Notch signalling: sensor and instructor of the microenvironment to coordinate cell fate and organ morphogenesis

Bethan Lloyd-Lewis[1,2], Philippos Mourikis[3], Silvia Fre[1,2,*]

[1]Institut Curie, PSL Research University, Inserm, CNRS, Paris, France. [2]Sorbonne University, UPMC University of Paris VI, Paris, France. [3]Université Paris Est Créteil, IMRB U955-E10, Inserm, CNRS, Créteil, France.

*Correspondance : silvia.fre@curie.fr

Abstract

During development, stem cells give rise to specialised cell types in a tightly regulated, spatiotemporal manner to drive the formation of complex three-dimensional tissues. While mechanistic insights into the gene regulatory pathways that guide cell fate choices are emerging, how morphogenetic changes are coordinated with cell fate specification remains a fundamental question in organogenesis and adult tissue homeostasis. The requirement of cell contacts for Notch signalling makes it a central pathway capable of linking dynamic cellular rearrangements during tissue morphogenesis with stem cell function. Here, we highlight recent studies that support a critical role for the Notch pathway in translating microenvironmental cues into cell fate decisions, guiding the development of diverse organ systems.

Word count: 2499

Introduction

The construction of precise cellular ensembles during tissue development relies on an intricate interplay between cell proliferation, differentiation, communication, migration and death. Among the signalling cues that coordinate these cellular programs, the Notch pathway is widely-recognised as a major determinant of cell fate across all metazoans. First discovered in *Drosophila melanogaster* a century ago, the Notch receptor is a central element of an evolutionarily conserved pathway that controls a broad spectrum of cell fate decisions through local cell communication [1].

Notch signalling is triggered by interactions between Notch receptors and their ligands on adjacent cells (Box 1). Receptor activation results in Notch target gene induction, including genes of the *Hairy-Enhancer of Split* (HES) family, which act as repressors of lineage-specific determinants. In turn, this juxtacrine signalling mechanism dynamically regulates lineage specification according to the position of a cell and the composition of its neighbours. Its simplicity in design - a direct route from the membrane to the nucleus lacking second messenger amplification and regulation – belies exceptional complexity, as Notch activation guides cells towards opposing developmental paths in a tissue and time-dependent manner. Integration with coincident signalling events and mechanical cues also shape Notch pathway activity, generating the diverse biological outcomes required for each context [2]. Notch signalling, therefore, provides an ideal paradigm to examine how cells combine multiple inputs from neighbouring cells and the physical extracellular environment to coordinate cell fate specification with tissue morphogenesis.

Notch signalling: bridging spatiotemporal control of stem cell specification with organ morphogenesis

The role of Notch in determining cell fate during development is well-recognised, and has been extensively reviewed elsewhere [2-4]. While Notch promotes cellular differentiation in some contexts (e.g. in skin keratinocytes [5] and in the lung [6]), signal activation is often associated with stem cell maintenance and proliferation, including in muscular, intestinal, hematopoietic and neural stem cells [7-12]. Indeed, the developmental outcome of Notch signals depend on their integration with a multiplicity of regulatory factors that vary across morphogenetic systems [2]. Cell shape [13], cellular movements, proximity to local cues (e.g. basement membrane (BM) attachment) [14] and mechanical stimuli associated with local tissue deformations [15] can all contribute to cell fate determination [16,17]. Thus, dynamic changes in cellular composition and tissue architecture during organ growth and repair expose stem cells to evolving niche environments, instructing gene regulatory networks such as Notch to guide lineage decisions in a highly regulated, spatiotemporal manner. Below, we outline designs of Notch signal modulations between stem cells and their surrounding cellular and non-cellular microenvironment, and highlight recent studies that describe how spatial arrangements of cells underpin cell fate decisions during tissue morphogenesis (Figure 1).

Notch signalling responds to dynamic reorganisation of the cellular niche

The source and availability of Notch ligands is essential for defining how Notch determines cell fate. In the context of directional Notch signalling, cellular rearrangements can position a given cell in proximity to a Notch ligand-expressing cell that, in turn, determines its neighbour's destiny. In the developing mammary gland, for example, Notch signalling is well-established to be a critical determinant of luminal cell differentiation [18,19], one of the two epithelial lineages that constitute the mammary ductal tree [20]. Pathway activation in luminal cells, triggered by neighbouring Dll1-bearing basal cells, suppresses the transcription factor p63, a key mammary basal cell determinant [18,21,22] (Figure 1B). In agreement, a recent study demonstrated that forced Notch activation during embryonic mammogenesis, and in the adult lineage-committed basal compartment, drives the obligatory specification of luminal cells [19]. Intriguingly, cell fate specification in the embryonic mammary gland coincides with the initial morphogenetic sprouting events that give rise to the branched epithelium present at birth [19]. Thus, it is tempting to speculate that, during the initial stages of tubulogenesis, differential cell contacts establish basal (Dll1) to luminal (Notch) signalling, with some embryonic mammary cells exposed to the BM, while others face the forming lumen; an intriguing hypothesis that warrants further investigation.

Similarly, coordinated morphogenesis and Notch-mediated lineage diversification was recently described in the developing pancreas [23,24]. Indeed, excessive endocrine differentiation in Hes1 mutant embryos resulted in ectopic pancreas formation [24]. This study supports a model where the extension of the dorsal pancreatic bud perpendicularly into the associated mesenchyme is ensured by the repressive action of Hes1 on the endocrine determinant Neurogenin3 (Neurog3). A second report also examined the coordination between pancreas plexus morphogenesis and endocrine fate allocation [23]. In this case, morphogenetic cues within the epithelial plexus niche, where pancreatic progenitors reside, initiated endocrine commitment. The integration between Neurog3-driven endocrine differentiation, Notch-stimulated pancreatic progenitor maintenance and epithelial remodelling ensures the correct balance between cell differentiation and organ morphogenesis. Precisely how transcription-factor determinants feedback and are coordinated with pancreatic morphogenetic programs remains to be elucidated, although it is likely influenced by concomitant biochemical and biomechanical cues (discussed below).

Distinct temporal and spatial patterns of cell differentiation are also evident during the development of other tissues. For example, precise regionalisation of ligand expression in thymic epithelial cells was recently shown to be necessary for establishing discrete Notch niches that instruct T cell specification in the developing thymus [25]. Notch-mediated binary cell fate decisions are also required for mammalian nephrogenesis, where the necessary cell-to-cell interactions are established through a morphogenetic process that maintains nephron progenitors in aggregates during tubule

formation [26,27]. The requirement for positional cues to generate diverse and specialised cell types during organ morphogenesis is evolutionarily conserved, as similar signal regionalisation is necessary for nephrogenesis in zebrafish [28]. Moreover, a recent study in *Drosophila* reported that distinct glial precursors are found in specialised regions of the fly central nervous system, and that Notch-mediated glial cell diversity can be tracked back to their anatomical position [29].

In addition to signalling between stem/progenitor cells and differentiated progeny, interactions with other cell types within the niche can modulate Notch activity. For example, a recent study revealed that Dll1-expressing mammary basal cells communicate with resident Notch-expressing macrophages during mammary gland development. Here, Notch activation in macrophages was shown to result in Wnt ligand secretion, defining a niche for mammary basal cells in the postnatal gland [30] (Figure 1B). Intriguingly, Notch-expressing luminal cells extend cellular protrusions that cross the basal layer, also exposing them to mammary stromal signals (Figure 2). The functional significance of this behaviour, however, remains unclear. A similar heterologous niche was recently reported in skeletal muscle, where interstitial endothelial Dll4-expressing cells were suggested to stimulate Notch signalling in muscle stem cells (MuSC) situated under the BM [31]. It is noteworthy, however, that physical Notch-triggering cell contact across the BM remains to be demonstrated experimentally in both contexts [30,31]. Indeed, soluble factors secreted by interstitial cells may modulate Notch signalling instead, as was recently demonstrated for the metalloproteinase, Adamts1. Adamts1 produced by macrophages at sites of muscle injury was shown to bind and degrade intracellular Notch1 in MuSC, promoting their activation [32](Figure 1C).

Collectively, these studies strongly imply that cellular flows during morphogenesis generate spatially restricted cues at precise developmental time points, dictating the preferential expression, or engagement, of a Notch ligand or receptor. In turn, this establishes directional signalling via well-established lateral inhibition mechanisms that impose differential cell fate to the progeny of stem and progenitor cells during development [4]. Moreover, this fundamental mechanism of action appears to be re-employed during tissue renewal, ensuring homeostasis of regenerative tissues throughout life. In the intestinal epithelium, for example, Notch safeguards that the correct ratio of absorptive and secretory cells are generated from multipotent stem cells throughout tissue homeostasis (reviewed in [33]). As dynamic and spatial deployment of niche signals intimately regulate cell fate commitment across metazoans, a systems-level approach that integrates morphogenetic and gene-regulatory programs into a larger ‘niche framework’ is necessary to unravel complex developmental patterning processes.

Notch signalling: a responder and constructor of the non-cellular niche

Alongside facilitating stem cells to sense and respond to their immediate neighbours, Notch also acts as a molecular bridge between stem cells and their non-cellular microenvironment. Indeed, the

juxtacrine nature of Notch signalling ensures a spatially delimiting mechanism for localised and reciprocal connections between stem cells and the surrounding extracellular matrix (ECM). In addition to direct interactions between ECM proteins and Notch components, integration with other matrix-stimulated signalling networks, including integrins, also regulate Notch activity during morphogenesis [34,35]. Basement membrane laminins, for example, stimulate Notch signalling by inducing β1-integrin mediated expression of Dll4 to regulate tip cell development during sprouting angiogenesis [36]. Conversely, during chick embryo somitogenesis, β1-integrin was shown to regulate Notch activity in a Wnt-dependent manner via integrin-linked kinase [37]. However, cross-regulation of Wnt and Notch signalling by integrins remains controversial, and further studies are needed to clarify the mechanisms underlying complex Wnt-Notch crosstalk. In contrast, recent biochemical analyses suggest that β3-integrin attenuates Notch responsive transcriptional activity by inducing c-Src-mediated phosphorylation of intracellular Notch (NICD) [34]. The *in vivo* relevance of these results to physiological tissue morphogenesis, however, has yet to be established.

Notch signalling can also feedback to the ECM in a number of ways throughout tissue development and homeostasis. For example, a recent study revealed that Notch1 activity in the developing heart promotes ECM degradation (by inducing Adamts1 expression), driving the formation of endocardial projections that are critical for cardiac trabeculation. Here, antagonistic Notch1 and Neuregulin1 signalling spatially and temporally coordinate cardiomyocyte lineage specification with the complex morphogenetic processes necessary for establishing normal trabecular architecture [38]. Notch signalling in adult skeletal muscle stem cells, however, has an opposing role, as it directly induces the secretion of extracellular collagens. Notch activation in MuSC, likely triggered by Dll-bearing myofibers, drives the expression of ECM collagen type V, which binds to Calcitonin receptor on MuSC to maintain their quiescent state [39,40] (Figure 1C). Similarly, Notch signalling ensures the anchoring (homing) of emerging MuSC during development by regulating the expression of basal lamina components and adhesion molecules [41].

The topological architecture and physical constraints of the stem cell niche also profoundly influences cellular differentiation dynamics during organogenesis [16,17]. Mechanical stimuli during tissue shaping can control cell shape, localisation and spatial relationships with other cells [16], providing another dimension in Notch-mediated cell fate regulation. Indeed, by combining micropatterning with receptor trans-endocytosis assays and theoretical modelling, a recent study showed that the magnitude of juxtacrine Notch signalling was dependent on the cell-cell contact area, with smaller cells more likely to become signal-sending cells [13]. Whilst recapitulated during early chick inner ear development, further *in vivo* studies are required to ascertain the generality of these intriguing results to other tissues.

Alternatively, the physical properties of the cell microenvironment may also regulate Notch activity through the YAP/TAZ mechanotransduction pathway (reviewed in [15]). In the developing pancreas, for example, interactions between integrins and fibronectin-rich ECM stimulates an F-actin–YAP1–Notch mechano-signalling axis that promotes ductal differentiation of bipotent pancreatic progenitors [42] (Figure 1D). In this context, both cell extrinsic and intrinsic mechano-transduction pathways are coordinated to dictate the lineage decisions of pancreatic progenitor cells during organogenesis. In epidermal stem cells, however, mechano-activation of YAP/TAZ promotes epidermal stemness by inhibiting Notch-mediated keratinocyte differentiation [15]. In contrast, contraction-stimulated YAP/TAZ in myofibers induces Jag2 expression, triggering Notch activation in adjoining MuSC that prevents their myogenic differentiation [43]. Collectively, these recent studies highlight a role for Notch as a molecular link between YAP/TAZ mechano-transduction signalling and the cell microenvironment, guiding lineage decisions in response to structural changes during tissue morphogenesis. Finally, as ligand-applied force is required to induce proteolytic cleavage and activation of the Notch receptor [44], tissue mechanics could conceivably regulate Notch-driven cell fate decisions directly during tissue shaping, an intriguing possibility that warrants further investigation.

Conclusions

The role of Notch signalling in determining cell fate throughout development is well established. How diverse intrinsic and extrinsic signals converge on Notch signalling to coordinate cell fate specification and tissue morphogenesis, however, is less clear. In light of the recent studies discussed above, we propose that Notch acts as a biological kapellmeister (orchestra conductor), coordinating spatial cues generated by cell flows during morphogenesis to dictate cell fate decisions at specific developmental times. Precise spatiotemporal integration of environmental cues likely drives the preferential expression of a Notch ligand or receptor, establishing directional signalling via lateral inhibition mechanisms that impose differential cell fate to the progeny of tissue stem cells.

An additional parameter that contributes to the complexity of Notch signalling is gene oscillations, a well-recognised mechanism of converting temporal information into spatial patterns during morphogenesis. Notably, Notch activity is known to oscillate during somitogenesis and brain development [45,46]. A recent study suggested that oscillation dynamics may couple different signalling outputs, demonstrating that the timing and rhythm of asynchronous Notch- and Wnt-driven gene oscillations are essential for correct presomitic vertebrate development [47]. Whether oscillatory gene expression patterns drive the development of other tissues, however, remains unknown. It is tempting to speculate that gene oscillatory dynamics represents a mechanism of generating periodic bursts of signalling that are integral and, possibly, necessary for cell fate commitment during organogenesis.

196 The recent studies briefly summarised herein exemplify how direct links between transcriptional cell
197 fate determinants and regulation of tissue morphogenesis are necessary for establishing the form and
198 function of diverse tissues. Further work is needed to associate dynamic cell behaviours with fate
199 acquisition, both during development and in tissue regeneration, where cells are exposed to new
200 neighbours and niche signals. The emergence of tools that facilitate non-invasive spatiotemporal
201 mapping of tissue mechanics, combined with improved lineage tracing and *in vivo* 4D imaging
202 approaches, will undoubtedly yield exciting new insights into Notch-mediated control of cell fate
203 specification and morphogenesis.

204 **Box 1: Notch signalling in brief**

205 The central element of the pathway is the plasma membrane protein Notch, which acts both as a
206 receptor and a transcription factor. Notch is initially cleaved in the trans-Golgi network and is
207 presented on the cell surface in a heterodimeric form, tethered together via non-covalent interactions.
208 In mammals, the Notch receptor has four paralogues, Notch 1 to Notch 4. Molecularly, the
209 extracellular domain of either of the transmembrane ligands, Delta-like-1, -2 and -4, and Jagged-1 and
210 -2 (Delta and Serrate in *Drosophila*) on the surface of one cell, interacts with the extracellular domain
211 of the Notch receptor on an adjacent cell. A series of post-translational modifications modulate the
212 affinity and activity of the Notch receptor and its ligands (reviewed in [48]). Ligand binding triggers
213 two proteolytic cleavages by ADAM and γ-secretase (juxtamembrane and intracellular, respectively)
214 that result in the release of the Notch intracellular domain (NICD) from its plasma membrane tether.
215 NICD is subsequently translocated into the nucleus where it forms a complex with the DNA-binding
216 factor RBPJ and the co-activator Mastermind-Like (Su(H) and Mastermind in *Drosophila*). This
217 nuclear complex induces the expression of Notch target genes, amongst which the most conserved
218 belong to the HES gene family [49,50]. HES proteins are basic Helix-Loop-Helix (bHLH) DNA-
219 binding transcription factors that suppress expression of lineage-specifying bHLH genes, such as
220 *Mash-1* and *Math-1* (neurogenesis, endocrine lineages), *Myogenin* (myogenesis) and *E2A* (B
221 lymphopoiesis), controlling cell differentiation in diverse organs, including the nervous system, heart,
222 skeletal muscle, pancreas, endodermal endocrine organs and hematocytes [51].

223

Figure legends

Figure 1. Notch integrates niche signals to direct stem cell specification during organ morphogenesis and homeostasis.

Dynamic changes in cellular composition and tissue architecture during organ growth and regeneration expose stem cells to evolving niche environments, instructing gene regulatory networks such as Notch to guide lineage decisions in a highly regulated spatiotemporal manner. This includes communication between stem cells and their progeny (1), other neighbouring cell types (2), and the extracellular matrix (ECM) (3), in addition to mechanical cues associated with tissue morphogenesis. **(A)** In the intestinal crypt, Notch activation in intestinal stem cells (ISCs) by Dll1/4 ligand-expressing Paneth cells is crucial for their maintenance and differentiation. Notch activation leads to Hes1 expression which, in turn, represses the secretory cell determinant Math1 [33]. Communication between ISCs and Wnt-producing myofibroblasts (telocytes) across the basement membrane (BM) also regulates stem cell fate; however, a role for Notch in this context has yet to be identified. **(B)** During mammary gland development, interactions between ligand-bearing basal cells and Notch-expressing luminal cells ensure correct fate allocation [18,19]. Luminal differentiation is specified by Notch through Hes/Hey-mediated repression of the basal determinant p63. Basal cells were also reported to induce Notch signalling in surrounding stromal macrophages, leading to Wnt ligand secretion to support basal cell maintenance [30]. **(C)** Mechano-dependent activation of YAP by muscle contraction induces Jag2 ligand expression in chick myofibers that triggers Notch activation in muscle stem cells (MuSC), preventing their differentiation [43]. In addition, Notch activation induces the production of collagen V that acts as a surrogate ligand of the calcitonin receptor (CALCR) to maintain MuSC quiescence [39]. Other cell types in the niche have been suggested to modulate Notch signalling in MuSC, including $Dll4^{+}$ endothelial cells [31] and macrophages that secrete the metalloproteinase Adamts1 to degrade Notch receptors in response to damage (red arrow) [32]. **(D)** In the pancreas, a collagen/laminin rich ECM reduces integrin-FAK signalling, promoting endocrine specification [42], and is associated with apical cell narrowing, basal ward cell movement and eventual cell-rear detachment [23]. Concomitant with these morphogenetic changes, reduced Notch and YAP signalling leads to increased Neurog 3 (Ngn3) expression and endocrine differentiation. In contrast, exposure to a fibronectin-rich ECM maintains integrin production, resulting in F-actin bundling and increased cellular tension. This stimulates a F-actin–YAP1–Notch mechano-signalling axis that promotes ductal differentiation [42]. Thus, coordination between mechanical cues and cell fate allocation is fundamental for pancreas development. Cell drawings were reproduced and/or modified from Servier Medical Art (http://smart.servier.com).

Figure 2. Cellular rearrangements of Notch-expressing mammary luminal cells during mammary gland morphogenesis.

(A) Wholemount image of a mammary epithelial tree at puberty, stained with methyl green. **(B)** Schematic representation of the mammary epithelial bilayer, consisting of an inner layer of Notch-expressing luminal cells, and an outer layer of Delta-like-expressing basal cells adjacent to the basement membrane (BM). **(C-D)** Immunostaining of pubertal mammary gland tissues demonstrating that luminal Notch1- **(C)** and Notch3- **(D)** expressing cells (marked by membrane GFP) extend cellular protrusions that traverse the basal layer (marked by smooth muscle actin (SMA) in red). These protrusions allow luminal cells to contact the BM (marked by Collagen IV (ColIV) in cyan in **D**), and to be exposed to microenvironmental signals. Scale bar: 10 μm. Panel **D** reproduced from: @2013 LAFKAS et al. Originally published in the Journal of Cell Biology, https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201307046.

Acknowledgments

Research in the Fre lab is supported by the French Foundation for Medical Research (FRM) grant EQU201903007821, the French National Research Agency (ANR) grant ANR-15-CE13-0013-01, the Canceropole Ile-de-France (grant 2015-2-APD-01-ICR-1), the Ligue against cancer (grant RS19/75-101), Paris Sciences et Lettres (PSL* Research University), and by Labex DEEP ANR-Number 11-LBX-0044. We warmly thank Prof. Palle Serup (Copenhagen University) for highly appreciated feedback on this manuscript, and apologise to all investigators whose work could not be cited owing to space limitations.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Annotated References

Annotations

(*) Special interest

Chakrabarti *et al* (2018)

In this study, Chakrabarti et al. investigate the crosstalk between Dll1-expressing basal cells and Notch-expressing macrophages in the mouse mammary gland. They showed that basal cells mediate Notch activation in stromal macrophages, resulting in Wnt secretion that, in turn, supports mammary basal stem cell function. These findings establish macrophages as important cellular components of the basal stem cell niche, which are required for normal mammary gland development.

Del Monte-Nieto *et al* (2018)

Here, the authors reveal that Notch-dependent ECM degradation is essential to control cardiac trabeculation and cardiomyocyte differentiation, supporting a model that integrates dynamic coordination of morphological and signalling steps during heart development.

Jorgensen *et al* (2018):

Using Hes1 mutant mice, this study provides an example of how aberrant morphogenesis and cell fate specification are interdependent and coordinated by Notch signalling in the developing pancreas.

LaFoya *et al* (2018):

This paper presents detailed biochemical analysis of the regulation of Notch activity by integrin/Src family kinase signalling, providing mechanistic insights into how Notch might sense and respond to stimuli from the microenvironment.

Lilja and Rodilla *et al* (2018):

By combining lineage tracing approaches with mathematical modelling and functional studies in Notch mutant mice, this study revealed that Notch signalling controls the switch from multipotency to unipotency of mammary gland stem cells, concomitantly with the first morphogenetic events leading to mammary tubulogenesis.

(**) Outstanding interest

Mamidi *et al* (2018):

A comprehensive study that reveales how changes in integrin expression determine YAP1- and Notch-mediated cell fate decisions in bipotent pancreatic progenitors. Since ECM deposition and cell locations are in continuous flux in vivo, this work nicely exemplifies how dynamic mechanical cues control lineage specification during pancreas development.

Sonnen *et al* (2018):

This work provides functional insights into the critical role of Notch and Wnt oscillations for the specification of presomitic mesoderm cells, providing definitive evidence that oscillatory gene expression coordinates cell-cell interactions with cell fate decisions during tissue morphogenesis.

Baghdadi *et al* (2018):

This work unveils a self-sustaining signalling cascade in muscle stem cells initiated by Notch. The Notch/Rbpj transcriptional complex induces the production of extracellular collagen V, which acts as a surrogate ligand for Calcitonin receptor on the stem cell to reinforce the properties of the quiescence niche.

References

1. Artavanis-Tsakonas S, Rand MD, Lake RJ: **Notch signaling: cell fate control and signal integration in development**. *Science* 1999, **284**:770-776.
2. Bray SJ: **Notch signalling in context**. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2016, **17**:722-735.
3. Artavanis-Tsakonas S, Muskavitch MA: **Notch: the past, the present, and the future**. *Current topics in developmental biology* 2010, **92**:1-29.
4. Henrique D, Schweisguth F: **Mechanisms of Notch signaling: a simple logic deployed in time and space**. *Development* 2019, **146**.
5. Blanpain C, Fuchs E: **Epidermal stem cells of the skin**. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 2006, **22**:339-373.
6. Lafkas D, Shelton A, Chiu C, de Leon Boenig G, Chen Y, Stawicki SS, Siltanen C, Reichelt M, Zhou M, Wu X, et al.: **Therapeutic antibodies reveal Notch control of transdifferentiation in the adult lung**. *Nature* 2015, **528**:127-131.
7. Varnum-Finney B, Xu L, Brashem-Stein C, Nourigat C, Flowers D, Bakkour S, Pear WS, Bernstein ID: **Pluripotent, cytokine-dependent, hematopoietic stem cells are immortalized by constitutive Notch1 signaling**. *Nat Med* 2000, **6**:1278-1281.
8. Shen Q, Goderie SK, Jin L, Karanth N, Sun Y, Abramova N, Vincent P, Pumiglia K, Temple S: **Endothelial cells stimulate self-renewal and expand neurogenesis of neural stem cells**. *Science* 2004, **304**:1338-1340.
9. Fre S, Huyghe M, Mourikis P, Robine S, Louvard D, Artavanis-Tsakonas S: **Notch signals control the fate of immature progenitor cells in the intestine**. *Nature* 2005, **435**:964-968.
10. Pellegrinet L, Rodilla V, Liu Z, Chen S, Koch U, Espinosa L, Kaestner KH, Kopan R, Lewis J, Radtke F: **Dll1- and dll4-mediated notch signaling are required for homeostasis of intestinal stem cells**. *Gastroenterology* 2011, **140**:1230-1240 e1231-1237.
11. Mourikis P, Sambasivan R, Castel D, Rocheteau P, Bizzarro V, Tajbakhsh S: **A critical requirement for notch signaling in maintenance of the quiescent skeletal muscle stem cell state**. *Stem Cells* 2012, **30**:243-252.
12. Bjornson CR, Cheung TH, Liu L, Tripathi PV, Steeper KM, Rando TA: **Notch signaling is necessary to maintain quiescence in adult muscle stem cells**. *Stem Cells* 2012, **30**:232-242.
13. Shaya O, Binshtok U, Hersch M, Rivkin D, Weinreb S, Amir-Zilberstein L, Khamaisi B, Oppenheim O, Desai RA, Goodyear RJ, et al.: **Cell-Cell Contact Area Affects Notch Signaling and Notch-Dependent Patterning**. *Dev Cell* 2017, **40**:505-511 e506.
14. Hsu YC, Li L, Fuchs E: **Emerging interactions between skin stem cells and their niches**. *Nat Med* 2014, **20**:847-856.
15. Totaro A, Castellan M, Battilana G, Zanconato F, Azzolin L, Giulitti S, Cordenonsi M, Piccolo S: **YAP/TAZ link cell mechanics to Notch signalling to control epidermal stem cell fate**. *Nat Commun* 2017, **8**:15206.
16. Chacon-Martinez CA, Koester J, Wickstrom SA: **Signaling in the stem cell niche: regulating cell fate, function and plasticity**. *Development* 2018, **145**.
17. Chan CJ, Heisenberg CP, Hiiragi T: **Coordination of Morphogenesis and Cell-Fate Specification in Development**. *Curr Biol* 2017, **27**:R1024-R1035.
18. Bouras T, Pal B, Vaillant F, Harburg G, Asselin-Labat ML, Oakes SR, Lindeman GJ, Visvader JE: **Notch signaling regulates mammary stem cell function and luminal cell-fate commitment**. *Cell Stem Cell* 2008, **3**:429-441.
19. Lilja AM, Rodilla V, Huyghe M, Hannezo E, Landragin C, Renaud O, Leroy O, Rulands S, Simons BD, Fre S: **Clonal analysis of Notch1-expressing cells reveals the existence of unipotent stem cells that retain long-term plasticity in the embryonic mammary gland**. *Nat Cell Biol* 2018, **20**:677-687.
20. Lloyd-Lewis B, Harris OB, Watson CJ, Davis FM: **Mammary Stem Cells: Premise, Properties, and Perspectives**. *Trends Cell Biol* 2017, **27**:556-567.
21. Forster N, Saladi SV, van Bragt M, Sfondouris ME, Jones FE, Li Z, Ellisen LW: **Basal cell signaling by p63 controls luminal progenitor function and lactation via NRG1**. *Dev Cell* 2014, **28**:147-160.

22. Wuidart A, Sifrim A, Fioramonti M, Matsumura S, Brisebarre A, Brown D, Centonze A, Dannau A, Dubois C, Van Keymeulen A, et al.: **Early lineage segregation of multipotent embryonic mammary gland progenitors**. *Nat Cell Biol* 2018, **20**:666-676.
23. Bankaitis ED, Bechard ME, Gu G, Magnuson MA, Wright CVE: **ROCK-nmMyoII, Notch and Neurog3 gene-dosage link epithelial morphogenesis with cell fate in the pancreatic endocrine-progenitor niche**. *Development* 2018, **145**.
24. Jorgensen MC, de Lichtenberg KH, Collin CA, Klinck R, Ekberg JH, Engelstoft MS, Lickert H, Serup P: **Neurog3-dependent pancreas dysgenesis causes ectopic pancreas in Hes1 mutant mice**. *Development* 2018, **145**.
25. Garcia-Leon MJ, Fuentes P, de la Pompa JL, Toribio ML: **Dynamic regulation of NOTCH1 activation and Notch ligand expression in human thymus development**. *Development* 2018, **145**.
26. Chung E, Deacon P, Marable S, Shin J, Park JS: **Notch signaling promotes nephrogenesis by downregulating Six2**. *Development* 2016, **143**:3907-3913.
27. Chung E, Deacon P, Park JS: **Notch is required for the formation of all nephron segments and primes nephron progenitors for differentiation**. *Development* 2017, **144**:4530-4539.
28. Liu Y, Pathak N, Kramer-Zucker A, Drummond IA: **Notch signaling controls the differentiation of transporting epithelia and multiciliated cells in the zebrafish pronephros**. *Development* 2007, **134**:1111-1122.
29. Ren Q, Awasaki T, Wang YC, Huang YF, Lee T: **Lineage-guided Notch-dependent gliogenesis by Drosophila multi-potent progenitors**. *Development* 2018, **145**.
30. Chakrabarti R, Celia-Terrassa T, Kumar S, Hang X, Wei Y, Choudhury A, Hwang J, Peng J, Nixon B, Grady JJ, et al.: **Notch ligand Dll1 mediates cross-talk between mammary stem cells and the macrophageal niche**. *Science* 2018, **360**.
31. Verma M, Asakura Y, Murakonda BSR, Pengo T, Latroche C, Chazaud B, McLoon LK, Asakura A: **Muscle Satellite Cell Cross-Talk with a Vascular Niche Maintains Quiescence via VEGF and Notch Signaling**. *Cell Stem Cell* 2018, **23**:530-543 e539.
32. Du H, Shih CH, Wosczyna MN, Mueller AA, Cho J, Aggarwal A, Rando TA, Feldman BJ: **Macrophage-released ADAMTS1 promotes muscle stem cell activation**. *Nat Commun* 2017, **8**:669.
33. Fre S, Bardin A, Robine S, Louvard D: **Notch signaling in intestinal homeostasis across species: the cases of Drosophila, Zebrafish and the mouse**. *Exp Cell Res* 2011, **317**:2740-2747.
34. LaFoya B, Munroe JA, Pu X, Albig AR: **Src kinase phosphorylates Notch1 to inhibit MAML binding**. *Sci Rep* 2018, **8**:15515.
35. LaFoya B, Munroe JA, Mia MM, Detweiler MA, Crow JJ, Wood T, Roth S, Sharma B, Albig AR: **Notch: A multi-functional integrating system of microenvironmental signals**. *Dev Biol* 2016, **418**:227-241.
36. Stenzel D, Franco CA, Estrach S, Mettouchi A, Sauvaget D, Rosewell I, Schertel A, Armer H, Domogatskaya A, Rodin S, et al.: **Endothelial basement membrane limits tip cell formation by inducing Dll4/Notch signalling in vivo**. *EMBO Rep* 2011, **12**:1135-1143.
37. Rallis C, Pinchin SM, Ish-Horowicz D: **Cell-autonomous integrin control of Wnt and Notch signalling during somitogenesis**. *Development* 2010, **137**:3591-3601.
38. Del Monte-Nieto G, Ramialison M, Adam AAS, Wu B, Aharonov A, D'Uva G, Bourke LM, Pitulescu ME, Chen H, de la Pompa JL, et al.: **Control of cardiac jelly dynamics by NOTCH1 and NRG1 defines the building plan for trabeculation**. *Nature* 2018, **557**:439-445.
39. Baghdadi MB, Castel D, Machado L, Fukada SI, Birk DE, Relaix F, Tajbakhsh S, Mourikis P: **Reciprocal signalling by Notch-Collagen V-CALCR retains muscle stem cells in their niche**. *Nature* 2018, **557**:714-718.
40. Yamaguchi M, Watanabe Y, Ohtani T, Uezumi A, Mikami N, Nakamura M, Sato T, Ikawa M, Hoshino M, Tsuchida K, et al.: **Calcitonin Receptor Signaling Inhibits Muscle Stem Cells from Escaping the Quiescent State and the Niche**. *Cell Rep* 2015, **13**:302-314.

41. Brohl D, Vasyutina E, Czajkowski MT, Griger J, Rassek C, Rahn HP, Purfurst B, Wende H, Birchmeier C: **Colonization of the satellite cell niche by skeletal muscle progenitor cells depends on Notch signals**. *Dev Cell* 2012, **23**:469-481.
42. Mamidi A, Prawiro C, Seymour PA, de Lichtenberg KH, Jackson A, Serup P, Semb H: **Mechanosignalling via integrins directs fate decisions of pancreatic progenitors**. *Nature* 2018, **564**:114-118.
43. Esteves de Lima J, Bonnin MA, Birchmeier C, Duprez D: **Muscle contraction is required to maintain the pool of muscle progenitors via YAP and NOTCH during fetal myogenesis**. *Elife* 2016, **5**.
44. Gordon WR, Zimmerman B, He L, Miles LJ, Huang J, Tiyanont K, McArthur DG, Aster JC, Perrimon N, Loparo JJ, et al.: **Mechanical Allostery: Evidence for a Force Requirement in the Proteolytic Activation of Notch**. *Dev Cell* 2015, **33**:729-736.
45. Niwa Y, Shimojo H, Isomura A, Gonzalez A, Miyachi H, Kageyama R: **Different types of oscillations in Notch and Fgf signaling regulate the spatiotemporal periodicity of somitogenesis**. *Genes Dev* 2011, **25**:1115-1120.
46. Shimojo H, Isomura A, Ohtsuka T, Kori H, Miyachi H, Kageyama R: **Oscillatory control of Delta-like1 in cell interactions regulates dynamic gene expression and tissue morphogenesis**. *Genes Dev* 2016, **30**:102-116.
47. Sonnen KF, Lauschke VM, Uraji J, Falk HJ, Petersen Y, Funk MC, Beaupeux M, Francois P, Merten CA, Aulehla A: **Modulation of Phase Shift between Wnt and Notch Signaling Oscillations Controls Mesoderm Segmentation**. *Cell* 2018, **172**:1079-1090 e1012.
48. Bray SJ, Gomez-Lamarca M: **Notch after cleavage**. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 2018, **51**:103-109.
49. Bailey AM, Posakony JW: **Suppressor of hairless directly activates transcription of enhancer of split complex genes in response to Notch receptor activity**. *Genes Dev* 1995, **9**:2609-2622.
50. Lecourtois M, Schweisguth F: **The neurogenic suppressor of hairless DNA-binding protein mediates the transcriptional activation of the enhancer of split complex genes triggered by Notch signaling**. *Genes Dev* 1995, **9**:2598-2608.
51. Bray S, Bernard F: **Notch targets and their regulation**. *Curr Top Dev Biol* 2010, **92**:253-275.