



Papadimitriou, N., Dimou, N. L., Gill, D., Tzoulaki, I., Murphy, N., Riboli, E., Lewis, S. J., Martin, R. M., Gunter, M. J., & Tsilidis, K. (2020). Genetically predicted circulating concentrations of micronutrients and risk of breast cancer: A Mendelian randomization study. *International Journal of Cancer*, 148(3), 646-653. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.33246>

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1002/ijc.33246](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.33246)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Wiley at <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.33246>. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: <http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

Genetically predicted circulating concentrations of micro-nutrients and risk of breast cancer: A Mendelian randomization study

Nikos Papadimitriou^{1,2}, Niki Dimou^{1,2}, Dipender Gill³, Ioanna Tzoulaki^{1,3}, Neil Murphy², Elio Riboli³, Sarah J Lewis⁴, Richard M Martin^{4,5}, Marc J Gunter², Konstantinos K Tsilidis^{1,3}

Affiliations

¹ Department of Hygiene and Epidemiology, University of Ioannina School of Medicine, Ioannina, Greece

² Section of Nutrition and Metabolism, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France

³ Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK

⁴ Department of Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

⁵ University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust National Institute for Health Research Bristol Biomedical Research Centre, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

Corresponding author:

Dr Konstantinos K Tsilidis, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Imperial College London, St Mary's Campus, London, W2 1PG, United Kingdom, Tel.: +44 (0) 2075942623, E-mail: k.tsilidis@imperial.ac.uk, Twitter: KTsilidis

Short title

Micronutrients and breast cancer risk: An MR study

Key words: Mendelian randomization, diet, nutrition, breast cancer, causal inference

Abbreviations

BCAC, Breast Cancer Association Consortium; CaSR, calcium-sensing receptor; CI, confidence interval; EAF, effect allele frequency; ER, estrogen receptor; FFQ, food frequency questionnaires; GWAS, Genome-Wide Association Studies; HR, hazard ratio; IgE, immunoglobulin E; IVW, inverse variance weighted; LD, linkage disequilibrium; MR-PRESSO, MR pleiotropy residual sum and outlier test; OR, odds ratio; MAF, minor allele frequency; MR, mendelian randomization; RCT, randomized controlled trial; RR, relative risk; SD, standard deviation; SE, standard error; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphisms; WCRF, World Cancer Research Fund

Article category: Cancer Epidemiology

Novelty & Impact: The literature of circulating concentrations of minerals and vitamins with risk of breast cancer is generally limited and evidence from clinical trials is also lacking. We conducted a Mendelian randomization study to investigate whether genetically predicted concentrations of 11 micro-nutrients are associated with risk of breast cancer. An increased risk of overall and oestrogen-receptor positive disease was observed for genetically predicted higher concentrations of magnesium.

An earlier version of this manuscript has been uploaded on Biorxiv, doi:

<https://doi.org/10.1101/668186>

Abstract

The epidemiological literature reports inconsistent associations between consumption or circulating concentrations of micro-nutrients and breast cancer risk. We investigated associations between genetically predicted concentrations of 11 micro-nutrients (beta-carotene, calcium, copper, folate, iron, magnesium, phosphorus, selenium, vitamin B6, vitamin B12 and zinc) and breast cancer risk using Mendelian randomization (MR). A two-sample MR study was conducted using 122,977 women with breast cancer and 105,974 controls from the Breast Cancer Association Consortium. MR analyses were conducted using the inverse variance weighted approach, and sensitivity analyses were conducted to assess the impact of potential violations of MR assumptions. One standard deviation (SD: 0.08 mmol/L) higher genetically predicted concentration of magnesium was associated with a 17% (odds ratio [OR]: 1.17, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.10 to 1.25, P-value= 9.1×10^{-7}) and 20% (OR: 1.20, 95% CI: 1.08 to 1.34, P-value= 3.2×10^{-6}) higher risk of overall and ER^{+ve} breast cancer, respectively. An inverse association was observed for a SD (0.5 mg/dL) higher genetically predicted phosphorus concentration and ER^{-ve} breast cancer (OR: 0.84, 95% CI: 0.72 to 0.98, P-value=0.03). There was little evidence that any other nutrient was associated with breast cancer. The results for magnesium were robust under all sensitivity analyses and survived correction for multiple comparisons. Higher circulating concentrations of magnesium and potentially phosphorus may affect breast cancer risk. Further work is required to replicate these findings and investigate underlying mechanisms.

Word count: 225

Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common cancer in women with an estimated 2.1 million new cases and over half a million deaths worldwide in 2018¹. Breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease and its epidemiology varies with menopausal and tumour receptor status at time of diagnosis. Established risk factors for post-menopausal breast cancer include adiposity, endogenous concentrations of estrogens and androgens and reproductive factors such as early menarche, late menopause, late age at first pregnancy and hormone replacement therapy². The role of nutrition in breast cancer development is unclear. The World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF) has recently systematically reviewed all literature on the associations of dietary and nutritional factors with breast cancer³. The WCRF report concluded that the evidence was strong only for a positive association between alcohol consumption and risk of pre- and post-menopausal breast cancer, whereas limited but suggestive evidence was found for inverse associations between consumption of non-starchy vegetables and foods containing carotenoids and calcium with risk of pre- and post-menopausal breast cancer³. The literature on circulating concentrations of minerals and vitamins with risk of breast cancer is generally not extensive with perhaps the exceptions of carotenoids and vitamin D³.

Most of the evidence regarding the nutritional epidemiology of breast cancer comes from observational studies that often rely on food frequency questionnaires (FFQ) to measure the consumption of foods and nutrients. This approach is prone to measurement error, because it is based on participants' self-reports that might be inaccurate leading to biased results⁴. Furthermore, individuals who follow different dietary patterns might also differ in other aspects, which are not always adequately controlled for in statistical confounder adjustments^{5,6}. Evidence from clinical trials is

also lacking, as there are not many adequately powered trials that have evaluated the effect of micro-nutrients and cancer risk⁷⁻¹².

A Mendelian randomization (MR) approach can be used to assess the existence of a potential causal association between a risk factor and disease by using genetic variants as instrumental variables for the risk factor of interest¹³. As genotype is allocated randomly at conception, genetic variants are not influenced by potential confounding factors, such as environmental exposures, and cannot be altered by disease occurrence.

The aim of the current study was to investigate potential causal associations of genetically predicted circulating concentrations of minerals and vitamins with risk of overall breast cancer and cancer by estrogen receptor status (ER^{+ve} and ER^{-ve}) using MR methodology.

Materials and Methods

Data on the genetic epidemiology of circulating nutrients concentrations

The Genome-Wide Association Studies (GWAS) catalog (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas>) and Pubmed (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed>) were searched (last checked on October 2019) for published GWASs on circulating concentrations of minerals and vitamins that were conducted in populations of European ancestry. The initial list included 20 nutrients: beta-carotene, calcium, copper, folate, iron, magnesium, phosphorus, potassium, retinol, selenium, sodium, zinc and vitamins B1, B2, B6, B12, C, D, E, and K, for which associations for breast have been reported in the literature³. Vitamin D was excluded because recent MR studies have investigated the role of circulating vitamin D concentrations and risk of

breast cancer^{14, 15}. Potassium, sodium, vitamins B1, B2, C and K were also excluded because either no genome-wide significant results have been reported^{16, 17} or no GWAS has been conducted. Two further GWAS, those for circulating vitamin E and retinol, were excluded because they adjusted for body mass index (BMI), which may bias MR estimates¹⁸⁻²¹. Published GWAS for 11 nutrients were finally retrieved, namely beta-carotene, calcium, copper, folate, iron, magnesium, phosphorus, selenium, vitamins B6 and B12, and zinc^{16, 22-30}. In the case of calcium, apart from the already published GWAS study²³ a more recent one that has been conducted in UK Biobank and published on a pre-print server was also used²⁴. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that independently affected the concentrations of these nutrients at a genome wide significance level ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) and were not in linkage disequilibrium ($LD r^2 \leq 0.1$) were obtained. For serum iron, we used summary estimates for three (rs1800562, rs1799945 and rs855791) out of the five (rs1800562, rs1799945 and rs855791, rs7385804, rs8177240) available genome-wide significant SNPs, because these SNPs showed a concordant effect on serum iron, ferritin, transferrin and transferrin saturation, and have been associated with an overall increased systemic iron status^{29, 31, 32}. Three additional SNPs with minor allele frequency (MAF) smaller than 5% (rs12272669, rs2336573, rs6859667) in the initial GWAS papers for selenium and vitamin B12 were excluded from the final list of SNPs since their effects might be unstable due to their small MAF^{27, 28}. In total, summary association data for 259 common (minor allele frequency ≥ 0.05) genetic variants associated with the 11 nutrients of interest was obtained. Detailed information on the selected genetic variants is provided on Supplementary Table 1.

Data on the genetic epidemiology of breast cancer

The genetic effects of the selected instruments on risk of overall breast cancer and cancer by estrogen receptor status were obtained from a recently published large GWAS of almost 230,000 participants (122,977 breast cancer cases [69,501 ER^{+ve}, 21,468 ER^{-ve}] and 105,974 controls) of European ancestry from the Breast Cancer Association Consortium (BCAC). Genotypes were imputed using either SHAPEIT2 and IMPUTE or MACH and Minimac and the regression models were adjusted for the first ten principal components in order to adjust for population stratification and country or study.³³ The summary data are available on the consortium's website (<http://bcac.ccge.medschl.cam.ac.uk/>). Out of the 259 SNPs, rs778805, that was associated with vitamin B12, was significantly associated with risk of overall breast cancer, and rs4072037, that was associated with magnesium, was significantly associated with both overall and ER^{+ve} breast cancer (Supplementary Table 1). The primary and secondary endpoints of this study did not change during the conduct of the analyses or after the analyses.

Statistical power

Power calculations were performed using an online tool available at <http://cnsgenomics.com/shiny/mRnd/>³⁴. The statistical power to capture an OR of 1.10 per a standard deviation (SD) change in the circulating concentrations of the nutrients, given a sample size of 228,951 participants, type 1 error of 5% and a proportion of cases equal to 0.54, ranged from 0.55 for calcium to 1 for calcium using the UK Biobank GWAS study, copper, vitamin B12 and zinc, and the statistical power was between 0.80 and 1 for another four micro-nutrients (i.e., beta-carotene, iron, magnesium, selenium) (Table 1).

Mendelian Randomization analysis

Two-sample MR using summary association data was performed. In the case of beta-carotene, where only one SNP was available, the effect estimate was calculated as the ratio of the SNP-outcome over the SNP-nutrient association³⁵, whereas the fixed – effect inverse variance weighted (IVW) method was implemented when the instruments consisted of multiple SNPs, and this analysis can be thought as a meta-analysis of single SNP effects^{35,36}. The beta estimates from the regressions for circulating concentrations for beta-carotene, copper, selenium, vitamin B6, and zinc were transformed from the logarithmic scale that were originally reported in the published GWAS to the natural scale using a published formula³⁷. All reported associations correspond to OR for risk of breast cancer per SD change in the circulating concentrations of the nutrients. We applied a Bonferroni-type correction for multiple comparisons based on the number of investigated micro-nutrients ($P=0.05/11=0.0045$).

To be valid instruments for the MR analysis, the selected genetic variants must meet the following criteria: i) be associated with the circulating concentrations of the nutrients, ii) be independent of any potential confounding variable of the nutrient – breast cancer association, and iii) affect breast cancer only through the nutrient being instrumented and not via any other pathway³⁸. The strength of each instrument was measured using the F statistic calculated by the formula: $F = R^2(N - 2)/(1 - R^2)$, where R^2 is the proportion of the explained variance of the nutrient by the genetic instrument and N the sample size of the GWAS for the SNP-nutrient association³⁹. The R^2 was calculated using an already published formula⁴⁰.

Sensitivity analyses

Statistical analyses were performed to examine the robustness of the MR results against potential violation of the second and third MR assumptions. We evaluated whether the selected genetic instruments were associated with secondary phenotypes in Phenoscanner (<http://www.phenoscanter.medschl.cam.ac.uk/>) and GWAS catalog^{41,42}. To further check for existence of horizontal pleiotropy (violating the third MR assumption), we computed the Cochran's Q statistic, which quantifies the extent to which any differences in the individual effect sizes among the selected genetic variants is due to real differences between SNPs rather than sampling error⁴³. When there was evidence of such heterogeneity, we assessed also a random effects IVW MR analysis⁴⁴. We also assessed potential presence of horizontal pleiotropy using MR-Egger regression. This provides a statistical test for horizontal pleiotropy based on its intercept term, when it is different from zero.⁴⁵ The slope of the MR-Egger regression, as well as, estimates from the weighted median and the weighted mode approach (WMA) provide valid MR estimates under the presence of horizontal pleiotropy^{38,45,46}. Specifically, the weighted median approach provides a valid MR estimate when up to 50% of the included instruments are invalid to the 2nd and 3rd MR assumptions, whereas the estimate from the weighted mode is valid when the plurality of the genetic variants are valid instruments^{38,46}. The MR pleiotropy residual sum and outlier test (MR-PRESSO) was also implemented to identify outlying genetic variants and analyses were re-run after excluding these variants⁴⁷. Finally, a leave one SNP out analysis was performed to examine the robustness of the MR estimates and whether any association was driven by any specific SNP. All analyses were implemented in the statistical software R version 3.4.3 using the package MendelianRandomization⁴⁸.

Results

Mendelian randomization estimates

A SD (0.08 mmol/L) higher genetically predicted concentration of magnesium was associated with a 17% (OR: 1.17, 95% CI: 1.10 to 1.22, $P=9.10\times 10^{-7}$) and 20% (OR: 1.20, 95% CI: 1.11 to 1.30, $P=3.17\times 10^{-6}$) higher risk of overall and ER^{+ve} breast cancer, respectively. An inverse association was observed for a SD (0.50 mg/dL) higher genetically predicted phosphorus concentration and risk of ER^{-ve} breast cancer (OR: 0.84, 95% CI: 0.72 to 0.98, $P=0.03$). A suggestive inverse association was observed for a SD (0.48 mg/dL) higher genetically predicted calcium concentration and risk of overall breast cancer (OR: 0.91, 95% CI: 0.83 to 1.00, $P=0.06$). However, no evidence for association with breast cancer was observed when the extended SNP instrument from UK Biobank was used (OR per SD: 0.97, 95% CI: 0.83 to 1.13, $P=0.68$). There was little evidence that any of the other nutrients were associated with risk of breast cancer or its subtypes (Figure 1). Only the results for magnesium survived correction for multiple comparisons.

Evaluation of Mendelian randomization assumptions

The F-statistic was larger than 10 for all the included variants implying absence of weak instruments (minimum value=16, maximum value=420) (Supplementary Table 1).

Cochran's Q test was not significant for all analyses, except for vitamin B12 and overall breast cancer risk (Cochran's Q $P=0.02$) however, no change was observed in the results after performing the random effects IVW MR (Supplementary Table 2). Additionally, some indication of horizontal pleiotropy was found in the non UK Biobank analysis of calcium and overall breast cancer risk based on the MR-Egger test (intercept $P=0.02$) (Supplementary Table 2).

The MR-Egger regression, the weighted median and the weighted mode methods confirmed the IVW per a SD higher genetically predicted magnesium concentrations for overall breast cancer ($OR_{MR-Egger}=1.24$, 95% CI: 1.01 to 1.53; $OR_{weighted\ median}=1.20$, 95% CI: 1.10 to 1.31, $OR_{MBE}=1.21$, 95% CI: 1.12 to 1.39) and ER^{+ve} breast cancer ($OR_{MR-Egger}=1.44$, 95% CI: 1.05 to 1.97; $OR_{weighted\ median}=1.19$, 95% CI: 1.05 to 1.34, $OR_{weighted\ mode}=1.36$, 95% CI: 1.16 to 1.59) (Supplementary Table 3). A positive association was observed between genetically predicted vitamin B12 concentrations and overall breast cancer risk based on the weighted median approach (OR: 1.08, 95% CI: 1.01 to 1.15). There was little evidence that any of the other nutrients were associated with risk of breast cancer in sensitivity analyses (Supplementary Table 3). Finally, the MR-PRESSO analysis did not reveal any outlying SNPs, which was also evident when we estimated and plotted the MR results for all nutrients by each separate SNP (Supplementary Figures 1-10).

When we iteratively excluded one SNP at a time from all genetic instruments to further probe into potential SNP outliers, the positive association between genetically predicted magnesium concentrations and risk of overall and ER^{+ve} breast cancer remained significant (Supplementary Table 4). However, when rs4072037 was removed from the genetic instrument for magnesium concentrations, the associations for risk of overall (OR: 1.11, 95% CL: 1.02 to 1.21) and ER^{+ve} breast cancer (OR: 1.09, 95% CL: 0.98 to 1.21) were slightly attenuated. There was little evidence that any of the other nutrients were associated with risk of breast cancer in the leave one out analysis with the exceptions described below. The inverse association observed in the IVW analysis between genetically predicted phosphorus concentration and the risk of ER^{-ve} breast cancer was not present after removal of rs1697421, rs947583 or rs297818. When rs1801725 was excluded from the 7SNP genetic instrument of

calcium concentrations, a protective effect was observed for overall (OR: 0.79, 95% CI: 0.68 to 0.91) and ER^{+ve} breast cancer (OR: 0.82, 95% CL: 0.69 to 0.98).

Several genome-wide significant associations of the genetic instruments with secondary traits were observed (Supplementary Table 5). Some of these may be associated with breast cancer and are potential causes of horizontal pleiotropy, namely associations with diabetes, height, insulin resistance, C-reactive protein, glycosylated haemoglobin, and age at menarche. However, when the SNPs that were associated with the latter secondary phenotypes were excluded in the leave one out analysis, the observed associations of the genetic instruments with breast cancer did not change (Supplementary Table 4).

Discussion

In this comprehensive MR analysis of 11 nutrient concentrations with risk of breast cancer, a positive association was observed for genetically elevated concentrations of circulating magnesium and risk of total and ER^{+ve} breast cancer, which was robust to sensitivity analyses and to correction for multiple comparisons. Potential inverse association of circulating phosphorus concentrations with ER^{-ve} breast cancer was observed in the main analysis, but were not robust to sensitivity analyses or multiplicity correction.

The literature on the association of dietary or circulating magnesium and the risk of breast cancer is scarce and inconclusive. Only one cohort study, involving 12,902 women aged 35-64 years at baseline with 415 breast cancers developed during a mean follow-up of 11 years, has reported on dietary magnesium in association with overall breast cancer risk. This study reported little evidence for an association (hazard ratio [HR]: 0.97, 95% CI: 0.69 to 1.35) comparing the 1st to the 4th quartile of

magnesium consumption⁴⁹. In a cross-sectional study of 725 women, urinary magnesium levels were positively associated with breast density, although the interpretation of this finding is unclear, as breast density is a risk factor for breast cancer but it may also obscure detection of the disease⁵⁰⁻⁵². The genetic instruments used in our analysis affect magnesium homeostasis and mechanistic studies suggest that increased concentrations of magnesium within breast cancer cells can lead to tumour progression through the regulation of enzymes involved in energy generation, and its presence is also needed for cell adhesion and cancer metastasis^{53,54}. Evidence from animal studies suggests that magnesium has a protective effect in the early phases of chemical carcinogenesis but promotes tumour growth⁵⁵. There are no magnesium supplementation trials assessing relevant cancer outcomes. Our finding of a positive association between genetically predicted magnesium concentrations and risk of breast cancer should be further evaluated in humans and animals using observational and interventional designs.

An inverse association was observed in our analysis between concentrations of phosphorus and ER^{-ve} breast cancer. We found only one relevant cohort study involving 186,620 women, where 4,925 incident breast cancers developed during a mean follow-up of 13 years, reporting that a SD (0.17 mmol/L) increase in serum inorganic phosphate levels were inversely associated with total breast cancer risk (HR: 0.93, 95% CI: 0.90 to 0.96), but these estimates were not adjusted for potentially important confounders such as alcohol consumption and smoking status⁵⁶. Our analysis did not confirm this association for total breast cancer (OR: 0.96, 95% CI: 0.88 to 1.04), but found an inverse association for ER^{-ve} disease (OR: 0.84, 95% CI: 0.72 to 0.98). Studies have linked estrogen levels with negative regulation of circulating inorganic phosphate and high levels of estrogen are strongly positively

associated with breast cancer⁵⁷, but this mechanism is more relevant for ER^{+ve} than ER^{-ve} breast cancer.

Our main analysis showed little evidence of an association between genetically predicted circulating vitamin B12 concentrations with risk of breast cancer, although one of the vitamin B12 SNPs, rs778805, was associated with risk of overall breast cancer in the BCAC GWAS and the result of the weighted median analysis was significant for overall breast cancer. A recent meta-analysis of four observational studies concluded that there was no association between serum vitamin B12 and the risk of overall breast cancer (RR: 0.73, 95% CI: 0.44 to 1.22) comparing the highest vs lowest categories⁵⁸.

The main strengths of the current MR study are the ability to circumvent biases that often plague observational studies and the utilization of data from large-scale genetic consortia. Potential limitations should be also considered in the interpretation of our findings. Conducting an MR analysis using summary association data does not allow for stratified analyses by covariates of interest such as menopausal status and body mass index. Moreover, our analysis was underpowered to identify associations of small magnitude between some nutrients and risk of breast cancer. Specifically, a minimum R² value of 1.5% was required in our study to capture an OR of 1.10 per SD increase in nutrient concentrations with 0.8 power, and this cutoff point was not met for folate (R²=1%), vitamin B6 (1%), and phosphorus (1.2%). Larger GWASs of nutrients are required to enable the construction of better genetic instruments for these nutrients. An additional limitation of our study is that the GWAS studies for the different micronutrients involved both men and women, whereas breast cancer was assessed only in women. Therefore, our results might be biased if the effects of the genetic variants are different between the two sexes.

However, a recent sex-specific GWAS study on 33 biomarkers in UK Biobank, including calcium, showed that except of testosterone most biomarkers had few or no sex-specific SNPs and for calcium in particular, no sex specific genetic variants were observed ⁵⁹. The circulating concentrations of several minerals are tightly regulated, which means that large changes in intake will not lead to detectable changes in circulating concentrations; thus, MR estimates should not be interpreted as relevant to dietary intake.

In conclusion, we conducted the first comprehensive two-sample MR study to investigate whether genetically predicted concentrations of 11 micro-nutrients are associated with risk of breast cancer. An increased risk of overall and ER^{+ve} disease was observed for genetically higher concentrations of magnesium that was robust to several different sensitivity analyses and to correction for multiple comparisons. Future studies are warranted to replicate this finding and to disentangle the potential underlying mechanisms.

Word count:3201

Funding

This work was supported by the World Cancer Research Fund International Regular Grant Programme (WCRF 2014/1180 to Konstantinos K. Tsilidis). The study sponsor had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis and interpretation of the data; preparation, review or approval of the article; and decision to submit the article for publication.

Niki Dimou was supported by the IKY scholarship programme in Greece, which is co-financed by the European Union (European Social Fund - ESF) and Greek national funds through the action entitled "Reinforcement of Postdoctoral Researchers", in the framework of the Operational Programme "Human Resources Development Program, Education and Lifelong Learning" of the National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF) 2014 – 2020.

Richard R Martin was supported by the Cancer Research UK Programme Grant, the Integrative Cancer Epidemiology Programme (C18281/A19169)

Acknowledgements

The breast cancer genome-wide association analyses were supported by the Government of Canada through Genome Canada and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, the 'Ministère de l'Économie, de la Science et de l'Innovation du Québec' through Genome Québec and grant PSR-SIIRI-701, The National Institutes of Health (U19 CA148065, X01HG007492), Cancer Research UK (C1287/A10118, C1287/A16563, C1287/A10710) and The European Union (HEALTH-F2-2009-223175 and H2020 633784 and 634935). All studies and funders are listed in Michailidou et al (2017).

We would also like to thank Professor David Evans and Professor John Whitfield for allowing us the access to the complete list of results for selenium from their GWAS study, as well as, with the additional information on the mean and standard deviation values of the three minerals (copper, selenium, zinc) required to transform the results from the logarithmic to the natural scale.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Disclaimer: Where authors are identified as personnel of the International Agency for Research on Cancer / World Health Organization, the authors alone are responsible for the views expressed in this article and they do not necessarily represent the decisions, policy or views of the International Agency for Research on Cancer / World Health Organization.

Data availability: Only publicly available data were used in this study, and data sources and handling of these data are described in the Materials and Methods. Further information is available from the corresponding author upon request.

References

1. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2018;68:394-424.
2. McPherson K, Steel CM, Dixon JM. ABC of breast diseases. Breast cancer-epidemiology, risk factors, and genetics. *BMJ* 2000;321:624-8.
3. World Cancer Research Fund / American Institute for Cancer Research, Diet, Nutrition, Physical Activity and Cancer: a Global Perspective. Continuous Update Project Expert Report, 2018.
4. Schatzkin A, Kipnis V. Could exposure assessment problems give us wrong answers to nutrition and cancer questions? *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2004;96:1564-5.
5. Ioannidis JP. Implausible results in human nutrition research. *BMJ* 2013;347:f6698.
6. Lawlor DA, Davey Smith G, Kundu D, Bruckdorfer KR, Ebrahim S. Those confounded vitamins: what can we learn from the differences between observational versus randomised trial evidence? *Lancet* 2004;363:1724-7.
7. Lappe J, Watson P, Travers-Gustafson D, Recker R, Garland C, Gorham E, Baggerly K, McDonnell SL. Effect of Vitamin D and Calcium Supplementation on Cancer Incidence in Older Women: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* 2017;317:1234-43.
8. Brunner RL, Wactawski-Wende J, Caan BJ, Cochrane BB, Chlebowski RT, Gass ML, Jacobs ET, LaCroix AZ, Lane D, Larson J, Margolis KL, Millen AE, Sarto GE, Vitolins MZ, Wallace RB. The effect of calcium plus vitamin D on risk for invasive cancer: results of the Women's Health Initiative (WHI) calcium plus vitamin D randomized clinical trial. *Nutr Cancer* 2011;63:827-41.
9. Alpha-Tocopherol BCCPSG. The effect of vitamin E and beta carotene on the incidence of lung cancer and other cancers in male smokers. *N Engl J Med* 1994;330:1029-35.
10. Lippman SM, Klein EA, Goodman PJ, Lucia MS, Thompson IM, Ford LG, Parnes HL, Minasian LM, Gaziano JM, Hartline JA, Parsons JK, Bearden JD, 3rd, Crawford ED, Goodman GE, Claudio J, Winkquist E, Cook ED, Karp DD, Walther P, Lieber MM, Kristal AR, Darke AK, Arnold KB, Ganz PA, Santella RM, Albanes D, Taylor PR, Probstfield JL, Jagpal TJ, Crowley JJ, Meyskens FL, Jr., Baker LH, Coltman CA, Jr. Effect of selenium and vitamin E on risk of prostate cancer and other cancers: the Selenium and Vitamin E Cancer Prevention Trial (SELECT). *JAMA* 2009;301:39-51.
11. Manson JE, Cook NR, Lee IM, Christen W, Bassuk SS, Mora S, Gibson H, Gordon D, Copeland T, D'Agostino D, Friedenberg G, Ridge C, Bubes V, Giovannucci EL, Willett WC, Buring JE, Group VR. Vitamin D Supplements and Prevention of Cancer and Cardiovascular Disease. *N Engl J Med* 2019;380:33-44.
12. Tao MH, Dai Q, Chen S, Freudenheim JL, Rohan T, Wakelee H, Datta M, Wactawski-Wende J. Calcium plus vitamin D supplementation and lung cancer incidence among postmenopausal women in the Women's Health Initiative. *Lung Cancer* 2017;110:42-47.
13. Smith GD, Ebrahim S. 'Mendelian randomization': can genetic epidemiology contribute to understanding environmental determinants of disease? *Int J Epidemiol* 2003;32:1-22.
14. Jiang X, Dimou NL, Al-Dabhani K, Lewis SJ, Martin RM, Haycock PC, Gunter MJ, Key TJ, Eeles RA, Muir K, Neal D, Giles GG, Giovannucci EL, Stampfer M, Pierce BL, Schildkraut JM, Warren Andersen S, Thompson D, Zheng W, Kraft P, Tsilidis KK. Circulating vitamin D concentrations and risk of breast and prostate cancer: a Mendelian randomization study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2018.

15. Dimitrakopoulou VI, Tsilidis KK, Haycock PC, Dimou NL, Al-Dabhani K, Martin RM, Lewis SJ, Gunter MJ, Mondul A, Shui IM, Theodoratou E, Nimptsch K, Lindstrom S, Albanes D, Kuhn T, Key TJ, Travis RC, Vimalaswaran KS, Consortium G, Consortium P, Network G-O, Kraft P, Pierce BL, Schildkraut JM. Circulating vitamin D concentration and risk of seven cancers: Mendelian randomisation study. *BMJ* 2017;359:j4761.

16. Meyer TE, Verwoert GC, Hwang SJ, Glazer NL, Smith AV, van Rooij FJ, Ehret GB, Boerwinkle E, Felix JF, Leak TS, Harris TB, Yang Q, Dehghan A, Aspelund T, Katz R, Homuth G, Kocher T, Rettig R, Ried JS, Gieger C, Prucha H, Pfeufer A, Meitinger T, Coresh J, Hofman A, Sarnak MJ, Chen YD, Uitterlinden AG, Chakravarti A, Psaty BM, van Duijn CM, Kao WH, Witteman JC, Gudnason V, Siscovick DS, Fox CS, Kottgen A, Genetic Factors for Osteoporosis C, Meta Analysis of G, Insulin Related Traits C. Genome-wide association studies of serum magnesium, potassium, and sodium concentrations identify six Loci influencing serum magnesium levels. *PLoS Genet* 2010;6.

17. Dashti HS, Shea MK, Smith CE, Tanaka T, Hruby A, Richardson K, Wang TJ, Nalls MA, Guo X, Liu Y, Yao J, Li D, Johnson WC, Benjamin EJ, Kritchevsky SB, Siscovick DS, Ordovas JM, Booth SL. Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies for circulating phylloquinone concentrations. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2014;100:1462-9.

18. Major JM, Yu K, Wheeler W, Zhang H, Cornelis MC, Wright ME, Yeager M, Snyder K, Weinstein SJ, Mondul A, Eliassen H, Purdue M, Hazra A, McCarty CA, Hendrickson S, Virtamo J, Hunter D, Chanock S, Kraft P, Albanes D. Genome-wide association study identifies common variants associated with circulating vitamin E levels. *Hum Mol Genet* 2011;20:3876-83.

19. Mondul AM, Yu K, Wheeler W, Zhang H, Weinstein SJ, Major JM, Cornelis MC, Mannisto S, Hazra A, Hsing AW, Jacobs KB, Eliassen H, Tanaka T, Reding DJ, Hendrickson S, Ferrucci L, Virtamo J, Hunter DJ, Chanock SJ, Kraft P, Albanes D. Genome-wide association study of circulating retinol levels. *Hum Mol Genet* 2011;20:4724-31.

20. Day FR, Loh PR, Scott RA, Ong KK, Perry JR. A Robust Example of Collider Bias in a Genetic Association Study. *Am J Hum Genet* 2016;98:392-3.

21. Holmes MV, Davey Smith G. Problems in interpreting and using GWAS of conditional phenotypes illustrated by 'alcohol GWAS'. *Mol Psychiatry* 2019;24:167-68.

22. Ferrucci L, Perry JR, Matteini A, Perola M, Tanaka T, Silander K, Rice N, Melzer D, Murray A, Cluett C, Fried LP, Albanes D, Corsi AM, Cherubini A, Guralnik J, Bandinelli S, Singleton A, Virtamo J, Walston J, Semba RD, Frayling TM. Common variation in the beta-carotene 15,15'-monooxygenase 1 gene affects circulating levels of carotenoids: a genome-wide association study. *Am J Hum Genet* 2009;84:123-33.

23. O'Seaghda CM, Wu H, Yang Q, Kapur K, Guessous I, Zuber AM, Kottgen A, Stoudmann C, Teumer A, Kutalik Z, Mangino M, Dehghan A, Zhang W, Eiriksdottir G, Li G, Tanaka T, Portas L, Lopez LM, Hayward C, Lohman K, Matsuda K, Padmanabhan S, Firsov D, Sorice R, Ulivi S, Brockhaus AC, Kleber ME, Mahajan A, Ernst FD, Gudnason V, Launer LJ, Mace A, Boerwinkle E, Arking DE, Tanikawa C, Nakamura Y, Brown MJ, Gaspoz JM, Theler JM, Siscovick DS, Psaty BM, Bergmann S, Vollenweider P, Vitart V, Wright AF, Zemunik T, Boban M, Kolcic I, Navarro P, Brown EM, Estrada K, Ding J, Harris TB, Bandinelli S, Hernandez D, Singleton AB, Girotto G, Ruggiero D, d'Adamo AP, Robino A, Meitinger T, Meisinger C, Davies G, Starr JM, Chambers JC, Boehm BO, Winkelmann BR, Huang J, Murgia F, Wild SH, Campbell H, Morris AP, Franco OH, Hofman A, Uitterlinden AG, Rivadeneira F, Volker U, Hannemann A, Biffar R, Hoffmann W, Shin SY, Lescuyer P, Henry H, Schurmann C, Consortium S, Consortium G, Munroe PB, Gasparini P, Pirastu N, Ciullo M, Gieger C, Marz W, Lind L, Spector TD, Smith AV, Rudan I, Wilson JF, Polasek O, Deary IJ, Pirastu M, Ferrucci L, Liu Y, Kestenbaum B, Kooner JS, Witteman JC, Nauck M, Kao WH, Wallaschofski H, Bonny O, Fox CS, Bochud M. Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies identifies six new Loci for serum calcium concentrations. *PLoS Genet* 2013;9:e1003796.

24. Sinnott-Armstrong N, Tanigawa Y, Amar D, Mars NJ, Aguirre M, Venkataraman GR, Wainberg M, Ollila HM, Pirruccello JP, Qian J, Shcherbina A, , Rodriguez F, Assimes TL, Agarwala V, Tibshirani R, Hastie T, Ripatti S, Pritchard JK, Daly MJ, Rivas MA. Genetics of 38 blood and urine biomarkers in the UK Biobank. *bioRxiv* 2019.
25. Kestenbaum B, Glazer NL, Kottgen A, Felix JF, Hwang SJ, Liu Y, Lohman K, Kritchevsky SB, Hausman DB, Petersen AK, Gieger C, Ried JS, Meitinger T, Strom TM, Wichmann HE, Campbell H, Hayward C, Rudan I, de Boer IH, Psaty BM, Rice KM, Chen YD, Li M, Arking DE, Boerwinkle E, Coresh J, Yang Q, Levy D, van Rooij FJ, Dehghan A, Rivadeneira F, Uitterlinden AG, Hofman A, van Duijn CM, Shlipak MG, Kao WH, Witteman JC, Siscovick DS, Fox CS. Common genetic variants associate with serum phosphorus concentration. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2010;21:1223-32.
26. Evans DM, Zhu G, Dy V, Heath AC, Madden PA, Kemp JP, McMahon G, St Pourcain B, Timpson NJ, Golding J, Lawlor DA, Steer C, Montgomery GW, Martin NG, Smith GD, Whitfield JB. Genome-wide association study identifies loci affecting blood copper, selenium and zinc. *Hum Mol Genet* 2013;22:3998-4006.
27. Cornelis MC, Fornage M, Foy M, Xun P, Gladyshev VN, Morris S, Chasman DI, Hu FB, Rimm EB, Kraft P, Jordan JM, Mozaffarian D, He K. Genome-wide association study of selenium concentrations. *Hum Mol Genet* 2015;24:1469-77.
28. Grarup N, Sulem P, Sandholt CH, Thorleifsson G, Ahluwalia TS, Steinthorsdottir V, Bjarnason H, Gudbjartsson DF, Magnusson OT, Sparso T, Albrechtsen A, Kong A, Masson G, Tian G, Cao H, Nie C, Kristiansen K, Husemoen LL, Thuesen B, Li Y, Nielsen R, Linneberg A, Olafsson I, Eyjolfsson GI, Jorgensen T, Wang J, Hansen T, Thorsteinsdottir U, Stefansson K, Pedersen O. Genetic architecture of vitamin B12 and folate levels uncovered applying deeply sequenced large datasets. *PLoS Genet* 2013;9:e1003530.
29. Benyamin B, Esko T, Ried JS, Radhakrishnan A, Vermeulen SH, Traglia M, Gogele M, Anderson D, Broer L, Podmore C, Luan J, Kutalik Z, Sanna S, van der Meer P, Tanaka T, Wang F, Westra HJ, Franke L, Mihailov E, Milani L, Halldin J, Winkelmann J, Meitinger T, Thiery J, Peters A, Waldenberger M, Rendon A, Jolley J, Sambrook J, Kiemeny LA, Sweep FC, Sala CF, Schwienbacher C, Pichler I, Hui J, Demirkan A, Isaacs A, Amin N, Steri M, Waeber G, Verweij N, Powell JE, Nyholt DR, Heath AC, Madden PA, Visscher PM, Wright MJ, Montgomery GW, Martin NG, Hernandez D, Bandinelli S, van der Harst P, Uda M, Vollenweider P, Scott RA, Langenberg C, Wareham NJ, InterAct C, van Duijn C, Beilby J, Pramstaller PP, Hicks AA, Ouwehand WH, Oexle K, Gieger C, Metspalu A, Camaschella C, Toniolo D, Swinkels DW, Whitfield JB. Novel loci affecting iron homeostasis and their effects in individuals at risk for hemochromatosis. *Nat Commun* 2014;5:4926.
30. Hazra A, Kraft P, Lazarus R, Chen C, Chanock SJ, Jacques P, Selhub J, Hunter DJ. Genome-wide significant predictors of metabolites in the one-carbon metabolism pathway. *Hum Mol Genet* 2009;18:4677-87.
31. Gill D, Del Greco MF, Walker AP, Srai SKS, Laffan MA, Minelli C. The Effect of Iron Status on Risk of Coronary Artery Disease: A Mendelian Randomization Study-Brief Report. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2017;37:1788-92.
32. Gill D, Monori G, Tzoulaki I, Dehghan A. Iron Status and Risk of Stroke. *Stroke* 2018;49:2815-21.
33. Michailidou K, Lindstrom S, Dennis J, Beesley J, Hui S, Kar S, Lemacon A, Soucy P, Glubb D, Rostamianfar A, Bolla MK, Wang Q, Tyrer J, Dicks E, Lee A, Wang Z, Allen J, Keeman R, Eilber U, French JD, Qing Chen X, Fachal L, McCue K, McCart Reed AE, Ghousaini M, Carroll JS, Jiang X, Finucane H, Adams M, Adank MA, Ahsan H, Aittomaki K, Anton-Culver H, Antonenkova NN, Arndt V, Aronson KJ, Arun B, Auer PL, Bacot F, Barrdahl M, Baynes C, Beckmann MW, Behrens S, Benitez J, Bermisheva M, Bernstein L, Blomqvist C, Bogdanova NV, Bojesen SE, Bonanni B, Borresen-Dale AL, Brand JS, Brauch H, Brennan P, Brenner H, Brinton L, Broberg P, Brock IW, Broeks A, Brooks-Wilson A, Brucker SY, Bruning T, Burwinkel

B, Butterbach K, Cai Q, Cai H, Caldes T, Canzian F, Carracedo A, Carter BD, Castelao JE, Chan TL, David Cheng TY, Seng Chia K, Choi JY, Christiansen H, Clarke CL, Collaborators N, Collee M, Conroy DM, Cordina-Duverger E, Cornelissen S, Cox DG, Cox A, Cross SS, Cunningham JM, Czene K, Daly MB, Devilee P, Doheny KF, Dork T, Dos-Santos-Silva I, Dumont M, Durcan L, Dwek M, Eccles DM, Ekici AB, Eliassen AH, Ellberg C, Elvira M, Engel C, Eriksson M, Fasching PA, Figueroa J, Flesch-Janys D, Fletcher O, Flyger H, Fritschi L, Gaborieau V, Gabrielson M, Gago-Dominguez M, Gao YT, Gapstur SM, Garcia-Saenz JA, Gaudet MM, Georgoulis V, Giles GG, Glendon G, Goldberg MS, Goldgar DE, Gonzalez-Neira A, Grenaker Alnaes GI, Grip M, Gronwald J, Grundy A, Guenel P, Haeberle L, Hahnen E, Haiman CA, Hakansson N, Hamann U, Hamel N, Hankinson S, Harrington P, Hart SN, Hartikainen JM, Hartman M, Hein A, Heyworth J, Hicks B, Hillemanns P, Ho DN, Hollestelle A, Hooning MJ, Hoover RN, Hopper JL, Hou MF, Hsiung CN, Huang G, Humphreys K, Ishiguro J, Ito H, Iwasaki M, Iwata H, Jakubowska A, Janni W, John EM, Johnson N, Jones K, Jones M, Jukkola-Vuorinen A, Kaaks R, Kabisch M, Kaczmarek K, Kang D, Kasuga Y, Kerin MJ, Khan S, Khusnutdinova E, Kiiski JI, Kim SW, Knight JA, Kosma VM, Kristensen VN, Kruger U, Kwong A, Lambrechts D, Le Marchand L, Lee E, Lee MH, Lee JW, Neng Lee C, Lejbkowitz F, Li J, Lilyquist J, Lindblom A, Lissowska J, Lo WY, Loibl S, Long J, Lophatananon A, Lubinski J, Luccarini C, Lux MP, Ma ESK, MacInnis RJ, Maishman T, Makalic E, Malone KE, Kostovska IM, Mannermaa A, Manoukian S, Manson JE, Margolin S, Mariapun S, Martinez ME, Matsuo K, Mavroudis D, McKay J, McLean C, Meijers-Heijboer H, Meindl A, Menendez P, Menon U, Meyer J, Miao H, Miller N, Taib NAM, Muir K, Mulligan AM, Mulot C, Neuhausen SL, Nevanlinna H, Neven P, Nielsen SF, Noh DY, Nordestgaard BG, Norman A, Olopade OI, Olson JE, Olsson H, Olswold C, Orr N, Pankratz VS, Park SK, Park-Simon TW, Lloyd R, Perez JIA, Peterlongo P, Peto J, Phillips KA, Pinchev M, Plaseska-Karanfilska D, Prentice R, Presneau N, Prokofyeva D, Pugh E, Pylkas K, Rack B, Radice P, Rahman N, Rennert G, Rennert HS, Rhenius V, Romero A, Romm J, Ruddy KJ, Rudiger T, Rudolph A, Ruebner M, Rutgers EJT, Saloustros E, Sandler DP, Sangrajang S, Sawyer EJ, Schmidt DF, Schmutzler RK, Schneeweiss A, Schoemaker MJ, Schumacher F, Schurmann P, Scott RJ, Scott C, Seal S, Seynaeve C, Shah M, Sharma P, Shen CY, Sheng G, Sherman ME, Shrubsole MJ, Shu XO, Smeets A, Sohn C, Southey MC, Spinelli JJ, Stegmaier C, Stewart-Brown S, Stone J, Stram DO, Surowy H, Swerdlow A, Tamimi R, Taylor JA, Tengstrom M, Teo SH, Beth Terry M, Tessier DC, Thanasihtichai S, Thone K, Tollenaar R, Tomlinson I, Tong L, Torres D, Truong T, Tseng CC, Tsugane S, Ulmer HU, Ursin G, Untch M, Vachon C, van Asperen CJ, Van Den Berg D, van den Ouweland AMW, van der Kolk L, van der Luijt RB, Vincent D, Vollenweider J, Waisfisz Q, Wang-Gohrke S, Weinberg CR, Wendt C, Whittemore AS, Wildiers H, Willett W, Winqvist R, Wolk A, Wu AH, Xia L, Yamaji T, Yang XR, Har Yip C, Yoo KY, Yu JC, Zheng W, Zheng Y, Zhu B, Ziogas A, Ziv E, Investigators A, ConFab AI, Lakhani SR, Antoniou AC, Droit A, Andrulis IL, Amos CI, Couch FJ, Pharoah PDP, Chang-Claude J, Hall P, Hunter DJ, Milne RL, Garcia-Closas M, Schmidt MK, Chanock SJ, Dunning AM, Edwards SL, Bader GD, Chenevix-Trench G, Simard J, Kraft P, Easton DF. Association analysis identifies 65 new breast cancer risk loci. *Nature* 2017;551:92-94.

34. Brion MJ, Shakhbazov K, Visscher PM. Calculating statistical power in Mendelian randomization studies. *Int J Epidemiol* 2013;42:1497-501.

35. Lawlor DA, Harbord RM, Sterne JA, Timpson N, Davey Smith G. Mendelian randomization: using genes as instruments for making causal inferences in epidemiology. *Stat Med* 2008;27:1133-63.

36. Burgess S, Butterworth A, Thompson SG. Mendelian randomization analysis with multiple genetic variants using summarized data. *Genet Epidemiol* 2013;37:658-65.

37. Rodriguez-Barranco M, Tobias A, Redondo D, Molina-Portillo E, Sanchez MJ. Standardizing effect size from linear regression models with log-transformed variables for meta-analysis. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2017;17:44.

38. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent Estimation in Mendelian Randomization with Some Invalid Instruments Using a Weighted Median Estimator. *Genet Epidemiol* 2016;40:304-14.
39. Burgess S, Thompson SG, Collaboration CCG. Avoiding bias from weak instruments in Mendelian randomization studies. *Int J Epidemiol* 2011;40:755-64.
40. Shim H, Chasman DI, Smith JD, Mora S, Ridker PM, Nickerson DA, Krauss RM, Stephens M. A multivariate genome-wide association analysis of 10 LDL subfractions, and their response to statin treatment, in 1868 Caucasians. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0120758.
41. MacArthur J, Bowler E, Cerezo M, Gil L, Hall P, Hastings E, Junkins H, McMahon A, Milano A, Morales J, Pendlington ZM, Welter D, Burdett T, Hindorff L, Flicek P, Cunningham F, Parkinson H. The new NHGRI-EBI Catalog of published genome-wide association studies (GWAS Catalog). *Nucleic Acids Res* 2017;45:D896-D901.
42. Staley JR, Blackshaw J, Kamat MA, Ellis S, Surendran P, Sun BB, Paul DS, Freitag D, Burgess S, Danesh J, Young R, Butterworth AS. PhenoScanner: a database of human genotype-phenotype associations. *Bioinformatics* 2016;32:3207-09.
43. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ* 2003;327:557-60.
44. Bowden J, Del Greco MF, Minelli C, Davey Smith G, Sheehan N, Thompson J. A framework for the investigation of pleiotropy in two-sample summary data Mendelian randomization. *Stat Med* 2017;36:1783-802.
45. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. *Int J Epidemiol* 2015;44:512-25.
46. Hartwig FP, Davey Smith G, Bowden J. Robust inference in summary data Mendelian randomization via the zero modal pleiotropy assumption. *Int J Epidemiol* 2017;46:1985-98.
47. Verbanck M, Chen CY, Neale B, Do R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat Genet* 2018;50:693-98.
48. Yavorska OO, Burgess S. MendelianRandomization: an R package for performing Mendelian randomization analyses using summarized data. *Int J Epidemiol* 2017;46:1734-39.
49. Li K, Kaaks R, Linseisen J, Rohrmann S. Dietary calcium and magnesium intake in relation to cancer incidence and mortality in a German prospective cohort (EPIC-Heidelberg). *Cancer Causes Control* 2011;22:1375-82.
50. Mora-Pinzon MC, Trentham-Dietz A, Gangnon RE, Adams SV, Hampton JM, Burnside E, Shafer MM, Newcomb PA. Urinary Magnesium and Other Elements in Relation to Mammographic Breast Density, a Measure of Breast Cancer Risk. *Nutr Cancer* 2018;70:441-46.
51. Wang AT, Vachon CM, Brandt KR, Ghosh K. Breast density and breast cancer risk: a practical review. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2014;89:548-57.
52. Bertrand KA, Scott CG, Tamimi RM, Jensen MR, Pankratz VS, Norman AD, Visscher DW, Couch FJ, Shepherd J, Chen YY, Fan B, Wu FF, Ma L, Beck AH, Cummings SR, Kerlikowske K, Vachon CM. Dense and nondense mammographic area and risk of breast cancer by age and tumor characteristics. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2015;24:798-809.
53. Mendes PMV, Bezerra DLC, Dos Santos LR, de Oliveira Santos R, de Sousa Melo SR, Morais JBS, Severo JS, Vieira SC, do Nascimento Marreiro D. Magnesium in Breast Cancer: What Is Its Influence on the Progression of This Disease? *Biol Trace Elem Res* 2018;184:334-39.

54. Lymburner S, McLeod S, Purtzki M, Roskelley C, Xu Z. Zinc inhibits magnesium-dependent migration of human breast cancer MDA-MB-231 cells on fibronectin. *J Nutr Biochem* 2013;24:1034-40.
55. Castiglioni S, Maier JA. Magnesium and cancer: a dangerous liason. *Magnes Res* 2011;24:S92-100.
56. Wulaningsih W, Michaelsson K, Garmo H, Hammar N, Jungner I, Walldius G, Holmberg L, Van Hemelrijck M. Inorganic phosphate and the risk of cancer in the Swedish AMORIS study. *BMC Cancer* 2013;13:257.
57. Uemura H, Irahara M, Yoneda N, Yasui T, Genjida K, Miyamoto KI, Aono T, Takeda E. Close correlation between estrogen treatment and renal phosphate reabsorption capacity. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2000;85:1215-9.
58. Wu W, Kang S, Zhang D. Association of vitamin B6, vitamin B12 and methionine with risk of breast cancer: a dose-response meta-analysis. *Br J Cancer* 2013;109:1926-44.
59. Flynn E, Tanigawa Y, Rodriguez F, Altman RB, Sinnott-Armstrong N, Rivas MA. Sex-specific genetic effects across biomarkers. *bioRxiv* 2019:837021.

Figure legends

Figure1. Forest plot showing results from the Mendelian randomization study to evaluate potential causal associations between 11 nutrients and breast cancer both overall and by estrogen receptor status. The odds ratios (OR) were calculated using the inverse variance weighted (IVW) method and correspond to a 1-SD increase in the concentration of the nutrients.