... 67
3.4.2 Changes in the periphytic biomass of benthic biofilms following the application of n-TiO$_2$ ................................................. 68
3.4.3 Diatom species composition ........................................ 71
3.4.4 Changes in the photophysiology of riverine biofilms following n-TiO$_2$ exposure .................................................. 74
3.4.5 Summary of results .................................................. 81

3.5 Discussion ........................................................... 81
3.5.1 The effects of n-TiO$_2$ on the biomass of riverine biofilm assemblages ...................................................... 81
3.5.2 The effects of n-TiO$_2$ on the diatom species composition of riverine biofilm assemblages ........................................ 83
3.5.3 The effects of n-TiO$_2$ on the photophysiology of riverine biofilm assemblages ...................................................... 85
3.5.4 Limitations and caveats of study .................................... 86
3.5.5 Conclusion ............................................................. 87

4 Synthesis and future recommendations ................................ 88
4.1 Do results from investigating n-TiO$_2$ impacts on a single species diatoms, *N. palea*, tell a similar story to the results from investigating the impacts of n-TiO$_2$ on whole riverine biofilm assemblages in the field? ...................... 89
4.2 Problems with evaluating the impacts of ENPs using the OECD Freshwater Alga and Cyanobacteria Growth Inhibition Test .............................. 91
4.3 Future recommendations for testing the impacts of n-TiO$_2$s in the field ................................................................. 93
4.4 The larger scale impacts of n-TiO$_2$ pollution on the world’s water bodies . . . 94
List of Figures

1.1 The classification of nanoobjects ........................................ 2
1.2 The effect of increased ionic strength on nanoparticle homoaggregation .... 6

2.1 Raman spectra of n-TiO$_2$ ........................................ 33
2.2 EDS element phase map of n-TiO$_2$ sample ........................... 34
2.3 Growth curve of *Nitzschia palea* exposed to n-TiO$_2$ over a period of 72 hours 35
2.4 Average growth rate inhibition (GI%) of *Nitzschia palea* exposed to n-TiO$_2$
    over a 72-hour period .................................................. 37
2.5 Rapid light curve of *Nitzschia palea* ................................ 39
2.6 The impact of n-TiO$_2$ on the *Fv/Fm* of *Nitzschia palea* ..................... 40
2.7 The impact of n-TiO$_2$ on the *a* of *Nitzschia palea* ...................... 41
2.8 The impact of n-TiO$_2$ on the rETRm of *Nitzschia palea* .................. 43
2.9 The impact of n-TiO$_2$ on the $E_k$ of *Nitzschia palea* .................. 44
2.10 Light microscopy image showing aggregations of n-TiO$_2$ on the cell surface of
    *Nitzschia palea* ...................................................... 45

3.1 Map of the River Frome and the surrounding catchment areas .............. 60
3.2 Artificial mesocosm set-up for experiment .................................. 61
3.3 The impact of n-TiO$_2$ on the total chlorophyll of riverine biofilm assemblages 69
3.4 The impact of n-TiO$_2$ on the dry mass of riverine biofilm assemblages ...... 71
3.5 Axis 1 and Axis 2 of NMDS, based on relative abundances of diatoms grown
    in riverine biofilm assemblages ...................................... 74
3.6 Rapid light curves produced from riverine biofilm assemblages at 24 and 72
    hours ................................................................. 75
3.7 The impact of n-TiO$_2$ on the *Fv/Fm* of riverine biofilm assemblages .... 76
List of Figures

3.8 The impact of n-TiO$_2$ on the ($\alpha$) of riverine biofilm assemblages . . . . . . . . . . 77
3.9 The impact of n-TiO$_2$ on the rETRm of riverine biofilm assemblages . . . . . 78
3.10 The impact of n-TiO$_2$ on the E$_k$ of riverine biofilm assemblages . . . . . . . . 80
List of Tables

1.1 Summary of studies investigating n-TiO$_2$ impacts on freshwater algae ..... 14

2.1 Elemental composition of OECD media. ........................................... 27

2.2 Definitions of photosynthetic parameters ....................................... 32

2.3 Weight ratios of chemical elements found in the n-TiO$_2$ power sample ..... 34

2.4 Daily growth rates of *Nitzschia palea* ............................................. 36

3.1 Environmental parameters in stream mesocosm river water .................. 68

3.2 The amount and ratios of chlorophyll pigments in riverine biofilm assemblages
     at each treatment ................................................................. 70

3.3 Species composition, relative abundance and Spearman’s Rank Correlation
     coefficient for riverine biofilm assemblages in each treatment .............. 73
# List of Equations

2.1 Growth Rate Equation ............................................. 30
2.2 Growth Inhibition Equation ........................................ 30
2.3 *Fv/Fm* Equation ..................................................... 32
3.1 Total Chlorophyll Equation ......................................... 64
3.2 Chlorophyll *a* Equation ........................................... 64
3.3 Chlorophyll *b* Equation ........................................... 64
3.4 Chlorophyll *c* Equation ........................................... 64
CHAPTER 1

An Introduction To Engineered Nanoparticles (ENPs)
with specific focus on titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$)
1.1 Types of engineered nanoparticles (ENPs)

An object that is defined as "nanoscale" denotes one that has a size range spanning 1-100 nm. The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) classifies nanoobjects into three categories depending on the number of dimensions at the nanoscale (Figure 1.1). The focus of this research is on nanoparticles (NPs), which are defined as particles with a size range of 1-100 nm, possessing three outer dimensions at the nanoscale.

![Figure 1.1](image)

**Figure 1.1:** The classification of nanoobjects into three separate categories depending on the number of dimensions at the nanoscale. Nanoobjects can include nanoparticles (3 outer dimensions), nanofibers (2 outer dimensions) and nanoplates (1 outer dimension). Diagram taken and adapted from Krug & Wick (2011).

In the natural environment, NPs are present in clays, organic matter and iron oxides, and play important roles in biogeochemical processes (Maurer-Jones *et al.*, 2013; Bakshi *et al.*, 2015). Artificial manufacture of NPs is also common, and industrial output greatly outweighs the volume of naturally-occurring NPs (Klaine *et al.*, 2008). Man-made NPs are termed "engineered nanoparticles" (ENPs) and they can be classified based on their
morphological, physical and chemical properties (Khan et al., 2017). Some common classes of ENPs include: carbon-based, metal, ceramic, semi-conductor, polymeric and lipid ENPs (Khan et al., 2017). One of the most prevalent groups of ENPs are the metal oxides (e.g. TiO$_2$, Ag$_2$O, ZnO); their physico-chemical properties such as size, surface area, shape and chemical composition differ greatly from their bulk counterparts (Gatoo et al., 2014). These physico-chemical transformations at the nano-scale offer a range of desirable properties, such as a high surface area:volume ratio (SA:V), which may enhance their photocatalytic activity, and therefore artificially derived ENPs are increasingly being used in a broad range of consumer products, including cosmetics and medicines (De Jong & Borm, 2008; Raj et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2015).

1.2 Titanium dioxide nanoparticles (n-TiO$_2$s)

1.2.1 Particle Size

This thesis focuses on the potential toxic effects of n-TiO$_2$; this particular ENP was selected because they are one of the most frequently manufactured ENPs worldwide, with 10,000 tonnes produced per year (Piccino et al., 2012; Vance et al., 2015). The production of n-TiO$_2$ is expected to increase to 2.5 million tonnes by 2025 (Mezni et al., 2018), so it is likely that the release of n-TiO$_2$s into surrounding environments, including freshwater bodies, will increase. It is also important to question the potential toxic effects of n-TiO$_2$s because the fine particles (particles with a size of 100 nm-3000 nm) of TiO$_2$ are often reported to possess low toxicity (Shi et al., 2013; Warheit & Brown, 2019), and therefore they are regularly used in biomedical applications. They have even been used as negative controls in toxicological studies (Shi et al., 2013). However, when these particles become ‘nano-scale’, the toxic profile of the particles is likely to change. The smaller size of ENPs compared to fine particles, leads to an increased SA:V ratio, meaning there are more atoms on the particle surface (Fu et al., 2015). When irradiated with ultra-violet (UV) light, electron hole pairs are produced which gives the n-TiO$_2$ photocatalytic properties (Fu et al., 2015). This photocatalytic ability renders them highly reactive, causing increased aggregation with other ENPs (homoaggregation) and biological cells (heteroaggregation) (Ju-Nam & Lead,
1.2.2 Crystalline Structure

The three dominant crystalline phases of n-TiO$_2$ are anatase, rutile and brookite. A mixture of both anatase and rutile typically make up the n-TiO$_2$s that are used in industry (Shah et al., 2017), each phase providing desirable commercial characteristics. Higher proportions of anatase in ENPs for example, provides high photocatalytic ability (Mahmoud et al., 2017) and the rutile crystalline phase is used in paint as a white pigment (Cassaignon et al., 2007). These characteristics give them a myriad of desirable traits that are exploited by cosmetic, medical and textile industries. Cosmetic brands are responsible for 50-80% of n-TiO$_2$ production (Piccino et al., 2012); they are used in commercial sunscreens, taking advantage of the practical property of blocking damaging UV radiation along with the cosmetic benefit of staying transparent when applied to human skin (Kessler, 2011). They are also valuable in medicine, possessing useful bacteriacidal properties (Jesline et al., 2014). In the textile industry, they have been used as a paint additive due to their self-cleaning features (Smulders et al., 2014). Also, n-TiO$_2$s have environmental benefits, as they have been found useful in environmental bioremediation techniques, such as the sequestration of nutrients (Bessa da Silva et al., 2016).

1.3 Fate of ENPs in the environment

1.3.1 The entry of ENPs into the aquatic environment

As consumer demand continues to grow, the production of consumer items containing ENPs is escalating. Accordingly, increasing amounts of ENPs are being released into the environment, including freshwater ecosystems. Freshwater environments are predominantly exposed to ENPs through leaching of degraded consumer products from agricultural run-off, sewage treatment plants and industrial sources (Shevlin et al., 2018). In particular, n-TiO$_2$s are indirectly released into water bodies by spraying sunscreens and aerosols, and through drainage of rainwater containing ENPs found in commercial paints (Zhang et al., 2015).
ENPs can also be deliberately introduced into an aquatic system through environmental remediation techniques (Bessa da Silva et al., 2016).

Measuring and characterizing ENPs in the aquatic environment is challenging (Luo et al., 2014), as straightforward analytical methods are unavailable. One approach to overcome this has been the application of computer models to predict environmental concentrations (Bundschuh et al., 2018). It is notoriously difficult to predict actual concentrations, because the distribution of ENPs in water systems is uneven, with the highest concentrations found in areas of highest human activity, such as near-shore waters and sampling effort has been very patchy and not wide-spread (Gottschalk & Nowack, 2011). Modeled environmental concentrations of ENPs show that n-TiO$_2$ had the highest concentration relative to other ENPs in the soil, sediment and sewage treatment plants in Europe and the US (Gottschalk et al., 2009). Mueller & Nowack (2008) estimated predicted environmental concentration (PEC) values for n-TiO$_2$ in water in Switzerland and suggested that concentrations could be up to 16 µg/l.

1.3.2 Fate and behaviour of ENPs in the aquatic environment

The fate of ENPs in aquatic systems (i.e. in which zone of the water body the ENPs will end up) is dependent on, predominantly, the degree of ENP aggregation. Understanding the aggregation status of ENPs requires knowledge on physico-chemical properties of both the ENPs and the media in which they are immersed. Properties of ENPs such as size and surface charge can change when presented with changes in ionic strength (IS), natural organic matter (NOM) and pH (Dunphy-Guzman et al., 2006; French et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2014; Xu, 2018).

1.3.3 The influence of pH on the properties and behaviour of titanium dioxide nanoparticles in aqueous media

The effect of pH on the behaviour of n-TiO$_2$s in aqueous media has been frequently studied (Dunphy-Guzman et al., 2006; French et al., 2009; Hu et al., 2018; Tang & Cheng,
Chapter 1. Introduction

2018). The degree of homoaggregation is determined by the difference between the pH of the media and the pH_{pzc} of the ENPs (pzc = point zero charge). As the medium pH approaches the pH_{pzc} of n-TiO_2 (pzc of n-TiO_2 = 6) (Zhu et al., 2014) the particles tend to aggregate more easily.

1.3.4 The influence of ionic strength (IS) on the properties and behaviour of titanium dioxide nanoparticles in aqueous media

An increase in ionic strength (IS) has been found to increase homoaggregation through compression of the double electric layer (Figure 1.2), meaning the Van Der Waals attractive forces will increase (Danielsson et al., 2017), overcoming the electrostatic repulsion between objects that are similar in charge (Navarro et al., 2008). Evidence of enhanced homoaggregation under high IS levels has been recorded multiple times (Doyle et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018). He et al. (2015) showed that under 0.01 mM KCl, the sedimentation efficiency of n-TiO_2 was 20% after 4 hours, increasing to 70% under 100 mM KCl. This effect of enhanced homoaggregation under high IS conditions has also been observed in the field, where comparisons of four lakes showed n-TiO_2 homoaggregation to be highest in brackish waters (high IS) (Li et al., 2016).

Figure 1.2: Schematic diagram of the effects of increased ionic strength (IS) on a n-TiO_2 particle. The zeta potential is used as a measure of surface charge. An increase in IS causes compression of the double electric layer and increases the Van Der Waals attractive forces. This changes the zeta potential of the particle and increases attractive forces which reduces electrostatic repulsion between other particles, and particle homoaggregation occurs.
1.3.5 The influence of natural organic matter (NOM) on the properties and behaviour of titanium dioxide nanoparticles in aqueous media

Natural organic matter (NOM) is a general term used to describe a mixture of organic compounds found in water. In the literature, some studies use the term dissolved organic matter (DOM) which is a subdivision of NOM, whereby the particles pass through a 0.45 µm filter (Perdue & Ritchie, 2003). Metal oxide ENPs may interact with NOM in the surrounding environment. The adsorption of NOM onto the ENP surface may lead to surface modifications, including a change in ENP surface charge (Mensch et al., 2017). Humic acids (HA) are a common and important group of NOM found in the environment, as are fulvic acids (FA). Studies have shown that NOM has varying roles in aquatic systems; it can enhance or reduce ENP aggregation depending on localized pH and IS conditions (Zhu et al., 2014; Danielsson et al., 2017; Luo et al., 2018). For example, when pH > pH_{pzc} n-TiO_2 (pzc of n-TiO_2 = 6), (Zhu et al., 2014), as is common in UK freshwater systems, typically ranging from pH 6-9, (Water Framework Directive, 2014), the presence of HA does not alter the zeta potential of n-TiO_2, meaning there is no change in aggregation. When pH < pH_{pzc} n-TiO_2 (pH = 4), which is a pH likely in acidic wastewater effluent (Al-Harahsheh et al., 2014), HA presence can either promote homoaggregation, or, if in excess (> 94.5 µg/l), reverse the charge, thereby stabilizing the ENPs (Zhu et al., 2014).

1.3.6 The influence of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) on the properties and behaviour of titanium dioxide nanoparticles in aqueous media

Many algae produce extracellular polymeric substances (EPS), which contain a range of polymeric organic compounds. The EPS secreted from algal cells plays an important role in motility, adhesion and nutrient adsorption in algae (Goto et al., 1999). Benthic algae produce EPS to allow adhesion with other cells when forming a biofilm. EPS can also be
released as a defense mechanism when exposed to an arbitrary toxicant, as the EPS can help to mop up toxic compounds, including heavy metals (Gutierrez et al., 2012; Deccho & Gutierrez, 2017). EPS can be divided into two groups: cell surface bound EPS (B-EPS) and soluble EPS (S-EPS). Cell surface bound EPS (B-EPS) is not attached to the algal cell surface and can be dissociated in culture medium (Gao et al., 2018). EPS has been shown to have a high adsorption capacity for n-TiO$_2$s, especially particles which possess the anatase crystalline form. The adsorption of n-TiO$_2$s by EPS could contribute to greater heteroaggregation between the algae and the n-TiO$_2$s (Xu et al., 2016; Gao et al., 2018). Soluble EPS (S-EPS) has been shown to have a higher adsorption capacity compared to cell surface bound EPS (B-EPS) (Gao et al., 2018), which could lead to increased homoaggregation between particles, and ultimately the sedimentation of n-TiO$_2$s which could alter exposure conditions throughout a toxicity experiment. Benthic diatoms are known to produce large amounts of EPS for movement (Verneuil et al., 2015) (though not all are motile), so it may be that benthic diatoms with sticky cell walls have higher levels of n-TiO$_2$ adsorption due to increased amounts of EPS.

These external factors do not work in isolation and can either enhance aggregation via charge neutralization or reduce aggregation via electrostatic repulsion/steric hindrance (Xu, 2018). The zeta potential is an index used to measure this level of aggregation and colloidal stability (Berg et al., 2009). It is important to consider all these factors for toxicity testing, because aggregation may determine ENP settling rates (Zhang et al., 2017), meaning we can infer whether pelagic or benthic aquatic organisms are more at risk of n-TiO$_2$ exposure.

1.4 Regulations for the production, toxicity testing and evaluation of ENPs

At present, there are no internationally agreed regulations for the production, toxicity testing and evaluation of ENPs (Jeevanandam et al., 2018). In Europe and the USA, ENP production is regulated by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under the toxic substances control act (TSCA). Governing bodies such as the Food and Drug Administration
(FDA) regulate the amount of ENPs entering readily available consumer products (Hedge et al., 2016). Regulating the production of ENPs can be challenging because each particle varies in chemical element composition, size, and sometimes possess different coatings on the surface. Failure to consider size estimation of ENPs often prevents comparison of their toxicity to their bulk counterpart (Laux et al., 2018). Rising concerns on the safety of ENPs led to the subsequent formation of the "Working Party of Manufactured Nanomaterials (WPMN)" founded by the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) in 2006. Regarding the field of Environmental Ecotoxicology, the primary objective of the framework was to analyse current OECD toxicity testing guidelines and adapt these to testing 13 different ENPs. Eight OECD testing guidelines were modified based on the testing of ENPs considered to be ion-releasing and those considered to be inert ENPs (Hund-Rinke et al., 2016). For ENP toxicity testing using microalgae, the authors recommended that iron should be supplied as FeSO\textsubscript{4} rather than FeCl\textsubscript{3} to ensure good growth of the algae. It was also suggested that the amount of phosphorus in the media should be increased by 5 times (Hund-Rinke et al., 2016). These recommendations come from just one study, however, and they have not been backed up by any further references. More recently, the OECD has released specific guidelines for testing the toxicity of ENPs. The guidelines consider the effects of undissolved particles and consider changes in exposure conditions throughout the duration of the experiment, which are inherent issues requiring consideration to improve the consistency and reproducibility of data (OECD, 2018).

1.5 Variation in toxicity testing protocols for microalgae

Microalgae are useful organisms to evaluate the toxicity of a particular substance. A guideline for testing the toxicity of chemicals to freshwater microalgae is The Freshwater Alga and Cyanobacteria Growth Inhibition Test (OECD TG 201, 2011). There is a similar guideline in the U.S. developed by the Environmental Protection Agency for investigating toxicity of substances to freshwater algae (EPA, 1994), but the focus of this thesis will be on the OECD guideline as this method has been more widely applied to date. Toxicity testing of ENPs can be categorized by the length of the test; acute toxicity tests are typically 72
hours long and are the most frequently used method (OECD TG 201, 2011). During these tests, multiple parameters can be recorded to further understand the effect and mechanisms of toxicants, such as ENPs, on algal health. The most common endpoint that has been applied in ENP toxicity testing is growth inhibition, which is then expressed as an inhibitory concentration (IC(x)) value. Many researchers call this value the effective concentration (EC$_{50}$), which refers to the concentration of a toxicant which induces a response halfway between the baseline and maximum after a specified exposure time. The IC$_{50}$, however, refers to the concentration of a toxicant that brings about a 50% inhibition of growth, therefore, this is the most appropriate definition when evaluating the toxicity of a substance on the growth of algae (Tang et al., 2018a). Other common toxicity test endpoints involve biomass determination, either through algal cell counts with a haemocytometer (Chen et al., 2012; Metzler et al., 2012; Hartmann et al., 2013; Ivask et al., 2014), flow cytometry (Manier et al., 2015; Suman et al., 2015; Sendra et al., 2017), or through chlorophyll a measurements in-vitro (Aruoja et al., 2009; Lee & An, 2013; Nam et al., 2018). Other methods have been applied to measure overall algal photosynthetic health including growth rate analysis and in-vivo chlorophyll fluorescence measurements using a pulse amplitude modulated fluorometer (PAM) (Deng et al., 2017; Middepogu et al., 2018). Another useful endpoint includes algal cell reactive oxygen species (ROS) analysis (Fu et al., 2015; Deng et al., 2017).

The Freshwater Alga and Cyanobacteria Growth Inhibition Test (OECD TG 201, 2011) recommends the use of several model species for the toxicity testing of hazardous substances. They consist of two green alga species (Raphidocelis subcapitata (formerly Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata)), Desmodesmus subspicatus), two cyanobacterial species (Anabaena flos-aquae, Synechococcus leopoliensis) and one diatom species (Fistulifera pelliculosa (formerly Navicula pelliculosa)). The green algae have been the most frequently used in toxicity tests, including nanotoxicological assessments, with R. subcapitata being the most commonly used species (Tang et al., 2018a). Published research on the toxicity effects of ENPs to freshwater diatoms to date, however, is minimal with most of the studies focusing on the impacts of ENPs to marine diatoms (Miller et al., 2010; Miller et al., 2012; Xia et al., 2015; Deng et al., 2017). Relatively fewer studies have investigated the impact of ENPs on freshwater
diatoms (e.g. Kulacki & Cardinale, 2012; Joonas et al., 2019; Jia et al., 2019). Although the OECD already recommends a model diatom species, it is thought amongst researchers that *F. pelliculosa* is a very difficult species to work with, as the cells tend to clump, and form aggregates easily (OECD TG 201, 2011), and their extremely small size makes them difficult to count under the standard light microscopes (Schoeman et al., 1976), typically available to many researchers. In preliminary trials undertaken at Bristol University, this species proved to be particularly problematic as it was difficult to separate clumps of cells for counting, which made it difficult to differentiate between cells and nanoparticulates (Hana Masani, personal communication). Research has shown that n-TiO$_2$ (concentration = 100 mg l$^{-1}$) did not inhibit the biomass or photosynthesis of *F. pelliculosa* (Joonas et al., 2019), leading them to suggest that this species may be relatively tolerant, and therefore may not be a suitable indicator diatom species for ENP toxicity testing.

### 1.6 Evidence of toxicity of n-TiO$_2$s to freshwater microalgae

Recent published evidence suggests that n-TiO$_2$ is toxic to aquatic life, including microalgae (Aruoja et al., 2009; Sadiq et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2012; Lee & An, 2013; Sendra et al., 2017; Middepogu et al., 2018; Jia et al., 2019). Discrepancies exist throughout the literature, however, with other research concluding n-TiO$_2$ may have no negative impacts (Metzler et al., 2011; Joonas et al., 2019). To facilitate comparisons, a detailed summary of the results from published studies investigating n-TiO$_2$ impacts on single species of freshwater algae, both green and diatoms, has been compiled ([Table 1](#)). It is apparent that n-TiO$_2$ exposure has caused growth inhibition and photosynthetic impairment in several green algal species and one diatom species. It was evident from this comparison that researchers had adopted a range of test methods including differences in test media, differences in ENP size and crystalline form and difference in algal species used. In addition to this, researchers use different light regimes, different volumes of experimental chambers, and different shaking regimes, hence it is not possible to draw any firm conclusions given the range of protocols applied.
1.6.1 Particle Composition and Size

The ratio of the two dominant crystalline phases (anatase and rutile) of n-TiO$_2$s may determine degree of toxicity. It is documented that anatase is a more toxic phase than rutile (Shah et al., 2017), which can be attributed to its higher adsorption capacity for the extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) surrounding algal cells (Gao et al., 2018), thus leading to greater heteroaggregation with algal cells, which therefore increases reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation (Gao et al., 2018). The question of differential toxicity, due to particle phase, was addressed by two research groups, focusing on the crystalline phase; both groups finding that particles with higher rutile composition exhibited a lower toxicity to two species of green algae, *Chlorella* sp. (Iswarya et al., 2015) and *Raphidocelis subcapitata* (Manier et al., 2016).

The impact of particle size on n-TiO$_2$ toxicity towards algae has also been considered. Experimenters have used n-TiO$_2$s with a size range spanning 1-100 nm (Table 1). Experimenters that used a primary particle size of < 25 nm typically reported greater toxicity impacts (Lee & Ann, 2013; Iswarya et al., 2015; Manier et al., 2016). A study evaluating the effects of three different particle sizes of n-TiO$_2$ (10, 30, 100 nm) found that the 10 nm particles caused greatest growth inhibition in *R. subcapitata* (Hartmann et al., 2010). Smaller sized particles may have an increased chance of passing through pores in the algal cell wall, which have a diameter of typically 5-20 nm (Navarro et al., 2008). Evidence of n-TiO$_2$ internalization (particle size: 25 nm) in algal cells has been shown in the marine diatom *Nitzschia closterium* (Xia et al., 2015), and *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* (Gao et al., 2018) and in a cyanobacterium *Anabaena variabilis* (Cherchi et al., 2011).

1.6.2 Growth Medium

It is evident from reviewing publications where impacts of n-TiO$_2$ have been tested, that a number of researchers have not used the standard toxicity testing media, recommended by OECD. Several types of media are used in ecotoxicological experiments with algae. Chem-
ical differences amongst the media may cause differences in n-TiO$_2$ toxicity (Wang et al., 2018). As displayed in Table 1, studies that have used OECD media reported high n-TiO$_2$ toxicity (Aruoja et al., 2009; Lee & Ann, 2013; Iswarya et al., 2015; Manier et al., 2016). In contrast, studies that used soil extract (SE) medium (Ji et al., 2011) showed lower toxicities.

It is also likely that these factors may not be acting in isolation, and that multiple factors affect n-TiO$_2$ toxicity. Differences in pH, IS and NOM between media used in experiments may determine the aggregation status of ENPs, and thus the overall toxicity. Additionally, the impact of test species used in toxicity experiments must be considered, as there may be species-specific tolerance to n-TiO$_2$s.
Table 1.1: A summary of studies investigating the impacts of n-TiO$_2$s on different species of freshwater autotrophs. Studies in alphabetical species order, with green algae (g) shown first, then diatoms (d). Under the particle composition section, A = anastase and R = rutile. Under the endpoint measured section, PA = photosynthetic activity, GI = growth inhibition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Species</th>
<th>Particle Size (nm)</th>
<th>Particle Composition (%)</th>
<th>Growth Medium</th>
<th>Endpoint Measured</th>
<th>Characterization for Toxicology (mg l$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (g)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Mix of A and R</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>Decreased at &gt; 1</td>
<td>Chen et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. reinhardtii (g)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>A = 79; R = 21</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>GI</td>
<td>72 hr EC$_{50}$ &gt;50</td>
<td>Sendra et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorella sp. (g)</td>
<td>(5-10)</td>
<td>A = 99</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>GI</td>
<td>144 hr EC$_{50}$: 30</td>
<td>Ji et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorella sp. (g)</td>
<td>&lt;25; 100</td>
<td>A = 99.7; R = 99.5</td>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>GI</td>
<td>72 hr EC$_{50}$: 3.36</td>
<td>Iswarya et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorella pyrenoidosa (g)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Mainly A</td>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>GI</td>
<td>96 hr IC$_{50}$: 9.1</td>
<td>Middepogu et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desmodesmus subspicatus (g)</td>
<td>25; 100</td>
<td>A = 100; Mainly A</td>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>GI</td>
<td>72 hr EC$<em>{50}$: 44; 72 hr EC$</em>{50}$: &gt;50</td>
<td>Hund-Rinke &amp; Simon, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raphidocelis subcapitata (g)</td>
<td>25-70</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>GI</td>
<td>72 hr EC$_{50}$: 5.83</td>
<td>Anoja et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. subcapitata (g)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>A = 99</td>
<td>USEPA</td>
<td>GI</td>
<td>96 hr IC$_{25}$: 1-2</td>
<td>Hall et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. subcapitata (g)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>A = 72.6; R = 18.4</td>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>GI</td>
<td>72 hr EC$_{50}$: 71.1</td>
<td>Hartmann et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. subcapitata (g)</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>A = 80-90</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>GI</td>
<td>72 hr EC$_{50}$: 113</td>
<td>Metzler et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. subcapitata (g)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>A = 72.6; R = 18.4</td>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>GI</td>
<td>72 hr EC$_{50}$: 2.53</td>
<td>Lee &amp; An, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. subcapitata (g)</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>A = 99</td>
<td>EC</td>
<td>GI</td>
<td>96 hr EC$_{50}$: 6.3</td>
<td>Fu et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. subcapitata (g)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>A = 98.5</td>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>GI</td>
<td>72 hr EC$<em>{50}$: 8.5; 72 hr EC$</em>{50}$: &gt;50</td>
<td>Manier et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fistulifera pelliculosa (d)</td>
<td>22-25</td>
<td>Mix of A &amp; R</td>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>GI</td>
<td>72 hr EC$_{50}$: &gt;100</td>
<td>Joonas et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitzschia frustulum (d)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>CSI</td>
<td>GI</td>
<td>72 hr EC$_{50}$: 28.98</td>
<td>Jia et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.7 Freshwater benthic biofilms and their uses as bio-indicators of water quality

Freshwater benthic biofilms, also termed periphyton, consist of a diverse community of phototrophic and heterotrophic microorganisms. Microbial life in a freshwater biofilm spans the whole tree of life; including bacteria, fungi, heterotrophic protists, microinvertebrates, and algae. Within these complex assemblages, microorganisms are tightly bound in a matrix-like structure, which is held together by their own secretions of EPS (Biggs et al., 1998; Sekar et al., 2002; Yallop & Kelly, 2006). The surrounding EPS on the surface of the biofilm may sequester nutrients or toxins e.g. heavy metals in surrounding water, and protects the biofilm from disturbance, predation and potentially anthropogenic pollutants (Sutherland et al., 2001; Sabater et al., 2016). Biofilms vary in structure and composition depending on the surface on which they develop. In benthic freshwater environments, biofilms tend to grow on inert substrata such as rocks, cobbles and hard sediment (such as clay or silt) (Romani & Sabater, 2001). Biofilms are extremely valuable to the structure and functioning of freshwater ecosystems. They provide many ecosystem services, such as contributing to oxygen production, serving as a vital habitat for aquatic invertebrates, and playing a critical role in nutrient cycling (Li et al., 2016).

1.7.1 The importance of biofilms and benthic diatoms in water quality assessment

Benthic biofilms have been found to be extremely useful in the field of ecotoxicology; they have an excellent ability to degrade anthropogenic pollutants (Mitra & Mukhopadhyay, 2016) and play an important role as bioindicators in freshwater ecosystems (Battin et al., 2009; Ferry et al., 2009). Biofilms are relatively easy to sample, and pollution of water systems can have profound effects on the biofilm community. For example, high levels of an arbitrary pollutant can trigger a shift in favour of pollution-tolerant species (Lavoie et al., 2018). Freshwater biofilms have been used as bioindicators to test the impacts of anthropogenic stressors, including heavy metals (e.g. Hill et al., 1997; Morin et al., 2008; Leguay et al., 2015) and pesticides (e.g. Guasch et al., 2003; Villeneuve et al., 2016). In
the aquatic environment, certain abiotic factors such as high light and differences in flow regime can influence biofilms’ response to certain stressors. Guasch & Sabater (2002) found that biofilms were more sensitive to the herbicide atrazine under high light intensities. Flow regime has also shown to impact biofilm tolerance; Villeneuve et al (2011) observed that biofilms were more sensitive to pesticides when grown under a turbulent flow regime, compared to a laminar flow regime.

Benthic diatoms are a dominant phototrophic component in these biofilm assemblages (Rimet et al., 2009). Diatoms are a major group of algae in the class Bacillariophyceae (Phylum: Bacillariophyta) characterized by their outer silica cell well (Lewin, 1962); differences in cell wall morphology are an essential tool for taxonomic identification. For decades, scientists have investigated the use of benthic diatoms as a useful biological monitoring tool for assessing the ecological status of water bodies (Kolkwitz & Marsson, 1908; Sládecek, 1986; Kelly & Whitton, 1995; Bennion et al., 2014). Many protocols have been developed to measure levels of eutrophication in water bodies using diatoms. Research by Kelly & Whitton (1995) resulted in the formulation of the 'Trophic Diatom Index' (TDI). This measure is based upon the fact that the inorganic pollution state of a water body is strongly associated with the diatom species array present. Diatoms are given a sensitivity value directly relating to their sensitivity to inorganic nutrient pollution. Species such as Nitzschia palea tend to be associated with high pollution areas and thus, are a high scoring species (Kelly et al., 2008). Since the development of the TDI, newer revised protocols have been developed, including "DARLEQ" (Diatoms for assessing river and lake ecological quality); this system allows comparisons between the actual state of a water body and that expected as a result of human activity (Kelly et al., 2008; Bennion et al., 2014). Diatoms have also been used to measure other variables in water bodies including changes in pH (Juggins et al., 2016) and heavy metal pollution (Medley & Clements, 1998) in freshwater environments.

1.7.2 Freshwater biofilms for measuring the impacts of ENPs

Freshwater benthic biofilms may be useful indicators for assessing the environmental risk of ENPs, as they are thought to be the ultimate repository for ENPs (Battin et al., 2009;
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Ferry et al., 2009; Kroll et al., 2014). Researchers have evaluated the effects of gold and titanium nanoparticles on freshwater biofilm communities (Battin et al., 2009; Ferry et al., 2009; Wright et al., 2018). Exposure to n-TiO$_2$ in freshwater biofilms has been shown to cause severe cell membrane damage, but this effect was greater in free-living cells (cells not attached to the biofilm) compared to cells in the biofilm, demonstrating the protective role of cell encapsulation against n-TiO$_2$s (Battin et al., 2009). Exposure to n-TiO$_2$ has also shown to have profound effects on species composition in biofilms, where exposure to high concentrations (5 mg l$^{-1}$) of n-TiO$_2$ caused a shift in the species, where pollution-sensitive taxa dominated by biofilms after 28 days of exposure (Wright et al., 2018).

1.8 Knowledge gaps and aims of thesis

1.8.1 Knowledge gaps

There were two main knowledge gaps identified from this review of the published literature. Firstly, a lack of information on the toxicity of n-TiO$_2$s to benthic freshwater diatom species, and as the sedimentation rate of n-TiO$_2$ in freshwater is high (Sharma et al., 2009), diatoms in the benthic sediment may be more exposed than planktonic algae. Secondly, there is a lack of a suitable benthic diatom species for routine toxicity testing of ENPs. Almost nobody has tested the impacts of n-TiO$_2$ exposure on the OECD recommended diatom, *F. pelliculosa*, and this species is known to be problematic in toxicity testing due to its small size and cell clumping. Therefore, there is a need to find a more suitable indicator species for toxicity of ENPs to benthic diatoms in freshwater.

There is also a need to assess the impacts of n-TiO$_2$ exposure to benthic diatoms in field conditions. From the review of the literature, it was also apparent that, protocol differences aside, there were marked species-specific differences in the toxicity of n-TiO$_2$. Therefore, trying to assess the responses in the field is problematic, due to the mixed community of algal species having differential tolerances to n-TiO$_2$. Moreover, in the field, there is a plethora of changing variables, such as light, pH, temperature, flow rate and the presence of UV irradiation. These changing variables may directly alter the toxicity of n-TiO$_2$s by in-
increasing/decreasing the toxicity to algae, or indirectly, by making the algae more susceptible to toxicity due to presence of high light, and high UV irradiation.

1.8.2 Aims of thesis

The main aim of this project is to explore the toxic effects of n-TiO$_2$s on benthic freshwater algal communities, through single-species testing and whole biofilm communities testing. In laboratory experiments, I will use a benthic diatom species (*N. palea*) to test its suitability as a test species and examine single species effects of n-TiO$_2$s finding out if they are more sensitive than green planktonic species. In field experiments, I will examine the whole benthic community effects of n-TiO$_2$s. In my synthesis, I will aim to compare field and laboratory results to determine whether *N. palea* is a good indicator species for determining n-TiO$_2$ pollution, explore the methodological variations of nanoparticle toxicity testing, and explore the global impacts of nanoparticle pollution.

1.9 Thesis Outline

**Chapters 2 and 3** are the data chapters of this thesis, both exploring the impact of n-TiO$_2$s on freshwater benthic algae. They both follow the structure of Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion, then Conclusion.

The research presented in **Chapter 2** focuses on testing the toxicity of n-TiO$_2$ to a single species freshwater benthic diatom, *Nitzschia palea* under laboratory conditions. A discussion on the application of the OECD guidelines for toxicity testing is discussed, and the impacts of n-TiO$_2$ on freshwater algae are reviewed. A revised protocol was then devised to quantify the impact of n-TiO$_2$ on the growth and photophysiology of *N. palea*. The impacts of n-TiO$_2$ to *N. palea* are illustrated and discussed, and comparisons are made between other studies investigating the impacts of n-TiO$_2$ on other species of freshwater algae.

The research presented in **Chapter 3** focuses on testing the toxicity of n-TiO$_2$ to benthic freshwater biofilm communities, under field conditions using river water from a Mill
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Stream which is a tributary of the River Frome (Dorset, UK). The literature on the impacts of n-TiO$_2$ on freshwater biofilms is reviewed. Using outdoor artificial mesocosms, the biomass, photophysiology and diatom species composition was investigated following n-TiO$_2$ exposure. The impacts of biomass, photophysiology and diatom species composition are illustrated and then discussed with relevant literature.

Chapter 4 is a synthesis of the research. This chapter draws conclusions from the findings of this study, exploring the parallels that can be drawn between laboratory and field studies. This chapter also states the limitations of testing the toxicity of nanoparticles to algae, and details recommendation for the future of titanium nanoparticle testing. The synthesis also explores the wider implications of wide-scale application of n-TiO$_2$ globally.
The effects of titanium dioxide nanoparticles (n-TiO$_2$) on the freshwater benthic diatom species, *Nitzschia palea*, (Kützing) W. Smith.

Watercolour of diatoms by Amy Ockenden
Chapter 2. The impacts of n-TiO$_2$ on freshwater benthic diatom *Nitzschia palea*

### 2.1 Introduction

#### 2.1.1 The importance of testing the impacts of ENPs on benthic diatoms

Most studies evaluating the toxicity of ENPs to microalgae have been conducted on green, planktonic algal species (e.g. Hund-Rinke & Simon, 2006; Aruoja *et al.*, 2009; Ji *et al.*, 2011; Lee & An, 2013; Fu *et al.*, 2015; Sendra *et al.*, 2017; Marchello *et al.*, 2018; Middepogi *et al.*, 2018). Few studies, however, have investigated the impacts of ENPs on freshwater benthic diatoms (see section 2.1.2) (Kulacki *et al.*, 2012; Bour *et al.*, 2015; Gonzalez *et al.*, 2016; Verneuil *et al.*, 2015; Wright *et al.*, 2018; Jia *et al.*, 2019; Joonas *et al.*, 2019), and only a few of these studies have tested the impacts of n-TiO$_2$ to freshwater benthic diatoms (Kulacki *et al.*, 2012; Wright *et al.*, 2018; Jia *et al.*, 2019; Joonas *et al.*, 2019). Studies have shown that the sedimentation rate of n-TiO$_2$ in water is high (Sharma, 2009; Keller *et al.*, 2010; Doyle *et al.*, 2014). Mueller & Nowack estimated n-TiO$_2$ concentration values to be 16 µg/l in water bodies in Switzerland, and reports from Europe and the US state that the highest concentrations of n-TiO$_2$s in were found in the sediment; there being 0.2-0.6 mg/kg (Gottschalk *et al.*, 2009). This information indicates that the benthic sediment may be, at least, a temporary repository for these ENPs (Li *et al.*, 2014; Moreno-Garrido *et al.*, 2015). Benthic diatoms may therefore have a higher chance of increased ENP exposure, compared to planktonic algal species, therefore it is essential that more effort should be focused on toxicity testing of the effects of ENPs on biota in the benthos. Comparisons between the two sets of outputs would help us to better understand whether ENP exposure affects benthic and planktonic algae differently. Furthermore, the OECD states that the recommended diatom species for toxicity testing, *Fistulifera pelliculosa*, "may form aggregates under certain growth conditions" and that "special measures have to be taken for biomass determination in order to obtain representative samples", including vigorous shaking (OECD TG 201, 2011). Given the concerns raised about difficulties associated with biomass determination for *F. pelliculosa*, it is important to find a suitable model species for testing the toxicity of ENPs to benthic diatoms i.e. to find a benthic equivalent of *Raphidocelis subcapitata*. 
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2.1.2 The impacts of ENPs on benthic diatoms

The effects of ENPs (including TiO$_2$, CeO$_2$, and multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT)) on benthic diatom species from freshwater and marine environments have been studied in just a few cases (Verneuil et al., 2015; Bour et al., 2015; Xia et al., 2015; Jia et al., 2019; Joonas et al., 2019). Studies investigating the effects of n-TiO$_2$ on the diatoms *Nitzschia closterium* (synonym *Cylindrotheca closterium*) and *Nitzschia frustulum* have shown growth inhibition, production of ROS and internalization of n-TiO$_2$s in algal cells (Xia et al., 2015; Jia et al., 2019). However, one study on the freshwater diatom *F. pelliculosa* reported no adverse effects on algal health when cells were exposed to n-TiO$_2$ (Joonas et al., 2019). Nonetheless, there is a lack of standardization of methods in the literature for carrying out toxicity testing, and variations in response may be related to different methodological approaches.

2.1.3 The OECD Freshwater Alga and Cyanobacteria Growth Inhibition Test: A guide for ENP toxicity testing

Most ecotoxicological studies using microalgae are performed using The Freshwater Alga and Cyanobacteria Growth Inhibition Test (OECD TG 201, 2011), and some researchers who have carried out toxicity testing using ENPs have used this protocol as a guide for running experiments (Aruoja et al., 2009; Manier et al., 2015; Metzler et al., 2018; Ozkaleli & Erdem, 2018), though invariably, modifications have been adopted. The tests were originally applied for testing soluble toxicants and the methods may not always be suitable when testing for particular ENPs. On closer inspection, there are a number of methodological variations between studies testing ENP toxicity to benthic diatoms (including variations in ENP preparation, shaking regimes, lighting conditions, endpoints and the presence of EPS). There is a need for a standardized approach to testing impacts of ENPs on benthic algae.

2.1.3.1 The preparation of ENPs

Dispersion of ENPs into aquatic media is important to achieve an initial uniform stock solution (Crane et al., 2008). Researchers tend to use two approaches to achieve effective
dispersion of ENPs, sonication or prolonged stirring (Handy *et al*., 2008). Both stirring and sonication help to break up any ENP aggregates and ensure initial dispersion. Published research has speculated that differences in dispersion preparation methods may be a reason for observed variation in toxicity throughout the literature (Hartmann *et al*., 2015). For example, Hund-Rinke & Wenzel (2010) showed that n-TiO$_2$ samples that had been stirred for 7 days were not toxic, but EC$_{20}$ values were produced for shorter stirring and sonication times.

### 2.1.3.2 Shaking of algae during toxicity testing

The OECD test recommends that algae are continuously shaken or stirred throughout the test to facilitate the transfer of CO$_2$ (OECD TG 201, 2011). However, this may not be beneficial for benthic algae that grow naturally in a biofilm. Some researchers carrying out toxicity tests on benthic algae have stated that they chose not to shake cultures to allow sedimentation and adhesion of algae (Moreno-Garrido *et al*., 2003; Verneuil *et al*., 2015; Bour *et al*., 2015; Xia *et al*., 2015). Various regimes have been reported in benthic diatom research, including continuous shaking of cultures (Joonas *et al*., 2019), shaking three times a day at eight-hour intervals (Jia *et al*., 2019) and no shaking at all (Verneuil *et al*., 2015).

### 2.1.3.3 Extracellular polymeric substances as a confounding issue with toxicity testing for ENPs

Many algae produce extracellular polymeric substances (EPS), and benthic diatoms produce a lot of EPS which may facilitate biofilm adhesion in culture vessels (Moreno-Garrido *et al*., 2015). The presence of EPS in the culture sample may affect the aggregation state of ENPs (Lin *et al*., 2016; Xu *et al*., 2016). Verneuil *et al* (2015) recommended that when working with benthic algae, the old inoculum should be removed prior to experimental start and resuspend the alga in fresh media, to remove the EPS and reduce ENP aggregation. Whilst this should be standard practice, this washing step has not always been stated in the literature, which may account for the inconsistencies when reporting the level of toxicological impacts of ENPs in benthic diatoms. Also, when sampling the algae for cell counts, it is essential that the sides and bottom of the well/petri dish are vigorously scraped with a
cell scraper, as benthic algae are likely to be tightly stuck to the walls. This ensures all the cells are lifted from the bottom.

2.1.3.4 Lighting conditions for toxicity testing of ENPs

The OECD guidelines state that algal cultures should receive continuous, uniform fluorescent illumination for the duration of the experiment at a light intensity of 60-120 µmol (photons) m⁻² s⁻¹ (OECD TG 201, 2011). One study testing n-TiO₂ toxicity to F. pelliculosa was compliant with these guidelines (Joonas et al., 2019), but others have used varying photoperiods, such as 16h:8h light dark cycles (Verneuil et al., 2015) and 12h:12h light dark cycles (Xia et al., 2015; Jia et al., 2019).

2.1.3.5 Variations in endpoint determination in toxicity testing

The choice of end point is also something that varies across published studies evaluating ENP toxicity on benthic diatoms. The OECD recommended test end point is the inhibition of growth (OECD TG 201, 2011), which has been used in several studies evaluating ENP toxicity to benthic diatoms (Verneuil et al., 2015; Xia et al., 2015; Jia et al., 2019; Joonas et al., 2019). The inhibition of growth is expressed as a logarithmic increase in biomass (average growth rate) during a set time period. The growth rate value is then used to work out the x% inhibition of growth which is expressed as an IC(x) value (e.g. IC₅₀). The guideline also states that yield can be used as an end point, which is defined as "the biomass at the end of the exposure period minus the biomass at the start of the exposure period" (OECD TG 201, 2011). Percentage inhibition of yield is then calculated and expressed as an IC(x) value. The x% yield, however, is rarely used throughout the literature, as it is not scientifically preferred, and included in this guideline to satisfy current regulatory requirements in some countries. To gain a better understanding of impacts of ENPs on cell functioning, pulse-amplitude modulated fluorometry (PAM) techniques have been used by some researchers to evaluate the impact of ENPs on green algae (Deng et al., 2017; Middepogu et al., 2018) and on benthic diatoms (Joonas et al., 2019). This technique can be made rapidly and non-intrusively (Consalvey et al., 2005). One particular measure (Fv/Fm), has been widely used as an indicator of photosynthetic health (Dijkman & Kromkamp, 2006; Murchie &
Lawson, 2013). A rapid light curve (RLC) can be generated, and from these curves multiple photosynthetic parameters can be obtained and analyzed, including the maximum quantum yield of photosystem II (PSII) in the dark-adapted state ($F_v/F_m$), the maximum electron transport rate (ETRm), the theoretical maximum light utilization coefficient (Alpha ($\alpha$)), and the light saturation coefficient ($E_k$).

### 2.1.4 The rationale for selecting *Nitzschia palea* as a possible test species for evaluating n-TiO$_2$ toxicity on benthic diatoms

The chosen species for this experiment was the pennate, benthic diatom, *Nitzschia palea*. This species was chosen because it has a wide distribution (Kim-Tiam *et al.*, 2018) and is frequently dominant in freshwater biofilms (Gonzalez *et al.*, 2016). This species is also useful in toxicity testing as it grows well in cultures in the laboratory with a fast generation time, it is relatively large (length: 12-42 µm) and thus easy to enumerate. In recent years, *N. palea* has been used to test the toxicity of potentially hazardous substances, including heavy metals (Chen *et al.*, 2013; Kim-Tiam *et al.*, 2018). This species has also been used to test impacts of certain ENPs, including silver (Ag$_2$O), cerium dioxide (CeO$_2$) and carbon nanotubes (Verneuil *et al.*, 2015; Bour *et al.*, 2015; Gonzalez *et al.*, 2016). However, to my knowledge, the toxicity effects of n-TiO$_2$ to *N. palea* have not been reported in the literature to date. Given the long history of testing the impacts of other potential hazardous substances on *N. palea*, it was decided to trial this species for testing the impacts of n-TiO$_2$s on freshwater benthic diatoms.

### 2.2 Aims of study

This laboratory study was carried out to investigate the species-specific effects of increasing n-TiO$_2$ exposure on the growth of a single benthic diatom, *N. palea* and to evaluate whether this diatom was more susceptible to n-TiO$_2$ exposure by comparison with the published findings for the planktonic, green algae. Specific aims were: (i) to assess whether increasing n-TiO$_2$ exposure concentration caused changes in the growth rate and growth rate inhibition of the diatom and (ii) to assess whether increasing n-TiO$_2$ exposure concen-
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Concentration caused changes in diatom photophysiology. The results of this study will contribute to ongoing evaluations of the possible impacts of n-TiO$_2$. To date, most studies have focused on measuring impacts to planktonic green algae. It was hypothesised that, the impacts of n-TiO$_2$ may be greater for benthic diatom species, as these ENPs are thought to aggregate rapidly on reaching the water column and settle in the benthic sediment (Sharma et al., 2009; Keller et al., 2010; Doyle et al., 2014). The following specific hypotheses were posed:

(i) It was hypothesised that an increasing n-TiO$_2$ concentration would negatively impact the growth rate and growth rate inhibition of *N. palea*, and negative impacts would increase over time.

(ii) It was hypothesised that an increasing n-TiO$_2$ concentration would negatively impact the photophysiology of *N. palea*, causing reductions in all measured photosynthetic parameters.

2.3 Methods

2.3.1 Specimen collection and maintenance

The freshwater benthic diatom used in this experiment (*Nitzschia sp.*) was sourced from Sciento (Manchester, UK). Diatom cleaning methods (see methods section 3.3.9 in chapter 3 for instructions on diatom cleaning) confirmed the species was *Nitzschia palea* (identified by Professor Marian Yallop). Diatoms were cultured in modified OECD media (OECD TG 201, 2011) (see Table 2.1 for elemental composition). Based on a review of previous published literature, various modifications were applied to the standard OECD guidelines (OECD TG 201, 2011) including:

1) The addition of a higher concentration of silica (matching the concentration used in the preparation of Diatom Medium (Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa (CCAP), Argyll, Scotland)). Higher concentrations of silica were added to allow diatom frustule for-
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2) MOPS (3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid) buffer was added, and the pH adjusted to 7.2, as this has been demonstrated to better maintain pH over the growth cycle, compared to the OECD buffer sodium bicarbonate (De Schamphelaere & Janssen, 2004). The addition of MOPS controlled for any pH changes that may occur during the addition of n-TiO$_2$ and to give better control of pH for compliance with OECD tests during experiments (OECD TG 201, 2011).

Prior to experimental start point, diatoms were grown in vented petri dishes in Diatom Media under a 14h:10h light:dark cycle, under static conditions, for four days and then placed in new OECD media prior to experimental start point to standardize the stage in exponential phase. The cultures were not shaken during the experiment to allow biofilm formation. During the experiment, cultures were maintained under experimental conditions in a Ref Tech growth room at 18°C under a 24-hour continuous light cycle, illuminated from below (120 μmol. photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ ≡ 6480 lux) using cool white fluorescent lights (Luxline Plus F58W/830, Sylvania, Erlangen, Germany). Light irradiance was measured using a QRT1/PAR light sensor (Hansatech Instruments Ltd, Norfolk, UK).

**Table 2.1:** Elemental composition of OECD media.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>mg/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>7.148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>3.927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus</td>
<td>0.285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>0.459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>13.704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>4.905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>2.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>0.115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3.2 Titanium dioxide nanoparticle (n-TiO\(_2\)) characterization

The titanium dioxide nanoparticles (n-TiO\(_2\)s) in a dry powder form, with a primary particle size of 50 nm were purchased from US Research Nanomaterials Inc. (Stock number: US3530, Houston, USA). Raman spectroscopy, using an inVia\textsuperscript{TM} confocal Raman microscope (Renishaw, Gloucestershire, UK) was used to determine the crystalline phase composition (anatase and/or rutile) of the n-TiO\(_2\)s. Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) was used to analyze the chemical elements present in the n-TiO\(_2\) sample. Phase maps showing elemental distribution of the n-TiO\(_2\)s were provided by the TEAM\textsuperscript{TM} EDS analysis software (EDAX Inc., New Jersey, USA). The size of the n-TiO\(_2\)s was verified by observing the n-TiO\(_2\) nano powder under the SEM (Zeiss EVO15, Cambridge, UK). The n-TiO\(_2\) characterization results were obtained with the assistance of Dr. Adel El-Turke (School of Physics, University of Bristol).

2.3.3 Preparation of the titanium dioxide nanoparticles (n-TiO\(_2\)s)

A primary stock suspension was prepared by directly adding the n-TiO\(_2\) nano powder to MilliQ water to obtain an initial n-TiO\(_2\) concentration of 2000 mg l\(^{-1}\). The suspension was then sonicated (Branson 3800, Branson Ultrasonics, Danbury, USA) for 30 minutes to disperse the n-TiO\(_2\)s. Five treatment concentrations plus one control (Control, 0.05, 0.5, 5, 10, 50 mg l\(^{-1}\)) were made up in the modified OECD media (pH = 7.2) and MilliQ water in 50 ml falcon tubes and these were left for 24 hours to age under experimental conditions (see section 2.3.1 for experimental conditions). The lowest concentration of n-TiO\(_2\) (0.05 mg l\(^{-1}\)) was selected to be more indicative of environmentally relevant values recorded between 0.0007-0.016 mg l\(^{-1}\) (Mueller & Nowack, 2008). The 5 mg l\(^{-1}\) treatment was selected to represent a high environmental concentration scenario, such as in raw sewage (Westerhoff et al., 2011). The 10 and 50 mg l\(^{-1}\) n-TiO\(_2\) are very high concentrations, and not environmentally relevant. However, with increased production of n-TiO\(_2\), environmental concentrations could massively increase.

The ageing of the n-TiO\(_2\)s in OECD media was carried out in falcon tubes due to
Chapter 2. The impacts of n-TiO$_2$ on freshwater benthic diatom *Nitzschia palea*

changes that take place when the n-TiO$_2$ is added to the media, changes that are not observed in MilliQ water (Doyle *et al.*, 2014). The n-TiO$_2$s have been shown to aggregate more in OECD media than in MilliQ water, which is likely due to the media containing a lot of salts e.g. CaCl$_2$ and MgSO$_4$, giving a relatively high ionic salt (IS) content (Lin *et al.*, 2017) (see diagram in section 1.3 of literature review). The n-TiO$_2$s were aged under experimental conditions (see section 2.3.1) and not aged under UV irradiation because the n-TiO$_2$ crystalline phase was 100% rutile, which according to published literature, is not considered to produce ROS when photoactivated by UV light (Sayes *et al.*, 2006).

### 2.3.4 Experimental set-up

The experiment was conducted at the University of Bristol Life Sciences Building over a period of four consecutive days. The algae, in exponential growth phase, were processed by gently centrifuging the culture using a centrifuge (Model: 5810 R, Eppendorf, Stevenage, UK) at 1000 RPM/201 RCF) for 10 minutes to remove the old diatom media and then resuspending the pelleted diatoms in fresh OECD media. Aliquots of well mixed algae were spiked into each of the 50 ml falcon tubes containing n-TiO$_2$ at differing concentrations (5 treatments + 1 control) to obtain a starting inoculum of 10,000 cells/ml (counted using a haemocytometer), matching the recommended starting concentration for the OECD recommended diatom, *F. pelliculosa* (OECD TG 201, 2011). The algal-nano suspensions (10 ml) were then plated out into 55 mm triple vented petri dishes (Zoro, Leicester, UK) with four replicates per treatment and incubated for 72 hours.

### 2.3.5 Cell growth analysis

To measure the effects of increasing concentrations of n-TiO$_2$ on algal biomass at defined intervals over the experiment, the OECD TG 201 algal growth inhibition test guidelines (OECD TG 201, 2011) were followed. Cell enumeration was carried out on an optical microscope (Olympus, CHA, Japan), at a magnification x 400, using a haemocytometer (Fuchs Rosenthal, cell depth = 0.2 mm, Hausser Scientific, Germany) at a standardized time point over a period of 72 hours, with 24-hour intervals (24, 48, 72 hour). Using aseptic techniques, the bottom of the petri-dishes were firstly scraped with a cell-scraper (10 vertical
and 10 horizontal scrapes for standardization) (Corning, 1.8 cm sterile blade) to dislodge the cells; a 1 ml sub-sample was homogenized for 20 seconds using a vortex and was preserved for cell enumeration using Lugols Iodine (1% final volume), kept in the dark, and counted within 1 month. Cells were counted and then average growth rates at 24, 48 and 72 hours were determined based upon the number of days the experiment had been running (Equation 2.1). Initial trial experiments indicated that the average control growth rate for the duration of the experiment was 0.98 d\(^{-1}\) which is consistent with the recommended minimum average control growth rate of OECD guidelines (0.92 d\(^{-1}\)). The average growth rates were then used in Equation 2.2 to calculate the average growth rate inhibition (GI) of each sample.

\[
\mu_{i-j} = \frac{\ln(X_j) - \ln(X_i)}{t_j - t_i} \text{ day}^{-1}
\]  

(2.1)

Where:

- \(\mu_{i-j}\) = average specific growth rate from time \(i-j\)
- \(X_i\) = biomass at time \(i\)
- \(X_j\) = biomass at time \(j\)
- \(t_j\) = time \(j\)
- \(t_i\) = time \(i\)

\[
GI(\%) = \left(1 - \frac{\mu_t}{\mu_c}\right) \times 100
\]  

(2.2)

Where:

- \(GI(\%)\) = percent inhibition of the sample growth rate
- \(\mu_c\) = mean value for average specific growth rate (\(\mu\)) in the control group
- \(\mu_t\) = average specific growth rate for the treatment replicate

Dose response curves were then produced by plotting the %GI against the logarithmic
of the concentration of n-TiO$_2$s. These curves were then used to estimate the IC$_{20}$ and IC$_{50}$ (the concentration at which 20% and 50% of the cell growth is inhibited) of n-TiO$_2$ at 24, 48 and 72 hours independently.

### 2.3.6 Pulse amplitude modulated (PAM) fluorometry

The photophysiology of *N. palea* was evaluated using pulse amplitude modulated fluorometry (PAM). Measurements were taken at 24-hour intervals over a 72-hour period using a WATER-PAM fluorometer (Walz, Effeltrich, Germany) without stirring, to avoid a biased fluorescence signal due to movement of cells from between areas, which vary in light intensity, in the cuvette (Cosgrove & Borowitzka, 2006; Herlory *et al.*, 2013). All treatments were harvested and analysed separately to ensure a standardised dark adaption time of 20 minutes (Consalvey *et al.*, 2005). The purpose of dark adaption time is to determine the maximum quantum yield of PSII in the dark-adapted state ($F_v/F_m$). During dark adaption, all PSII reaction centres are open, allowing measurement of the minimum fluorescence ($F_o$). When a saturating pulse is delivered to dark-adapted diatoms, all reaction centres are stimulated to close, giving a maximum fluorescence value ($F_m$). The difference between $F_o$ and $F_m$ represents the variable fluorescence ($F_v$), (Barbagallo *et al.*, 2003; Murchie & Lawson, 2013). Thus, the $F_v/F_m$ parameter was calculated using these values in Equation 2.3. Following dark adaption, 3 ml of OECD media was added to a cuvette to auto-zero the WATER-PAM. Rapid light curves (RLCs) were performed for each treatment and each of the four replicates. RLCs were carried out with a 4000 mol (photons) m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ saturating pulse, with 9 x 20 second actinic light increments ranging from 28 to 1406 mol (photons) m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Irradiance levels were set up using the Win-Control calibration routine using a spherical micro quantum sensor (US-SQS/WB) which is required for quantitative assessment of the photosynthetic active radiation (PAR). The RLC is fitted based on the model of Eilers and Peeters (1988). Analysis of RLCs using WINCONTROL software (WinControl-3, Walz, Effeltrich, Germany) allowed calculation of the following photosynthetic parameters: $r$ETR$m$, alpha ($\alpha$), $E_k$ and the $F_v/F_m$ (definitions are provided in Table 2.2).
\[
\frac{F_v}{F_m} = \frac{F_m - F_o}{F_m} \quad (2.3)
\]

Where:

\(F_v/F_m\) = maximum light utilisation efficiency of PSII

\(F_o\) = dark-adapted minimum fluorescence yield

\(F_m\) = dark-adapted maximum fluorescence yield during the saturating flash

### Table 2.2: Definitions of photosynthetic parameters: alpha (\(\alpha\)), \(F_v/F_m\), rETRm, and \(E_k\). Definitions taken from Consalvey et al (2005).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Photosynthetic Parameter</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(F_v/F_m)</td>
<td>The maximum quantum yield of photosystem II (PSII) in the dark-adapted state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha)</td>
<td>The theoretical maximum light utilisation coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETRm</td>
<td>The maximum electron transport rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E_k)</td>
<td>The light saturation coefficient</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2.3.7 Imaging Diatom Cells

At 72 hours, the algal cells in the control and 50 mg l\(^{-1}\) n-TiO\(_2\) treatment were observed using light fluorescence microscopy at a magnification x 1000 (Microscope: Leica DM3000 B; Camera: Olympus DP70, CHA, Japan).

#### 2.3.8 Statistical analysis

All statistical analysis was carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics 23 (IBM Corp., New York, USA). Homogeneity of variance was tested using Levene’s test; normality of residuals was tested using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Where data were non-normally distributed, it was logarithmically transformed. One-way ANOVA’s were performed to examine differences between n-TiO\(_2\) treatments at independent time points. Where data were significant, post-
Bonferroni tests were applied to identify significant differences between treatments. The n-TiO$_2$ concentration at which 20% and 50% of algal growth was inhibited (IC$_{20}$ and IC$_{50}$) was estimated by plotting the logarithmic test concentration against percentage growth inhibition (relative to the control). At 24, 48 and 72 hours, the IC$_{20}$ and IC$_{50}$ values were determined. The Excel add-in ed50v10 was used to estimate the IC$_{50}$ and IC$_{20}$ values. Statistical significances were accepted when the probability of the observed results ($p$) is equal to or less than 0.05, assuming the null hypothesis is true.

2.4 Results

2.4.1 Titanium dioxide nanoparticle (n-TiO$_2$) characteristics

Raman Spectroscopy confirmed the n-TiO$_2$ composition to be a crystalline phase of 100% rutile, indicated by three spectral peaks characteristic of the rutile phase (236, 446, 612 cm$^{-1}$) (Figure 2.1).

![Figure 2.1](image_url)

**Figure 2.1:** Raman spectra typical of the n-TiO$_2$ powder used in this experiment. In this spectra, three peaks at 236, 446, and 612 cm$^{-1}$ are well observed, which are typical of the rutile crystalline phase (Kernazhitsky et al., 2014).
The EDS spectrum confirms the presence of titanium (Ti) in the sample, shown by the characteristic $K_a$ and $K_B$ peaks of Ti ($K_a = 4.51$ keV; $K_B = 4.93$ keV) (Figure 2.2). The weight of each chemical element, (mean of three independent surface areas of the sample ± SE), identified in the sample are shown in Table 2.3.

![EDS element phase map](image)

**Figure 2.2:** EDS element phase map showing the distribution of chemical elements in the n-TiO$_2$ powder sample. Two characteristics peaks of Ti ($K_a$ and $K_B$) are shown on the phase map.

**Table 2.3:** The weight ratios of independent chemical elements found in the n-TiO$_2$ powder sample. Weight is shown as a mean of three independent areas of the sample and standard error is displayed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Weight (%)</th>
<th>Standard Error (SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon (C)</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen (O)</td>
<td>37.79</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium (Al)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon (Si)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium (Ti)</td>
<td>52.97</td>
<td>3.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4.2 The impact of an increasing n-TiO₂ exposure concentration on the growth of *Nitzschia palea*

2.4.2.1 The growth curves of *Nitzschia palea* exposed to n-TiO₂

The cell density of *N. palea* was measured at 0, 24, 48 and 72 hours using a haemocytometer for the control and all five treatments, these measurements were then used to plot a growth curve (Figure 2.3).
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**Figure 2.3:** Growth curve of *N. palea* exposed to increasing concentrations of n-TiO₂ over a period of 72 hours. Error bars shown mean ± SE of four independent replicates.

Cells of *N. palea* grown under relatively low concentrations of n-TiO₂ (0.05, 0.5 and 5 mg l⁻¹) showed similar growth profiles to the untreated cells. The final cell yield (cells/ml) at 72 hours were 1.74E+05 (±1270 SE) (control), 1.86E+05 (±7943 SE) (0.05 mg l⁻¹), 1.71E+05 (±3937 SE) (0.5 mg l⁻¹), 1.73E+05 (±4066 SE) (5 mg l⁻¹), 1.27E+05 (±1735 SE) (10 mg l⁻¹), 9.43E+04 (±7495 SE) (50 mg l⁻¹). Cell counts were then used to determine the growth rate and growth rate inhibition of all the samples (see equations 2.1 and 2.2).
2.4.2.2 Growth rates (K’)

The growth rates of *N. palea* were calculated every 24 hours. The daily growth rates were calculated to determine any temporal changes in growth rates for all of the samples (Table 2.4).

**Table 2.4:** The mean daily growth rates (K’) (± SE of four independent replicates) of *N. palea* for the control and all the n-TiO$_2$ treatments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n-TiO$_2$ concentration (mg l$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>(0-24 hours)</th>
<th>(24-48 hours)</th>
<th>(48-72 hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (control)</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.91 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.37 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From day 1-2 (0-24 hours), the growth rate of the algae in the 50 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment (0.17 ± 0.039) was much lower than that of the control (0.70 ± 0.049). By day 3-4 (48-72 hours) the control algal sample growth rate (0.25 ± 0.069) was much lower than the growth rate of the algae in the 50 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment (0.77 ± 0.070).

2.4.2.3 Growth rate inhibition

Average growth rate inhibition (GI% as a percentage of the control) of *N. palea* in response to n-TiO$_2$ exposure was used as a measure to investigate the effect of n-TiO$_2$ over time, as recommended by OECD guidelines (OECD TG 201, 2011). The GI% of *N. palea*
when exposed to an increasing n-TiO$_2$ concentration is shown in Figure 2.4.

**Figure 2.4:** Average growth rate inhibition (GI%) of algae exposed to increasing concentrations of n-TiO$_2$, defined at three time intervals (24, 48 and 72 hours), and shown as a percentage of the control (0 mg l$^{-1}$ = 0% growth inhibition). Error bars shown mean ± SE of four independent replicates. Significance, relative to the control, is shown at $p = < 0.05$ (*) and $p = < 0.01$ (**).

**Temporal patterns**

After 24 hours of n-TiO$_2$ exposure, the GI% was 6% (0.05 mg l$^{-1}$), 21% (0.5 mg l$^{-1}$), 7% (5 mg l$^{-1}$), 44% (10 mg l$^{-1}$) and 75% (50 mg l$^{-1}$). Cells exposed to the 10 and 50 mg l$^{-1}$ treatments showed significantly higher levels of GI% ($F_{(5, 18)} = 12.31$, $p = < 0.001$). The 24-hour IC$_{20}$ and IC$_{50}$ values were calculated to be 5.06 and 28.48 mg l$^{-1}$ n-TiO$_2$ respectively, based on the GI%.
After 48 hours of n-TiO$_2$ exposure, the GI% was 4% (0.05 mg l$^{-1}$), 6% (0.5 mg l$^{-1}$), 21% (5 mg l$^{-1}$), 22% (10 mg l$^{-1}$) and 42% (50 mg l$^{-1}$). All n-TiO$_2$ treatments had reduced GI% from 24 hours indicating less inhibition, except from the 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment which increased by 14%. Cells exposed to the 5, 10 and 50 mg l$^{-1}$ n-TiO$_2$ treatments showed significantly higher levels of GI% ($F_{(5, 18)} = 43.51$, $p = < 0.001$). The 48-hour IC$_{20}$ and IC$_{50}$ values were calculated to be 4.47 and 58.81 mg l$^{-1}$ n-TiO$_2$ respectively, based on the GI%.

After 72 hours of n-TiO$_2$ exposure, the GI% was -2% (0.05 mg l$^{-1}$), 3% (0.5 mg l$^{-1}$), 3% (5 mg l$^{-1}$), 10% (10 mg l$^{-1}$) and 21% (50 mg l$^{-1}$). The GI% of the algal cells in all treatments had reduced relative to 48 hours. Cells exposed to the 10 and 50 mg l$^{-1}$ treatments showed significantly higher levels of inhibition ($F_{(5, 18)} = 45.09$, $p = < 0.001$). The 72-hour IC$_{20}$ and IC$_{50}$ values were calculated to be 44.67 and 124.01 mg l$^{-1}$ n-TiO$_2$ respectively, based on the GI%.

2.4.3 The impact of an increasing n-TiO$_2$ exposure concentration on the photophysiology of *Nitzschia palea*

Using PAM fluorometry, Rapid Light Curves (RLCs) (see section 2.3.6 for methodology) were generated for each replicate of the control and the treatments. These curves were used to calculate the following photosynthetic parameters: $F_{v}/F_{m}$, rETRm, alpha ($\alpha$) and $E_k$. A light curve taken from a 0.05 mg l$^{-1}$ n-TiO$_2$ culture at 24 hours shows the relationship between alpha ($\alpha$), rETRm and $E_k$, which is demonstrated in the RLC below (Figure 2.5).
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2.4.3.1 The effects of n-TiO$_2$ on the maximum quantum yield of PSII in the dark-adapted state ($F_v/F_m$)

The effect of an increasing n-TiO$_2$ concentration on the $F_v/F_m$ of *N. palea* is presented in Figure 2.6. Measurements were taken at three time periods (24, 48 and 72 hours).
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**Figure 2.6:** The effect of an increasing n-TiO\textsubscript{2} concentration on the maximum quantum yield of PSII in the dark-adapted state (F\textsubscript{v}/F\textsubscript{m}) for the diatom *N. palea* measured at 24 hours, 48 hours and 72 hours. Error bars show mean \( \pm \) SE of four independent replicates. Significance, relative to the control at each time point is shown at \( p = < 0.05 \) (*) and \( p = < 0.01 \) (**).

**Temporal patterns**

After 24 hours of n-TiO\textsubscript{2} exposure, the F\textsubscript{v}/F\textsubscript{m} was lower in all five n-TiO\textsubscript{2} treatments, relative to the control, though the F\textsubscript{v}/F\textsubscript{m} was only significantly lower in algae that were exposed to the highest n-TiO\textsubscript{2} treatments (10 and 50 mg l\textsuperscript{-1}), with values decreasing by 20\% and 31\% respectively, relative to the control (\( F_{(5, 18)} = 30.29, p = < 0.001 \)).

After 48 hours of n-TiO\textsubscript{2} exposure, a similar pattern to that of 24 hours, was observed. A slight increase, though non-significant, was observed in the F\textsubscript{v}/F\textsubscript{m} for algae exposed to the 0.05 and 0.5 mg l\textsuperscript{-1} treatments. Algae that were exposed to the highest treatments (10 and 50 mg l\textsuperscript{-1}) showed significantly lower F\textsubscript{v}/F\textsubscript{m} values and decreased by 21\% and 25\% respectively, relative to the control (\( F_{(5,18)} = 21.75, p = < 0.001 \)).
At 72 hours, there was a reversal in the order of trend of \( Fv/Fm \), based on concentration, for this species. All treatments showed higher \( Fv/Fm \) values compared to the control, and algae exposed to the 0.5 and 5 mg l\(^{-1} \) treatments showed significantly higher \( Fv/Fm \) values, increasing by 22% and 24% respectively, relative to the control (\( F(5, 18) = 6.47, p = < 0.001 \)).

2.4.3.2 The effects of n-TiO\(_2\) on the maximum light use coefficient for PSII (\( \alpha \)) of \textit{Nitzschia palea} 

The effect of an increasing n-TiO\(_2\) concentration on the (\( \alpha \)) of \textit{N. palea} is presented in Figure 2.7.

**Figure 2.7:** The effect of increasing n-TiO\(_2\) concentrations on the maximum light use coefficient for PSII (\( \alpha \)) of \textit{N. palea} at 24 hours, 48 hours and 72 hours. Error bars show mean ± SE of four independent replicates. Significance, relative to the control at each time point is shown at \( p = < 0.05 \) (*) and \( p = < 0.01 \) (**).
Temporal patterns

After 24 hours of n-TiO$_2$ exposure, the value of (α) was higher in algae exposed to the lower concentrations of n-TiO$_2$ (0.05, 0.5 and 5 mg l$^{-1}$) than in the controls. Algae exposed to the 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment significantly increased by 33% relative to the control at this time point ($F_{(5, 18)} = 6.78, \ p = < 0.001$).

After 48 hours, the value of (α) was lower in all treatments relative to the control; algae exposed to the 10 and 50 mg l$^{-1}$ decreased significantly by 25% and 26% respectively, relative to the control at this time point ($F_{(5, 18)} = 13.41, \ p = < 0.001$).

After 72 hours, the trend reversed and the value of (α) was higher in all treatments relative to the control; algae exposed to the 0.5, 5 and 10 mg l$^{-1}$ treatments significantly increased by 23%, 22% and 21% respectively ($F_{(5, 18)} = 8.65, \ p = < 0.001$).

2.4.3.3 The effect of n-TiO$_2$ on the maximum relative electron transport rate (rETRm) of Nitzschia palea

The effect of an increased n-TiO$_2$ concentration on the rETRm of N. palea is presented in Figure 2.8.
Figure 2.8: The effect of increasing n-TiO$_2$ concentrations on the maximum electron transport rate (rETRm) of *N. palea* at 24 hours, 48 hours and 72 hours. Error bars show mean ± SE of four independent replicates. Significance, relative to the control at each time point is shown at $p = < 0.05$ (*) and $p = < 0.01$ (**).

**Temporal patterns**

After 24 hours of n-TiO$_2$ exposure, a slight increase was observed in algae exposed to the 0.05, 0.5 and 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatments, as the rETRm increased by 21% and 32% in the 0.05 and 0.5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatments and significantly increased by 52% in the 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment ($F(5, 18) = 3.16, p = 0.013$). The rETRm decreased by 30% and 38% in the 10 and 50 mg l$^{-1}$ treatments, but this decrease was not found to be significant relative to the control sample.

After 48 hours, the rETRm showed no significant differences between treatments at this time point ($F(5, 18) = 1.32, p = 0.299$).

After 72 hours, the rETRm was higher in all treatments relative to the control. The rETRm significantly increased by 20% and 12% in algae exposed to the 5 and 10 mg l$^{-1}$
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treatments ($F_{(5, 18)} = 6.51, p = < 0.001$).

### 2.4.3.4 The effect of n-TiO$_2$ on the light saturation coefficient ($E_k$) of *Nitzschia palea*

The effect of an increasing n-TiO$_2$ concentration on the $E_k$ of *N. palea* is presented in Figure 2.9.

**Figure 2.9:** The effect of increasing n-TiO$_2$ concentrations on the light saturation coefficient ($E_k$) of *N. palea* at 24 hours, 48 hours and 72 hours. Error bars show mean ± SE of four independent replicates. Significance, relative to the control at each time point is shown at $p = < 0.05$ (*) and $p = < 0.01$ (**).

**Temporal patterns**

After 24 hours of n-TiO$_2$ exposure, a slight increase in $E_k$ was observed in algae exposed to the 0.05, 0.5 and 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatments, as the $E_k$ increased by 27% and 29% in the 0.05 and 0.5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatments and significantly increased by 35% in the 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment ($F_{(5, 18)} = 17.32, p = < 0.001$).
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After 48 hours, an increase in $E_k$ was observed in algae exposed to the 10 and 50 mg l$^{-1}$, as the $E_k$ significantly increased by 57% and 47% respectively ($F(5, 18) = 14.67$, $p = < 0.001$).

After 72 hours, no significant differences in $E_k$ were found between treatments ($F(5, 18) = 0.65$, $p = 0.668$).

### 2.4.4 Imaging diatom cells using light microscopy

Images of *N. palea* are shown for the control treatment (0 mg l$^{-1}$) (Figure 2.10a) and the 50 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment (Figure 2.10b). In the 50 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment, the formation of n-TiO$_2$ aggregates is evident, and is indicated in the figure by the red arrow. The n-TiO$_2$ aggregates can be seen adhered to the surface of an *N. palea* cell, showing evidence of heteroaggregation.

![Figure 2.10: Images of *N. palea* using light microscopy for the control treatment (a) and the 50 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment (b). Red arrow shows aggregations of n-TiO$_2$.](image)

### 2.4.5 Summary of results

- Algae exposed to n-TiO$_2$ at concentrations 10 and 50 mg l$^{-1}$ showed significantly higher GI at all time points

- The amount of GI reduced over time, with the highest GI found at 24 hours when algae were exposed to high concentrations of n-TiO$_2$ (50 mg l$^{-1}$)
- Algae exposed to n-TiO$_2$ concentrations 10 and 50 mg l$^{-1}$ showed significantly lower $F_v/Fm$ at 24 and 48 hours, relative to the control at that time point. At 72 hours, there was a reversal in the order of trend for $F_v/Fm$ and significant increases in $F_v/Fm$ were observed in algae exposed to 0.5 and 5 mg l$^{-1}$ n-TiO$_2$, relative to the control at that time point.

- The rETRm of the algae initially increased at relatively low n-TiO$_2$ concentrations and was lower at relatively high n-TiO$_2$ concentrations. As time passed, the treatments showed higher ETRm values relative to the control.

- The alpha of the algae initially was higher at relatively low n-TiO$_2$ concentrations and lower at relatively high n-TiO$_2$ concentrations. As time passed, the treatments showed higher alpha values relative to the control.

- The $E_k$ of the algae initially was higher at relatively low n-TiO$_2$ concentrations and lower at relatively high n-TiO$_2$ concentrations. At 48 hours, algae exposed to the 10 and 50 mg l$^{-1}$ treatments showed significantly higher $E_k$ values. As time passed, the treatments showed higher $E_k$ values relative to the control.

2.5 Discussion

The major aims of this chapter were to (i) establish whether an increasing n-TiO$_2$ exposure concentration impacted the growth rate and growth rate inhibition of $N$. palea and (ii) to establish whether an increasing exposure concentration impacted the photophysiology of $N$. palea. This study also aimed to compare whether $N$. palea was more or less impacted by n-TiO$_2$ exposure than green algae.

2.5.1 The effect of n-TiO$_2$ exposure on the growth of the freshwater diatom, $Nitzschia$ palea

It was hypothesised that an increasing n-TiO$_2$ concentration would negatively impact the growth rate and growth rate inhibition of $N$. palea, and that negative impacts would increase over time. An increasing n-TiO$_2$ concentration did impact the growth rate and
growth rate inhibition, however, these negative impacts seemed to reduce over time. The IC₅₀ values obtained in this study indicate that n-TiO₂ exerts an acute toxicity effect on N. palea. This is confirmed by observed increases in the GI at 24 hours (24-hour IC₅₀: 28.48 mg l⁻¹), then GI rates are reversed at 48 hours (48-hour IC₅₀: 58.81 mg l⁻¹) and further reversed at 72 hours (72-hour IC₅₀: 124.01 mg l⁻¹). A similar finding was recorded in the marine diatom, Phaeodactylum tricornutum, where the GI in algal cells exposed to n-TiO₂ was highest at 24 hours (IC₅₀: 12.65 mg l⁻¹), before decreasing at 48 (IC₅₀: 72.70 mg l⁻¹) and 72 hours (IC₅₀: 167.79 mg l⁻¹) (Wang et al., 2016). Reduction in GI has also been recorded in the green alga, Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (Wang et al., 2008). Wang et al (2008) showed that growth was completely inhibited after three days of n-TiO₂ exposure (>10 mg l⁻¹); by day five, algal cell concentration in the treatments had recovered and were similar to the control. Results from this study, however, do not concur with findings that have shown GI to increase with increasing exposure time to n-TiO₂ (Chen et al., 2012; Li et al., 2015; Deng et al., 2017; Jia et al., 2019). The growth of the diatom Nitzschia frustulum was inhibited at 24 hours (IC₅₀: 20.75 mg l⁻¹) and GI increased further at 48 hours (IC₅₀: 28.39 mg l⁻¹) and slightly more at 72 hours (IC₅₀: 28.98 mg l⁻¹) (Jia et al., 2019).

One important question was to find out if N. palea was more or less susceptible to n-TiO₂ exposure compared to green algae. Published results of testing the impacts of n-TiO₂ on green microalgae show substantial variation which is likely due to methodological variations (including preparation of n-TiO₂, incubation conditions, biomass quantification (see Table 1, Chapter 1). This makes it difficult to compare the sensitivity of N. palea and green algae to n-TiO₂. Most studies on green algae have only determined 72-hour IC₅₀ values. The majority of studies on green algae, such as R. subcapitata and Chlorella sp., report these algae are more susceptible to n-TiO₂ exposure after 72 hours, with IC₅₀s of 5.83 mg l⁻¹ (Aruoja et al., 2009), 2.53 mg l⁻¹ (Lee & An, 2013), 6.26 mg l⁻¹ (Iswarya et al., 2015) and 39 mg l⁻¹ (Manier et al., 2015). However, some studies on R. subcapitata and Desmodesmus subspicatus show similar toxicity to n-TiO₂ at 72-hour values to this study, with recorded IC₅₀s of >50 mg l⁻¹ (Hund-Rinke & Simon, 2006), 160 mg l⁻¹ (Hartmann et al., 2010) and 113 mg l⁻¹ (Metzler et al., 2011). In this study, N. palea was most susceptible to n-TiO₂.
exposure after 24 hours, suggesting that the species may be useful for rapid 24-hour toxicity tests using OECD guidelines. Importantly, this result indicates that they can recover from initial toxic shock; researchers that did not do 24 hour toxicity tests may have missed higher toxicity values at 24 hours. Many studies on green algae have not determined the IC\textsubscript{50} after 24 hours. However, due to changing characteristics of ENPs throughout the experiment (e.g. increased homoaggregation and heteroaggregation), doing so may be beneficial.

2.5.1.1 Temporal patterns: initial increase in growth inhibition from 0-24 hours

The increase in GI at 24 hours could be explained by heteroaggregation between the n-TiO\textsubscript{2}s and the algal cells. Evidence of concentration-dependent heteroaggregation has been shown in a study investigating the effects of n-TiO\textsubscript{2} on green alga, \textit{R. subcapitata}, where higher concentrations of n-TiO\textsubscript{2} caused greater heteroaggregation (Hartmann \textit{et al.}, 2010). Heteroaggregation can be observed in this study in the light microscopy image of \textit{N. palea} exposed to the 50 mg l\textsuperscript{-1} n-TiO\textsubscript{2} treatment (\textbf{Figure 2.10b}), where n-TiO\textsubscript{2}s are seen adhered to the algal cell surface, which traps the algae, despite \textit{N. palea} being a motile species that could potentially move away from n-TiO\textsubscript{2} stress. Other studies have shown that the process of heteroaggregation can cause algal cells to become entrapped with n-TiO\textsubscript{2}s (Aruoja \textit{et al.}, 2009; Xia \textit{et al.}, 2015). Adsorption of n-TiO\textsubscript{2}s onto the algal cell surface can directly affect the algae by damaging the cell wall (Gao \textit{et al.}, 2018) or indirectly through reducing the amount of photosynthetic light necessary for growth and affecting nutrient exchange (Xia \textit{et al.}, 2015). There is evidence that indicates that n-TiO\textsubscript{2}s can become internalized in algal cells, as shown in a study with \textit{Nitzschia closterium} (Xia \textit{et al.}, 2015), and once situated within the cell, the n-TiO\textsubscript{2}s can bind to the chloroplast and induce ROS generation (Li \textit{et al.}, 2015), which can cause severe oxidative damage to DNA, proteins and lipids (Sharma \textit{et al.}, 2012). It was not possible within the timeframe of the project to look for evidence of internalisation of n-TiO\textsubscript{2} in \textit{N. palea} cells. Algal cell wall pores have an average diameter of 5-20 nm, and n-TiO\textsubscript{2}s with a size smaller than that have been found to pass directly through the cell wall (Xia \textit{et al.}, 2015). Internalization of n-TiO\textsubscript{2}s in this study, therefore, may be unlikely due to the large size of particles used (50 nm). However, because of their mitotic division, it may be that the diatoms are particularly vulnerable to n-TiO\textsubscript{2} internalisation.
before the new cell wall is produced (Debenest et al., 2008).

2.5.1.2 Temporal patterns: the reduction in growth inhibition from 24-72 hours

This temporal reduction in GI may result from algal adaptation to n-TiO$_2$ exposure and a subsequent recovery response. However, evidence produced from this experiment indicated that this reduction in GI could also mean the algal growth rate in control samples is slowing, whilst the growth rate of the high n-TiO$_2$ concentrations are not. As shown in Table 2.3, the algal growth rate for the control sample slowed from 1.96 day$^{-1}$ at 24-48 hours to 0.25 day$^{-1}$ at 48-72 hours. Slowing of the algal growth rate in the 50 mg l$^{-1}$ n-TiO$_2$ sample was less substantial, decreasing from 1.37 day$^{-1}$ (24-48h hours) to 0.70 day$^{-1}$ (48-72 hours). These results indicate the growth rate of the control is slowing down at 72 hours, potentially due to the cells reaching stationary phase and the high n-TiO$_2$ treatment cultures gradually "catching up" with the controls when nutrients become limiting (Nyholm, 1985). For toxicity testing, the OECD recommends starting biovolumes of $10^4$ and $5 \times 10^3$ cells/ml for F. pelliculosa and R. subcapitata respectively (OECD TG 201, 2011). In this experiment, the starting biovolume for N. palea was based on the recommendation for OECD recommended diatom, F. pelliculosa. However, N. palea is a fast growing and larger species (Licursi & Gomez, 2013) and a lower starting concentration may be necessary to avoid nutrient depletion after 72 hours. The initial cell density should be taken into consideration in future n-TiO$_2$ toxicity testing, as differences in initial cellular density may cause different toxicity outcomes (Moreno-Garrido et al., 2000). Evidence has shown that as the number of initial cells increases, the negative impacts of n-TiO$_2$s are decreased (Metzler et al., 2018). Moreover, the increasing cell density throughout the experiment could alter the toxicity of n-TiO$_2$s. As the algal cell density increases, the amount of EPS released from the algae also increases, which may interact with the n-TiO$_2$s and cause changes to particle behaviour (Sorensen & Baun, 2015). Research has shown EPS can adsorb n-TiO$_2$s, and that increased levels of EPS caused increased levels of homoaggregation (Gao et al., 2018). Therefore, when the algae secrete EPS through motility, this may cause higher levels of homoaggregation.

The recovery in GI could be attributable to increased homoaggregation over time. Ho-
moaggregation between n-TiO$_2$s may occur due to the high ionic salt content of the media. The OECD media used in this experiment contains salts e.g. CaCl$_2$ and MgSO$_4$, which are required as nutrients to allow algal growth. The presence of these salts, however, may cause enhanced homoaggregation over time, as studies have shown that a high ionic strength media causes enhanced homoaggregation over typical 72-hour toxicity tests (Doyle et al., 2014; He et al., 2015; Danielsson et al., 2017). The effect of homoaggregation means that the diameter of the n-TiO$_2$s will increase throughout the experiment, increasing the mean n-TiO$_2$ aggregate size. Increased homoaggregation is thought to reduce the number of active sites available on the n-TiO$_2$s, and therefore reducing the chances of heteroaggregation between the n-TiO$_2$s and the algal cells (Wang et al., 2016). This indicates that 72-hour toxicity tests may not be reliable, as throughout the experiment, due to increased homoaggregation, the nano-scale particles are no longer being tested.

### 2.5.2 The effect of n-TiO$_2$ on the photophysiology of *Nitzschia palea*

It was hypothesised that an increasing n-TiO$_2$ concentration would negatively impact the photophysiology of *N. palea*, causing reductions in all measured photosynthetic parameters. The only photosynthetic parameter that was negatively impacted by the presence of n-TiO$_2$ was the $F_{v}/F_{m}$ which showed significant reductions, relative to the control, at 24 and 48 hours when exposed to 10 and 50 mg l$^{-1}$ n-TiO$_2$. The maximum PSII fluorescence in the dark-adapted state ($F_{v}/F_{m}$) is useful when inferring photosynthetic microalgal physiological status (Lombardi & Maldonado, 2011), and this parameter has been used as an end-point in many other studies evaluating the impact of ENPs on freshwater and marine algae (Chen et al., 2012; Deng et al., 2017; Marchello et al., 2018; Middepogu et al., 2018), whereas ETR$m$, alpha, and $E_k$ have rarely been used. At both 24 and 48 hours, $F_{v}/F_{m}$ was lower in algae exposed to the 10 and 50 mg l$^{-1}$ n-TiO$_2$ treatment, relative to the control. At 72 hours, $F_{v}/F_{m}$ showed a trend reversal relative to that observed at 24 and 48 hours. Significant increases in $F_{v}/F_{m}$, relative to the control, were recorded in the 0.5 and 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatments. Similar findings were observed in the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, where exposure to n-TiO$_2$ (a mixture of anatase and rutile) (>1 mg l$^{-1}$) generated an initial decrease in $F_{v}/F_{m}$, but by 72 hours cell $F_{v}/F_{m}$ values were higher in treatments compared
to the control (Chen et al., 2012). Results obtained for this current thesis, however, do not concur with Deng et al (2017), who observed that the Fv/Fm of P. tricornutum was not affected after 48 hours of n-TiO\textsubscript{2} (anatase) exposure, but was significantly lower after 72 hours, relative to the control. Middepogu et al (2018) also observed a significant decrease in the Fv/Fm of Chlorella pyrenoidosa after 72 hours, relative to the control.

### 2.5.2.1 Temporal patterns

The decrease in Fv/Fm at 24 and 48 hours in the high n-TiO\textsubscript{2} treatments, compared with control values, could be explained by n-TiO\textsubscript{2} exposure causing the downregulation of genes involved in photosynthetic pathways (Middepogu et al., 2018). The genes involved in the carbon fixation stage of photosynthesis in green alga, C. pyrenoidosa, have been shown to be downregulated in response to n-TiO\textsubscript{2} exposure (Middepogu et al., 2018). Also, the internalization of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in algal cells has been shown to decrease concentrations of soluble proteins in C. reinhardtii (Chen et al., 2012), which may cause inhibition of protein synthesis and therefore certain proteins involved in photosynthesis may not be produced, thus causing an overall decrease in photosynthetic activity.

At 72 hours, some n-TiO\textsubscript{2} treatments showed significantly higher Fv/Fm values compared to the controls. In addition to being an indicator of overall algal health, Fv/Fm is a sensitive indicator of sub-lethal effects, including nutrient depletion (Dijkman & Kromkamp, 2006). In healthy cells, Fv/Fm values are around 0.6 for green algae (Maxwell & Johnson, 2000) and slightly lower than that for diatoms (Dijkman & Kromkamp, 2006). The Fv/Fm for N. palea at 24 and 48 hours was 0.60 and 0.57 respectively, an expected value for healthy cells. At 72 hours, the Fv/Fm for N. palea was 0.40, an atypical value in healthy diatoms. This supports the idea that N. palea was potentially nutrient limited. There also may have been excess algal cells in the control samples, limiting light available to cells at the bottom of the biofilm. Therefore, cells lower in the biofilm, with reduced light exposure, may have shown lower Fv/Fm values.

Algae exposed to relatively low concentrations of n-TiO\textsubscript{2} may also be recovering. It
could be that low n-TiO$_2$ concentrations are stimulating low levels of ROS production, a level which is beneficial for algal growth and secondary metabolite accumulation (Kang et al., 2014; He et al., 2017). Levels of ROS can be decreased from a high to low concentration by the upregulation of an antioxidant enzyme group called superoxide dismutases (SOD), commonly referred to as "scavenger enzymes" as they help to scavenge excess ROS (Mosa et al., 2018). This has been demonstrated in a green alga, Scenedesmus obliquus, where the upregulation of SOD was consistent with increased levels of ROS (Liu et al., 2018).

2.5.3 Limitations and caveats of study

There were two main limitations in this study. Firstly, the starting cell concentration of N. palea was 10,000 cells/ml, which is a value based on the starting cell concentration of the OECD recommended diatom, F. pelliculosa. It may be possible, however, that the starting cell concentration for N. palea was too high, and because N. palea is a particularly fast growing species, a lower starting concentration may be necessary to prevent the control growth rate slowing over time. Secondly, an interesting observation in this study was the recovery in cell number of the high n-TiO$_2$ treatments after 72 hours of exposure. It would be beneficial to run the experiment for a longer period, e.g. 96 hours, to assess whether the final cell yield ends up being a similar value to that of the control sample.

2.5.4 Conclusion

In this study, I demonstrate for the first time that the diatom, N. palea was negatively affected by n-TiO$_2$ exposure, with regards to growth and photophysiology. Negative impacts were most pronounced after 24 hours, particularly with respect to growth inhibition and Fv/Fm. Decreasing levels of growth inhibition throughout the experiment may be due to the control sample growth rate slowing down, suggesting that a lower starting cell concentration may be beneficial for ENP toxicity testing with N. palea. This study confirms the short-term toxicity impacts of n-TiO$_2$ to benthic diatom N. palea. Due to the short-term susceptibility and easy identification of N. palea following n-TiO$_2$ exposure, this species may be a useful indicator species for rapid 24-hour toxicity tests of n-TiO$_2$s following OECD guidelines. However, due to transformational changes in the n-TiO$_2$s over longer 72-hour experiments,
revisions to OECD protocols for testing ENPs should be considered. Future studies should make use of shorter-term experiments for ENP toxicity testing, to reduce the amount of time needed for aggregation and sedimentation of the ENPs, which may alter the toxicity of ENPs to microalgae.
The effects of titanium dioxide nanoparticles (n-TiO$_2$) on riverine biofilm communities in the field (Mill Stream, Tributary of River Frome, Dorset)
3.1 Introduction

3.1.1 The roles of benthic biofilms in freshwater ecosystems

Freshwater benthic biofilms consist of a diverse community of phototrophic and heterotrophic microorganisms; benthic diatoms can be a dominant phototrophic component in these assemblages (Blinn et al., 1980). In freshwaters, benthic biofilms are typically investigated in relatively shallow aquatic environments, affixed to natural or artificial substrata, such as stone, sediments or man-made materials. Secretions of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) ensure the adhesion of microorganisms in a matrix-like structure, allowing the exchange of vital nutrients (Kurniawan et al., 2015). In the benthic zone of a freshwater body, benthic diatoms are considered extremely important and have a central role in freshwater ecosystems. They provide many valuable ecosystem services, such as contributing to oxygen production (Kroll et al., 2014), serving as a vital habitat and food source for aquatic invertebrates (Kroll et al., 2014) and play a critical role in nutrient cycling. Biofilms can also help to alleviate toxic conditions by mopping up toxic compounds (Carvalho, 2018); EPS from the biofilms has been shown to bind heavy metals preventing entry to the biofilm (Teitzel & Parsek, 2003; Cheng et al., 2018). Biofilms are regarded as an effective "community level monitoring" tool because they are relatively easy to sample, and provide a longer-term indication of the ecological status of the habitat.

3.1.2 The diatom fraction of freshwater biofilms

Within the biofilm, the diatoms can be grouped into ecological guilds depending on their growth morphologies. Passy (2007) defined three ecological guilds which include the low profile, high profile and the motile guild. Species that are classified in the "low profile guild" are ones that are of short stature, including prostrate and adnate diatoms, directly attached to the substrate at the bottom of the biofilm. These species are slow-moving, and do not tolerate high levels of nutrient pollution, but are relatively resistant to physical disturbances. Some of the genera include: Achnanthes, Achnanthidium, Amphora, and Cocconeis. Species that are classified in the "high profile guild" are larger, and reside in the upper-story of the biofilm. These species have a taller stature, with erect and stalked growth forms, and
are relatively tolerant to nutrient pollution, however they are more sensitive to physical
disturbances (Passy, 2007; Marcel et al., 2017). Some of the genera include: *Diatoma*,
*Fragilaria*, *Gomphonema* and *Melosira*. Species that are classified in the "motile guild"
have the ability to move, allowing the diatoms to optimize their position within the biofilm
(Rimet & Bouchez, 2011). Some of the genera include: *Navicula*, *Nitzschia*, *Sellaphora* and
*Surirella*. These ecological guilds have been used previously to assess nutrient and pollution
stress in freshwater environments (Passy, 2007; Rimet & Bouchez, 2011; Marcel et al., 2013).

Within the biofilm, species have varied individual tolerances to environmental pollutants.
By sampling the community, an average tolerance value can be predicted, generating an inte-
grated picture of the impact of a stressor, over a set time period (Guasch et al., 2016). Much
of the previous work on benthic biofilms has focused on assessing nutrient status (Kelly &
Whitton, 1995; Kelly et al., 2008). The increasing use of ENPs and their subsequent release
into the environment has led to concerns about their impacts on freshwater biofilms. Given
the importance of biofilms in freshwater ecosystems, it is essential that we better understand
the impacts of stressors, such as ENPs. Due to the complexity of measuring concentrations
of ENPs in freshwater environments, researching the use of artificial mesocosms to test the
impacts of ENPs on freshwater biota in the benthos would be beneficial.

3.1.3 The use of artificial mesocosms to study biofilm functioning in freshwater environments

Due to the challenges posed by carrying out *in situ* measurements to determine the
impacts of stressors on stream biofilms, a number of researchers have deployed artificial
mesocosms. Artificial mesocosms have been deployed to evaluate the effects of anthropo-
genic pollutants, including herbicides (Seguin et al., 2002; Pérez et al., 2007; Rimet &
Bouchez, 2011), insecticides (Finnegan et al., 2018) and heavy metals (Smolyakov et al.,
2010; Shamshad et al., 2016). The use of artificial mesocosms in field studies has several
important advantages when compared to a fully natural system. When studying the effects
of anthropogenic pollutants on freshwater biofilms, mesocosms allow a degree of control over
the experiment, alleviating unmanageable, and often episodic factors that occur in the field,
such as high flooding, high winds, large invertebrate grazing, and the co-occurrence of other environmental contaminants (Sabater & Borrego, 2015).

3.1.4 The impact of titanium dioxide nanoparticles (n-TiO$_2$s) on freshwater biofilms

The growing industrial usage of ENPs will inevitably cause an increase in their concentrations in freshwater environments, entering directly from degraded consumer products (Bundschuh et al., 2018) or indirectly in effluent from sewage treatment works (Vale et al., 2016). Predicted environmental concentrations (PECs) for n-TiO$_2$ are 16 µg l$^{-1}$ in water and up to mg/kg concentrations in the sediment (Gottschalk et al., 2009). It has been reported that the fate and behaviour of n-TiO$_2$s in freshwater biofilms was largely unknown (Navarro et al., 2008). Since then, many authors have focused on the impacts of n-TiO$_2$s in single species, laboratory experiments, with an emphasis on impacts to planktonic species of algae (see Introduction in Chapter 2), and there are only limited published papers investigating n-TiO$_2$ effects on whole algal communities in mesocosm experiments.

It has been demonstrated that freshwater biofilms can efficiently receive, bind and accumulate n-TiO$_2$s (Battin et al., 2009). Trials demonstrated that, in riverine mesocosms, the travel length of n-TiO$_2$s down a flume mesocosm was reduced on average 2.7 times, relative to mesocosms with no biofilms, due to increased n-TiO$_2$ accumulation in the biofilms (Battin et al., 2009). This suggests biofilms can become a concentrated repository for n-TiO$_2$s in freshwater ecosystems. Some research into the impacts of n-TiO$_2$ on algal biofilm communities (laboratory/field based biofilms) has focused on long-term (28-78 days) exposure effects considering effects on whole aquatic food webs (Kulacki et al., 2012; Jovanovic et al., 2016; Wright et al., 2018). Kulacki et al (2012) investigated n-TiO$_2$ (1 mg l$^{-1}$) impacts on laboratory-based biofilms. Results indicated that the biofilm growth from algal monocultures and polycultures in mesocosms was not altered, but n-TiO$_2$ accumulation was higher in biofilms that held multiple species. Although no negative effects were observed, the flume mesocosms used in this study were an oversimplification of natural streams, and do not mimic natural environmental conditions that could alter the toxicity of n-TiO$_2$s. Jovanovic
et al (2016) investigated the effect of n-TiO$_2$s (0.025-0.25 mg l$^{-1}$) on multiple trophic levels in field-based assemblages located in outdoor mesocosms. They presented evidence that revealed that only the biomass of rotifers was negatively affected by n-TiO$_2$ exposure. Wright et al (2018) also measured the effects of n-TiO$_2$s at a higher concentration (0.05-5 mg l$^{-1}$) on whole aquatic trophic levels in field-based assemblages and found that n-TiO$_2$ (5 mg l$^{-1}$) negatively affected ash-free dry mass and phytoplankton chlorophyll $a$ content and caused changes in the species composition of algal assemblages.

There are few studies investigating the impacts of n-TiO$_2$s on field-based biofilm assemblages, and from the literature, it is not clear whether the impacts are positive or negative. Field experiments are critical to our understanding of the effects of n-TiO$_2$s in natural freshwater environments, as it could be considered that laboratory studies, particularly single-species based studies, may bear no resemblance to field study results due to additional environmental complexities in the field and because whole communities are being investigated rather than just single species.

3.2 Aims of study

This field study was carried out to investigate the impacts of n-TiO$_2$ on the structure and functioning of freshwater biofilm communities. This was carried out by addressing the following aims: (i) to assess changes in algal biomass in response to n-TiO$_2$ exposure, using pigment and dry weight analysis; (ii) to assess changes in biofilm photophysiology in response to n-TiO$_2$ exposure and (iii) to identify any short-term changes in diatom species composition in response to n-TiO$_2$ exposure. The following specific hypotheses were posed:

(i) It was hypothesised that the biomass (total chlorophyll and dry mass) would be negatively impacted after n-TiO$_2$ exposure, and that higher concentrations of n-TiO$_2$ would cause greater negative impacts on the biomass of riverine biofilm assemblages.

(ii) It was hypothesised that n-TiO$_2$ exposure would negatively impact the photophysiology
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of riverine biofilm assemblages over time, when compared to untreated control biofilms.

(iii) It was hypothesised that n-TiO$_2$ exposure would directly impact the diatom species composition in riverine biofilm assemblages.

3.3 Methods

3.3.1 Study site and environmental data

The experimental site was the Freshwater Biological Association (FBA) River Laboratories, in East Stoke, Dorset, UK (Coordinates: 50°40'47"N 2°11'05"W) (Figure 3.1). The field experiments were carried out over a 16-day period (06/06/18 - 22/06/18), including the 12-day biofilm colonisation phase. The site features several experimental channels (approx. 10 m long) for research on freshwater ecology. These channels are fed by the Mill Stream, a tributary of the River Frome. The River Frome (length: 48 km), which rises in the village of Evershot, is a chalk stream that flows into Pool Harbour at its confluence with the River Piddle. With its notable biodiversity, the Frome is considered a site for special scientific interest (SSSI) (Lazar et al., 2010). The river’s catchment is 414 km$^2$, predominantly composed of agricultural land (75%) (Poole Harbour Catchment Plan, 2014); the only major settlement adjoining the Frome is the town of Dorchester (population: 16,801 (2001)) (Bowes et al., 2011). In the tourist season, the local population grows by 13% in Dorchester and 80% in Wareham (Wessex Water, 2012).

It has been reported that the River Frome did not meet Water Framework Directive (WFD) standards in 2014 by failing to reach a "good ecological status", which is likely due to agriculture and rural land management (Environmental Agency Catchment Data Explorer, 2014). Increases in nitrate levels have been measured, with concentrations rising from 2.4 mg l$^{-1}$ in the 1960s to 6.0 mg l$^{-1}$ in 2008-2009 (Bowes et al., 2011). Annual soluble reactive phosphorus levels, however, have decreased from 190 µg l$^{-1}$ in 1989 to 49 µg l$^{-1}$ in 2007-2009 due to phosphate stripping being introduced at sewage treatment works (STWs)
(Bowes et al., 2011). Whilst nutrients have been monitored regularly in the River Frome, to my knowledge, there is no published information about the release of ENPs in this area.

Figure 3.1: Map of the River Frome and the surrounding catchment areas. The Freshwater Biological Association (FBA) River Laboratory is situated at East Stoke, shown on the map. Image taken from Lazar et al (2010).

3.3.2 Experimental design

Tiles were chosen as an artificial substrate for establishing periphyton in this experiment, as they have been shown to promote rapid algal growth and have low surface variability, which prevents different algal growth trajectories (Lamberti & Resh, 1985). Unglazed and unpainted terracotta tiles (Terracotta natural quarry clay wall and floor tile, British Ceramic, Newton Abbot, UK) were used in this study. The tiles were placed in channels in the Mill Stream for an initial colonisation phase of 12 days, allowing biofilm communities to establish. Mesh (size: 1 mm) was attached to end of the channel to prevent excess detritus entering the channel and building up on the tiles. Plastic guttering channels (approx. 1 m long) were used as artificial outdoor mesocosms. The experimental system was set up drawing upon features used in the design published by Gold et al (2003). It comprised of a pair of plastic pipes (Verve Hose pipe, B&Q, UK) (pipe width: 25 mm) emerging from either end of the channel. Mesocosms were fed via plastic tubing, pumped (Blagdon Minipond Pump 700, Blagdon,
Dorking, UK) from a 30 l plastic reservoir, providing re-circulating river water either as controls (no added n-TiO$_2$) or treatments amended with dispersed n-TiO$_2$s (Figure 3.2). The river water was screened by passing it through a 250 µm mesh (Zooplankton net, Blades Scientific, Kent, UK) to remove larger material. Each channel was fed via an independent, recycled water supply at a constant flow rate. After the n-TiO$_2$s suspensions and river water were added to the mesocosms, the tiles, with established biofilms were transferred from the Mill Stream into the guttering channels, following a pre-incubation period.

Figure 3.2: Artificial mesocosm set-up adapted from a design by Gold et al. (2003). Terracotta quarry tiles were placed in 1 m plastic guttering channels which were used as artificial outdoor streams. Three reservoirs were used, containing each treatment (untreated (no added n-TiO$_2$s), 0.05 mg l$^{-1}$, 5 mg l$^{-1}$ n-TiO$_2$s). The grey arrows indicate the direction of water flow. Illustration completed on Powerpoint.
3.3.3 Experimental set-up

Nanomaterial suspensions were prepared immediately preceding the start of the experiment. Three artificial mesocosms were set up including one control (filtered river water and no added n-TiO₂) and two different n-TiO₂ treatments. Two concentrations of n-TiO₂ were prepared, defined as, low (0.05 mg l⁻¹) and high (5 mg l⁻¹) (see Chapter 2, section 2.3.3 for methods on nanoparticle preparation). These concentrations were chosen because the low concentration (0.05 mg l⁻¹) is an environmentally relevant concentration and the high concentration (5 mg l⁻¹) is representative of the n-TiO₂ concentration used for photocatalytic degradation of pharmaceuticals (Wright et al., 2018), and is a concentration of n-TiO₂ found in wastewater treatment plants (Westerhoff et al., 2011). A primary stock solution of 5000 mg l⁻¹ of n-TiO₂ was prepared in MilliQ water. The stock solution was then sonicated for 30 minutes to disperse the ENPs using a sonicator (Branson Ultrasonics, Danbury, USA). River water was collected from the Mill Stream and filtered through a plankton net (mesh size: 250 µm) to remove larger debris and macroinvertebrates. The n-TiO₂ stocks were added to the reservoirs containing filtered river water and well mixed to give final n-TiO₂ concentrations of 5 mg l⁻¹ and 0.05 mg l⁻¹. 14 l of each treatment solution was then added to mesocosms and left to age for 18 hours. The purpose of the aging process was to expose the n-TiO₂s to natural sunlight and ambient temperature before adding the colonised tiles (Seitz et al., 2015).

Following 12 days of biofilm growth and an 18-hour n-TiO₂ ageing period, colonised tiles were transferred from the Mill Stream into the outdoor artificial mesocosms, which were set up adjacent to the Mill Stream. For each treatment, one channel was used; the tiles were colonised independently so each tile, within a single mesocosm can be considered a replicate for that treatment.

3.3.4 Mesocosm water properties

The temperature, pH, light irradiance levels and oxygen concentrations were measured in the mesocosm river water of each treatment channel every 24 hours over four days at a
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standardised time point (14:00 hours). The pH was measured using a portable pH meter (HI98185, Hanna Instruments, Rhode Island, US). The light irradiance was measured using a QRT1/PAR light sensor (Hansatech Instruments Ltd, Norfolk, UK). The oxygen levels were measured using a portable dissolved oxygen meter (HQ40D, Hach, Colorado, USA).

3.3.5 Biofilm sampling

Sampling of the freshwater biofilms was performed at 24 hours and 72 hours. Three colonised tiles were taken directly from the Mill Stream and sampled for initial measurements for biomass and photophysiology, immediately prior to the incubation of colonised tiles for the start of the outdoor mesocosm experiment. Each subsequent day, three tiles per treatment were sampled. To ensure random sampling of the tiles in any one stream, a random number generator was used. When tiles were removed, they were replaced with uncolonised tiles to avoid differences in the flow regime within the channels. Each biofilm was harvested on a tile over a standardised sampling area (25 cm$^2$). The periphyton and any other associated material (sediments, twigs etc) was removed with a clean toothbrush into glass fibre filtered river water (Whatman GF/F, 4.2 mm diameter) and the volume of the harvested material was recorded; the slurry was then homogenized using a 2 ml syringe (0.8 mm needle, Monoject Kendall, Fisher Scientific, Leicestershire, UK). From this homogenised sample, 5 ml aliquots were taken and separated for dry mass measurement, PAM fluorometry, pigment extraction and preservation for species identification and cell enumeration.

3.3.6 Chlorophyll pigment extraction

Biofilm pigments were measured by filtering 5 ml of biofilm sample onto a filter (diameter = 47 mm, pore size = 0.45 µm) (GF/F; Whatmann, Maidstone, Kent, UK). The filter was tightly rolled, placed inside a 15 ml falcon tube and immediately stored in a freezer at -20 (°C). To extract pigments, 5 ml of 100% ethanol was added, and the sample was mixed thoroughly using a vortex for 30 seconds (VWR, Leicestershire, UK). The sample was then chilled in the fridge at 4 (°C) for 12 hours, before centrifugation at 3000 rpm (1811 RCF) for 10 minutes. The resulting supernatant was transferred to a 15 ml falcon tube and re-spun
to remove any remaining debris, which could cause undesired turbidity readings. The final supernatant was transferred to a 1 cm quartz glass cuvette and a full absorbance spectrum (400 - 800 nm) was measured using a WPA Biowave II spectrophotometer (Biochrom, Cambridge, UK). Total chlorophyll (mg l\(^{-1}\)) was calculated using an equation from Ritchie (2006) (Equation 3.1) and adjusted for surface area of colonisation (25 cm\(^2\)). Concentrations of total chlorophyll were recorded as µg/cm\(^2\). Individual chlorophyll pigments (a, b, and c) were also calculated to determine changes in pigment ratios at 24 and 72 hours (chlorophyll \(a = \text{Equation 3.2}\); chlorophyll \(b = \text{Equation 3.3}\); chlorophyll \(c = \text{Equation 3.4}\)).

\[
\frac{((24.1209 \times A_{632}) + (11.2884 \times A_{649}) + (3.764 \times A_{665}) + (5.8338 \times A_{691})) \times sv}{V} / 100
\]  
\[\text{Equation 3.1}\]

\[
\frac{((0.0604 \times A_{632}) + (-4.5224 \times A_{649}) + (13.2969 \times A_{665}) + (-1.7453 \times A_{691})) \times sv}{V} / 100
\]  
\[\text{Equation 3.2}\]

\[
\frac{((-4.1982 \times A_{632}) + (25.7205 \times A_{649}) + (-7.4096 \times A_{665}) + (-2.7418 \times A_{691})) \times sv}{V} / 100
\]  
\[\text{Equation 3.3}\]

\[
\frac{((28.4593 \times A_{632}) + (-9.9944 \times A_{649}) + (-1.9344 \times A_{665}) + (-1.8093 \times A_{691})) \times sv}{V} / 100
\]  
\[\text{Equation 3.4}\]

Where:

\(A_{632}\): Absorbance value at 632 nm
A₆₄₉: Absorbance value at 649 nm
A₆₆₅: Absorbance value at 665 nm
A₆₉₁: Absorbance value at 691 nm
sv: Solvent volume (mls)
V: Volume of extract filtered (L)

3.3.7 Dry mass

Dry mass (DM) was quantified by filtering 5 ml of original biofilm slurry onto a pre-
oven-dried Whatmann filter (47 mm diameter; GF/F; Whatmann, Maidstone, Kent, UK)
of known mass, where they were subsequently dried and mass determined. Sub-samples
were frozen on site before transfer to Bristol University. The filters were oven-dried in a
Heratherm incubator (Make: IMH100-S, Thermo Scientific, Germany) for 24 hours at 105
(°C), transferred to a desiccator and the mass determined after cooling. Measurements were
carried out to four decimal places on a balance (Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany). Dry mass
was recorded as mg/cm².

3.3.8 Pulse amplitude modulated (PAM) fluorometry

The photophysiology of the biofilms was evaluated using PAM. The measurements were
taken at 24-hour intervals over a 72-hour period (0-72 hours) using the WATER-PAM fluo-
rometer (see methods section 2.5.6 for instructions) with three replicates per treatment.

3.3.9 Diatom cleaning and species identification

Diatom frustules were cleaned, identified and counted to analyse species composition
and diversity. The frustule cleaning process was based on a method described by Kelly
et al (2008). From sub-samples (preserved with Lugol’s iodine solution (1%)), 1 ml of
the homogenised sample was transferred to a pyrex glass test tube and made up to 10 ml
with deionised water, before being centrifuged for 10 minutes at 3000 rpm (1811 RCF).
Supernatant was removed down to 1 ml. Samples were then digested in a fume hood by
adding 2 ml of saturated potassium permanganate solution and 2 ml Hydrochloric acid
(HCl), (12N). Samples were placed in a rack in a water bath at 90 °C for 90 minutes in a fume hood. After turning to a pale straw colour, the test tubes were cooled to room temperature, then made up to 10 ml with deionised water and centrifuged for 10 minutes at 3000 rpm (1811 RCF). The supernatant was removed to leave 1 ml and the samples were vortexed for 30 seconds to ensure effective mixing. Test tubes were refilled with deionised water to 10 ml. This process was repeated 5 times to ensure complete acid removal. Before preparing slides for identification and enumeration, sample concentrations were assessed visually. If a sample appeared milky in colour it was deemed to be excessively concentrated and was therefore diluted with deionised water. The slides were prepared by placing 0.5 ml of sample on a coverslip on a large glass sheet, which was then left to dry for 24 hours. After drying, a hot plate was heated to 130 °C. One drop of Naphrax was placed on a glass slide and inverted onto the cover slip; the slide and cover slip were then placed on to the hot plate for approx. 15 minutes. Using toothpicks, pressure was gently applied to the cover slip to remove gas bubbles which would otherwise impede species identification. After heating, the prepared slide was removed from the hot plate and left to cool. Samples were counted using a Leica DM LB2 microscope (Wetzlar, Germany) at a magnification of x1000, with reference to standard texts (Krammer & Lange-Bertalot 1986, 1997, 2000, 2004).

3.3.10 Statistical analysis

All statistical analysis was carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics 23 (IBM Corp., New York, USA). Homogeneity of variance was tested using Levene's test and normality of residuals was tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Where data were non-normally distributed, it was logarithmically transformed. One-way ANOVA’s were performed to examine differences between treatments at independent time points for dry mass, total chlorophyll and photophysiology. Two-way ANOVA’s were performed to determine an interactive effect between n-TiO₂ treatment and type of chlorophyll pigment at independent time points. Where data were significant, post-hoc Bonferroni tests were applied to identify significant differences between treatments. Shannon-Weaver diversity scores were calculated to investigate differences between diatom species diversity. Using data obtained on the relative abundance of diatom species in riverine biofilm assemblages, species assemblages were ordinated us-
ing non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) using a Bray-Curtis similarity measure (Community Analysis Package, PISCES). To identify which species were most influential in driving variation along informative NMDS axes, Spearman’s rank correlations were carried out between the NMDS axis scores for each sample and the relative abundance scores based on diatom species composition of the cleaned frustules. Bonferroni corrections were used to account for multiple testing. To compare the composition of biofilm communities between samples, an analysis of similarities test (ANOSIM) was used. This is a non-parametric statistical test used to test whether similarity between groups is greater than or equal to the similarity within groups.

3.4 Results

3.4.1 Mesocosm water properties and local weather data

The temperature, pH, irradiance and oxygen concentration were measured over a four-day period in each of the treatment channels (Table 3.1). Amongst the treatment channels, no notable differences were observed in any of the measured parameters. Data from a local weather station (AccuWeather, June 2018) in Wareham (Dorset, UK) showed that there was excessively warm weather throughout the experiment. Data revealed an average air temperature of 22 °C (± 0.55 SE) was recorded from 06/06/2018 - 22/06/2018, which was 4.4 °C warmer than the average historical air temperature of that area (17.6 °C).
Table 3.1: Environmental parameters in stream mesocosm river water (temperature, pH, light irradiance and oxygen) in each treatment channel shown as a mean value (± standard error) over four days.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n-TiO$_2$ treatment (mg l$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Temperature (℃)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Light irradiance (µmol (photons) m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Oxygen (mg l$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>27.58 ± 0.31</td>
<td>8.22 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1549.25 ± 45.27</td>
<td>7.88 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>28.73 ± 0.64</td>
<td>8.29 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1567.75 ± 46.40</td>
<td>7.92 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>27.58 ± 0.27</td>
<td>8.25 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1484.50 ± 121.2</td>
<td>7.79 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4.2 Changes in the periphytic biomass of benthic biofilms following the application of n-TiO$_2$

3.4.2.1 The impact of n-TiO$_2$ on the total chlorophyll of riverine biofilm assemblages

The total chlorophyll content of the untreated and treated biofilms (µg/cm$^2$) was measured at 24 and 72 hours (Figure 3.3). Total chlorophyll was used as an endpoint in this field study as it is a useful indicator of the overall photosynthetic biomass of the biofilms.
After 24 hours of n-TiO$_2$ exposure, the total chlorophyll of the biofilms (µg/cm$^2$) was 3.58, 3.75 and 3.36 (µg/cm$^2$) in the control, 0.05, and 5 mg l$^{-1}$ samples respectively. There were no significant differences found between the total chlorophyll concentration in treatments ($F_{(2, 6)} = 0.29, p = 0.758$). To investigate whether there were any changes in different chlorophyll pigments between treatments, the composition of chlorophyll $a$, $b$ and $c$ was measured in each treatment (Table 3.2). No significant interaction effect was found between n-TiO$_2$ concentration and chlorophyll pigment ($F_{(4, 18)} = 0.56, p = 0.695$).

After 72 hours of n-TiO$_2$ exposure, the total chlorophyll of the biofilms was 6.09, 4.34 and 3.43 (µg/cm$^2$) in the control, 0.05 and 5 mg l$^{-1}$ samples respectively. Growth in the biofilm from 24-72 hours was observed with a total chlorophyll increase of 70.1%, 15.8% and 2.1% in the control, 0.05 and 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatments, respectively. The total chlorophyll, relative to the control at this time point, was 29% lower in the 0.05 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment and
significantly lower (44%) in the 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment ($F_{(2, 6)} = 5.20, p = < 0.001$).

To investigate whether there were any changes in the composition of different chlorophyll pigments between treatments, the composition of chlorophyll $a$, $b$ and $c$ was measured in each treatment (Table 3.2). A significant interaction effect was found between n-TiO$_2$ treatment and chlorophyll pigment ($F_{(4, 18)} = 4.36, p = 0.012$). The chlorophyll $a$ and chlorophyll $c$ concentrations were significantly lower in biofilms exposed to the 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment, relative to the control at 72 hours ($F_{(2, 6)} = 5.41, p = 0.045$); ($F_{(2, 6)} = 6.46, p = 0.032$).

Table 3.2: The amount of each individual chlorophyll pigment ($a$, $b$, $c$) ($\mu$g/cm$^2$) and the chlorophyll $a:b$ and $a:c$ ratios in the untreated and treated biofilms (0 (control), 0.05 5 mg l$^{-1}$) at 24 and 72 hours. Values show mean ± SE of three independent replicates. Significance, relative to the control at that time point is shown at $p < 0.05$ (*).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (hours)</th>
<th>n-TiO$_2$ concentration (mg l$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Chlorophyll pigment ($\mu$g/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>a:b</th>
<th>a:c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.065 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.02</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.054 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.02</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.055 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.02</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.12 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.05</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.62 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.087 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.01</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.15 (*)</td>
<td>0.051 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.02 (*)</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4.2.2 The impact of n-TiO$_2$ on the dry mass of riverine biofilm assemblages

The dry mass of the untreated and treated biofilms (mg/cm$^2$) was measured at 24 and 72 hours (Figure 3.5). Dry mass was used as an end-point in this study as it is another useful indicator of the biomass of the biofilms.
Figure 3.4: The dry mass (mg/cm²) of riverine biofilm assemblages exposed to n-TiO₂ at 0 (control), 0.05 and 5 mg l⁻¹ at 24 hours and 72 hours. The white bar = control (0 mg l⁻¹), the dashed bar = 0.05 mg l⁻¹, and the striped bar = 5 mg l⁻¹. Error bars show mean ± SE of three independent replicates.

After 24 hours of n-TiO₂ exposure, the dry mass of the biofilms was 12.10, 10.75 and 9.97 (mg/cm²) in the control, 0.05 and 5 mg l⁻¹ samples respectively. The difference in dry mass between treatments was not found to be significant (F(2, 6) = 0.31, p = 0.746).

After 72 hours, the dry mass of the biofilms (mg/cm²) was 9.12, 12.27 and 8.48 in the control, 0.05 and 5 mg l⁻¹ samples respectively. These differences between treatments were not found to be significant (F(2, 6) = 0.91, p = 0.453).

3.4.3 Diatom species composition

The % relative abundance (RA) of diatom species found in the riverine biofilm assemblages is shown in Table 3.3, the 24 species with the highest RA are included in the table. Important species found in the benthic biofilms, defined as those with a >5% relative abundance (RA) included Achnanthidium minutissimum, Encyonema minutum, Melosira
variants, Nitzschia dissipata and small Nitzschia spp. The genus Nitzschia was grouped into categories depending on size (small, medium, large). Small species of Nitzschia had the highest relative abundance in the biofilm across all treatments (control = 33.16% ± 1.51% SE), 0.05 mg l$^{-1}$ = 33.30% ± 2.19% SE), 5 mg l$^{-1}$ = 27.97% ± 5.90% SE). At 72 hours, the diatom species composition and diversity within the Bacillariophyta (diatoms) was analysed. The Shannon-Weaver diversity score was 2.44 (± 0.075 SE), 2.42 (± 0.057 SE), and 2.50 (± 0.12 SE) for the 0, 0.05 and 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatments respectively. No significant differences were found in diatom species diversity between treatments ($F_{(2, 6)} = 0.225$, $p = 0.805$).

The NMDS plot summarising diatom assemblages on each slide showed clear separation in assemblage composition along Axis 2 between the 0 (control) with no added n-TiO$_2$s and the 5 mg l$^{-1}$ samples (Figure 3.5). However, an analysis of similarities test (ANOSIM) showed that differences in the assemblage composition between treatment groups were not significant ($R = -0.169$, $p = 1$). The diatom composition of the 0.05 mg l$^{-1}$ sample scores overlapped with both the control and the 5 mg l$^{-1}$ samples suggesting no clear differences in the assemblages at this concentration. The assemblages were separated along Axis 2, but showed greater overlap on Axis 1, suggesting Axis 2 is associated with the treatment effect. The stress value for the NMDS plot was 0.055 which suggests a good match between the data and the ordination distances, and therefore a good representation of the diatom assemblages. To investigate which species were most influential in driving assemblage differences observed along Axis 2, the RA of each species was correlated with Axis 2 values. The Spearman’s Rank Correlation of each species’ RA with Axis 2 showed that species most strongly associated with low Axis 2 scores were Nitzschia fonticola ($r_s = -0.898$, adj. $p = 0.024$), Planothidium frequentissimum ($r_s = -0.688$, adj. $p = 0.96$) and Melosira varians ($r_s = -0.594$, adj. $p = 1$). In contrast, the species most strongly associated with high axis 2 scores were Nitzschia dissipata ($r_s = 0.879$, adj. $p = 0.048$), Achnanthidium minutissimum ($r_s = 0.783$, adj. $p = 0.312$) and Amphora pediculus ($r_s = 0.717$, adj. $p = 0.72$). However, some of these species had very low RA (see Table 3.3) suggesting their influence on the community may not be as important.
Table 3.3: Species composition of diatom assemblages in riverine biofilm assemblages in the River Frome (Dorset, UK) after 72 hours. The mean RA of each species in each treatment (0, 0.05 and 5 mg l\(^{-1}\)) is shown alongside the SE. The Spearman’s Rank correlation coefficient (\(r_s\)) of each species’ RA with axis 2 values from the NMDS plot are shown in order of decreasing correlation. The \(p\) values are given alongside Bonferroni adjusted values (adj. \(p\)) to account for multiple testing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>0 ±SE</th>
<th>0.05 ±SE</th>
<th>5 ± SE</th>
<th>(r_s)</th>
<th>(p)</th>
<th>adj. (p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nitzschia fonticola</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitzschia dissipata</td>
<td>6.51</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>9.55</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>10.56</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achnanthidium minutissimum</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>13.23</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphora pediculus</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planothidium frequentissimum</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitzschia agg medium</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melosira varians</td>
<td>13.81</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>10.86</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>8.76</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitzschia agg small</td>
<td>33.16</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>33.30</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>27.97</td>
<td>5.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coconeis placentula</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navicula cryptotenella</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staurosirella pinnata</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragilaria capucina</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitzschia agg large</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synedra ulna</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navicula gregaria</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planothidium rostratum</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surirella brebissonii</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encyonema minuta</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navicula reicharditana</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planothidium ellipticum</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navicula menisculus</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gomphonema parvulum</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eolimna minima</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diatoma vulgare</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.5: Axis 1 and Axis 2 of NMDS, based on RA of diatoms grown in riverine biofilm assemblages in the River Frome (Dorset, UK) after 72 hours. The colours indicate different treatments (green = control, blue = 0.05 mg l\(^{-1}\), red = 5 mg l\(^{-1}\)) and the letters A, B and C indicate different replicate slides.

3.4.4 Changes in the photophysiology of riverine biofilms following n-TiO\(_2\) exposure

3.4.4.1 The effect of n-TiO\(_2\) on the rapid light curve of riverine biofilm assemblages

Using PAM fluorometry, RLCs were generated for each replicate of the untreated and treated biofilms at 24 hours (Figure 3.6a) and 72 hours (Figure 3.6b).
Figure 3.6: Rapid light curves (RLCs) produced from riverine biofilm assemblages at 24 hours (a) and 72 hours (b) to show the differences between the control, 0.05 mg l\(^{-1}\) and 5 mg l\(^{-1}\) samples. Control = closed circles, 0.05 mg l\(^{-1}\) = closed squares, 5 mg l\(^{-1}\) = closed triangles. Lines show means ± SE of three independent replicates.

At 24 hours, an increasing n-TiO\(_2\) concentration caused light saturation to occur at relatively lower PAR values, thus causing lower ETRm values. The slope of the light-limiting regions (α) was also lower with increasing n-TiO\(_2\) concentration. At 72 hours, the light saturated at lower PAR values for untreated and treated samples relative to 24 hours. The value of (α) was also lower in all treatments relative to 24 hours. The RLCs at this time point showed similar profiles to each other.
3.4.4.2 The effects of n-TiO$_2$ on the maximum quantum yield of PSII in the dark-adapted state ($F_{v}/F_{m}$) of riverine biofilm assemblages

The effect of an increasing n-TiO$_2$ concentration on the $F_{v}/F_{m}$ of the riverine biofilms at 24 and 72 hours is presented in Figure 3.7.

![Figure 3.7: The effect of an increasing n-TiO$_2$ concentration on the maximum quantum yield of PSII in the dark-adapted state ($F_{v}/F_{m}$) in riverine biofilm assemblages at 24 hours and 72 hours. White bar = control, dotted bar = 0.05 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment, striped bar = 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment. Error bars show mean ± SE of three independent replicates. Significance, relative to the control at each time point, is shown at $p = < 0.05$ (*) and $p = < 0.01$ (**).](image)

After 24 hours of n-TiO$_2$ exposure, the $F_{v}/F_{m}$ of the biofilms was 0.48, 0.43 and 0.40 in the control, 0.05 and 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatments respectively. The $F_{v}/F_{m}$ was 10% lower in the 0.05 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment and 17% lower in the 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment relative to the control at 24 hours, however, no significant differences were found between treatments ($F_{(2, 6)} = 4.70$, $p = 0.059$).

At 72 hours, the $F_{v}/F_{m}$ of the biofilms was 0.53, 0.52 and 0.48 in the control, 0.05 and 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment respectively. The $F_{v}/F_{m}$ increased in all treatments relative to 24 hours,
however, no clear trend was observed and no significant differences were found between treatments ($F_{(2, 6)} = 0.156, p = 0.859$).

3.4.4.3 The effects of n-TiO$_2$ on the maximum light-use coefficient for PSII ($\alpha$) of riverine biofilm assemblages

The effect of an increasing n-TiO$_2$ concentration on the ($\alpha$) of the biofilms at 24 and 72 hours is presented in Figure 3.8.

![Figure 3.8](image)

**Figure 3.8:** The effect of an increasing n-TiO$_2$ concentration on the maximum light use coefficient yield for PSII ($\alpha$) in riverine biofilm assemblages at 24 hours and 72 hours. White bar = control, dotted bar = 0.05 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment, striped bar = 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment. Error bars show mean ± SE of three independent replicates. Significance, relative to the control at each time point, is shown at $p = < 0.05$ (*) and $p = < 0.01$ (**).

After 24 hours of n-TiO$_2$ exposure, the ($\alpha$) of the biofilms was 0.17, 0.16 and 0.13 in the control, 0.05 and 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatments respectively. The value of ($\alpha$) was 9% lower in the 0.05 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment and significantly lower (23%) in the 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment relative to the control at 24 hours ($F_{(2, 6)} = 13.84, p = < 0.001$).
After 72 hours, the (α) of the biofilms was 0.13, 0.14 and 0.12 in the control, 0.05 and 5 mg l\(^{-1}\) treatments respectively. The value of (α) decreased in all treatments relative to their values at 24 hours. No clear trend was observed and no significant differences were found between treatments ($F_{(2, 6)} = 0.32$, $p = 0.739$).

3.4.4.4 The effects of n-TiO\(_2\) on the maximum electron transport rate (rETRm) of riverine biofilm assemblages

The effect of an increasing n-TiO\(_2\) concentration on the rETRm of the biofilms at 24 and 72 hours is presented in Figure 3.9.

![Figure 3.9: The effect of an increasing n-TiO\(_2\) concentration on the maximum electron transport rate (ETRm) in riverine biofilm assemblages at 24 hours and 72 hours. White bar = control, dotted bar = 0.05 mg l\(^{-1}\) treatment, striped bar = 5 mg l\(^{-1}\) treatment. Error bars show mean ± SE of three independent replicates. Significance, relative to the control at each time point, is shown at $p = < 0.05$ (*) and $p = < 0.01$ (**).]

After 24 hours of n-TiO\(_2\) exposure, the rETRm of the biofilms was 85, 68 and 43 in the control, 0.05 and 5 mg l\(^{-1}\) treatments respectively. The rETRm was significantly lower
(19.72%) in the 0.05 mg l\(^{-1}\) treatment and significantly lower (49.88%) in the 5 mg l\(^{-1}\) treatment relative to the control at 24 hours (\(F_{(2, 6)} = 56.15, p < 0.001\)).

After 72 hours, the rETRm of the biofilms was 42, 47 and 43 in the control, 0.05 and 5 mg l\(^{-1}\) treatments respectively. The ETRm decreased from 85.08 at 24 hours to 42.23 (-50.36%) at 72 hours in the 5 mg l\(^{-1}\) and the 0.05 mg l\(^{-1}\) treatment decreased from 68.30 to 47.19 (-30.91%). The 5 mg l\(^{-1}\) stayed relatively unchanged with no clear trend observed and no significant differences were found between treatments (\(F_{(2, 6)} = 0.16, p = 0.860\)).

3.4.4.5 The effects of n-TiO\(_2\) on the light saturation coefficient (E\(_k\)) of riverine biofilm assemblages

The effect of an increasing n-TiO\(_2\) concentration on the E\(_k\) of the biofilms at 24 and 72 hours is presented in Figure 3.10.
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**Figure 3.10:** The effect of an increasing n-TiO$_2$ concentration on the light saturation coefficient ($E_k$) in riverine biofilm assemblages at 24 hours and 72 hours. White bar = control, dotted bar = 0.05 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment, striped bar = 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment. Error bars show mean ± SE of three independent replicates. Significance, relative to the control at each time point, is shown at $p < 0.05$ (*) and $p < 0.01$.

After 24 hours of n-TiO$_2$ exposure, the $E_k$ of the biofilms was 494, 438 and 319 in the control, 0.05 and 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatments respectively. The $E_k$ of the biofilms was significantly lower (11.50%) in the 0.05 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment and significantly lower (35.49%) in the 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment relative to the control at 24 hours ($F_{(2, 6)} = 52.74$, $p < 0.001$).

After 72 hours, the $E_k$ of the control reduced from 494 at 24 hours to 331 (-33.12%), and the 0.05 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment reduced from 438 to 335 (-23.51%). The 5 mg l$^{-1}$ n-TiO$_2$ treatment increased from 318.99 to 374.58 (+17.11%). No clear trend was observed and no significant differences were found between treatments ($F_{(2, 6)} = 0.12$, $p = 0.891$).
3.4.5 Summary of results

- The total chlorophyll of the biofilms at 72 hours was significantly lower in biofilms exposed to 5 mg l$^{-1}$ n-TiO$_2$ treatment, relative to the control at that time point.

- At 72 hours, the concentration of chlorophyll $a$ and chlorophyll $c$ was significantly lower in biofilms exposed to the 5 mg l$^{-1}$ n-TiO$_2$ treatment, relative to the control at that time point.

- No changes in the dry mass of the biofilms were observed at 24 and 72 hours.

- No significant changes in the diatom assemblage of the biofilm were observed after 72 hours. However, subtle changes in the relative abundance of some species were observed between treatments.

- At 24 hours, the photophysiology of the biofilms was negatively impacted in biofilms exposed to the 0.05 and 5 mg l$^{-1}$ n-TiO$_2$ treatments. Significant lower values were observed in the ($\alpha$), rETRm, and $E_k$ exposed to n-TiO$_2$, relative to the control.

- At 72 hours, the photophysiology of the biofilms showed no significant differences between untreated and treated biofilms.

3.5 Discussion

3.5.1 The effects of n-TiO$_2$ on the biomass of riverine biofilm assemblages

It was hypothesized that freshwater biofilm biomass (total chlorophyll and dry mass) would be negatively impacted after n-TiO$_2$ treatment, and that higher n-TiO$_2$ concentrations would have greater negative impacts on the biomass of riverine biofilms. The biofilm biomass, measured by total chlorophyll, was significantly lower, relative to the control, after 72 hours of exposure to the highest n-TiO$_2$ concentration (5 mg l$^{-1}$). More specifically, significantly lower concentrations, relative to the control, were found in chlorophyll $a$ and chlorophyll $c$, but chlorophyll $b$ did not significantly decrease. This result concurs with Wright et al (2018), who observed a chlorophyll $a$ reduction when biofilms were exposed to 5 mg l$^{-1}$ n-TiO$_2$ and found no significant difference when biofilms were exposed to a lower
n-TiO$_2$ concentration (0.05 mg l$^{-1}$). In contrast, results from this study differ from findings of Jovanovic et al. (2016), who reported that n-TiO$_2$ exposure (0.25 mg l$^{-1}$) had no impact on the concentration of chlorophyll $a$ in biofilms. Interestingly, my study found no significant differences in dry mass between the untreated and treatment biofilms at either time point.

In this study, total chlorophyll increased by 70.1% in the control biofilms and 15.8% in the 0.05 mg l$^{-1}$ n-TiO$_2$ treatment from 24-72 hours. In the 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment, total chlorophyll increased by just 2.1%. While total chlorophyll did not decrease over time in the high n-TiO$_2$ concentration (5 mg l$^{-1}$), it was significantly lower than the total chlorophyll measured in the control at 72 hours. This may result from increased n-TiO$_2$ aggregation with EPS surrounding the biofilm (Battin et al., 2009; Ferry et al., 2009; Kroll et al., 2014). Evidence has shown that algae secrete more EPS in the presence of n-TiO$_2$ and EPS secretion increases at higher n-TiO$_2$ concentrations (Gao et al., 2018). These aggregations could shade the biofilms, reducing light availability for photosynthesis, and thus causing a reduction in photosynthetic pigments (Morelli et al., 2018; Wright et al., 2018).

Increases in community primary productivity, with simultaneous overall decrease in total chlorophyll, characterizes shifts from diatom-dominated biofilms to a green algae-dominated community (Guasch & Sabater, 1994; Sabater et al., 2002). Chlorophyll $a$ is the most abundant photosynthetic pigment and occurs in all algal taxa; changes in accessory pigments (e.g. chlorophyll $b$ and chlorophyll $c$) concentrations can indicate changes in dominant populations of periphyton (Ledger & Hildrew, 1998). In the 5 mg l$^{-1}$ n-TiO$_2$ treatment of this study, a significant reduction, relative to the control, was recorded in chlorophylls $a$ and $c$, but no significant differences were observed in chlorophyll $b$ (Table 3.2), suggesting that n-TiO$_2$ exposure is potentially causing a shift to a community that is dominated by green algae, and diatoms may be leaving the biofilm.
3.5.2 The effects of n-TiO\textsubscript{2} on the diatom species composition of riverine biofilm assemblages

Short-term impacts on freshwater biofilm structure and function may alter the structure and functioning of multiple trophic levels in aquatic ecosystems (Fechner et al., 2012). Biofilm establishment follows a "predictable microsuccession" with pioneer species including bacteria and low-profile diatom genera (e.g. *Achnanthes*, *Achnanthidium*, *Amphora*), followed by short-stalked taxa, and then longer, more erect and stalked species (Yallop & Kelly, 2006). Natural disturbances such as resource availability, velocity and temperature can induce changes in biofilm structure and functioning (Passy, 2007); anthropogenic pollutants may also have the potential to affect the biofilms. Biofilm contact with a particular toxicant, such as n-TiO\textsubscript{2}, may exert a selection pressure that favours certain taxa in the biofilm (Sabater et al., 2007). It was hypothesized that the diatom species composition of riverine biofilm assemblages would change after 72 hours in response to n-TiO\textsubscript{2} exposure. No significant differences in species diversity or community composition were found between the treated and untreated biofilms after 72-hour n-TiO\textsubscript{2} exposure. However subtle differences in diatom species composition were recorded between treatment biofilms. The NMDS plot revealed clear separation between samples in the control and 5 mg l\textsuperscript{-1} treatment along NMDS Axis 2. High values of this axis were associated with species including *Nitzschia dissipata*, *Achnanthidium minutissimum* and *Amphora pediculus*, suggesting these species may be more tolerant to n-TiO\textsubscript{2} pollution. Whilst low axis values were associated with species including *Nitzschia fonticola*, *Planothidium frequentissimum* and *Melosira varians*, suggesting these are more susceptible species.

The ecological guild of a given diatom may determine how it will be impacted by anthropogenic stressors. This has been demonstrated in a lotic mesocosm experiment investigating changes in diatom community following herbicide and fungicide exposure. Results showed that diatoms classified in the low and motile guilds increased in herbicide-treated mesocosms, and the high profile guild diatoms showed the opposite trend, decreasing in herbicide-treated mesocosms (Rimet & Bouchez, 2011). In this study, the relative abun-
dance (%) of the diatoms *Achnanthidium minutissimum* and *Amphora pediculus* was higher in the 5 mg l\(^{-1}\) treatment compared to the control after 72 hours. Both *A. minutissimum* and *A. pediculus* are classified in the low-profile guild (Passy, 2007), which includes slow-moving taxa and species at the biofilm bottom, linked to the substrate. This could explain their slightly higher abundance in the high n-TiO\(_2\) treatment, because they are less exposed to n-TiO\(_2\)s that do not penetrate past the surface of the biofilm, due to potential aggregation with the surrounding EPS. Also, *A. minutissimum* is an opportunistic species and is capable of rapidly colonising areas of the biofilm that have recently become exposed (Biggs *et al.*, 1998), therefore, it may be that other species left the biofilm and *A. minutissimum* rapidly colonised this new available niche in the biofilm. In contrast, the opposite result was recorded in the filamentous diatom species, *Melosira varians*, which decreased in relative abundance (%) at high n-TiO\(_2\) concentrations (5 mg l\(^{-1}\)), relative to the control. This species has been previously shown to be highly sensitive to metal pollution (Medley & Clements, 1998). *M. varians* is classified in the high-profile guild (Passy, 2007); high-profile diatoms are located near top of the biofilm surface, increasing their risk of exposure to chemicals in the water column. *M. varians* is a tychoplanktonic species, meaning it can rise up and leave the biofilm. If the alga was stressed from n-TiO\(_2\) exposure, it has the ability to detach from and leave the biofilm.

The most dominant genus across the biofilms was *Nitzschia*, with small species of *Nitzschia* making up 27-33% of the relative abundance recorded in the untreated and treated biofilms. *Nitzschia* is classified in the motile guild (Passy, 2007) and is considered a pollution-tolerant-genus (Khan, 1990). Motile guilds are particularly resistant to pollution stress because they are able to optimize their position in the biofilm and avoid external stress in the water column, such as high light, high flow rate, or anthropogenic pollutant stress (Chonova *et al.*, 2019). Motile diatoms also have a micro-habitat preference for thick matrices, which allows them to withstand higher levels of water contamination (Rimet & Bouchet, 2011). The ability of *Nitzschia* to adapt to changing environments due to movement may explain their abundance throughout the biofilm treatments.
3.5.3 The effects of n-TiO$_2$ on the photophysiology of riverine biofilm assemblages

It was hypothesized that the photophysiology of the biofilms would be negatively impacted in response to n-TiO$_2$ exposure, when compared to untreated biofilms. At 24 hours, as hypothesized, all photosynthetic parameters ($F_{v}/F_{m}$, $r$ETR$_m$, ($\alpha$), and $E_k$) were lower, relative to the control at the low n-TiO$_2$ concentration (0.05 mg l$^{-1}$), and further decreased at the high n-TiO$_2$ concentration (5 mg l$^{-1}$). Interestingly, at 72 hours, no significant differences were found between treated and untreated biofilms in any of the measured photosynthetic parameters.

This initial negative impact at 24 hours in photophysiology could be due to an unfavourable environment created by the presence of n-TiO$_2$s at the biofilm surface. Motile species could be migrating downwards in n-TiO$_2$ treated biofilms, as an important adaptation for survival when presented with environmental stress (Du et al., 2012). Therefore, when sampling the biofilms, the slurry of material removed from the slides, would contain algae from all levels within the biofilm, meaning the response measured would be from multiple algal taxa, some of which potentially spent more time in darker, lower parts of the biofilm. Lower irradiance levels have been shown to cause lower $F_{v}/F_{m}$ values (Coelho et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2015). This phenomenon can be attributed to a behavioural response where vertical migration takes place and is thought to be a mechanism to allow organisms to follow gradients or avoid extreme stress, maximising their fitness and thereby conferring an evolutionary advantage (Consalvey et al., 2004). For example, motile diatoms prevent photoinhibition using vertical migration (Kromkamp et al., 1998; Frankenbach et al., 2018).

At 72 hours, no differences were observed in biofilm photophysiology between treatments. The control treatment for ($\alpha$), ETR$_m$ and $E_k$ all reduced relative to what was observed at 24 hours. The decrease in photosynthetic parameters (($\alpha$), ETR$_m$ and $E_k$) in treated and untreated biofilms could be due to damage from high light and high temperature exposure. Light intensity in the field in this experiment was high (>1000 µmol (photons) m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) and
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This can drive photoinhibition, due to light-induced damage of the PSII reaction centre. The presence of UV irradiation may also be a reason for the decrease in photosynthetic parameters in untreated and treated biofilms. UV is unlikely to have increased n-TiO\textsubscript{2} photocatalytic activity, due to the crystalline phase used for this experiment, being rutile. Published research states that this phenomenon is only recorded from n-TiO\textsubscript{2}s possessing the anatase crystalline form (Sayes \textit{et al.}, 2006). Extended periods of UV exposure, however, could directly impair biofilm photophysiology (Agrawal, 1992; Bautista-Saraiva \textit{et al.}, 2018) and elevated temperatures, which were present in this experiment, can complicate algal self-repair after damage from UV irradiation (Wong \textit{et al.}, 2015). Interestingly, the $F_v/F_m$ of the untreated and treated biofilms, although not significant, increased relative to the $F_v/F_m$ at 24 hours. This could be due to the algae in the biofilms acclimating to high irradiance levels and adjusting their photosynthetic apparatus by converting the xanthophyll pigment diadinoxanthin (DD) to diatoxanthin (DT), which causes lower rETR\textsubscript{m} (Serodio \textit{et al.}, 2006).

3.5.4 Limitations and caveats of study

Firstly, throughout the duration of the experiment, the filtered river water (with or without added n-TiO\textsubscript{2}) was topped up regularly in the artificial mesocosms to compensate for evaporation, due to high temperatures. This would potentially have allowed new algal taxa to colonise biofilms. These algae were kept in the shade before entering the mesocosm, so may have been slightly shade-adapted compared to algae already in the artificial mesocosms. Secondly, during the experiment, there were extreme weather conditions. Light levels were extremely high (1400-1600 $\mu$mol photons m\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1}) and water temperature ranged from 27-29 ($^\circ$C). The shallow and clear water of the artificial mesocosms may have not given much protection from high light irradiation. Therefore, a solution for future studies would be to provide a shading device, to prevent any photodamage that may occur. Lastly, the short time-scale of this experiment was beneficial, as it allowed for detection of negative impacts on biofilm photophysiology after n-TiO\textsubscript{2} exposure for 24 hours, which a lot of long-term studies may miss out on. By increasing this time-scale, the subtle differences observed in diatom species composition between treatments after 72 hours may start to show greater,
significant differences.

3.5.5 Conclusion

This chapter aimed to investigate n-TiO$_2$ impacts on the structure and functioning of riverine biofilm assemblages over a 72-hour period by assessing changes in biofilm biomass, photophysiology and diatom species composition following n-TiO$_2$ exposure. The results obtained revealed that n-TiO$_2$ exposure, at environmentally relevant concentrations (0.05 mg l$^{-1}$) and higher concentrations expected in sewage treatment effluent (5 mg l$^{-1}$) negatively impacted biofilm photophysiology after 24 hours. After 72 hours of n-TiO$_2$ exposure, however, all treatments showed photophysiological impairment, likely due to high light and high temperature exposure, which may have masked longer-lasting effects of n-TiO$_2$ toxicity. The biomass of the biofilm, as measured by total chlorophyll, did not grow in the 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment from 24-72 hours, and had significantly lower concentrations of total chlorophyll relative to the control at 72 hours. Although no significant differences were observed in diatom species composition between treatments, there were subtle changes in species, based on their ecological guild. This study has confirmed that n-TiO$_2$ exposure has an acute toxicity effect on riverine biofilm assemblages at environmentally relevant concentrations. Further short-term studies are needed to disentangle the toxicity mechanisms behind these negative impacts.
CHAPTER 4

Synthesis and future recommendations

This synthesis contains four main sections. Section 4.1 will make comparisons between my laboratory and field studies to see whether results were similar, and will determine whether *N. palea* is a good indicator species for n-TiO$_2$ toxicity in freshwater environments. Section 4.2 will explore how the duration of the OECD toxicity test may be important, and how certain aspects of the test should be considered to avoid variations in response of the algae. Section 4.3 will give recommendations for future toxicity testing of n-TiO$_2$s using outdoor artificial mesocosms in the field. Section 4.4 will focus on the larger-scale impacts of n-TiO$_2$ pollution and wider impacts globally.
4.1 Do results from investigating n-TiO$_2$ impacts on a single species diatoms, *N. palea*, tell a similar story to the results from investigating the impacts of n-TiO$_2$ on whole riverine biofilm assemblages in the field?

Chapter 2 of this thesis investigated the impact of an increasing n-TiO$_2$ concentration on the growth and photophysiology of the single species benthic diatom, *N. palea*. Chapter 3 focused on n-TiO$_2$ impacts on riverine biofilm assemblages in field conditions, using the River Frome (Dorset, UK) as a study site. This section of the synthesis compares field and laboratory results, to determine whether they tell a similar story, and whether *N. palea* is a representative indicator species that can be used in laboratory toxicity testing to further our understanding of the impacts of n-TiO$_2$ exposure on field biofilms.

The lone method used in both the laboratory and field is pulse amplitude modulated (PAM) fluorometry; this was because whole community growth inhibition is not easily measured in the field, because there is a mixed community. For comparative purposes, the 24-hour values were used, as these are likely to be the most reliable, for reasons described in section 4.2 of this synthesis. In riverine biofilm assemblages in the field, exposure to the low nanoparticle concentration (0.05 mg l$^{-1}$) gave lower $F_{v}/F_{m}$ values, decreasing by 9.86% relative to the control. Relatively higher concentrations of n-TiO$_2$ (5 mg l$^{-1}$) caused a 16.7% decrease in $F_{v}/F_{m}$ relative to the control. In the laboratory study, exposure to 0.05 and 5 mg l$^{-1}$ n-TiO$_2$ caused smaller differences in $F_{v}/F_{m}$ with values lower, by 5.14% and 5.26%, relative to the control. Larger significant reductions were seen in both the 10 and 50 mg l$^{-1}$ treatments (20.26% and 31.04%). Field biofilm rETR$_{m}$ were lower, by 19.7% and by 49.87% in the 0.05 and 5 mg l$^{-1}$ treatment, relative to the control sample. The only reductions in laboratory rETR$_{m}$ were recorded in the 10 (16.6%) and 50 mg l$^{-1}$ (26.6%) treatments. Results in the laboratory and the field do not tell a similar story; in the laboratory, relatively high n-TiO$_2$ concentrations were required to produce negative impacts on *N. palea* after 24 hours, whereas in riverine biofilms, negative impacts in photophysiology were seen at lower
concentrations (0.05 and 5 mg l\(^{-1}\)).

Key differences between the laboratory and field sites are likely to cause different responses to n-TiO\(_2\) exposure, and the results obtained were expected to reflect this. Merely looking at the control \(F_v/F_m\) values (a crude indicator of photosynthetic health) at 24 hours, field values for the biofilms were already lower than those recorded in the laboratory for \(N.\) palea (field control \(F_v/F_m = 0.48\), laboratory control \(F_v/F_m = 0.60\)). This difference is likely due to a multitude of factors characteristic of field conditions.

Firstly, field conditions differ entirely from those in the laboratory. In the field, UV irradiation is present, and during the experiment, water temperatures reached 27-29 (°C). Based on the published literature (Sayes et al., 2006), we believe that UV exposure only causes photocatalytic activity in n-TiO\(_2\)s in the anatase crystalline phase, and not in the rutile phase. However, high UV irradiation in the field and elevated temperatures may be acute stressors for biofilm algal assemblages directly. High UV is known to reduce levels of algal photosynthetic pigments (Agrawal, 1992) and \(F_v/F_m\) has been observed to decrease in response to UV-A and UV-B exposure in microalgae (Lesser, 1996; Bautista-Saraiva et al., 2018).

Secondly, in the laboratory, a single species was present (\(N. \)palea); the species used is motile, so is, therefore, able to move away from potential stress exerted by the nanoparticles. Accordingly, at low nanoparticle concentrations, \(N. \)palea would hypothetically have the capacity to escape the stressor. However, in field conditions, over 50 distinct taxa may be present in a biofilm; some will be able to move away, but some will be sessile, and unable to escape any stress from the n-TiO\(_2\). Therefore, the whole community average tolerance value is likely to be less than that of \(N. \)palea.

By comparing field and laboratory results after 24-hour exposure, \(N. \)palea appears more tolerant to n-TiO\(_2\) exposure than biofilm communities in the field. Arguably, because it is not as sensitive to n-TiO\(_2\), it would not make a good model species, as a susceptible
taxon would be a better indicator. However, after 24 hours, *N. palea* produced a clear concentration-response pattern to increasing n-TiO\(_2\) concentration. In addition, the current OECD-recommended diatom, *Fistulifera pelliculosa*, is not a good n-TiO\(_2\) toxicity indicator, firstly because it is small in size and tends to clump together, complicating cell counts (Hana Masani, personal communication) and secondly, the only published study on n-TiO\(_2\) impacts on *F. pelliculosa* found no impairment of biomass or *Fv/Fm* at up to 100 mg l\(^{-1}\) n-TiO\(_2\) after 72 hours (Joonas *et al.*, 2019). With this knowledge, *N. palea* would be a better indicator species than *F. pelliculosa*, and would be useful for rapid toxicity testing of n-TiO\(_2\)s (0-24 hours).

It is difficult to compare the *N. palea* susceptibility to n-TiO\(_2\) with the OECD recommended green alga, *Raphidocelis subcapitata*, due to the varied responses in n-TiO\(_2\) susceptibility recorded throughout the literature. Some studies found *R. subcapitata* to be highly impaired after 72 hours, with IC\(_{50}\) values of 5.83 mg l\(^{-1}\) and 2.53 mg l\(^{-1}\) (Aruoja *et al.*, 2009; Lee & An, 2013). In contrast, others have shown much lower IC\(_{50}\) values, indicating a much lower impact with values of 160 mg l\(^{-1}\) and 113 mg l\(^{-1}\) (Hartmann *et al.*, 2010; Metzler *et al.*, 2011). The differences in responses throughout the literature are likely caused by inter-laboratory methodological variation between studies; small differences in methodology are likely to result in large differences in response to n-TiO\(_2\) exposure (Nyholm, 1985). This exemplifies the overwhelming necessity for a standardized ENP toxicity test for freshwater algae.

### 4.2 Problems with evaluating the impacts of ENPs using the OECD Freshwater Alga and Cyanobacteria Growth Inhibition Test

The OECD Freshwater Alga and Cyanobacteria Growth Inhibition Test guidelines state that toxicity experiments investigating the impacts of a hazardous substance on freshwater biota must be run for at least 72 hours. This test criterion was originally applied for testing
soluble toxicants, and requires the toxicant to dissolve in the aquatic medium. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles are insoluble in water and aquatic test media, and form suspensions when added to aquatic growth media (Hartmann et al., 2010). Due to the physico-chemical properties of the nanoparticles and the chemical properties of the media in which they are immersed (e.g. high ionic strength), several time-dependent transformation processes, such as sedimentation and aggregation, will take place over the duration of the experiment. This complicates ENP toxicity testing, due to the unstable exposure conditions which will vary throughout the experiment, and it raises the question of whether actual 'nano-scale' properties are being tested for the majority of the incubation period. There may be a risk of underestimating toxicity due to increases in nanoparticle hydrodynamic diameter during the experiment (Cupi et al., 2016). Rapid 0-24 hour toxicity tests, therefore, may be the most reliable for ENP toxicity testing, as they reduce the probability of time-dependent nanoparticle transformation changes and provide a reliable result before the nanoparticles have time to form aggregates, therefore becoming more like microparticles. Sorensen & Baun (2015) proposed carrying out 2-hour toxicity tests after ageing the nanoparticles in growth media for 24 hours as this method produced clear concentration-response patterns and as a result, reproducibility increased. Future studies evaluating ENP toxicity to freshwater algae should include time-course experiments to determine the point at which ENPs begin to aggregate in aquatic media and to determine ENP toxicity between 0-24 hours.

OECD guidelines recommend that algal cultures should receive continuous, uniform fluorescent illumination of cool white light throughout the duration of a toxicity test, with a light intensity ranging from 60-120 µmol (photons) m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Some nanoparticle toxicity studies, published in the literature, have been compliant with these guidelines, whereas others used varying light-dark photoperiods. Continuous illumination may actually be an inferior option, as it is not a realistic reflection of field conditions. Outside the laboratory, algae are exposed to light and dark cycles, and continuous lighting may be an underlying cause of inaccurate nanoparticle toxicity estimates.

Keeping algae in varying experimental vessels for 72 hours is also a questionable practice,
and the type of exposure system used may affect ENP toxicity. Manier et al (2015) studied and compared three different exposure systems (Erlenmeyer flasks, 24-well microplates and cylindrical vials). Growth inhibition was substantially higher in the cylindrical vials (71%), relative to the controls, when compared with the 24-well microplates (49%) and Erlenmeyer flasks (33%). Visual observations in their study revealed the presence of large agglomerates in the microplates and flasks, which did not form in the vials, suggesting that in the systems where nanoparticles aggregated more, the negative impacts were less.

Despite the many and varied difficulties as documented above, laboratory tests are extremely important to ecotoxicological studies, and do provide fast, cheap and sensitive test results for measuring toxicity of selected substances in environmentally relevant organisms. However, it is recommended that current guidelines for ENP toxicity testing should be reconsidered, due to the time-dependent transformational changes that the ENPs undergo. A shorter test may mitigate a substantial amount of the variability in ENP toxicity results; while shortening exposure time does not increase environmental relevance as such, it offers more control during testing, and may aid reasearchers in ranking different ENP toxicities.

### 4.3 Future recommendations for testing the impacts of n-TiO$_2$s in the field

In a realistic environmental scenario, the amount of n-TiO$_2$ that algae in water bodies are exposed to is likely to be seasonally-dependent. Algae are likely to experience substantially increased nanoparticle concentrations in the summer months due to increased sunscreen use, with more people swimming in water bodies. In winter, n-TiO$_2$ exposure will be lower. More studies are therefore required to better understand post n-TiO$_2$ exposure algal recovery. This could be done in outdoor mesocosms in the field; after a set period of n-TiO$_2$ exposure, biofilms could be translocated to a new channel with identical conditions to the control biofilms, and long term changes in biofilm growth and photophysiology could be measured. It is also suggested that future tests should also evaluate the effects of n-TiO$_2$ to other members of the periphytic community, such as cyanobacteria, and also on the grazers that
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feed on periphyton to see whether n-TiO\textsubscript{2} toxicity is biomagnified up the food chain to higher trophic levels.

4.4 The larger scale impacts of n-TiO\textsubscript{2} pollution on the world’s water bodies

Pollution of n-TiO\textsubscript{2}s may be having large-scale negative impacts globally. Coastal tourism has rapidly increased in the last decade (Tovar-Sanchez et al., 2013); higher numbers of people visiting these areas therefore means the amount of sunscreen being used is also increasing. Most of the common sunscreens on our shelves contain minerals such as titanium dioxide and zinc oxide in the nano-scale form (n-TiO\textsubscript{2} & n-ZnO), which are used as UV filters (Smijs & Pavel, 2011). Every year, it is predicted that approximately 14,000 tons of sunscreen end up in waterways (Downs et al., 2016), sourced from humans, covered in sunscreen, swimming in the ocean. Conservative estimates for a Mediterranean beach reveal that during a summer day, 4 kg of n-TiO\textsubscript{2}s could be released into the water, increasing hydrogen peroxide (H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}) concentration to 270 nM/day (Sanchez-Quiles & Tovar-Sanchez, 2014). This mass pollution of sunscreen into our waters has led to concerns about the impacts of nanoparticle pollution on coral reefs in the ocean. Corals live in symbiosis with dinoflagellate algae (zooxanthellae). The algae absorb sunlight and photosynthesise, creating nutrients that feed the coral. Exposure to n-TiO\textsubscript{2} in the Caribbean mountainous star coral (Montastraea faveolata) at 0.1 and 10 mg l\textsuperscript{-1} caused significant algal expulsion in all the colonies (Jovanovic & Guzman, 2014). Without algae, corals are left bleached and pale, deprived of their main source of food. Although climate change seems to be the primary cause of coral bleaching (Baker et al., 2008), oceanic sunscreen pollution of oceans may speed up the bleaching process. Sanchez-Quiles & Tovar-Sanchez (2014) demonstrated that photoexcitation of n-TiO\textsubscript{2}s and ZnO nanoparticles under solar radiation produced significant amounts of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}, a strong bleach which generates high levels of stress on algae. Commercial companies are already latching onto this media hype and developing "non-nano sunscreens". The particle size of n-TiO\textsubscript{2}s and ZnO used in these new developing products are between 100-130 nm, which lies slightly outside the defined range of a nanoparticle (1-100 nm). As
such, this is not only false advertising, but also may not mitigate nanoparticle pollution effects at all. Another potentially significant concern is the impact of sunscreen pollution on marine diatoms. Several studies have reported negative effects of n-TiO$_2$ on marine diatoms (Miller et al., 2010; Tovar-Sanchez et al., 2013; Galletti et al., 2016). As marine microalgae represent the first level of the trophic chain, mass pollution of n-TiO$_2$ could have negative cascading effects on the entire ecosystem. Somewhere between a fifth and a quarter of all photosynthesis on the planet is carried out by diatoms, meaning that as much as a 25% of Earth’s oxygen is sourced by these microscopic marine cells. By fixing carbon, or converting it from CO$_2$ into sugar, diatoms help reduce atmospheric CO$_2$, so diatom activity reduces global warming effects (Galletti et al., 2016). If n-TiO$_2$ concentrations get too high, and begin to impair marine diatoms, the concentration of greenhouse gases in our atmosphere may increase, having extreme negative consequences on global warming and climate change.
Glossary and Abbreviations

Glossary

**Anatase**: crystalline phase of titanium dioxide nanoparticles, providing high photocatalytic activity in commercial products, such as sunscreens

**Brookite**: crystalline phase of titanium dioxide nanoparticles, not typically used in commercial products

**Fine particles**: particles with a size range spanning 100 nm - 3µm

**Heteroaggregation**: aggregation of dissimilar particle (e.g. NP-algal cell aggregation)

**Homoaggregation**: aggregation of similar particles (e.g. NP-NP aggregation)

**Nanoparticles**: particles with a size range of 1-100 nm, possessing three outer dimensions at the nanoscale

**Photocatalysis**: acceleration of a chemical reaction when a catalyst is present

**Point zero charge**: when the electrical charge density on the surface is zero

**Rutile**: crystalline phase of titanium dioxide nanoparticles, typically used in paint as a white pigment

**Steric hindrance**: the termination of a chemical reaction caused by a molecule’s structure

**Zeta potential**: the potential difference across an electric double layer usually between a solid surface and a liquid

Abbreviations

**Alpha**: the theoretical maximum light utilization coefficient

**DARLEQ**: diatoms for assessing river and lake ecological quality

**DM**: dry mass

**DOM**: dissolved organic matter

**EC\textsubscript{50}**: the concentration which induces a response halfway between the baseline and maximum after a specified exposure time

**E\textsubscript{k}**: the light saturation coefficient
ENP: engineered nanoparticle
EPA: environmental protection agency
EPS: extracellular polymeric substances
ETRm: the maximum electron transport rate
FA: fulvic acids
FBA: freshwater biological association
FDA: food and drug administration
\( Fv/Fm \): the maximum quantum yield of PSII in the dark-adapted state
GI: growth inhibition
HA: humic acids
IC\(_{20}\): the concentration at which 20% of the population growth is inhibited
IC\(_{50}\): the concentration at which 50% of the population growth is inhibited
IS: ionic strength
NM: nanomaterial
NOM: natural organic matter
NP: nanoparticle
n-TiO\(_2\): titanium dioxide nanoparticle
OECD: organization for economic cooperation and development
PAM: pulse amplitude modulated fluorometry
PAR: photosynthetic active radiation
PEC: predicted environmental concentration
PSII: photosystem two
PZC: point zero charge
RCF: relative centrifugal force
RLC: rapid light curve
ROS: reactive oxygen species
RPM: revolutions per minute
SEM: scanning electron microscope/microscopy
SSSI: site for special scientific interest
STW: sewage treatment works
**TDI**: trophic diatom index

**WFD**: water framework directive

**WPMN**: working party of manufactured nanomaterials
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