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Challenging the view that lack of fibre causes childhood constipation

David Tappin,1 Mariusz Grzeda,2 Carol Joinson,2,3 Jon Heron2

ABSTRACT

Objectives To assess evidence supporting the view that ‘low fibre causes childhood constipation’.

Design Triangulation integrated three approaches: a systematic review NICE guideline CG99 examining effectiveness of increasing fibre; a cohort study, Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC), to assess if constipation (or hard stools) can precede fibre intake at weaning; and a literature search for twin studies to calculate heredity.

Setting CG99 examined the literature regarding the effectiveness of increasing fibre. ALSPAC asked parents about: hard stools at 4 weeks, 6 months and 2.5 years and constipation at age 4–10 years, as well as fibre intake at 2 years. Twin studies and data from ALSPAC were pooled to calculate concordance of constipation comparing monozygotic and dizygous twin pairs.

Participants CG99 reported six randomised controlled trials (RCTs). ALSPAC hard stool data from 6796 children at 4 weeks, 9828 at 6 months and 9452 at 2.5 years plus constipation data on 8401 at 4–10 years were compared with fibre intake at 2 years. Twin studies had 338 and 93 twin pairs and ALSPAC added a further 45.

Results Increasing fibre did not effectively treat constipation. Hard stools at 4 weeks predated fibre and at 6 months predicted lower fibre intake at 2 years (p=0.003). Heredity explained 59% of constipation.

Conclusions RCTs indicate that increasing fibre is not an effective treatment for constipation in children. Hard stools can precede and predict later fibre intake. Genetic inheritance explains most childhood constipation. Extended treatment with stool softeners may improve fibre intake and limit long-term damaging sequelae of constipation.

INTRODUCTION

Constipation affects 10% of children.1 It can be severely disabling resulting in medical and surgical intervention and poor quality of life including mental health,2 particularly if associated with soiling. Lay belief is that low fibre or lack of fluids causes constipation,3 a view held by many health professionals,4 leading to delayed laxative treatment.5 Parents are reluctant to administer long-term laxatives because of a second false lay belief: ‘long term use of laxatives makes the bowel lazy’.6 Parents feel guilty7,8 exacerbated by dietary advice perceived as ‘you are not feeding your child properly’. Effective laxative treatment can be delayed for months or years turning a treatable condition into a chronic intractable problem.

In 2010, NICE9 recommended against dietary interventions alone as first-line treatment for idiopathic constipation in children. However, beliefs about fibre persist, perhaps because an alternative cause of constipation in children has not been found. This paper uses triangulation to examine the question ‘does low fibre cause constipation in children’, utilising data collected with different methodologies, together to try to ascertain causality. The evidence from each context or methodology alone is not adequate to prove or refute a cause. However, if methodologies in different contexts point in the same direction, then causation can be examined more credibly.

METHODS

Dataset 1: NICE guideline CG99 with 2017 review update

NICE used standard search and assessment to review evidence that increasing dietary fibre was an effective treatment for childhood constipation.3 Within the guideline scope, ‘Management’ included: ‘dietary manipulation, fruits, vegetables (fibres and roughage), fruit juices, cereals’. The question was: ‘What is the clinical effectiveness of dietary modification for ongoing treatment or maintenance in children with chronic idiopathic constipation?’ Studies included six randomised controlled trials (RCTs).3–13
Dataset 2: data from a UK birth cohort

The sample comprised participants from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC). Detailed information available at (http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac) includes a fully searchable data-dictionary (http://www.bris.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/data-access-data-dictionary). Pregnant women resident in the former Avon Health Authority in South West England, having an estimated date of delivery between 1 April 1991 and 31 December 1992, were approached, resulting in a cohort of 14,541 pregnancies. Of 13,978 singletons/twins alive at 1 year, 24 participants withdrew consent leaving 13,954 participants.

Fibre intake at age 2 years

A food frequency questionnaire was administered to the main carer when their child was 2 years old. Responses to foods containing fibre were selected: baby rice, baby cereal, breakfast cereal, savoury vegetable, potatoes, other vegetables, baked beans, green peas, other legumes, figs, raw apple, other raw fruit, raw carrot, other raw vegetables and nuts/nut products. Frequency of consumption was recorded in a continuous format (eg, times/week) yielding data with a wide range of values and notable digit preference. These data were collapsed to form a set of ordinal variables (never or <1/week; 1–3 times/week; 4–7 times/week; >7 times/week). Polychoric principal component analysis was performed, and the first component, describing 30.4% of total item variance, was chosen as the continuous standardised measure of fibre consumption (online supplementary S1 & S2). Online supplementary figure S1 groups the frequency of hard stools at age 6 months and 2.5 years: ‘Always’, ‘Sometimes’, ‘Occasionally’ and ‘Never’ and at 6 months and 2.5 years: ‘Usually’, ‘Sometimes’ and ‘Never’.

At ages 4½, 5½, 6½, 7½ and 9½ years, parents were asked about their child’s constipation in the past 12 months with options: ‘Yes, and saw a doctor’; ‘Yes, did not see the doctor’ and ‘No, did not have’. Responses were dichotomised to indicate presence of any constipation at each age. These data have been analysed previously using longitudinal latent class analysis to separate patterns of constipation. In addition to a normative class (82%; very low probability of constipation), we found evidence for three separate classes who experienced some degree of constipation: early occurrence (7% of children suffered constipation until 6 years), late occurrence (8% had constipation emerging after 6 years) and persistent (3% had a high probability throughout). We found a strong association between hard stools at 2.5 years and constipation during later childhood.

Confounders

Potential confounders including child’s sex and socioeconomic variables measured were considered (see table 1 footnote for details). For analyses investigating prospective associations between diet and hard stools, the duration of any breast feeding and age of introducing cow’s milk and solid food, were included. Online supplementary table S3 shows many of the socioeconomic variables and their strong association with fibre intake. This contrasts with lack of association between constipation and socioeconomic variables using this cohort.

Statistical modelling

For models with ordinal/nominal outcome variables (eg, stool consistency), multinomial regression models were used. For models of fibre intake, OLS regression was applied. For each model, unadjusted estimates were derived followed by adjustments for confounders.

Table 1 Prospective association between frequency of hard stools at (1) 4 weeks and (2) 6 months and fibre intake at 2 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unadjusted</th>
<th>Adjusted 1</th>
<th>Adjusted 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta (95% CI)</td>
<td>Beta (95% CI)</td>
<td>Beta (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Frequency of hard stools at age 4 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes/occasionally</td>
<td>−0.215 (−0.268 to −0.162)</td>
<td>−0.051 (−0.109 to 0.008)</td>
<td>0.038 (−0.033 to 0.109)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always</td>
<td>−0.479 (−0.677 to −0.281)</td>
<td>−0.229 (−0.445 to −0.013)</td>
<td>−0.111 (−0.331 to 0.109)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omnibus p value</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>P=0.034</td>
<td>P=0.293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.0445</td>
<td>0.0488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>6796</td>
<td>5249</td>
<td>5126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Frequency of hard stools at age 6 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
<td>0.00 ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sometimes</td>
<td>−0.114 (−0.158 to −0.070)</td>
<td>−0.089 (−0.135 to −0.043)</td>
<td>−0.077 (−0.124 to −0.029)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usually</td>
<td>−0.171 (−0.237 to −0.104)</td>
<td>−0.103 (−0.172 to −0.034)</td>
<td>−0.092 (−0.163 to −0.021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omnibus p value</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>P&lt;0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>0.0511</td>
<td>0.0558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>9828</td>
<td>7550</td>
<td>7214</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimates represent standardised differences in continuous measure of fibre intake relative to the group who are reported to never suffer from hard stools.

Adjusted 1: adjusted for sex, and sociodemographic variables (mother’s social class, based on the occupational social class classification dichotomised into non-manual (professional, managerial and skilled occupations) and manual (comprising partly skilled or unskilled professions); early parenthood indicating if the mother was under 19 years when giving birth to the study child; level of maternal education: <O-level (ie, no school leaving qualification), O-level (school leaving qualifications obtained at age 16 years) and A-level/degree (qualifications from further education); housing tenure: mortgaged/owned; privately rented; subsidised rented; and experience of material hardship (dichotomous variable); household income equalised, a composite measure of disposable income split into quintiles.).

Adjusted 2: (1) further adjusted for breast/bottle feeding status in first 4 weeks/(2) further adjusted for breastfeeding duration in first 6 months and timing of introduction of both milk and solids.
Dataset 3: twin data

Limited published data exist regarding twin zygosity and concordance of constipation in children. (1) a well-regarded Paediatric Gastroenterology Textbook21 referenced one study published in 1971 describing twin concordance for constipation aged 6–19 years.22 Raw data were extracted for twin zygosity and concordance of constipation. (2) Further searching of the literature used ‘child’, ‘constipation’ and ‘twin’ as keywords and ‘title’ words to examine whether additional useful published data were available. Ovid Medline, Embase and Web of Science databases were searched from 1946 to 2019. One additional Japanese twin study23 was found with parent-reported constipation age 3 years. Data were extracted. ‘Hereditary’ was substituted for ‘twin’ but did not provide literature with further extractable data. (3) Data on twins among ALSPAC participants was included.

All three studies had zygosity derived in accepted but different ways. For the 1971 US twin study,22 ‘Zygosity was determined clinically and, by matching blood groups. The blood groups used were ABO, Rh (CcDEe), MNSs, P, Fya, K, and Jka. Blood matching was omitted only when dizygosity was clinically self-evident’.22 For the Japanese twin study,23 fingerprints were used, and for those where zygosity could not be determined, blood typing was employed using 20 genetic markers. Finally, for ALSPAC, zygosity was defined using DNA tests,14 and further twins were determined as dizygous if of different sex.

Statistical modelling

Heritability was derived using a standard approach that gives values from 0% to 100% corresponding to fully heritable (solely due to genetics). For example, adult height is strongly heritable 80%. Heritability was calculated as the difference in variance (ie, 1 – concordance rate) between dizygotic and monozygotic twins divided by the variance in dizygotic twins.

RESULTS

Dataset 1: NICE guideline CG99

The NICE guideline report found no evidence to suggest increasing fibre-rich foods was effective to treat or manage constipation and that adding fibre to an already healthy diet could be detrimental, exacerbating symptoms and increasing soiling. The Guideline Development Group recommended ‘children should be advised to eat a healthy diet, including fibre containing foods as outlined by the Paediatric Group of the British Dietetic Association in “Food for the Growing Years” and “Food for the School Years”’.26 The guideline did however suggest to achieve: Adequate fibre: Recommend including foods with a high fibre content (such as fruit, vegetables, high-fibre bread, baked beans and wholegrain breakfast cereals).4 There was insufficient evidence to recommend fibre supplements in treatment or management of idiopathic constipation. The 2017 guideline update stated: ‘New evidence is unlikely to change guideline recommendations’.4

Dataset 2: data from a UK birth cohort

One per cent of babies always had hard stools age 4 weeks, 12% usually age 6 months and 28% usually at 2.5 years. table 1 shows the association between hard stool frequency at 4 weeks and 6 months and fibre intake at 2 years (online supplementary figures S2a & S2b).

Hard stools at 4 weeks (ie, when the diet is milk containing no fibre) and 6 months predicted a lower fibre intake at 2 years (table 1). At this time in the early 1990s, weaning children to solids that contain fibre was recommended at 4 months of age.

There was a strong association between hard stools at 2.5 years (table 2a and online supplementary figure S3), as well as constipation at 4–10 years (table 2b) with fibre intake at 2 years.

Dataset 3: twin data

US, Japanese and UK data all showed similar trends with monozygotic twins more likely to be concordant for constipation than dizygous twins (p<0.01) (individual study data not shown). Overall, 59% of childhood constipation can be explained by genetic predisposition (table 3).

DISCUSSION

This paper uses three research approaches to examine the statement ‘Lack of fibre in the diet causes constipation in children’. NICE guideline CG99 (including the 2017 update)6 found that a limited number—six—good quality trials were available; however, they provided no support for the use of fibre-rich foods and limited evidence for the use of fibre supplements in treating constipation. The European and North American Societies for Paediatric Gastroenterology Hepatology and Nutrition consensuses recommend a normal fibre intake for children and adolescents with constipation.27 A 2018 systematic review

---

### Table 2a  Association between fibre intake at age 2 years and hard stool frequency at age 2.5 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Never (OR [95% CI])</th>
<th>Sometimes (OR [95% CI])</th>
<th>Usually (OR [95% CI])</th>
<th>Omnibus p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>9452</td>
<td>1.00 ref</td>
<td>0.904 (0.852 to 0.958)</td>
<td>0.811 (0.761 to 0.865)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7481</td>
<td>1.00 ref</td>
<td>0.834 (0.773 to 0.899)</td>
<td>0.789 (0.726 to 0.856)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6141</td>
<td>1.00 ref</td>
<td>0.854 (0.783 to 0.931)</td>
<td>0.817 (0.744 to 0.897)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjusted 1: adjusted for the following list of variables: sex, measures of SES (social class, early parenthood and maternal education), household income, housing tenure and material hardship.

Adjusted 2: further adjusted for breastfeeding duration in first 6 months and timing of introduction of both milk and solids.

### Table 2b  Association between fibre intake at age 2 years and longitudinal patterns of childhood constipation (4–10 years)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low risk of constipation (OR [95% CI])</th>
<th>Late childhood occurrence (OR [95% CI])</th>
<th>Early childhood occurrence (OR [95% CI])</th>
<th>Persistent constipation (OR [95% CI])</th>
<th>Omnibus p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>8401</td>
<td>1.00 ref</td>
<td>1.147 (1.008 to 1.305)</td>
<td>1.040 (0.917 to 1.179)</td>
<td>0.812 (0.724 to 0.912)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6784</td>
<td>1.00 ref</td>
<td>1.071 (0.916 to 1.253)</td>
<td>0.971 (0.832 to 1.134)</td>
<td>0.748 (0.643 to 0.869)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All estimates represent the change in multinomial odds for a 1 SD change in the continuous measure of fibre intake.

*Adjusted model has included the following list of variables: sex, sociodemographic variables, gestation age, birth weight and developmental level of a child at 18 months.
and meta-analysis that included five of the six trials reported by NICE and four additional trials concluded 'there is no scientific evidence to corroborate the prescription of fiber supplementation in the diet of constipated children as part of the treatment of this condition'. If a low-fibre diet caused childhood constipation, then a diet high in fibre or added fibre should effectively treat constipation, rather than having 'no or little effect'.

ALSPAC findings indicate that ‘hard stools’ the strongest predictor of later constipation, can both precede weaning (when fibre commences in the diet) and predict later fibre intake. We know that constipation often leads to reduced appetite (when fibre commences in the diet) and predict later fibre intake. The clinical observation that diet in amount and variety often improves by effectively treating constipation with laxatives particularly stool softeners fits in with these findings.

Finally, twin data indicate that 59% of childhood constipation can be explained as a genetic or natural phenomenon rather than being caused by a low intake of fibre. Genetic studies of functional bowel disorders, which include idiopathic constipation, indicate a similar level of genetic influence.

The purpose of triangulation is to try to eliminate bias by answering a question with more than one methodology so that possible biases will be different. If all (three in this case) methods point in the same direction and possible biases are not thought to act in the same direction, then stronger claims about cause can be made. Clinical trials of fibre intake (NICE guideline) can suffer from bias from poor compliance. However, if increased fibre intake cannot be sustained in well-resourced clinical trials, it is unlikely to be better tolerated in clinical practice. Bias in observational studies (ALSPAC) is often related to residual sociodemographic confounding. Multiple sociodemographic variables were controlled for (online supplementary table S3). It is interesting to note that fibre intake was strongly related to sociodemographic status but constipation was not. Finally, twin studies can suffer from poor definition of zygosity that may dilute findings of genetic influence. However, all three studies of twins have findings in the same direction. Although numbers remain small they show statistical significance and agree with other studies documenting the hereditary nature of functional bowel disorders.

Together these three approaches provide strong evidence to reconsider the conventional view, that a low fibre diet is the cause of constipation in children.

**Strengths and weaknesses in relation to other studies, discussing important differences in results**

The strength of this study is the use of triangulation as a method to test causation. NICE CG99 and a recent systematic review fail to show that fibre is an effective treatment. ALSPAC indicates that early signs of constipation—hard stools at 4 weeks—can precede fibre at weaning—4 months in the 1990s—and predict subsequent low fibre intake. This puts in doubt lack of fibre as the cause of constipation. Twin studies show that most constipation in childhood can be explained by inheritance and not therefore primarily caused by lack of fibre.

It must be noted that a low level of fibre age 2 years (ALSPAC) was strongly associated with later constipation. These cohort data are in keeping with many cross-sectional studies. However, the strength of ALSPAC relates to the longitudinal nature of the cohort from birth. Most previous studies have examined constipation after fibre introduction at weaning (age 4–6 months). ALSPAC measured hard stools at 4 weeks before fibre. If signs of later constipation (hard stools) precede fibre, then for those children low fibre is not the primary cause. Figure 1 illustrates the possible timeline of early hard stools, low fibre intake and later constipation.

A similar mechanism was put forward in a recent cohort study.

Limited data have been reported regarding twins and concordance for constipation. One study has been well documented. Even after pooling of data, the numbers we report are fairly small; however, there is a significant difference in concordance between monozygotic and dizygous twin pairs.

**Meaning of the study: possible explanations and implications for clinicians and policymakers**

Constipation is common (10% of children) and often chronic, severely debilitating and may have long-term devastating physical and psychological sequelae. This paper indicates that the observed association between low fibre and constipation is unlikely to be directly causal. If further research concludes that hard stools and constipation in childhood are largely inherited, this differs a different cause to the current societal focus on diet. This conclusion is supported by CG99 that found that a high-fibre diet did not effectively treat childhood constipation. The shift in focus away from fibre is backed up by ALSPAC, which showed that hard stools can precede weaning (to fibre) and predict a later low-fibre intake, supported by another cohort from the Netherlands. These observational data may explain why increasing fibre is not very effective. Future research should move away from increasing fibre to more effective treatment starting with twins who present with childhood constipation.

![Figure 1 Timeline of hard stools leading to a lower fibre diet and later constipation.](http://adc.bmj.com/content/10.1136/archdischild-2019-318082)
hard stools and constipation are caused by genetic predisposition and early hard stools predict a low-fibre intake, treatment should focus on softening stools over an extended period, particularly early in life when relief of hard stools may improve long-term fibre intake. A NICE guideline CEG99 states: ‘Do not use dietary interventions alone as first-line treatment for idiopathic constipation’. This study begins to clarify the aetiological reasons for that evidence-based statement and will support more clinicians to adhere to this important guidance.
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