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Catalysis

Iron Catalyzed Double Bond Isomerization: Evidence for an FeI/FeIII Catalytic Cycle

Callum R. Woof,[a] Derek J. Durand,[b] Natalie Fey,*[b] Emma Richards,*[c] and Ruth L. Webster*[a]

Abstract: Iron-catalyzed isomerization of alkenes is reported using an iron(II) β-diketiminate pre-catalyst. The reaction proceeds with a catalytic amount of a hydride source, such as pinacol borane (HBpin) or ammonia borane (H₃N·BH₃). Reactivity with both allyl arenes and aliphatic alkenes has been studied. The catalytic mechanism was investigated by a variety of means, including deuteration studies, Density Functional Theory (DFT) and Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) spectroscopy. The data obtained support a pre-catalyst activation step that gives access to an η₂-coordinated alkene FeI complex, followed by oxidative addition of the alkene to give an FeIII intermediate, which then undergoes reductive elimination to allow release of the isomerization product.

Introduction

Two-electron chemistry within the field of iron catalysis is incredibly rare.[1] We envisioned that if a controlled one electron reduction of an Fe(II) species could be carried out with a well-defined iron pre-catalyst, then it might be feasible to access an oxidative addition (OA)/reductive elimination (RE) (i.e. FeI/FeIII) catalytic cycle to afford activity similar to that typically observed with precious metal congeners. Previous catalytic studies within our group using an iron(II) β-diketiminate complex (hereafter referred to as complex 1) have focused on bond forming transformations (for example hydrophosphination, hydrogenation and dehydrocoupling, Scheme 1a). However, double bond isomerization gives the ideal opportunity to explore a unimolecular transformation that relies on a 1,3-hydrogen shift,[2] the simplicity of which may give increased likelihood of OA/RE chemistry (Scheme 1b). Carbon–carbon double bonds are important in synthetic chemistry: they are used to introduce diverse functionality to organic molecules, including pharmaceuticals, and they are also used extensively in the petrochemical-based polymer industry. Double bond isomerization can change the properties of a molecule without the need for stoichiometric exogenous reagents.[3]

Although there are several elegant examples of earth abundant metal-catalyzed isomerization/functionalization[4] (e.g. hydroboration,[5] hydrosilylation,[6] allylic alcohol to ketone[7]), which ultimately provide an energetically favorable driving force for the isomerization step, there are far fewer examples of the use of abundant metal pre-catalysts to only invoke iso-
merization. Isomerization-only processes catalyzed by iron are dominated by thermodynamically favored cis-to-trans and/or terminal to internal double bond isomerization. Some of the earliest examples of iron-catalyzed reactions date back to the 1960’s when Frankel, Emken and Davison reported the use of Fe(CO)$_5$ as a catalyst in double bond isomerization in fatty acids and esters.[8] Triiron dodecacarbonyl was used by Casey and Cyr to undertake isomerization of 3-ethyl-1-pentene and detailed mechanistic studies suggested that the reaction likely proceeded via an Fe($^\pi$-allyl)(H) intermediate.[9] Beyond catalysis performed using iron carbonyl complexes,[10] von Wangelin has reported isomerization using Fe(acac)$_3$ with a Grignard additive at room temperature.[11] More recently, Koh and co-workers have reported an elegant regiodivergent isomerization process that uses B$_2$pin$_2$ or PhMe$_2$SiBpin in conjunction with an iron catalyst and LiO$t_Bu$. Mechanistic studies revealed that the reaction is likely to proceed via an iron hydride and subsequent olefin insertion and $\beta$-hydride elimination.[12] Pertinent to this study, Smith has employed an Fe$^+$ species, exploiting the propensity for spin crossover, to achieve iron catalyzed double bond isomerization via a two-electron catalytic cycle.[13]

From the synthetic studies we have already disclosed in hydrofunctionalization chemistry,[14] we postulated that access to a two-electron isomerization catalytic cycle would be possible by limiting the quantity of hydride source employed in order to access Fe$^+$, meanwhile preventing competitive Fe$^0$ hydroboration. We herein report evidence to support this hypothesis, using pre-catalyst 1.

**Results and Discussion**

Following a short optimization procedure we found that a co-catalytic quantity (10 mol %) of pinacol borane (HBpin), ammonia borane (H$_3$N·BH$_3$) or dimethylamine borane (Me$_2$HN·BH$_3$) are all similarly competent at transforming allyl benzene (2a) into $\beta$-methylstyrene (3a) with 5 mol % 1 at 60 °C in 16 h (Table 1, also see Supporting Information for further optimization).

Our previous synthetic and theoretical studies with 1 have indicated that hydroboration[14] and transfer hydrogenation[15] reactions, amongst others, proceed in a redox-neutral manner, in particular operating via an Fe$^0$ hydride. In light of this, we sought to rule out an alkyl-based mechanism where HBpin or an alternative reagent serves as a hydride source, forming an iron(II) hydride which can consequently undergo addition across a double bond and subsequent $\beta$-hydride elimination at the more substituted position. Further investigation was able to discount this mechanism taking place. Firstly, the iron(II) dimeric species 4 is poor in catalysis (16% conversion to 3a, 16 h, 60 °C as opposed to 93% 3a with 1 and HBpin, see Scheme 2d), contrasting with our dehydrocoupling work.[16] Further adding another hydride source to 4 does lead to catalysis, but

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst (5 mol %), additive (10 mol %)</th>
<th>Conversion to 3a (%)</th>
<th>trans/cis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1, none</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None, HBpin</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1, HBpin</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>7:6:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1, H$_3$N·BH$_3$</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>25:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5[9]</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6, Me$_2$N·BH$_3$</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>33:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7[9]</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>3:6:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8, Ph$_2$N·BH$_3$</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2:8:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standard reaction conditions: C$_6$D$_6$ (0.6 ml), allylbenzene (0.5 mmol), catalyst (0.025 mmol), additive (0.05 mmol), 60 °C, 16 h. Conversion and selectivity determined by in situ $^1$H NMR spectroscopy. [a] RT. [b] TMP = 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine. 80 °C, 48 h.

**Scheme 2.** a)–c) Deuterium labelling studies; d) Discounted redox-neutral catalytic isomerization cycle.
the lack of NMR evidence for 4 forming in situ suggests it is not formed as part of a pathway to an active species. Secondly, deuterium studies give outcomes contradicting what would be expected for a redox neutral mechanism (Scheme 2).

Firstly, there is no \(^{1}H\)-incorporation in substrates when using deuterated hydride sources (Scheme 2a), and full isorotation is observed in the transformation of \(\alpha\)-labelled substrate 2a-d to 3a-d. If 1 is activated to form an Fe-hydride (or deuteride) then a small amount of H/D exchange would be expected owing to the intermolecular nature of catalyst activation and H transfer.

Secondly, in a competitive reaction of 2a-d and 2b, isorotation is again observed (Scheme 2c), indicating hydride transfer is intramolecular rather than intermolecular. At this stage, the deuterium labelling studies closely match the results one would expect from an \(\eta^1\)-allyl mechanism.\(^{[3]}\) Qualitatively, the reactions are observed to change from the dark yellow of 1 to a vivid red; a color that is synonymous with the formation of Fe\(^{3+}\). Furthermore, upon investigating our proposed catalytic cycle with DFT calculations, we were able to demonstrate that a redox-neutral Fe\(^{3+}\) catalytic cycle of the form shown in Scheme 2d is disfavored due to an exceptionally high energy barrier for the \(\beta\)-hydride elimination step for the more stable, high-spin quintet surface (\(\Delta G^\circ = 37.4\) kcal mol\(^{-1}\), see Supporting Information for details). Evans method NMR analysis\(^{[17]}\) gives \(\mu_{\text{obs}} = 5.7\) for the pre-catalyst 1, which is consistent with measurements from Hessen of similar Fe\(^{3+}\) complexes.\(^{[16]}\) However, addition of HBpin, H\(_3\)N·BH\(_3\) or Me\(_2\)HN·BH\(_3\) to 1 results in a decrease in the magnetic moment to \(\mu_{\text{obs}} = 5.1\). We tentatively attribute this to a shift from pure Fe\(^{3+}\) to a mix of oxidation/spin states being present. Adding 2a does not appear to affect \(\mu_{\text{obs}}\) further. At this stage, we feel we have reasonable evidence to rule out the entirely redox-neutral cycle of the form shown in Scheme 2d. No reaction is observed with the radical clock 6-bromo-1-hexene, therefore we are confident that there are no organic radicals present or propagating in solution.

To support the NMR results, X-band CW EPR studies were recorded in benzene:toluene (200:10 \(\mu\)L) frozen solution. A solution of 1 in the absence of substrate indicates the presence of a small amount of high-spin Fe\(^{3+}\), which we assign to the side formation of FeCl\(_3\) during synthesis of the pre-catalyst (see Supporting Information). Direct addition of 2a to 1 did not lead to any changes in the EPR spectrum (see Supporting Information), indicating no change in oxidation state induced by the alkene. However, upon addition of HBpin or H\(_3\)N·BH\(_3\) to 1, the appearance of a well-resolved rhombic signal without any observable hyperfine coupling is observed, consistent with a low spin \(S = 1/2\) iron \(d^0\) center (Figure 1). Addition of 2a to this mixture does not lead to further spectral changes. Computer simulation of this species revealed the spin Hamiltonian parameters characterized by \(g = [1.984 \ 2.018 \ 2.200]\), which is analogous to Holland's characterization of an Fe\(^{3+}\) species interacting with benzene, and our previous report of Fe\(^{3+}\) complexes formed upon reaction of FeCl\(_3\) with aryl/alkyl Grignard reagents.\(^{[18]}\) The Fe\(^{3+}\) signal is superimposed on a broad signal associated with a high-spin Fe\(^{3+}\) center, vide infra (also see Supporting Information for details). Cyclic Voltammetry was also used to determine whether HBpin, H\(_3\)N·BH\(_3\) or Me\(_2\)HN·BH\(_3\) are strong enough reductants to convert 1 into an Fe\(^{3+}\) complex (see Supporting Information for details). Cyclic Voltammetry studies of 1 show two strong reduction peaks. We assign the first to the reduction of our Fe\(^{3+}\) pre-catalyst to an Fe\(^{2+}\) species, which we determine to be \(-1.48\) vs. Fc/Fc\(^+\). The lack of a large peak in the oxidative direction suggests the irreversibility of the Fe\(^{3+}\)/Fe\(^{2+}\) reduction process, potentially ruling out an one-electron oxidation/reduction catalytic cycle. The second peak has a potential of \(-1.92\) vs. Fc/Fc\(^+\), and we believe it is ligand-based and is unlikely to have significant bearing on the catalytic pathway. The relatively strong reducing potentials of both HBpin and H\(_3\)N·BH\(_3\) indicate that such a reduction is thermodynamically feasible.\(^{[20]}\) Because of this, we propose that the active species in catalysis is initially an Fe\(^{2+}\) species. This complex may be stabilized by either a molecule of solvent, as previously reported, or by a molecule of substrate. Substitution coefficient studies from Holland\(^{[21]}\) on 1a (Scheme 3a) indicate that, once formed, the \(\eta^1\)-allylbenzene complex 1a is more stable, and we therefore believe that if 1a forms, displacement to form 1b is rapid and facile. Wide sweep width NMR spectroscopy of both stoichiometric and catalytic reactions (using HBpin or H\(_3\)N·BH\(_3\)) give complex spectra that we attribute to off-cycle or catalytically inactive Fe\(^{3+}\) complexes or Fe\(^{3+}\) formed.

---

**Figure 1.** i) CW X-band EPR spectrum \([T = 140 \text{ K}]\) of 1 + HBPin. ii) Experimental (a), and simulation (a') of CW X-band EPR spectrum \([T = 140 \text{ K}]\) of 1 + HBPin, focusing on the center-field region. The broad signal originating from high-spin Fe\(^{3+}\) (seen in i) and also in Figure S1) has been background subtracted. See Supporting Information for corresponding simulations and discussion.
Having ruled out a redox-neutral Fe\textsuperscript{II} pathway both experimentally and computationally, we further investigated the isomerization of allylbenzene to \(\beta\)-methylstyrene using DFT calculations. We propose that the reaction proceeds from our active Fe\textsuperscript{III} \(\eta^2\)-alkene species \(1_\text{b}\), in the doublet spin-state, via a selectivity-determining oxidative addition of one of the phenyl adjacent C–H bonds to form either of Fe\textsuperscript{II} \(\eta^1\)-allyl species, \(1_\text{c\ trans}\) or \(1_\text{c\ cis}\). These intermediates then undergo a reductive elimination of the proton onto the terminal carbon to form the Fe\textsuperscript{II} allyl species, \(1_\text{D\ trans}\) or \(1_\text{D\ cis}\). A ligand exchange with the substrate \(2\text{a}\) then yields the major product, \(\text{trans-}\beta\)-methylstyrene or the minor product, \(\text{cis-}\beta\)-methylstyrene, while also regenerating \(1_\text{a}\) (Scheme 3b).

We suggest that the doublet spin state of the complex is largely preserved throughout the catalytic cycle, although we have also investigated the other spin states, with a particular focus on the trans-selective route. While the quartet \(1_\text{a}\) was calculated to be more stable than the doublet by 21.0 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), we found, similarly to Smith,\(^{[13]}\) that the activation barrier for the trans selective oxidative addition on the quartet surface \((\Delta G^\ddagger = 45.0 \text{ kJ mol }^{-1})\) was far in excess of what might be considered accessible under the experimental conditions used (see Supporting Information). In addition to this, our EPR results do not support the presence of a quartet Fe \textsuperscript{II} species, or a quartet Fe \textsuperscript{III} species.

Starting from our initial species \(1_\text{b}\) rotation of the Ph–C bond to give the appropriate orientation for each oxidative addition is a low-energy process (< 1 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)), with the subsequent activation barriers \((\Delta G^\ddagger = 25.7 \text{ kJ mol }^{-1}\) for trans, \(\Delta G^\ddagger = 28.1 \text{ kJ mol }^{-1}\) for cis) supporting the experimentally observed trans selectivity of the reaction (Table 2). Due to steric interactions arising from the proximity of the substrate phenyl ring to the 2,6-diisopropylphenyl groups on the \(\beta\)-diketiminate ligand, the cis \(\eta^1\)-allyl product of the oxidative addition, intermediate \(1_\text{c\ cis}\) generates an overall positive \(\Delta G\) for that step (+19.7 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) relative to \(1_\text{a}\)) while the less hindered \(1_\text{c\ trans}\) is 2.7 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) more stable than \(1_\text{c\ cis}\) and results in a slightly reduced free energy difference, \(\Delta G = +18.0 \text{ kJ mol }^{-1}\), making the formation of \(1_\text{c\ trans}\) slightly less reversible than \(1_\text{c\ cis}\). From there, both isomers have relatively small barriers for the reductive elimination (\(\Delta G^\ddagger = 2.6 \text{ kJ mol }^{-1}\) for trans and 4.8 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for cis), the rate determining barrier for both is the oxidative addition, supported by a secondary kinetic isotope effect \((K_2/K_1 = 1.03 \pm 0.06)\) observed experimentally. Both the \(\text{trans} (–4.6 \text{ kJ mol }^{-1})\) and cis selective \((–3.3 \text{ kJ mol }^{-1})\) isomerization of allylbenzene are calculated to be exothermic overall.

The sextet Fe\textsuperscript{III} intermediate \(1_\text{c\ trans}\) lies below the doublet electronic configuration at our chosen level of theory. As

**Table 2.** Calculated activation barriers \(\Delta G^\ddagger\) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) for the isomerization of allylbenzene for the proposed Fe\textsuperscript{II}/Fe\textsuperscript{III} catalytic cycle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selectivity</th>
<th>Oxidative addition</th>
<th>Reductive elimination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>trans</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
shown on the energy surfaces plotted in Figure 2, the high-spin Fe$^{III}$ species detected by EPR is likely to be 1C$^\text{trans}$ (3.1 kcal mol$^{-1}$ more stable than the doublet), although we concede that computational method effects might affect this prediction. The observed reaction outcome could thus be explained either by considering only the low spin species, or by spin crossovers onto the high spin surface near the oxidative addition step and crossing back onto the low spin pathway near the reductive elimination. Unfortunately, we were unable to locate minimum energy crossing points (MECPs) between these surfaces with the method described by Harvey et al. (see Supporting Information for further details). [25]

To prove utility beyond allyl benzene we have explored a range of substrates (Scheme 4). We have opted to use H$_3$N·BH$_3$ as our hydride source as it is an easy to handle, inexpensive reagent with good sustainability credentials. In most cases there is good selectivity for the $\text{trans}$-styrene product (3a to 3d). However, when the aromatic ring is functionalized with strongly electron donating (3e and 3f) or withdrawing groups (3g and 3h), there is a drop-off in $\text{trans}$-selectivity and the $\text{cis}$ product becomes more favorable. We link this, and the drop-off in $\text{trans}$-selectivity observed with HBpin (see Table 1), to rate of reaction: reactions of electron donating or withdrawing substrates, or those mediated by HBpin, are slower, meaning there is less opportunity for $\text{cis}$-to-$\text{trans}$ isomerization to take place prior to product isolation. Good turnover is observed for the double bond isomerization of $\text{b}$-to-$\text{a}$-pinene (3i) and a modest amount of $\text{exo}$-to-$\text{endo}$-double bond isomerization is observed for valencene (2j). Unfortunately, no reactivity is observed with secondary and tertiary amine-containing alkenes (diallylamine, N-allylaniline and 4-methyl-diallylaniline), or with 1,1-disubstituted alkenes such as (2-methyl-2-propenyl)benzene or multiple double bond containing terpenes such as $\beta$-farnesene (which showed good reactivity during hydrosilation studies). [14] We have explored the selectivity obtained for the isomerization of hexene (see Supporting Information) but more forcing reaction conditions are required (80 $^\circ$C, 48 h, in comparison to 60 $^\circ$C, 16 h).

Finally, to demonstrate the applicability of this isomerization catalysis to sustainable chemistry, we have utilized our catalysis to afford a key synthetic fragrance and flavor molecule (Scheme 4b). In a reaction sequence involving iron-catalyzed double bond isomerization followed by ozonolysis, we have transformed safrole into piperonal, a floral/vanilla scented compound with both fragrance and synthetic applications.

**Conclusions**

In summary, we have reported a rare example of iron catalysis likely proceeding through a two-electron oxidation/reduction mechanistic pathway. A catalytic amount of HBpin or H$_3$N-BH$_3$, rather than act as a source of hydride, acts to reduce our Fe$^{II}$ pre-catalyst to an Fe$^{I}$ species, a proposal supported by EPR and electrochemical studies. An $\eta^3$-allyl-type mechanism, proceeding via an Fe$^{I}$/Fe$^{II}$ redox active cycle, is supported by deuteration labelling and DFT studies, leading to terminal alkenes being isomerized to more substituted products in a $\text{trans}$-selective manner. The presence of a redox active catalytic cycle
raises the question of whether this chemistry is limited to double bond isomerization, or whether we can exploit this to other two-electron transformations.
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Using deuterium labelling, electrochemistry, EPR and DFT, an iron catalyzed double bond isomerization reaction was disclosed that is likely to proceed through on-cycle Fe\textsuperscript{i} and Fe\textsuperscript{iii} intermediates. The reaction uses an Fe\textsuperscript{i} precatalyst that undergoes a one-electron reduction to get to the first on-cycle species. These studies on mechanism, along with synthetic scope of the reaction, are presented.