



Scollan, N. D., Dannenberger, D., Nuernberg, K., Richardson, R. I., MacKintosh, S., Hocquette, J-F., & Moloney, A. P. (2014). Enhancing the nutritional and health value of beef lipids and their relationship with meat quality. *Meat Science*, *97*(3), 384-394. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2014.02.015

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available): 10.1016/j.meatsci.2014.02.015

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Meat Science. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Meat Science, [VOL 97, ISSUE 3, (2014)] DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2014.02.015

# University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

### General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/

### Accepted Manuscript

Enhancing the nutritional and health value of beef lipids and their relationship with meat quality

Nigel D. Scollan, Dirk Dannenberger, Karin Nuernberg, Ian Richardson, Siân MacKintosh, Jean-Francois Hocquette, Aidan P. Moloney

PII:	S0309-1740(14)00060-6
DOI:	doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2014.02.015
Reference:	MESC 6374

To appear in: Meat Science

Received date:	9 August 2013
Revised date:	21 February 2014
Accepted date:	25 February 2014

1	CONTRACTOR
11.400k	SCIENCE
	SCIENCE
MEAT	SCIENCE
	SCIENCE
	SCIENCE
	SCIENCE
The Office Assessed at the Ass	SCIENCE
	SCIENCE
	SCIENCE
ScienceDirect	SCIENCE

Please cite this article as: Scollan, N.D., Dannenberger, D., Nuernberg, K., Richardson, I., MacKintosh, S., Hocquette, J.-F. & Moloney, A.P., Enhancing the nutritional and health value of beef lipids and their relationship with meat quality, *Meat Science* (2014), doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2014.02.015

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Enhancing the nutritional and health value of beef lipids and their relationship with meat quality

Nigel D. Scollan<sup>a\*</sup>, Dirk Dannenberger<sup>b</sup>, Karin Nuernberg<sup>b</sup>, Ian Richardson<sup>c</sup>, Siân MacKintosh<sup>a</sup>, Jean-Francois Hocquette<sup>de</sup>and Aidan P. Moloney<sup>f</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute of Biological, Environmental and Rural Sciences, Aberystwyth University, Gogerddan, Aberystwyth, Wales, SY23 3EE, United Kingdom

<sup>b</sup>Leibniz-Institute for Farm Animal Biology, Wilhelm-Stahl-Allee 2, 18196 Dummerstorf, Germany

<sup>c</sup>Division of Farm Animal Science, University of Bristol, Langford, England, BS40 5DU, United

Kingdom

<sup>d</sup>INRA, UMRH 1213, Unité de Recherches sur les Herbivores, Theix, 63122 Saint Genès Champanelle, France

<sup>e</sup> VetAgro Sup, UMRH 1213, Unité de Recherches sur les Herbivores, Theix, 63122 Saint Genès Champanelle, France

<sup>f</sup>Teagasc, Animal and Grassland Research and Innovation Centre, Grange, Dunsany, Co. Meath,

Ireland

\*Corresponding author: Tel +44 1970 823075; fax +44 1970 828357

*Email address:* ngs@aber.ac.uk

#### Abstract

This paper focusses on dietary approaches to control intramuscular fat deposition to increase beneficial omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) and conjugated linoleic acid content, and reduce saturated fatty acids in beef. Trans-fatty acids in beef lipids are considered, along with relationships between lipids in beef and colour shelf-life and sensory attributes. Ruminal lipolysis and biohydrogenation limit the ability to improve beef lipids. Feeding omega-3 rich forage increases linolenic acid and long-chain PUFA in beef lipids, an effect increased by ruminally-protecting lipids. Increasing beef PUFA can alter flavour characteristics and shelf-life. Antioxidants, particularly  $\alpha$ -tocopherol, stabilise high concentrations of muscle PUFA; however, additional antioxidants are required. Currently, the concentration of long-chain omega-3 PUFA achieved in beef lipids (except animals fed ruminally-protected lipids) falls below the limit considered by some authorities to be labelled as a source of omega-3 PUFA. The mechanisms regulating fatty acid isomer distribution in bovine tissues remain unclear.Further enhancement of beef lipids requires greater understanding of ruminal biohydrogenation.

Keywords: Beef; Nutrition; Meat quality; Fatty acids; Health

#### **1. Introduction**

The nutritional value is an important contributor to the overall quality of meat. Consumers are increasingly aware of the relationships between diet, health and well-being resulting in choices of foods which are healthier and more nutritous (Hocquette, Botreau, et al., 2012; Verbeke, et al., 2010). Intramuscular fat level, fatty acid composition, and along with the biological value of the protein, trace elements and vitamins, are key factors contributing to nutritional value(Wyness, 2013). Considerable attention has been given to enhancing beneficial fatty acids in meat and milk (Givens, 2010; Salter, 2013; Scollan, Hocquette, et al., 2006; Shingfield, Bonnet, & Scollan, 2013). Much of this research seeks to support the guidelines for fat intake by the World Health Organization (WHO;(World Health Organisation, 2003)). The WHO (2003) recommended that total fat, saturated fatty acids (SFA), n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), n-3 PUFA and trans fatty acids should contribute < 15-30, < 10, < 5-8, < 1-2 and < 1 % of total energy intake, respectively. A recent meta-analysis of epidemiological studies has called into question the evidence that supports the association between SFA and cardiovascular disease (CVD) (Siri-Tarino, Sun, Hu, & Krauss, 2010a). Emphasis has been placed on reducing the intake of SFA (considered to be associated with increased cholesterol) and increasing the intake of omega-3 PUFA, and indeed epidemiological and clinical data support a beneficial effect of substituting SFA with PUFA, as opposed to substitution with carbohydrate (Siri-Tarino, Sun, Hu, & Krauss, 2010b). The beneficial effects of the longer chain n-3 PUFA, eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA, 20:5n-3) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA; 22:6n-3) in reducing the risk of cardiovascular disease, cancer and type-2 diabetes, and their critical roles for proper brain function, visual development in the foetus and for maintenance of neural and visual tissues throughout life are well recognised(Barceló-Coblijn & Murphy, 2009; Lopez-Huertas, 2010; Russo, 2009; Simopoulos, 1991).

Intramuscular fat in muscle of mature beef consists proportionally on average of 0.45 - 0.48 as SFA, 0.35 - 0.45 monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) and up to 0.05 PUFA, respectively. The polyunsaturated : saturated fatty acid (P:S) ratio for beef is typically low at around 0.1 except for very lean animals (<1% intramuscular fat) where P:S ratios are much higher ~ 0.5-0.7 (Scollan, Hocquette, et al., 2006). The *n*-6:*n*-3 ratio for beef is beneficially low (usually < 3), reflecting the significant amounts of desirable *n*-3 PUFA, particularly  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid (18:3*n*-3)but also EPA, docosapentaenoic acid (DPA; 22:5*n*-3) and DHA. Beef and other ruminant products are important dietary sources of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) of which the most prominent is *cis*-9,*trans*-11 isomer, which has been identified to contain a range of health promoting beneficial properties(Salter, 2013). Beef lipids also contain transfatty acids (TFA) of which the most dominant is *trans*-11 18:1 (vaccenic acid). There is much interest in TFA produced by ruminants (rTFA) with emphasis on potential protective effect against development of coronary heart diseases, as distinct to industrial *trans* fatty acids (iTFA) (Salter, 2013; Wang, Jacome-Sosa, & Proctor, 2012).Hence considerable effort has been devoted to improving the fatty acid composition of beef.

This paper reviews recent progress in the field including the important relationships between lipids and components of meat quality such as colour shelf life and sensory attributes. Although genetics does influence intramuscular fat deposition and fatty acid composition(Hocquette, et al., 2010), this paper is focused on the nutritional influences on muscle lipids, as it is the major contributory factor(De Smet, Raes, & Demeyer, 2004). Reference is also made to recent research in vitamin and antioxidant content of beef.

#### 2. Strategies to influence intramuscular fat deposition

Whereas intramuscular fat level is associated with juiciness, flavour, tenderness and overall liking (Jeremiah, Dugan, Aalhus, & Gibson, 2003; O'Quinn, et al., 2012), it might be

considered as prejudicial for human health since WHO recommendations to reduce fat consumption(World Health Organisation, 2003). Therefore, different strategies were developed to reduce intramuscular fat level by genetic or nutritional factors.

Certain genotypes, for example, double-muscled genotypes, have been characterised by an altered metabolic and endocrine status associated with a reduced fat mass in the carcass and an orientation of muscle metabolism towards the glycolytic type (Hocquette, et al., 2010). Similarly, a high muscle growth potential induced by genetic selection is associated with a reduced fat mass in the carcass and a switch of muscle fibres towards the glycolytic type with less intramuscular fat level (Hocquette, Cassar-Malek, et al., 2012). However, from studies on differential expression of genes associated with muscle growth, it seems that genes involved in muscle mass development probably differ from those implicated in the control of fat deposition (Bernard, Cassar-Malek, Renand, & Hocquette, 2009)suggesting that the biological mechanisms governing muscle growth and fat deposition are different. Other authors consider that intramuscular fat deposition is closely linked to muscle growth since both processes are physiologically in competition for nutrient use(Pethick, Barendse, Hocquette, Thompson, & Wang, 2007; Pethick, Harper, & Oddy, 2004). Indeed, intramuscular fat is deposited at a lower rate than muscle growth during the first periods of postnatal life when average daily gain is the highest. On the other hand, intramuscular fat is deposited at a greater rate than muscle growth rate when average daily gain of animals is reduced, i.e. when animals get older. In this period (corresponding to the finishing period), intramuscular fat level inevitably increases since less nutrients are used for muscle growth (reviews from (Pethick, et al., 2007; Pethick, et al., 2004)).

Concerning the nutritional control of fat deposition, *de novo* synthesis of fatty acids in intramuscular adipocytes probably occurs mainly from glucose and less from acetate, as in other fat tissues of the carcass (reviewed by (Smith, et al., 2009)). Therefore, it has been

hypothesized that diets that promote glucose supply to the muscle might increase intramuscular fat deposition, while limiting fat deposition in external fat tissues of the carcass. A higher glucose supply to muscles may be achieved by maximising fermentation in the rumen to produce gluconeogenic precursors (propionate) or by increasing starch digestion (releasing glucose) in the small intestine. One way to achieve this is a high level of food processing in order to maximise the accessibility of dietary starch during digestion (Rowe, Choct, & Pethick, 1999). In terms of biological mechanisms, not only may higher glucose delivery to intramuscular adipocytes be important, but also the increased levels of circulating insulin, due to a higher glucose supply which is known to stimulate lipogenesis. All these mechanisms may explain why grain feeding promotes more intramuscular fat deposition than grass finishing (reviewed by (Pethick, et al., 2004)).

#### **3.** Strategies to influence the fatty acid composition of beef

It is generally acknowledged that genetic factors have a smaller influence than dietary factors on the fatty acid composition of beef (De Smet, et al., 2004). Nevertheless, even though breed differences are generally small they do reflect differences in underlying gene expression or activities of enzymes involved in fatty acid synthesis, and therefore warrant consideration. For example, stearoyl CoA desaturase (delta-9-desaturase) mRNA expression level was related to MUFA percentage in Holstein Japanese Black cattle and a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in Japanese Black cattle which contributed to higher MUFA percentage and lower melting point in intramuscular fat has been described(Taniguchi, Mannen, et al., 2004; Taniguchi, Utsugi, et al., 2004). Advances in technology and knowledge of the bovine genome have resulted in the identification of several SNP related to fatty acid metabolism in the bovine and the potential for targeted selection of animals with a

particular fatty acid phenotype is increasing (for detailed discussion see (Shingfield, et al., 2013)).

As discussed by Scollan et al.(Scollan, Hocquette, et al., 2006), the content of SFA and MUFA increase faster than the content of PUFA with increasing fatness and so the relative proportion of PUFA and the P:S ratio decrease. Hence lean and late maturing breeds will have a higher P:S ratio than earlymaturing breeds when slaughtered at the same carcass weight (Raes, de Smet, & Demeyer, 2001).

The potential to alter the fatty acid composition of bovine muscle by nutrition is determined to a large extent by ruminal biohydrogenation of dietary lipids. Durand and coworkers (Durand, Scislowski, Gruffat, Chilliard, & Bauchart, 2005)demonstrated the ability to markedly increase the concentration of n-3 PUFA in beef muscle when 18:3n-3 (as linseed oil was) infused directly into the small intestine, thereby by-passing the rumen. This strategy increased the concentration of 18:3n-3 in total lipid from 26.3 to 176.5 mg/100 g muscle. More recently, Fortin et al. (Fortin, et al., 2010)reported that abomasal infusion of fish oil (40 g/kg dry matter intake) increased the concentration of EPA in muscle phospholipids from 4.4 in the control animals to 13.9 g/100g in the infused animals. The corresponding data for DHA were 0.69 and 3.9 g/100g. The on-going challenge is to achieve these levels of enrichment by dietary means without decreasing meat shelf-life (see below). Such changes in fatty acid composition could possibly alter flavour, but this could be an opportunity to create new markets as well as a challenge to existing markets. Subsequent to the review of Scollan et al.(Scollan, Hocquette, et al., 2006), the impact of altering the composition of the ration for cattle per se on the fatty acid composition of muscle has been further reviewed (Nuernberg, 2009; Palmquist, 2009; Shingfield, et al., 2013). This review will focus on very recent reports on this topic and typical responses are noted in Table 1.

#### 4. Forages and the fatty acid composition of beef

Forages such as grass and clover contain a high proportion (50-75%) of their total fatty acids as  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid (Dewhurst, Shingfield, Lee, & Scollan, 2006), which is the building block of the *n*-3 series of essential fatty acids and elongation and desaturation of  $\alpha$ linolenic acid results in the synthesis of EPA and DHA. In temperate climates, grass, either grazed or conserved, is usually the cheapest form of cattle feed. In addition, concerns about the long term sustainability of sources of long chain n-3 PUFA such as fish oil in particular, have provided an impetus for examining more sustainable sources of these essential fatty acids, such as forage. In addition to the above reviews, the impact of inclusion of pasture specifically in the ration of beef cattle on the fatty acid composition of beef has been reviewed (Daley, Abbott, Doyle, Nader, & Larson, 2010; Moloney, Fievez, Martin, Nute, & Richardson, 2008; Morgan, Huws, & Scollan, 2012). The findings of the large number of studies now available are generally consistent. Thus, feeding fresh grass compared to concentrates, results in higher concentrations of n-3 PUFA in muscle lipids, both in the triacylglycerol and phospholipid fractions. Argentine beef was reported to contain 15 and 4 mg EPA/100g and 12 and 6 mg DHA/100g beef for pasture and feedlot beef, respectively (Garcia, et al., 2008), while beef from the United States was reported to contain 8 and 4 mg EPA/100g and 1.49 and 1.46 mg DHA/100g, for pasture and concentrate-fed steers, respectively (Leheska, et al., 2008). Feeding steers concentrates for 2 months prior to slaughter subsequent to grazing, decreased the proportion of n-3 PUFA (and increased the proportion of n-6 PUFA) in muscle (Aldai, et al., 2011). Feeding forage compared to concentrates during the finishing period is frequently associated with a decrease in the concentration of SFA and an increase in the concentration of MUFA in muscle (Shingfield, et al., 2013). This conclusion needs to be interpreted with caution given the earlier comments about the effect of fatness per se on the fatty acid composition of beef, and since cattle

finished on concentrates *ad libitum* are generally fatter than similar animals finished on forage-based diets when slaughtered at the same time.

With regard to the type of forage, the fatty acid composition of muscle from cattle that grazed alfalfa, pearl millet or a mixed pasture of bluegrass, orchardgrass, tall fescue and white clover before slaughter was largely similar but the concentration of 18:3*n*-3 was highest for steers grazing alfalfa (Duckett, Neel, Lewis, Fontenot, & Clapham, 2013)(Table 1). A similar finding was reported by Moloney et al. (Moloney, McGilloway, & French, 2007) for steers grazing a white clover-rich pasture compared to a perennial ryegrass pasture before slaughter. In contrast, Dierking et al. (Dierking, Kallenbach, & Grun, 2010) observed no difference in the fatty acid composition of muscle from steers that grazed tall fescue, tall fescue/red clover-rich pasture or alfalfa before slaughter. There is increasing interest in cattle production from botanically diverse pastures but there is a paucity of information on the fatty acid composition of beef produced from such pastures. Fraser et al. (Fraser, et al., 2007) reported that inclusion of a period of grazing a *molina caerulea*(purple moor grass) dominated semi-natural pasture increased the proportion of n-3 PUFA in muscle lipids. A review by Moloney et al. (Moloney, et al., 2008) considered studies that compared grazing of a rvegrass pasture with unimproved saltmarsh pasture (Whittington, Dunn, Nute, Richardson, & Wood, 2006), grazing of ryegrass pasture with a botanically diverse pasture (Lourenco, Van Ranst, De Smet, Raes, & Fievez, 2007), grazing of a lowland pasture with a mountain pasture (Adnoy, et al., 2005), and indoor feeding of ryegrass silage with botanically diverse silage from natural, unfertilised grassland (Lourenco, De Smet, Raes, & Fievez, 2007). In this review, a general tendency for an increase in *n*-3 and total PUFA proportions in intramuscular fat was observed for the botanical diverse pastures compared to the perennial ryegrass/lowland pastures. For a comprehensive review of this topic the reader is referred to Lourenço et al. (Lourenco, Van Ranst, Vlaeminck, De Smet, & Fievez, 2008).

	Sex	Total	14:0	16:0	18:0	18:1 <i>n</i> -9	18:2 <i>n</i> -6	18:3 <i>n</i> -3	20:5 <i>n</i> -3	22:5 <i>n</i> -3	3 22:6 <i>n</i> -3	Reference
						Forag	je					
							X					-
Pasture	Bulls	547	6.0	158	159	189	145.5	34.7	10.2	13.0	0.9	(Aldai, et al., 2011)
1-month concentrate after pasture	Bulls	813	10.9	184	147	202	130.5	22.1	9.2	11.5	0.7	
2-month concentrate after pasture	Bulls	1055	13.6	210	153	232	113.0	13.4	7.3	8.9	0.8	
						6						
Mixed pasture	Steers	2150	23.6	250	170	328	25.9	11.7	5.4	8.5	0.9	(Duckett, et al., 2013)
Alfalfa	Steers	2060	25.3	257	168	323	28.5	13.2	6.0	9.1	1.0	
Red clover silage	Steers	2250	24.0	256	167	339	22.7	19.6	4.9	7.6	0.7	
-												
						Oil supplem	entation					_
Grass silage	Steers	3179	NR	845	425	1123	47	29	17	NR	3.3	(Kim, Richardson,
					~							Gibson, & Scollan,
	<b>C</b> .	1000	ND	1107	576	1070	50	21	16	ND	2.2	2011)
Grass silage + echium oil (low)	Steers	4090	NR	1127	5/6	1378	52	31	16	NR	3.3	
Grass sliage + echium oli (nigh)	Steers	4075	NK	1108	541	1358	54	32	15	NK	2.7	
Grass siles + linger oil (high	Stoors	2295	ND	800	171	1117	50	21	17	ND	2 /	
Grass shage + miseed on (high	Steers	3303	INK	090	4/4	111/	50	51	17	INK	5.4	
Hav	Steers	5680	27.5	266	113	380	26.0	51	24	44	NA	(Nassu et al. 2011)
Hay $+$ linseed	Steers	5875	27.8	234	117	347	20.0	12.2	2.7	4.0	NA	(190350, 60 01., 2011)
Barley silage	Steers	6772	27.5	260	114	406	21.0	3.1	1.3	3.0	NA	
Barley silage + linseed	Steers	6413	28.0	236	119	386	21.2	10.6	2.3	3.6	NA	
					-							
						Ruminall	y protected	l oils				
							• •					(Kim, Richardson,
Grass silage	Steers	2551	NR	665	325	880	56.7	26.9	14.3	NR	2.4	Lee, Gibson, &
-												Scollan, $2010$ ) <sup>1</sup>
Grass silage + plant extract (low)	Steers	2501	NR	623	332	850	70.2	38.3	16.1	NR	2.3	
Grass silage + plant extract (high)	Steers	2433	NR	596	339	794	72.7	41.3	18.3	NR	2.8	
Control	Heifers	2870 <sup>†</sup>	86.6	730	283	937	80.4	13.3	13.0	NR	3.4	$(Dunne, et al., 2011)^1$

Table 1. Effect of forage type, oil supplementation and ruminally protected lipid supplements on the total fatty acids (mg/100g muscle) and the fatty acid composition of beef muscle (g/kg fatty acids)

Protected fish oil (275 g/d)	Heifers	$3890^{\dagger}$	132	953	388	1083	82.0	27.9	52.3	NR	15.4
<sup>1</sup> Individual fatty acids mg/100g muscle; <sup>†</sup>	intramuscul	ar fat che	mically	/ determ	ined; NR=	= not report	ed				
							<b>N</b>				
							2 -				
						C					
						$\sim$					
						$\overline{}$					
					~						
					7						
				$\mathbf{O}$							
		C	Ų.								
			)								
		V	7								

Generally levels of n-3 PUFA are higher in muscle from cattle fed on fresh compared to conserved grass, and increase with the amount of pasture consumed and the length of time on pasture (Scollan, Costa, et al., 2006). Replacing grass silage with a mixture of grass and red clover silage increased the deposition of n-3 PUFA in muscle of finishing cattle but this did not result in an increase in EPA and DHA (Lee, Evans, Nute, Richardson, & Scollan, 2009), while replacing grass silage with either whole crop wheat silage or maize silage decreased the deposition of n-3 PUFA in muscle from finishing cattle (Moloney, Mooney, Kerry, Stanton, & O'Kiely, 2013).

#### 5. Supplementary lipids and the fatty acid composition of beef

#### 5.1. Unprotected lipids

The main sources of supplementary fatty acids in ruminant rations are plant oils, oilseeds, fish oil, marine algae and fat supplements (Woods & Fearon, 2009). Since dietary inclusion of fatty acids must be restricted (to 60 g/kg dry matter consumed, approx.) to avoid impairment of rumen function, the capacity to manipulate the fatty acid composition by use of ruminally-available fatty acids is limited. Despite ruminal biohydrogenation, a proportion of dietary PUFA bypasses the rumen intact and is absorbed and deposited in body fat (Shingfield, et al., 2013). The effect of dietary supplementation with plant oils has also been recently reviewed by Ladiera and co-workers(Ladeira, Machado Neto, Chizzotti, Oliveira, & Chalfun Junior, 2012). In general, supplementation with linseed/linseed oil or flaxseed (rich in 18:3n-3) can increase the concentration of 18:3n-3 in tissue with an associated desirable decrease in the *n*-6:*n*-3 PUFA. Similarly, sunflower seed or sunflower oil (rich in 18:2n-6) can increase the concentration of 18:3n-3 generally also increases the concentration of 18:3n-3 generally also increases the concentration of DHA in some (Herdmann, Martin, Nuernberg,

Dannenberger, & Nuernberg, 2010) but not all (e.g. (Corazzin, Bovolenta, Sepulcri, & Piasentier, 2013; Juarez, et al., 2011)) studies. Kim et al. (Kim, et al., 2011)found that supplementation of grass silage with echium oil, a source of stearidonic acid (18:4*n*-3) did not increase the concentrations of EFA and DHA in bovine muscle. There seems to be little evidence of a basal forage by supplementary PUFA interaction with regard to the concentrations of *n*-3 PUFA in muscle lipids (eg. (Nassu, et al., 2011), Table 1). Supplementation with PUFA generally causes a modest but statistically significant decrease in SFA proportion and in particular the C16:0 proportion of intramuscular lipids (Moloney, 2011).

Dietary inclusion of fish oil (rich in both the long-chain n-3 PUFA) can increase their concentration in beef and the increase is dependent on the level of dietary inclusion (Noci, Monahan, Scollan, & Moloney, 2007; Scollan, et al., 2001). Muscle from cattle fed fish oil does not generally reach the concentrations defined by EFSA (European Food Safety Authority, 2009) to permit labelling as a "source" of n-3 PUFA (see final conclusion section). While the supplementation strategies described above can cause sizeable changes in the n-6:n-3 PUFA ratio, they generally do not increase the P:S ratio in the meat above the 0.1-0.15 normally observed.

#### 5.2. Protected lipids

For practical exploitation of the capacity of muscle to deposit *n*-3 PUFA, methods to protect dietary lipids from ruminal degradation are under on-going investigation. A variety of procedures have been explored including the use of intact oilseeds, heat/chemical treatment of intact/processed oilseeds, chemical treatment of oils to form calcium soaps or amides, emulsification/encapsulation of oils with protein and subsequent chemical protection (Gulati,

Garg, & Scott, 2005). Physical treatment methods do not greatly change the proportional loss of dietary PUFA but can increase the total amount of PUFA escaping from the rumen when cattle are fed PUFA-supplemented rations (Jenkins & Bridges, 2007). Using the latter technology above, Scollan and co-workers(Scollan, et al., 2004)showed that a protected plant oil supplement with *n*-6: *n*-3 PUFA ratio of 1:1 decreased the *n*-6: *n*-3 PUFA ratio in muscle (from 3.59 to 1.88) while maintaining the high P: S ratio. No effect was observed on the concentration of DHA. Ruminal protection of fish oil using this technology however, increased the concentration of EPA and DHA in tissue but had little effect on the P: S ratio and improved the *n*-6:*n*-3 PUFA ratio only at the highest level fed (Richardson, Hallett, et al., 2004).This may reflect the inclusion of 100 g unprotected fish oil in all treatments. Moloney et al. (Moloney, Shingfield, & Dunne, 2011)reported that long term (17 months) supplementation of beef cattle with a similar product increased the proportion of EPA and DHA in muscle phospholipids from 2.51 and 0.45 to 8.89 and 2.79 g/100g fatty acids, respectively, compared to an unsupplemented group.

While this technology seems the most effective protection strategy to date, it has not been used on a commercial scale and involves formaldehyde, the use of which may not be permitted by some regulatory authorities. Development of alternative protection technologies is continuing. Hence, fish oil encapsulated in a pH sensitive matrix which remained intact at rumen pH but which was broken down at the lower pH in the abomasum thereby releasing the fish oil for digestion, was used by Dunne et al. (Dunne, et al., 2011). This strategy also achieved a 3-fold increase in EPA but a smaller (2-fold) increase in DHA in comparison to the 3.5-fold increases observed by Richardson et al. (Richardson, Hallett, et al., 2004), probably because the fish oil contained relatively less DHA. Recent reports on the efficacy of a whey protein gel complex to ruminally protect PUFA is also encouraging in this regard (Carroll, DePeters, & Rosenberg, 2006; van Vuuren, van Wikselaar, van Riel, Klop,

&Bastiaans, 2010). Similarly, Kronberg et al. (Kronberg, et al., 2013)reported that a supplement of flaxseed treated with a proprietary and formaldehyde-free process increased muscle 18:3*n*-3 and EPA proportion in muscle from forage-fed lambs compared to similar lambs fed a supplement of untreated flaxseed. This finding was not confirmed when the supplement was fed to cattle offered a high concentrate ration, which most likely reflects the different basal ration in both studies rather than the protection itself. Oliveira et al.(Oliveira, et al., 2012) reported an increase in 18:2n-6 in bovine muscle when soyabean oil was replaced by a commercial product based on calcium salts of soyabean oil. An experimental version of this process applied to linseed oil however, did not protect 18:3n-3from ruminal biohydrogenation(Oliveira, et al., 2012). Noci et al. (Noci, Monahan, & Moloney, 2011) reported that forming an amide derivative of camelina oil (a mixture of 18:2n-6and 18:3*n*-3) increased the concentration of both fatty acids in lamb muscle compared to muscle from lambs offered camelina oil but this technology has not been evaluated in cattle. Kim et al. (Kim, et al., 2010)recently reported that supplementing grass silage-fed cattle with a lipidrich plant extract did not enhance the concentration of 18:3n-3, EPA and DHA in muscle (Table 1) indicating that the preparation of this extract did not result in ruminal protection.

As discussed previously, the long-chain n-3 PUFA are incorporated mainly into membrane phospholipids and are not incorporated into triacylglycerols to any important extent in ruminants. This provides the opportunity to manipulate intramuscular fatty acid composition of ruminant meat without large increases in fatness *per se*. Since the concentrations of EPA and DHA in fish oil are dependent on the species of fish and represent, at most, 25% of fish oil fatty acids, with the rest often being rich in SFA (Givens, et al., 2000), a prudent future strategy would be to concentrate these fatty acids prior to ruminal protection. An alternative approach is to use algae that are enriched in long-chain n-3 PUFA during culture. The recent report by Angulo et al. (Angulo, et al., 2012) of a marked

enrichment in the proportion of DHA in muscle lipids from lactating cows supplemented with DHA-rich algae (0.06 vs. 0.3 g/100g fatty acids for a saturated fat and a linseed/DHA-algae supplemented, respectively) merit further study.

### 5.3. Conjugated linoleic- and trans-18:1 fatty acid isomers

Biohydrogenation of dietary PUFA by the rumen microbial system results in a broad range of intermediates being formed, such as monounsaturated cis- and trans- fatty acids (cisand trans-18:1) and CLA isomers. Also, conjugated linolenic acids (CLnA) biosynthesized in the rumen were reported to have anti-obesity and anti-carcinogenic effects(Buccioni, Decandia, Minieri, Molle, & Cabiddu, 2012; Koba, et al., 2007). Understanding the mechanisms underlying the biosynthesis of single CLA and trans-18:1 isomers in the rumen is important because the ruminal outflow affects the availability of these bioactive fatty acid isomers for incorporation and *de novo* biosynthesis in different ruminant adipose tissues (Chilliard, et al., 2007; Shen, Dannenberger, Nuernberg, Nuernberg, & Zhao, 2011; Shingfield, et al., 2013). The main CLA isomer in ruminant muscle is cis-9,trans-11 CLA which accounts for more than 80% of the total CLA while trans-10, cis-12 CLA comprises 3-5% of the total CLA. However the occurrence of these bioactive fatty acid isomers is also diet dependent (Dannenberger, et al., 2005; Mapiye, et al., 2013). To date, animal and human studies have indicated that two CLA isomers, cis-9,trans-11 CLA and trans-10,cis-12 CLA, show biological activity including prevention of different types of cancer, cardiovascular health, decreasing body fat, and improved immune response(Dilzer & Park, 2012; Mitchell, Karakach, Currie, & McLeod, 2012). These effects were predominantly observed in animal models, but were inconsistent in human studies (Dilzer & Park, 2012; Mitchell, et al., 2012). There is much evidence that the physiological properties of CLA are isomer specific. Interest in the potential of CLAs to reduce chronic diseases is still in the early phase, and there are

more questions than ever to be answered, particularly regarding mechanisms and safety concerns (Dilzer & Park, 2012).

				CLA isomers	;					
Breed	Sex	Diet	Unit	tr-11,tr-13	tr-9,tr-11	tr-11,c-13	tr-10,c-12	<i>c</i> -9, <i>tr</i> -11	tr-7,c-9	References
British x Continental	Steers	Barley-based, Vitamin E 340 IU	% FAME	*	*	0.01	0.012	0.25	0.084	(Mapiye, et al., 2012)
British x Continental	Steers	Barley-based, Vitamin E 690 IU	% FAME	*	*	0.01	0.013	0.25	0.093	·
British x Continental	Steers	Barley-based, Vitamin E 1040 IU	% FAME	*	*	0.009	0.011	0.25	0.089	
British x Continental	Steers	Barley-based, Vitamin E 1740 IU	% FAME	*	6	0.009	0.012	0.27	0.081	
British x Continental	Steers	Red clover silage	% FAME	0.02	0.06	0.03	0.002	0.32	0.03	(Mapiye, et al., 2013)
British x Continental	Steers	Red clover silage with flaxseed	% FAME	0.10	0.22	0.33	0.002	1.41	0.08	
Limousin x Charolais	Bulls	Pasture-based, late spring	% FAME	0.030	0.024	0.038	0.007	0.361	0.013	(Pestana, Costa, Martins, et al.,
Limousin x Charolais	Bulls	Pasture-based, early autumn	% FAME	0.020	0.019	0.029	0.011	0.332	0.026	2012)
Mirandesa purebred	Calves	Barley-based, spring	% CLA	2.24	4.50	2.16	1.67	74.4	6.42	(Pestana, Costa, Alves, et al.,
Mirandesa purebred	Calves	Barley-based, autumn	% CLA	1.83	4.02	1.77	1.80	74.5	7.54	2012)
German Holstein	Bulls	Maize silage, <i>n</i> -6 concentrate	mg/100g	0.10	0.13	0.16	0.25	4.0	0.95	(Dannenberger, et al. 2013)
German Holstein	Bulls	Grass silage, <i>n</i> -3 concentrate	mg/100g	0.35	0.15	0.58	0.17	4.2	0.80	ot all, 2013)
Asturiana	Bulls	Pasture	% FAME	0.026	0.009	0.037	0.002	0.306	0.018	(Aldai, et al., 2011)
Asturiana	Bulls	Pasture, 1 month concentrate	% FAME	0.023	0.010	0.016	0.028	0.249	0.029	2011)
Asturiana	Bulls	Pasture, 2 month concentrate	% FAME	0.017	0.010	0.022	0.019	0.302	0.038	
Asturiana	Bulls	AV mh/mh	% FAME	0.007	0.019	0.003	0.035	0.097	0.065	(Aldai, Dugan, Juarez, Martinez, & Osoro, 2010)
Asturiana	Bulls	AV $mh/+$	% FAME	0.007	0.011	0.005	0.034	0.178	0.079	a 05010, 2010)
Asturiana	Bulls	AV +/+	% FAME	0.008	0.017	0.004	0.036	0.125	0.078	
Alentejano	Bulls	Feedlot	% CLA	1.19	2.54	1.76	0.22	81.3	8.37	(Alfaia, et al., 2009)

Table 2. Effect of diet on selected CLA isomers composition of beef muscle.

Alentejano Alentejano Alentejano	Bulls Bulls Bulls	Pasture, finishing 4 month Pasture, finishing 2 month Pasture	% CLA % CLA % CLA	5.57 8.94 15.8	2.82 3.12 3.31	4.13 6.28 7.37	0.15 0.16 0.04	76.4 68.3 61.9	5.47 6.22 2.79	
rientejano	Duns	i usture	Nº CLIT	15.6	5.51	1.51	0.04	01.9	2.19	
Angus	Bulls	Natural grazing	% CLA	3.70	1.95	8.27	1.07	74.3	4.27	(Kraft, Kramer, Schoene, Chambers, & Jahreis, 2008)
Scottish Highland	Bulls	Natural grazing	% CLA	4.03	2.72	6.95	1.36	76.0	4.51	
Limousin	Bulls	Intensive production indoor	% CLA	2.38	2.40	3.67	1.94	72.8	9.93	
Simmental	Bulls	Intensive production indoor	% CLA	1.72	2.17	1.74	2.86	70.8	11.38	
German Holstein	Bulls	Maize silage, <i>n</i> -6 concentrate	mg/100g	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	11.7	1.4	(Dannenberger, et al., 2005)
German Holstein	Bulls	Pasture, 160 d, n-3 concentrate	mg/100g	0.8	0.4	2.9	0.4	14.4	1.6	
German Simmental	Bulls	Maize silage, n-6 concentrate	mg/100g	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	6.5	0.7	
German Simmental	Bulls	Pasture, 160 d, n-3 concentrate	mg/100g	0.2	0.1	1.0	0.2	8.0	0.5	

\*Not reported

oncentrate mg/100g

The CLA isomer and *trans*-18:1 fatty acid concentration in beef adipose and muscle tissues may be affected by factors such as diet, species, fatness, age/weight, fat depot site, gender, breed, and season (Tables 2 and 3). Research on mechanisms of ruminal biohydrogenation of linoleic acid or linolenic acid haves focused largely on milk fat synthesis in dairy cows (Harvatine, Boisclair, & Bauman, 2009; Shingfield, et al., 2013). Investigations of the mechanisms of CLA and trans-18:1 fatty acid isomer formation and deposition in other adipose tissues have been only sparsely described. Strategies to increase the main CLA isomer, cis-9,trans-11 CLA, in beef adipose tissues include pasture- and grass silage-based diets with or without dietary supplements of linseed/linseed oil, rapeseed oil/cakes containing elevated levels of 18:3n-3, fish oil or marine algae (Table 2). CLA isomer patterns in beef muscle are affected by both diet and, if used, the type of supplement. Pasture-based diets (rich in 18:3n-3) with/without supplements containing linseed/rapeseed cake or oil result in higher muscle concentrations of trans, trans CLA isomers (mainly trans-11,trans-13; trans-12,trans-14;trans-9,trans-11) and trans-11,cis-13 CLA (Alfaia, et al., 2009; Dannenberger, et al., 2005). In contrast, n-6 PUFA-based diets (lipids rich in 18:2n-6 like grains, maize silage) led to higher muscle concentrations of trans-10, cis-12 CLA; trans-7, cis-9 CLA, trans-8, cis-10 CLA (Table 2; (Shingfield, et al., 2013)). In most dietary studies, the main CLA isomer cis-9,trans-11 CLA concentration/proportion was not affected or was slightly decreased by pasture feeding with/without supplements (i.e. diets rich in 18:3*n*-3). The highest reported cis-9, trans-11CLA (including trans-7, cis-9 CLA) concentration of 134 mg/100g muscle was measured in muscle of Wagyu steers fed a high barley diet supplemented with sunflower oil (6% of dry matter) (Mir, et al., 2002).

Dietary *trans*-monoenoic fatty acids (*trans*-fat, TFA) have been given increasing attention over the last 10 years. Recent research on rTFA has revealed a protective effect against the development of coronary heart diseases, which is in contrast to the detrimental

effects from iTFA (Gebauer, et al., 2011; Salter, 2013; Wang, et al., 2012). However, the duration and daily amount of dietary rTFA consumption required to cause significant effects on human health are still unclear (Wang, et al., 2012). Ruminant trans-18:1 fatty acid isomers are quantitatively the most important TFA in beef muscle. However, comparable to the CLA isomer pattern in beef, the rTFA pattern is highly dependent upon the feeding system used (Table3). It seems that there are isomer-specific effects of trans-18:1 fatty acid isomers for human health(Salter, 2013; Wang, et al., 2012). Elucidation of these effects require considerable analytical effort for the determination and quantification of all single trans-18:1 fatty acid isomers in beef. Vaccenic acid (VA, 18:1trans-11) is the most abundant trans-18:1 fatty acid isomers in beef of pasture-based fed cattle; however barley-based diets of British x Continental crossbred steers results in higher concentrations of 18:1trans-10 compared to VA and replaced VA as the major isomer in beef muscle (Mapiye, et al., 2012). Also the muscle from feedlot-fed bulls, intensive indoor-fed Limousin bulls and Normand cull cows had higher 18:1trans-10 compared to VA contents (Alfaia, et al., 2009; Bauchart, et al., 2010; Kraft, et al., 2008). Current knowledge suggests, 18:1*trans*-10 is one of 'potentially negative TFA isomers' with regard to human health (Wang, et al., 2012). Feeding forages supplemented with linseed- or sunflower oil and algae result in elevated VA level, but also higher 18:1trans-9 and 18:1trans-10 isomer contents in muscle of German Holstein cows (Angulo, et al., 2012). Pasture- and grass silage-based diets alter the trans-18:1 fatty acid isomer pattern and concentrations and results in a specific decrease of 18:1*trans*-6/7/8, 18:1trans-9 and 18:1trans-10, and a specific enrichment of 18:1trans-13/14 and 18:1trans-16 compared to maize silage based diets (Aldai, et al., 2011; Dannenberger, et al., 2004). However, the mechanisms of changes in *trans*-18:1 fatty acid isomer pattern and regulation of isomer distribution in beef muscle and other adipose tissues remain unclear.

Breed         Sex         Diet         Unit $tr-67/8$ $tr-9$ $tr-10$ $tr-11$ $tr-13/14$ $tr-16$ References           British x Continental British x Continental Steers         Steers, back, Vitamin E 400 IU         % FAME         0.136         0.215         1.42         0.386         0.208         0.068         (Mapiye, et al., 2012)           British x Continental Steers         Steers, back, Vitamin E 1040 IU         % FAME         0.147         0.215         1.44         0.420         0.202         0.070           British x Continental Steers         Barley-based, Vitamin E 1040 IU         % FAME         0.116         0.200         1.32         0.369         0.202         0.070           British x Continental Steers         Red clover silage         % FAME         0.10         0.19         0.20         1.11         0.37         0.18         (Mapiye, et al., 2013)           British x Continental Steers         Red clover silage         % FAME         0.10         0.16         0.51         6.37         1.40         0.50           Limousin x Charolais         Bulls         Pasture-based, late spring         % FAME         0.11         0.16         0.14         0.65         *         *         (Pestana, Costa, Alves, et al., 2012) <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>4</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>							4				
Deed         Sex         Det         Ond         P-10/78         P-2         P-10	Dread	Corr	Dist	Unit	4m 6/7/0	<i>tu</i> 0	10 frans18:1 180	tri 11	411 12/14	4. 16	Deferences
British x Continental British x Continental Steers       Steers Barley-based, Vitamin E 540 IU       % FAME       0.135       0.215       1.42       0.386       0.008       (Maplye, et al., 2012)         British x Continental Steers       Barley-based, Vitamin E 1040 IU       % FAME       0.139       0.204       1.30       0.368       0.198       0.070         British x Continental Steers       Barley-based, Vitamin E 1040 IU       % FAME       0.116       0.200       1.32       0.369       0.202       0.070         British x Continental Steers       Red clover silage       % FAME       0.116       0.200       1.32       0.369       0.202       0.070         British x Continental Steers       Red clover silage with flaxseed       % FAME       0.10       0.19       0.20       1.11       0.37       0.18       (Mapiye, et al., 2013)         British x Continental Steers       Red clover silage with flaxseed       % FAME       0.10       0.19       0.20       1.11       0.37       1.8       (Mapiye, et al., 2012)         Limousin x Charolais       Bulls       Pasture-based, late spring       % FAME       0.10       0.16       0.14       0.65       *       *       (Pestana, Costa, Alves, et al., 2012)         Mirandesa purebred       Calves       Barley-based,		Sex			0.126	<i>lr-9</i>	1.42	0.296	0.209	<i>lr</i> -10	(M in the 2012)
British X Continential British X Continential British X Continential Steers British X Continential British X Continential Steers British X Continential British X Continential Steers British X Continential British X Continential British X Continential British X Continential Bulls Pasture-based, late spring Bulls Pasture-based, late spring Pasture-based, late spring Pasture-based, late spring W FAME 	British x Continental	Steers	Barley-based, Vitamin E 340 IU	% FAME	0.136	0.215	1.42	0.386	0.208	0.068	(Mapiye, et al., 2012)
British x Continential British x Continential SteersSteers Barley-based, Vitamin E 1040 IU British x Continential SteersBritish x Continential British x Continential SteersSteers Red clover silage Red clover silage % FAME0.116 0.100.200 0.401.32 0.3690.368 0.2020.070 0.070British x Continential British x Continential British x Continential British x ContinentialSteers Red clover silage Red clover silage with flaxseed % FAME% FAME 0.100.10 0.19 0.400.201 0.201.11 0.370.18 0.37(Mapiye, et al., 2013)Imousin x Charolais Limousin x Charolais BullsBulls Pasture-based, late spring Barley-based, spring Barley-based, spring German Holstein German Holstein German Holstein German HolsteinCalves Forage, protected saturated fat Forage, sunflower oil + algae % FAME% FAME % FAME0.017 0.150.16 0.14 0.160.40 0.51% 6.37*(Pestana, Costa, Martins, et al., 2012)German Holstein German Holstein German HolsteinCows Forage, sunflower oil + algae Forage, sunflower oil + algae % FAME% FAME % FAME0.07 0.050.15 0.20 0.200.60 0.40**(Angulo, et al., 2012)German Holstein German HolsteinCows Forage, sunflower oil + algae W FAME% FAME % FAME0.05 0.200.20 0.200.60 0.30**(Angulo, et al., 2013)Asturiana BullsBulls BullsPasture, 1 month concentrate % FAME% FAME % FAME0.075 0.0450.148<	British x Continental	Steers	Barley-based, Vitamin E 690 IU	% FAME	0.147	0.215	1.44	0.420	0.205	0.065	
British x ContinentalSteersBarley-based, Vitamin E 1/40 IU% FAME0.1160.2001.320.3690.2020.070British x ContinentalSteersRed clover silage% FAME0.100.190.201.110.370.18(Mapiye, et al., 2013)British x ContinentalSteersRed clover silage with flaxseed% FAME0.100.190.201.110.370.18(Mapiye, et al., 2013)Limousin x CharolaisBullsPasture-based, late spring% FAME0.110.100.0891.64*0.20 <sup>3</sup> (Pestana, Costa, Martins, et al., 2012)Mirandesa purebredCalvesBarley-based, spring% FAME0.110.160.140.65**(Pestana, Costa, Alves, et al., 2012)Mirandesa purebredCalvesBarley-based, autumn% FAME0.070.150.200.60**(Pestana, Costa, Alves, et al., 2012)German HolsteinCowsForage, protected saturated fat% FAME0.070.150.200.60**(Angulo, et al., 2012)German HolsteinCowsForage, sunflower oil + algae% FAME0.050.200.401.90**German HolsteinBullsMaize silage, n-6 concentrate% all isomers3.557.9719.337.315.45.92(Dannenberger, et al., 2013)AsturianaBullsPasture, 1 month concentrate% FAME0.0750.1480.2912.4100.3600.133	British x Continental	Steers	Barley-based, Vitamin E 1040 IU	% FAME	0.139	0.204	1.30	0.368	0.198	0.070	
British x Continental British x ContinentalSteers Red clover silage with flaxseed% FAME % FAME0.10 0.360.19 0.510.20 0.511.11 6.370.37 0.500.18 0.50(Mapiye, et al., 2013)Limousin x Charolais Limousin x CharolaisBullsPasture-based, late spring Pasture-based, early autumn% FAME % FAME0.11 0.150.16 0.150.689 0.581.64 1.79*0.20* 0.18(Pestana, Costa, Martins, et al., 2012)Mirandesa purebred Mirandesa purebredCalves Barley-based, autumn% FAME % FAME0.11 0.080.16 0.180.14 0.180.65 0.88**(Pestana, Costa, Alves, et al., 2012)German Holstein German Holstein German HolsteinCows Cows Forage, protected saturated fat Cows Forage, sunflower oil + algae German Holstein% FAME % FAME0.07 0.050.15 0.200.20 0.300.60 1.10* **(Angulo, et al., 2012)German Holstein German HolsteinBulls BullsMaize silage, n-6 concentrate % all isomers % FAME3.55 2.807.97 4.5019.3 21.837.3 3.9115.4 5.92 6.4365.92 0.123(Dannenberger, et al., 2013)Asturiana AsturianaBulls BullsPasture, 1 month concentrate % FAME0.075 0.1460.148 0.208 2.8240.360 1.750.133 0.436(Aldai, et al., 2011)	British x Continental	Steers	Barley-based, Vitamin E 1740 IU	% FAME	0.116	0.200	1.32	0.369	0.202	0.070	
British x Continental British x ContinentalSteers SteersRed clover silage% FAME $0.10$ $0.19$ $0.20$ $1.11$ $0.37$ $0.18$ $0.51$ (Mapiye, et al., 2013)British x ContinentalSteersRed clover silage with flaxseed% FAME $0.36$ $0.40$ $0.51$ $6.37$ $1.40$ $0.50$ Limousin x Charolais Limousin x CharolaisBulls BullsPasture-based, late spring Barley-based, early autumn% FAME $0.11$ $0.10$ $0.089$ $1.64$ * $0.20^a$ $0.18^a$ (Pestana, Costa, Martins, et al., 2012)Mirandesa purebred Mirandesa purebred CalvesCalves Barley-based, autumn% FAME $0.11$ $0.16$ $0.14$ $0.65$ **(Pestana, Costa, Alves, et al., 2012)German Holstein German Holstein Cows Forage, sunflower oil + algae% FAME $0.07$ $0.15$ $0.20$ $0.60$ ***German Holstein German HolsteinCows Forage, sunflower oil + algae% FAME $0.07$ $0.15$ $0.20$ $0.60$ ***German Holstein German HolsteinBulls BullsMaize silage, $n-6$ concentrate % all isomers $3.55$ $7.97$ $19.3$ $37.3$ $15.4$ $5.92$ (Dannenberger, et al., 2013)Asturiana BullsBulls PastureM FAME $0.075$ $0.148$ $0.291$ $2.410$ $0.360$ $0.133$ (Aldai, et al., 2011)Asturiana BullsBulls Pasture, 1 month concentrate M EMUR% FAME $0.075$ $0.148$ </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.10</td> <td>0.40 C</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.40</td> <td></td>					0.10	0.40 C				0.40	
British x ContinentalSteersRed clover silage with flaxseed% FAME $0.36$ $0.40$ $0.51$ $6.37$ $1.40$ $0.50$ Limousin x CharolaisBullsPasture-based, late spring Pasture-based, early autumn% FAME $0.11$ $0.10$ $0.089$ $1.64$ * $0.20^{a}$ $0.18^{a}$ (Pestana, Costa, Martins, et al., 2012)Mirandesa purebred Mirandesa purebredCalvesBarley-based, spring Barley-based, autumn% FAME $0.11$ $0.16$ $0.14$ $0.65$ **(Pestana, Costa, Alves, et al., 2012)Mirandesa purebred Mirandesa purebred CalvesCalvesBarley-based, apting Barley-based, autumn% FAME $0.016$ $0.14$ $0.65$ **(Pestana, Costa, Alves, et al., 2012)German Holstein German Holstein CowsCows Forage, inseed oil + algae Cows% FAME $0.07$ $0.15$ $0.20$ $0.60$ ***German Holstein German HolsteinBulls RowsMaize silage, <i>n</i> -6 concentrate % all isomers $3.55$ $7.97$ $19.3$ $37.3$ $15.4$ $5.92$ $33.9$ (Dannenberger, et al., 2013)Asturiana AsturianaBulls BullsPasture Pasture, 1 month concentrate % FAME $\%$ FAME $0.075$ $0.148$ $0.291$ $2.410$ $0.360$ $0.133$ $0.123$ (Aldai, et al., 2011)	British x Continental	Steers	Red clover silage	% FAME	0.10	0.19	0.20	1.11	0.37	0.18	(Mapiye, et al., 2013)
Limousin x CharolaisBullsPasture-based, late spring Pasture-based, early autumn% FAME % FAME0.11 0.100.16 0.150.089 0.581.64 1.79*0.20 <sup>a</sup> %(Pestana, Costa, Martins, et al., 2012)Mirandesa purebred Mirandesa purebredCalvesBarley-based, spring Barley-based, autumn% FAME % FAME0.11 0.080.16 0.180.14 0.180.65 0.80***(Pestana, Costa, Martins, et al., 2012)German Holstein German Holstein German HolsteinCows CowsForage, protected saturated fat Forage, linseed oil + algae % FAME% FAME 0.09 0.050.07 0.050.15 0.200.60 0.30***German Holstein German HolsteinCows BullsMaize silage, n-6 concentrate % all isomers% all isomers 2.803.55 4.507.97 21.819.3 33.937.3 15.45.92 5.92(Dannenberger, et al., 2013)Asturiana AsturianaBulls BullsPasture, 1 month concentrate % FAME % FAME % ef AME % eff % eff<	British x Continental	Steers	Red clover silage with flaxseed	% FAME	0.36	0.40	0.51	6.37	1.40	0.50	
Limousin x Charolais Limousin x CharolaisBulls BullsPasture-based, late spring Pasture-based, early autumn% FAME % FAME0.11 0.100.10 0.150.089 0.581.64 1.79*0.20a % 0.18a(Pestana, Costa, Martins, et al., 2012)Mirandesa purebred Mirandesa purebred CalvesCalves Barley-based, spring Barley-based, autumn% FAME % FAME0.11 0.080.16 0.150.14 0.180.65 0.80**(Pestana, Costa, Martins, et al., 2012)German Holstein German Holstein German Holstein German HolsteinCows Forage, protected saturated fat Forage, sunflower oil + algae % FAME% FAME % FAME0.07 0.050.15 0.200.60 0.30**(Angulo, et al., 2012)German Holstein German Holstein German HolsteinBulls BullsMaize silage, n-6 concentrate % all isomers% all isomers 2.803.55 4.507.97 21.819.3 33.937.3 15.415.4 8.465.92 0.413(Dannenberger, et al., 2013)Asturiana AsturianaBulls BullsPasture Pasture, 1 month concentrate % FAME% FAME 6.0140.075 0.1480.148 0.2910.291 2.4100.360 0.4360.133 0.123(Aldai, et al., 2011)						$\sim$					
Limousin x CharolaisBullsPasture-based, early autumn% FAME $0.10$ $0.15$ $0.58$ $1.79$ * $0.18^{a}$ Mirandesa purebredCalvesBarley-based, spring Barley-based, autumn% FAME $0.11$ $0.16$ $0.14$ $0.65$ ***(Pestana, Costa, Alves, et al., 2012)German Holstein German Holstein German Holstein German HolsteinCows CowsForage, protected saturated fat Forage, linseed oil + algae % FAME% FAME $0.07$ $0.15$ $0.20$ $0.60$ ***(Angulo, et al., 2012)German Holstein German Holstein German HolsteinCows Forage, sunflower oil + algae Wait science, source of the algae with the science of the algae, n-6 concentrate Wall isomers $3.55$ $7.97$ $19.3$ $37.3$ $15.4$ $5.92$ $33.9$ (Dannenberger, et al., 2013)Asturiana AsturianaBulls BullsPasture Pasture, 1 month concentrate W FAME% FAME $ME$ $0.075$ $0.148$ $0.291$ $2.410$ $0.360$ $0.133$ $0.123$ (Aldai, et al., 2011)	Limousin x Charolais	Bulls	Pasture-based, late spring	% FAME	0.11	0.10	0.089	1.64	*	$0.20^{a}$	(Pestana, Costa, Martins, et al., 2012)
Mirandesa purebred Mirandesa purebredCalves Barley-based, spring Barley-based, autumn% FAME % FAME0.11 0.080.16 0.180.14 0.180.65 0.18* ** (Pestana, Costa, Alves, et al., 2012)German Holstein German Holstein German Holstein German HolsteinCows Cows Forage, sunflower oil + algae Bulls% FAME % FAME0.07 0.090.15 0.200.20 0.300.60 1.10* * **(Angulo, et al., 2012)German Holstein German Holstein German HolsteinMaize silage, n-6 concentrate Bulls% all isomers % all isomers3.55 2.807.97 4.5019.3 21.837.3 3.915.4 17.15.92 8.46(Dannenberger, et al., 2013)Asturiana Asturiana BullsPasture Pasture, 1 month concentrate % FAME% FAME % FAME0.075 0.1460.148 0.2910.291 2.4100.360 0.4360.133 0.123(Aldai, et al., 2011)	Limousin x Charolais	Bulls	Pasture-based, early autumn	% FAME	0.10	0.15	0.58	1.79	*	$0.18^{a}$	
Mirandesa purebred Mirandesa purebredCalves Barley-based, autumnBarley-based, spring % FAME% FAME 0.080.11 0.180.16 0.180.14 0.180.65 0.80**(Pestana, Costa, Alves, et al., 2012)German Holstein German Holstein German HolsteinCows Forage, sunflower oil + algae% FAME % FAME0.07 0.090.15 0.200.20 0.300.60 1.10**(Angulo, et al., 2012)German Holstein German HolsteinBulls BullsMaize silage, n-6 concentrate Grass silage, n-3 concentrate% all isomers % all isomers3.55 2.807.97 4.5019.3 21.837.3 33.915.4 17.15.92 8.46(Dannenberger, et al., 2013)Asturiana AsturianaBulls BullsPasture Pasture, 1 month concentrate % FAME% FAME % FAME0.075 0.1460.148 0.2080.291 2.8242.410 1.7560.360 0.4360.133 0.123			· •								
Mirandesa pirebredCalvesBarley-based, autumn% FAME0.110.1130.1140.103**German Holstein German Holstein German HolsteinCows CowsForage, protected saturated fat Cows% FAME0.070.150.200.60***(Angulo, et al., 2012)German Holstein German HolsteinCows CowsForage, sunflower oil + algae Bulls% FAME0.070.150.200.60***German Holstein German HolsteinBulls BullsMaize silage, n-6 concentrate Bulls% all isomers3.557.9719.337.315.45.92 3.99(Dannenberger, et al., 2013)German HolsteinBulls BullsMaize silage, n-3 concentrate Bulls% all isomers2.804.5021.833.917.18.46Asturiana BullsBulls BullsPasture, 1 month concentrate % FAME% FAME0.0750.1480.2912.4100.3600.133 0.123(Aldai, et al., 2011)	Mirandesa purebred	Calves	Barley-based spring	% FAME	0.11	0.16	0.14	0.65	*	*	(Pestana Costa Alves et al. 2012)
Antimited a parcoredCarvesDarley-based, addinin% FAME0.000.100.100.00German Holstein German HolsteinCows CowsForage, protected saturated fat Cows% FAME0.07 FAME0.15 0.090.200.60**(Angulo, et al., 2012)German Holstein German HolsteinCows CowsForage, sunflower oil + algae % FAME% FAME0.050.200.401.10**German Holstein German HolsteinBulls BullsMaize silage, n-6 concentrate Grass silage, n-3 concentrate % all isomers3.55 2.807.97 4.5019.3 21.837.3 33.915.4 17.15.92 8.46(Dannenberger, et al., 2013)Asturiana AsturianaBulls BullsPasture Pasture, 1 month concentrate % FAME% FAME 0.0750.148 0.1460.291 0.2082.410 2.4100.360 0.4360.133 0.123(Aldai, et al., 2011)	Mirandesa purebred	Calves	Barley-based autumn	% FAME	0.08	0.10	0.14	0.05	*	*	(1 estand, Costa, 711ves, et al., 2012)
German Holstein German Holstein German HolsteinCows Forage, protected saturated fat Forage, linseed oil + algae% FAME % FAME0.07 0.090.15 0.200.20 0.300.60 1.10**(Angulo, et al., 2012)German Holstein German HolsteinBulls BullsMaize silage, n-6 concentrate Grass silage, n-3 concentrate% all isomers % all isomers3.55 2.807.97 4.5019.3 21.837.3 3.915.4 17.15.92 8.46(Dannenberger, et al., 2013)Asturiana AsturianaBulls BullsPasture Pasture, 1 month concentrate% FAME % FAME0.075 0.1460.148 0.2080.291 2.8242.410 1.7560.360 0.4360.133 0.123(Aldai, et al., 2011)	Winandesa parebied	Curves	Durley bused, autumn		0.00	0.10	0.10	0.00			
German Holstein German HolsteinCows CowsForage, protected saturated fat algae% FAME % FAME0.07 0.090.15 0.200.20 0.300.60 1.10**(Angulo, et al., 2012)German Holstein German HolsteinCows CowsForage, sunflower oil + algae% FAME % FAME0.09 0.050.20 0.200.30 0.401.10***(Angulo, et al., 2012)German Holstein German HolsteinBulls BullsMaize silage, n-6 concentrate Grass silage, n-3 concentrate% all isomers % all isomers3.55 2.807.97 4.5019.3 21.837.3 33.915.4 17.15.92 8.46(Dannenberger, et al., 2013)Asturiana AsturianaBulls BullsPasture Pasture, 1 month concentrate% FAME % FAME0.075 0.1460.148 0.2910.291 2.4100.360 0.4360.133 0.123(Aldai, et al., 2011)	C HILL	C			0.07	0.15	0.00	0.60	ste	**	
German HolsteinCowsForage, innseed oil + algae% FAME0.090.200.301.10**German HolsteinCowsForage, sunflower oil + algae% FAME0.050.200.401.90**German HolsteinBullsMaize silage, n-6 concentrate German Holstein% all isomers3.557.9719.337.315.45.92(Dannenberger, et al., 2013)German HolsteinBullsGrass silage, n-3 concentrate% all isomers2.804.5021.833.917.18.46AsturianaBullsPasture, 1 month concentrate% FAME0.0750.1480.2912.4100.3600.133(Aldai, et al., 2011)AsturianaBullsPasture, 1 month concentrate% FAME0.1460.2082.8241.7560.4360.123	German Holstein	Cows	Forage, protected saturated fat	% FAME	0.07	0.15	0.20	0.60	* *	*	(Angulo, et al., 2012)
German HolsteinCowsForage, sunflower oil + algae% FAME0.050.200.401.90**German HolsteinBullsMaize silage, n-6 concentrate Grass silage, n-3 concentrate% all isomers3.557.9719.337.315.45.92(Dannenberger, et al., 2013)German HolsteinBullsGrass silage, n-3 concentrate% all isomers2.804.5021.833.917.18.46AsturianaBullsPasture% FAME0.0750.1480.2912.4100.3600.133(Aldai, et al., 2011)AsturianaBullsPasture, 1 month concentrate% FAME0.1460.2082.8241.7560.4360.123	German Holstein	Cows	Forage, linseed oil + algae	% FAME	0.09	0.20	0.30	1.10	*	*	
German Holstein German HolsteinBullsMaize silage, n-6 concentrate Grass silage, n-3 concentrate% all isomers % all isomers3.55 2.807.97 4.5019.3 21.837.3 33.915.4 17.15.92 8.46(Dannenberger, et al., 2013)Asturiana AsturianaBullsPasture Pasture, 1 month concentrate% FAME % FAME0.075 0.1460.148 0.2080.291 2.8242.410 1.7560.360 0.4360.133 0.123(Aldai, et al., 2011)	German Holstein	Cows	Forage, sunflower oil + algae	% FAME	0.05	0.20	0.40	1.90	*	*	
German HolsteinBullsMaize silage, n-6 concentrate Grass silage, n-3 concentrate% all isomers3.55 2.807.97 4.5019.3 21.837.3 33.915.4 17.15.92 8.46(Dannenberger, et al., 2013)AsturianaBullsPasture Pasture, 1 month concentrate% FAME % FAME0.075 0.1460.148 0.2080.291 2.8242.410 1.7560.360 0.4360.133 0.123(Aldai, et al., 2011)											
German Holstein       Bulls       Grass silage, n-3 concentrate       % all isomers       2.80       4.50       21.8       33.9       17.1       8.46         Asturiana       Bulls       Pasture       % FAME       0.075       0.148       0.291       2.410       0.360       0.133       (Aldai, et al., 2011)         Asturiana       Bulls       Pasture, 1 month concentrate       % FAME       0.146       0.208       2.824       1.756       0.436       0.123	German Holstein	Bulls	Maize silage, n-6 concentrate	% all isomers	3.55	7.97	19.3	37.3	15.4	5.92	(Dannenberger, et al., 2013)
Asturiana       Bulls       Pasture       % FAME       0.075       0.148       0.291       2.410       0.360       0.133       (Aldai, et al., 2011)         Asturiana       Bulls       Pasture, 1 month concentrate       % FAME       0.146       0.208       2.824       1.756       0.436       0.123	German Holstein	Bulls	Grass silage, <i>n</i> -3 concentrate	% all isomers	2.80	4.50	21.8	33.9	17.1	8.46	_
Asturiana         Bulls         Pasture         % FAME         0.075         0.148         0.291         2.410         0.360         0.133         (Aldai, et al., 2011)           Asturiana         Bulls         Pasture, 1 month concentrate         % FAME         0.146         0.208         2.824         1.756         0.436         0.123				CN							
AsturianaBullsPasture, 1 month concentrate% FAME0.1460.2082.8241.7560.4360.123	Asturiana	Bulls	Pasture	% FAME	0.075	0 148	0 291	2 4 1 0	0 360	0.133	(Aldai et al. 2011)
	Asturiana	Bulls	Pasture 1 month concentrate	% FAME	0.146	0.208	2.824	1 756	0.336	0.123	(Thou, of all, 2011)
Acturana Rulle Pasture 2 month concentrate $0$ FAME $(1.172 + 0.275 + 2.280) = 1.841 + 0.352 + 0.004$	Asturiana	Bulle	Pasture, 2 month concentrate	% EAME	0.172	0.275	2.021	1.941	0.352	0.004	
Asturiana Buns Fasture, 2 month concentrate 70 FAME 0.172 0.275 2.280 1.641 0.552 0.094	Asturiana	Dulls	Tasture, 2 month concentrate	70 TANIL	0.172	0.275	2.280	1.041	0.352	0.094	
		D 11			0.250	0.257	7 01 1	0.500	0.525	0.055	
Asturiana         Bulls         AV mh/mh $\%$ FAME $0.350$ $0.357$ $7.511$ $0.508$ $0.355$ $(Aldai, et al., 2010)$	Asturiana	Bulls	AV mh/mh	% FAME	0.350	0.357	7.311	0.508	0.535	0.055	(Aldai, et al., 2010)
Asturiana Bulls AV mh/+ % FAME 0.423 0.427 5.805 0.884 0.564 0.096	Asturiana	Bulls	AV $mh/+$	% FAME	0.423	0.427	5.805	0.884	0.564	0.096	
Asturiana         Bulls         AV +/+         % FAME         0.384         0.380         7.007         0.554         0.545         0.066	Asturiana	Bulls	AV +/+	% FAME	0.384	0.380	7.007	0.554	0.545	0.066	
Galician Blond         Calves         Not weaned         % all isomers         3.70         6.36         9.93         45.0         17.0         5.47         (Bispo, et al., 2010)	Galician Blond	Calves	Not weaned	% all isomers	3.70	6.36	9.93	45.0	17.0	5.47	(Bispo, et al., 2010)
Galician Blond Calves Weaned, when 5.5 month old % all isomers 4.83 6.94 18.9 34.4 17.0 5.08	Galician Blond	Calves	Weaned, when 5.5 month old	% all isomers	4.83	6.94	18.9	34.4	17.0	5.08	
Galician Blond Calves Weaned, when 2 month old % all isomers 6.38 6.93 40.2 19.3 13.7 3.55	Galician Blond	Calves	Weaned, when 2 month old	% all isomers	6.38	6.93	40.2	19.3	13.7	3.55	
Normand cull Cow Basal diet % all isomers 3.70 8.50 33.7 36.1 7.40 2.90 (Bauchart, et al., 2010)	Normand cull	Cow	Basal diet	% all isomers	3.70	8.50	33.7	36.1	7.40	2.90	(Bauchart, et al., 2010)
Normand cull Cow With extruded linseed % all isomers 2.60 5.01 15.6 33.2 17.8 8.90	Normand cull	Cow	With extruded linseed	% all isomers	2.60	5.01	15.6	33.2	17.8	8.90	
Normand cull Cow With extruded flax- and rapeseed % all isomers 3.40 6.40 41.1 25.0 11.3 3.10	Normand cull	Cow	With extruded flax- and rapeseed	% all isomers	3.40	6.40	41.1	25.0	11.3	3.10	

### Table 3. Effect of diet on selected *trans*18:1 fatty acid isomers composition of beef muscle

Alentejano	Bulls	Feedlot	% FAME	0.19	0.26	1.21	0.92	*	0.19 <sup>a</sup>	(Alfaia, et al., 2009)
Alentejano	Bulls	Pasture, finishing 4 month	% FAME	0.16	0.26	0.81	1.10	*	0.23 <sup>a</sup>	
Alentejano	Bulls	Pasture, finishing 2 month	% FAME	0.17	0.26	0.98	1.15	*	$0.29^{a}$	
Alentejano	Bulls	Pasture	% FAME	0.12	0.15	0.20	1.35	*	0.35 <sup>a</sup>	
Angus	Bulls	Natural grazing	% all isomers	5.27	9.12	6.52	52.6	11.6	5.49	(Kraft, et al., 2008)
Scottish Highland	Bulls	Natural grazing	% all isomers	12.5	11.5	8.85	28.1	20.2	4.99	
Limousin	Bulls	Intensive production indoor	% all isomers	7.99	10.8	23.7	15.7	22.0	5.32	
Simmental	Bulls	Intensive production indoor	% all isomers	9.51	15.9	13.3	23.8	16.7	6.79	
German Holstein	Bulls	Maize silage, n-6 concentrate	% all isomers	1.77	4.76	14.0	41.6	12.4	5.16	(Dannenberger, et al., 2004)
German Holstein	Bulls	Pasture, 160 d, n-3 concentrate	% all isomers	1.03	3.02	3.76	49.3	17.8	7.59	

\*Not reported, <sup>a</sup>-including 18:1*c*-14

% all isomers 1.03 3.02

#### 6. Effect of diet on colour and lipid stability

When ruminants graze pasture, their muscles are more oxidative which results from a combination of two effects: i) an increase mobility at pasture and ii) a grass (vs. maize silage)-based diet (Jurie, Ortigues-Marty, Picard, Micol, & Hocquette, 2006). This orientation towards a more oxidative metabolism associated with a higher capillarity and a lower proportion of type IIB muscle fibres explains why grazed ruminants can have darker meat with a higher pigmentation (Vestergaard, Oksbjerg, & Henckel, 2000).

In addition they accumulate more n-3 PUFA and these PUFA are more susceptible to oxidation(Mahecha, et al., 2010). Oxidation is considered the major cause of meat quality deterioration affecting colour, flavour, and nutritional value(Li & Liu, 2012). Much interest has been focused on the protection of n-3 PUFA by antioxidants such as vitamin E which protects cells against attacks from reactive oxygen species(Yang, Lanari, Brewster, & Tume, 2002). Vitamin E is a fat-soluble vitamin existing in eight different isoforms with various antioxidant activities; the most active one is  $\alpha$ -tocopherol (Daley, et al., 2010; Descalzo & Sancho, 2008), and is the most abundant fat soluble vitamin in beef adipose tissues (Table 4). So whilst pasture-fed cattle have increased n-3 PUFA, they also have increased  $\alpha$ -tocopherol, carotenoid, and sometimes flavanoid, concentrations in their muscle compared to grain-fed cattle (Table 4). These stabilize the meat, extending colour shelf life and reducing fat oxidation during the time of retail display (Descalzo & Sancho, 2008; Gatellier, Mercier, Juin, & Renerre, 2005; Moloney, Mooney, Kerry, & Troy, 2001; Realini, Duckett, Brito, Dalla Rizza, & De Mattos, 2004). The  $\gamma$ -tocopherol and  $\delta$ -tocopherol concentrations in beef muscle are only rarely reported and are present at much lower concentrations than  $\alpha$ tocopherol, ranging from 0.03 to 0.08 mg/kg muscle and from 0.01 to 0.04 mg/kg muscle respectively, depending on the feeding system used (Mahecha, et al., 2010; Mahecha, et al., 2009).

				<b>F</b> (	1 1 1			
Breed	Sex	Diet	a-tocopherol	γ-tocopherol	δ-tocopherol	Retinol (A)	ß-Carotene	References
Limousin x Charolais	Bulls	Pasture-based, late spring	5.28	*	*	*	0.10	(Pestana, Costa, Martins, et al.,
Limousin x Charolais	Bulls	Pasture-based, early autumn	5.45	*	<b>O</b> *	*	0.09	2012)
Mirandesa purebred	Calves	Barley-based, spring	4.27	*	*	*	0.06	(Pestana, Costa, Alves, et al., 2012)
Mirandesa purebred	Calves	Barley-based, autumn	4.58	*	*	*	0.05	2012)
Continental crossbred	Heifers	Grass silage	5.81	*	*	*	*	(Dunne, et al., 2011)
Continental crossbred	Heifers	Grass silage, RP n-3 PUFA 69g	6.95	*	*	*	*	
Continental crossbred	Heifers	Grass silage, RP n-3 PUFA 138g	5.94	*				
Continental crossbred	Heifers	Grass silage, RP n-3 PUFA 275g	7.15	*	*	*	*	
Charolais x Limousin	Heifers	Pasture	2.63	*	*	*	*	(Rohrle et al 2011)
Charolais x Limousin	Heifers	Pasture, grass silage	2.43	*	*	*	*	(itolilie, et al., 2011)
Charolais x Limousin	Heifers	Pasture, grass silage, restricted	1.77	*	*	*	*	
Charolais x Limousin	Heifers	Concentrate	1.14	*	*	*	*	
German Holstein	Bulls	Maize silage. <i>n</i> -6 concentrate	1.20	0.08	0.01	0.13	1.10	(Mahecha, et al., 2010)
German Holstein	Bulls	Grass silage, <i>n</i> -3 concentrate	0.93	0.04	0.01	0.10	2.00	
German Simmental	Bulls	Maize/grass silage	0.94	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.17	(Mahecha, et al., 2009)
German Simmental	Bulls	Grass silage, <i>n</i> -3 concentrate	1.04	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.18	
German Simmental	Bulls	Grass silage, <i>n</i> -3 concentrate, restricted	0.93	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.16	
Friesian	Bulls	Intensive concentrate	0.75	*	*	*	*	(De la Fuente, et al., 2009)
Crossbred	Steers	Pasture	2.36	*	*	*	*	
German Simmental	Bulls	Pasture, finishing concentrate	0.72	*	*	*	*	
Hereford	Steers	Pasture, 2 years old	3.75	*	*	*	*	
Hereford	Steers	Pasture, 3 years old	4.07	*	*	*	*	
British x Indicus	Steers	Pasture	2.06	*	*	*	0.74	(Insani, et al., 2008)
British x Indicus	Steers	Pasture, grain finished	0.79	*	*	*	0.17	(,, 0)
Crossbred	Steers	Pasture	3.08	*	*	*	0.45	(Descalzo, et al., 2005)

### Table 4. Effect of diet on fat-soluble vitamin concentration of beef muscle (mg/kg fresh muscle)

Crossbred	Steers	Pasture, 500 IU vitamin E	3.91	*	*	*	0.63	
Crossbred	Steers	Grain-based	1.50	*	*	*	0.06	
Crossbred	Steers	Grain-based, 500 IU vitamin E	1.76	*	*	*	0.05	
*Not reported								
					Q			
					0-			
					$\sim$			
				C	U			
					0			
				$\sim$				
				7				
			$\cap$					
			Q					
			$\mathbf{O}$					
			)					
		X						

Li and Liu (Li & Liu, 2012)have reviewed the effect of diet and supplementation of on-farm rations with  $\alpha$ -tocopheryl acetate on reducing the lipid and colour oxidation of ruminant meats. They point out that whilst there are many instances where colour and lipid stability appear to be linked and in particular lipid oxidation catalysing discolouration, this is not always the case. Whilst vitamin E concentration could account for up to 79% of the variation in lipid oxidation, it was only linked to 66% of the variation incolour stability (Liu, Scheller, Arp, Schaefer, & Williams, 1996). However, a muscle concentration of 3.0 - 3.5 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> tissue is sufficient for optimum colour and lipid stability in loin muscle, but this varies between muscles (Liu, Lanari, & Schaefer, 1995; Liu, et al., 1996). We have observed many times that, when changing from a low oxygen packaging system (overwrapped in air, 20% oxygen) to a high oxygen (80% oxygen modified atmosphere pack), the colour shelf life and hence colour stability improves, but the rate of lipid oxidation is increased, as seen by others (O'Sullivan, et al., 2002).

Feeding grass-silage, compared to feeding concentrates increased colour stability and reduced lipid oxidation in meat from beef steers (Warren, et al., 2008), but produced few differences in flavours as tested by a trained sensory panel. Similar animals grazing grass had more of the flavour usually associated with beef meatthan the grain-fed cattle(Richardson, Nute, Wood, Scollan, & Warren, 2004). In the studies of Tansawat et al.(Tansawat, Maughan, Ward, Martini, & Cornforth, 2013), pasture feeding produced more "barney, greasy and gamey" flavour then grain-fed beef.

Meat from animals fed diets with inadequate concentrations of vitamin E for optimum colour stability can be improved by supplementing the animal diet with  $\alpha$ -tocopherol acetate, as previously stated. Hence, Nassu et al.(Nassu, et al., 2011)fed feedlot steers on a barley-based ration with 0, 350, 700 and 1400 I.U.  $\alpha$ -tocopherol acetate /animal/ day for 120days. The moderate to high levels of vitamin E improved retail shelf life. Interestingly, this was

seen for 21 day aged steak meat and for minced beef but not for 6 day aged steaks. However, it should be noted that freshmeat (i.e. not aged) has a much longer retail colour shelf life than aged meat and measurements need to be continued for many more days to be able to see differences between treatments(Ledward, 1985; Nortjé & Shaw, 1989; O'keeffe & Hood, 1981; Vitale, Perez-Juan, Lloret, Arnau, & Realini, 2014).

Even when feeding forages, the concentration of vitamin E in the meat may be inadequate for maximum shelf life, even though the concentration in the diet is high. Hence, feeding cull cows either grass silage or red clover silage, produced meat with a lower concentration of vitamin E in the meat of the red clover silage-fed animals and a poorer colour shelf life and increased lipid oxidation compared to the grass silage-fed animals (Lee, et al., 2009). The increased oxidative challenge from the increased unsaturated fatty acids incorporated into the meat from the red clover silage is one explanation. In other studies, feeding beef steers increasing amounts of red clover silage in the ration produced increasing amounts of PUFA in the meat, but reduced lipid and colour stability(Scollan, Costa, et al., 2006). Supplementing a further group of animals on the 100% red clover diet with  $\alpha$ tocopherol acetate increased the concentration of vitamin E in their meat and hence colour and lipid stability was similar to that in the meat of the 100% ryegrass silage-fed animals (Scollan, Costa, et al., 2006). Turning cattle out to finish on grazed grass for around 100 days after a winter on red clover silage retained the increased red clover-derived n-3 PUFA concentration in the meat, but also built up the stocks of grass-derived vitamin E, resulting in colour and lipid stable meat (Scollan, Gibson, Ball, & Richardson, 2008).

Increasing the *n*-3 PUFA intake of beef animals through feeding oilseeds can improve the concentration and proportions of *n*-3PUFA in the meat (see above) but this also produces an oxidative challenge during digestion, absorption and during retail display affecting colour shelf life. This has been rectified by feeding supplementary  $\alpha$ -tocopherol acetate with

concentrate diets (Daly, Moloney, & Monahan, 2007; Juarez, et al., 2012; Richardson, Wood, Ball, Nute, & Scollan, 2007)and when protected fish oils were fed to grazing animals (Dunne, et al., 2011).

A dried lucerne extract rich in protein and xanthophylls, calledPX, fed as a supplement to finishing beef steers improved both the *n*-3 and *n*-6 PUFA content of their meat (Kim, Scollan, Richardson, Gibson, & Coulmier, 2009). The PX fed alongside grass silage or concentrates also increased PUFA concentrations but also led to increased lipid oxidation in the meat during extended retail display (measured after 10 days in MAP) except in the meat from a group of animals fed the concentrate diet supplemented with PX and additional  $\alpha$ -tocopherol acetate(Kim, et al., 2010).

Attention has moved to looking for alternative antioxidant sources to vitamin E. Gobert et al.(Gobert, et al., 2010) have reported the use of a polyphenol-rich extract for stabilising meat. A combined extract of rosemary, grape residues, citrus waste and marigold, a plant extract rich in polyphenols (PERP) was fed to cull cows on a basal ration of concentrates and straw supplemented with extruded linseed and rapeseed. A control group was compared with one fed vitamin E and one fed vitamin E and PERP. The combination of PERP and vitamin E gave more lipid stable meat than the vitamin E alone, although at 155mg/kg diet the  $\alpha$ -tocopherol acetate was not at the optimal concentration to give the most stable meat. Colour shelf life was not measured.

Feeding different diets to beef animals, supplementing them with oilseeds or protected unsaturated lipids can both improve fatty acid composition and place an oxidative stress on the meat produced. The judicious use of antioxidants can overcome these problems.

#### 7. Conclusions

Nutritional quality is an increasingly important factor contributing to meat product quality. Increasing the content of n-3 PUFA and CLA (in particular cis-9, trans-11 CLA) and reducing SFA are important targets, along with increased understanding of the role of ruminant trans-fatty acids in the human diet. Nutrition is the major factor influencing the fatty acid composition of beef while both nutrition and genetics influence level of fat. Feeding n-3 rich diets such as grass and concentrates containing linseed/linseed oil, fish oil or marine algae results in beneficial responses in the content of n-3 PUFA, SFA andCLA (cis-9, trans-11 CLA)in beef lipids. Processes of lipolysis and biohydrogenation of dietary lipid in the rumen play a large role in our ability to further enhance beneficial fatty acids in beef and in this regard strategies to control or protect dietary lipids from biohydrogenation are required.

A number of countries including European Union now have guidelines on the levels of long chain PUFA that a product must contain in order for it to be labelled as 'a source of' or 'high in' *n*-3 PUFA. The European Food Safety Authority recently published the concentration of long chain PUFA that a product must contain in order for it to be labelled as 'a source of' or 'high in' *n*-3 PUFA(European Food Safety Authority, 2009). They advised a daily requirement for 250mg of EPA plus DHA or 2g of 18:3*n*-3; therefore would require a food product to contain 40 or 80mg EPA plus DHA per 100g to be labelled as 'a source of' or 'high in' *n*-3 PUFA respectively (European Food Safety Authority, 2009). Based on the studies presented in Table 1 and using 100 g/day as an appropriate figure for daily beef consumption (Scollan, Hocquette, et al., 2006)then the beef from the forage-based studies summarised in Table 1 may provide up to 17 mg/d EPA and 3.3 mg/d DHA. Some studies summarised by Scollan et al. (Scollan, Hocquette, et al., 2006)did report higher values but still less than required 40 mg/100 mg. For comparison, Dunne et al.(Dunne, et al., 2011)when

feeding heifers ruminally protected fish oil supplement compared to a control achieved levels of 52.3 v. 13.0 and 15.4 v. 3.4, for EPA and DHA, respectively. Hence, the maximal levels of EPA + DHA delivered in beef from the studies reported would be ~ 67 mg/100 g muscle (Dunne, et al., 2011). This is also higher than the ~ 15% of the daily recommended intake for long chain PUFA and as such this beef may be noted as a "source" of long chain PUFA.Similarly, all the treatments fall below the level of 2g 18:3*n*-3 per 100 g product. These aspects present considerable challenges to approach levels of PUFA for which claims may be made.

The relationships between the fatty acid composition of meat and other chemical components including amino acids and carbohydrates and the colour shelf life and sensory properties of beef are well developed. Increasing the content of long chain n-3 PUFA reduces colour shelf life and results in sensory attributes such as fishy and greasy scoring higher. Antioxidants and in particular vitamin E, which is high in pasture fed beef, help to ameliorate the negative effects of long chain PUFA on meat quality. However, alternative sources of antioxidants are required, in addition, to vitamin E, to improve colour shelf life.

This field of research has advanced much in the last 10 years and further knowledge will augment strategies for industry to take forward resulting in improvements to the nutritional properties of beef.

#### Acknowledgements

This review was prepared within the framework of the EU Project ProSafeBeef (project no. FOOD-CT-2006-36241). It concerns mainly the work conducted in pillar 3 of this project entitled "Producing safe beef and beefproducts with enhancednutritional and eating qualitycharacteristics".

Authors acknowledge all their colleagues who were involved in experiments related to pillar 3 of the ProSafeBeef project, namely in France, Drs D Bauchart, D Durand, D Gruffat, B Picard, D Micol, V Santé-Lhoutellier, M Doreau; in Germany, L Mahecha, A. Herdmann, W. Kienast, B. Jentz and M. Dahm; in Ireland, Dr P. Dunne, Ms. A. Marren, Mr. V. McHugh; in the UK, A. Baker, R. Ball, K. Gibson. K. Hallett, D. Marriott, DEFRA, EBLEX, HCC, LMC and QMS.

A CHARMAN

#### References

- Adnoy, T., Haug, A., Sorheim, O., Thomassen, M. S., Varszegi, Z., & Eik, L. O. (2005). Grazing on mountain pastures does it affect meat quality in lambs? *Livestock Production Science*, 94(1-2), 25-31.
- Aldai, N., Dugan, M. E. R., Juarez, M., Martinez, A., & Osoro, K. (2010). Double-muscling character influences the trans-18:1 and conjugated linoleic acid profiles in concentrate-fed yearling bulls. *Meat Science*, *85*(1), 59-65.
- Aldai, N., Dugan, M. E. R., Kramer, J. K. G., Martinez, A., Lopez-Campos, O., Mantecon, A. R., & Osoro, K. (2011). Length of concentrate finishing affects the fatty acid composition of grass-fed and genetically lean beef: an emphasis on trans-18:1 and conjugated linoleic acid profiles. *Animal*, 5(10), 1643-1652.
- Alfaia, C. P. M., Alves, S. P., Martins, S. I. V., Costa, A. S. H., Fontes, C. M. G. A., Lemos, J. P. C., Bessa, R. J. B., & Prates, J. A. M. (2009). Effect of the feeding system on intramuscular fatty acids and conjugated linoleic acid isomers of beef cattle, with emphasis on their nutritional value and discriminatory ability. *Food Chemistry*, 114(3), 939-946.
- Angulo, J., Hiller, B., Olivera, M., Mahecha, L., Dannenberger, D., Nuernberg, G., Losand, B., & Nuernberg, K. (2012). Dietary fatty acid intervention of lactating cows simultaneously affects lipid profiles of meat and milk. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 92*(15), 2968-2974.
- Barceló-Coblijn, G., & Murphy, E. J. (2009). Alpha-linolenic acid and its conversion to longer chain n–3 fatty acids: Benefits for human health and a role in maintaining tissue n–3 fatty acid levels. *Progress in Lipid Research*, *48*(6), 355-374.
- Bauchart, D., Villar, E. B., Thomas, A., Lyan, B., Habeanu, M., Gruffat, D., & Durand, D. (2010).
   Linseed and rapeseed supplements diversely altered trans 18:1 isomers in total lipids of
   Longissimus thoracis muscle of finishing Normand cows. *Archiva Zootechnica*, 13, 5-11.
- Bernard, C., Cassar-Malek, I., Renand, G., & Hocquette, J. F. (2009). Changes in muscle gene expression related to metabolism according to growth potential in young bulls. *Meat Science*, *82*(2), 205-212.
- Bispo, E., Moreno, T., Latorre, A., Gonzalez, L., Herradon, P. G., Franco, D., & Monserrat, L. (2010). Effect of weaning status on lipids of Galician Blond veal: Total fatty acids and 18:1 cis and trans isomers. *Meat Science*, 86(2), 357-363.
- Buccioni, A., Decandia, M., Minieri, S., Molle, G., & Cabiddu, A. (2012). Lipid metabolism in the rumen: New insights on lipolysis and biohydrogenation with an emphasis on the role of endogenous plant factors. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, *174*(1-2), 1-25.
- Carroll, S. M., DePeters, E. J., & Rosenberg, M. (2006). Efficacy of a novel whey protein gel complex to increase the unsaturated fatty acid composition of bovine milk fat. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *89*(2), 640-650.
- Chilliard, Y., Glasser, F., Ferlay, A., Bernard, L., Rouel, J., & Doreau, M. (2007). Diet, rumen biohydrogenation and nutritional quality of cow and goat milk fat. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*, 109(8), 828-855.
- Corazzin, M., Bovolenta, S., Sepulcri, A., & Piasentier, E. (2013). Effect of whole linseed addition on meat production and quality of Italian Simmental and Holstein bulls. *Meat Science, 90*, 99-105.
- Daley, C. A., Abbott, A., Doyle, P. S., Nader, G. A., & Larson, S. (2010). A review of fatty acid profiles and antioxidant content in grass-fed and grain-fed beef. *Nutrition Journal, 9*, 10.
- Daly, C. M., Moloney, A. P., & Monahan, F. J. (2007). Lipid and colour stability of beef from grazing heifers supplemented with sunflower oil alone or with fish oil. *Meat Science*, 77(4), 634-642.
- Dannenberger, D., Nuernberg, G., Scollan, N., Schabbel, W., Steinhart, H., Ender, K., & Nuernberg, K. (2004). Effect of diet on the deposition of n-3 fatty acids, conjugated linoleic and C18 : 1trans

fatty acid isomers in muscle lipids of German Holstein bulls. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *52*(21), 6607-6615.

- Dannenberger, D., Nuernberg, K., Herdmann, A., Nuernberg, G., Hagemann, E., & Kienast, W. (2013). Dietary PUFA intervention affects fatty acid- and micronutrient profiles of beef and related beef products. *Foods, 2*, 295-309.
- Dannenberger, D., Nuernberg, K., Nuernberg, G., Scollan, N., Steinhart, H., & Ender, K. (2005). Effect of pasture vs. concentrate diet on CLA isomer distribution in different tissue lipids of beef cattle. *Lipids*, 40(6), 589-598.
- De la Fuente, J., Diaz, M. T., Alvarez, I., Oliver, M. A., Furnols, M. F. I., Sanudo, C., Campo, M. M., Montossi, F., Nute, G. R., & Caneque, V. (2009). Fatty acid and vitamin E composition of intramuscular fat in cattle reared in different production systems. *Meat Science*, *82*(3), 331-337.
- De Smet, S., Raes, K., & Demeyer, D. (2004). Meat fatty acid composition as affected by genetic factors. *Animal Research*, *53*, 81-88.
- Descalzo, A. M., Insani, E. M., Biolatto, A., Sancho, A. M., Garcia, P. T., Pensel, N. A., & Josifovich, J. A. (2005). Influence of pasture or grain-based diets supplemented with vitamin E on antioxidant/oxidative balance of Argentine beef. *Meat Science*, 70(1), 35-44.
- Descalzo, A. M., & Sancho, A. M. (2008). A review of natural antioxidants and their effects on oxidative status, odor and quality of fresh beef produced in Argentina. *Meat Science*, *79*(3), 423-436.
- Dewhurst, R. J., Shingfield, K. J., Lee, M. R. F., & Scollan, N. D. (2006). Increasing the concentrations of beneficial polyunsaturated fatty acids in milk produced by dairy cows in high-forage systems. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, *131*(3-4), 168-206.
- Dierking, R. M., Kallenbach, R. L., & Grun, I. U. (2010). Effect of forage species on fatty acid content and performance of pasture-finished steers. *Meat Science*, *85*(4), 597-605.
- Dilzer, A., & Park, Y. (2012). Implication of Conjugated Linoleic Acid (CLA) in Human Health. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, *52*(6), 488-513.
- Duckett, S. K., Neel, J. P., Lewis, R. M., Fontenot, J. P., & Clapham, W. M. (2013). Effects of forage species or concentrate finishing on animal performance, carcass and meat quality. *Journal of Animal Science*, *91*(3), 1454-1467.
- Dunne, P. G., Rogalski, J., Childs, S., Monahan, F. J., Kenny, D. A., & Moloney, A. P. (2011). Long Chain n-3 Polyunsaturated Fatty Add Concentration and Color and Lipid Stability of Muscle from Heifers Offered a Ruminally Protected Fish Oil Supplement. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 59(9), 5015-5025.
- Durand, D., Scislowski, V., Gruffat, D., Chilliard, Y., & Bauchart, D. (2005). High-fat rations and lipid peroxidation in ruminants: consequences on the health of animals and quality of their products. In J. F. Hocquette & S. Gigli (Eds.), *Indicators of Milk and Beef Quality* (Vol. 112, pp. 137-150). Wageningen: Wageningen Academic Publishers.
- European Food Safety Authority. (2009). Scientific opinion: Labelling reference intake values for n-3 and n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids. *The EFSA Journal, 1176*, 1-11.
- Fortin, M., Julien, P., Couture, Y., Dubreuil, P., Chouinard, P. Y., Latulippe, C., Davis, T. A., & Thivierge, M. C. (2010). Regulation of glucose and protein metabolism in growing steers by long-chain n-3 fatty acids in muscle membrane phospholipids is dose-dependent. *Animal, 4*(1), 89-101.
- Fraser, M. D., Davies, D. A., Wright, I. A., Vale, J. E., Nute, G. R., Hallett, K. G., & Richardson, R. I. (2007). Effect on upland beef production of incorporating winter feeding of red clover silage or summer grazing of Molinia-dominated semi-natural pastures. *Grass and Forage Science*, 62(3), 284-300.
- Garcia, P. T., Pensel, N. A., Sancho, A. M., Latimori, N. J., Kloster, A. M., Amigone, M. A., & Casal, J. J. (2008). Beef lipids in relation to animal breed and nutrition in Argentina. *Meat Science*, 79(3), 500-508.

- Gatellier, P., Mercier, Y., Juin, H., & Renerre, M. (2005). Effect of finishing mode (pasture- or mixeddiet) on lipid composition, colour stability and lipid oxidation in meat from Charolais cattle. *Meat Science*, 69(1), 175-186.
- Gebauer, S. K., Chardigny, J. M., Jakobsen, M. U., Lamarche, B., Lock, A. L., Proctor, S. D., & Baer, D. J. (2011). Effects of Ruminant Trans Fatty Acids on Cardiovascular Disease and Cancer: A Comprehensive Review of Epidemiological, Clinical, and Mechanistic Studies. Advances in Nutrition, 2(4), 332-354.
- Givens, D. I. (2010). Milk and meat in our diet: good or bad for health? Animal, 4(12), 1941-1952.
- Givens, D. I., Cottrill, B. R., Davies, M., Lee, P. A., Mansbridge, R. J., & Moss, A. R. (2000). Sources of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids additional to fish oil for livestock diets: A review. *Nutrition Abstracts and Reviews Series B, 70*, 3-19.
- Gobert, M., Gruffat, D., Habeanu, M., Parafita, E., Bauchart, D., & Durand, D. (2010). Plant extracts combined with vitamin E in PUFA-rich diets of cull cows protect processed beef against lipid oxidation. *Meat Science*, *85*(4), 676-683.
- Gulati, S. K., Garg, M. R., & Scott, T. W. (2005). Rumen protected protein and fat produced from oilseeds and/or meals by formaldehyde treatment; their role in ruminant production and product quality: a review. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, *45*(10), 1189-1203.
- Harvatine, K. J., Boisclair, Y. R., & Bauman, D. E. (2009). Recent advances in the regulation of milk fat synthesis. *Animal*, *3*(1), 40-54.
- Herdmann, A., Martin, J., Nuernberg, G., Dannenberger, D., & Nuernberg, K. (2010). Effect of Dietary n-3 and n-6 PUFA on Lipid Composition of Different Tissues of German Holstein Bulls and the Fate of Bioactive Fatty Acids during Processing. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 58(14), 8314-8321.
- Hocquette, J. F., Botreau, R., Picard, B., Jacquet, A., Pethick, D. W., & Scollan, N. D. (2012). Opportunities for predicting and manipulating beef quality. *Meat Science*, *92*(3), 197-209.
- Hocquette, J. F., Cassar-Malek, I., Jurie, C., Bauchart, D., Picard, B., & Renand, G. (2012).
   Relationships between muscle growth potential, intramuscular fat content and different indicators of muscle fibre types in young Charolais bulls. *Animal Science Journal*, 83(11), 750-758.
- Hocquette, J. F., Gondret, F., Baeza, E., Medale, F., Jurie, C., & Pethick, D. W. (2010). Intramuscular fat content in meat-producing animals: development, genetic and nutritional control, and identification of putative markers. *Animal*, *4*(2), 303-319.
- Insani, E. M., Eyherabide, A., Grigioni, G., Sancho, A. M., Pensel, N. A., & Descalzo, A. M. (2008). Oxidative stability and its relationship with natural antioxidants during refrigerated retail display of beef produced in Argentina. *Meat Science*, 79(3), 444-452.
- Jenkins, T. C., & Bridges, W. C. (2007). Protection of fatty acids against ruminal biohydrogenation in cattle. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*, *109*(8), 778-789.
- Jeremiah, L. E., Dugan, M. E. R., Aalhus, J. L., & Gibson, L. L. (2003). Assessment of the relationship between chemical components and palatability of major beef muscles and muscle groups. *Meat Science*, 65(3), 1013-1019.
- Juarez, M., Dugan, M. E. R., Aalhus, J. L., Aldai, N., Basarab, J. A., Baron, V. S., & McAllister, T. A. (2011). Effects of vitamin E and flaxseed on rumen-derived fatty acid intermediates in beef intramuscular fat. *Meat Science*, 88(3), 434-440.
- Juarez, M., Dugan, M. E. R., Aldai, N., Basarab, J. A., Baron, V. S., McAllister, T. A., & Aalhus, J. L. (2012). Beef quality attributes as affected by increasing the intramuscular levels of vitamin E and omega-3 fatty acids. *Meat Science*, 90(3), 764-769.
- Jurie, C., Ortigues-Marty, I., Picard, B., Micol, D., & Hocquette, J. F. (2006). The separate effects of the nature of diet and grazing mobility on metabolic potential of muscles from Charolais steers. *Livestock Science*, 104(1-2), 182-192.

- Kim, E. J., Richardson, R. I., Gibson, K., & Scollan, N. D. (2011). Effect of feeding a plant oil rich in stearidonic acid on growth and meat quality of Charolais cross-bred steers. In *Proceedings* of the British Society of Animal Science (pp. 90): BSAS.
- Kim, E. J., Richardson, R. I., Lee, M. R. F., Gibson, K., & Scollan, N. D. (2010). Effect of lipid-rich plant extract on the fatty acids composition and meat quality of Belgium-Blue cross bred steers. In Proceedings of the British Society of Animal Science (pp. 131).
- Kim, E. J., Scollan, N. D., Richardson, R. I., Gibson, K. P., & Coulmier, D. (2009). Effect of lipid-rich plant extract on the fatty acid composition and meat quality of Charolais x Friesian steers. In Advances in Animal Biosciences (pp. 76): BSAS.
- Koba, K., Imamura, J., Akashoshi, A., Kohno-Murase, J., Nishizono, S., Iwabuchi, M., Tanaka, K., & Sugano, M. (2007). Genetically modified rapeseed oil containing cis-9,trans-11,cis-13octadecatrienoic acid affects body fat mass and lipid metabolism in mice. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *55*(9), 3741-3748.
- Kraft, J., Kramer, J. K. G., Schoene, F., Chambers, J. R., & Jahreis, G. (2008). Extensive analysis of longchain polyunsaturated fatty acids, CLA, trans-18 : 1 isomers, and plasmalogenic lipids in different retail beef types. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 56(12), 4775-4782.
- Kronberg, S. L., Scholljegerdes, E. J., Murphy, E. J., Ward, R. E., Maddock, T. D., & Schauer, C. S. (2013). Treatment of flaxseed to reduce biohydrogenation of alpha-linolenic acid by ruminal microbes in sheep and cattle, and increase n-3 fatty acid concentration in red meat. *Journal* of Animal Science, 90, 4618-4624.
- Ladeira, M. M., Machado Neto, O. R., Chizzotti, M. L., Oliveira, D. M., & Chalfun Junior, A. (2012). Lipids in the diet and the fatty acid profile in beef: a review and recent patents on the topic. *Recent Patents on Food, Nutrition and Agriculture, 4*(2), 123-133.
- Ledward, D. A. (1985). Post-Slaughter Influences on the Formation of Metmyoglobin in Beef Muscles. *Meat Science*, 15(3), 149-171.
- Lee, M. R., Evans, P. R., Nute, G. R., Richardson, R. I., & Scollan, N. D. (2009). A comparison between red clover silage and grass silage feeding on fatty acid composition, meat stability and sensory quality of the M. Longissimus muscle of dairy cull cows. *Meat Science*, 81(4), 738-744.
- Leheska, J. M., Thompson, L. D., Howe, J. C., Hentges, E., Boyce, J., Brooks, J. C., Shriver, B., Hoover, L., & Miller, M. F. (2008). Effects of conventional and grass-feeding systems on the nutrient composition of beef. *Journal of Animal Science*, 86(12), 3575-3585.
- Li, Y. F., & Liu, S. M. (2012). Reducing lipid peroxidation for improving colour stability of beef and lamb: on-farm considerations. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 92*(4), 719-726.
- Liu, Q., Lanari, M. C., & Schaefer, D. M. (1995). A Review of Dietary Vitamin-E Supplementation for Improvement of Beef Quality. *Journal of Animal Science*, *73*(10), 3131-3140.
- Liu, Q., Scheller, K. K., Arp, S. C., Schaefer, D. M., & Williams, S. N. (1996). Titration of fresh meat color stability and malondialdehyde development with Holstein steers fed vitamin E-supplemented diets. *Journal of Animal Science*, *74*(1), 117-126.
- Lopez-Huertas, E. (2010). Health effects of oleic acid and long chain omega-3 fatty acids (EPA and DHA) enriched milks. A review of intervention studies. *Pharmacological Research*, *61*(3), 200-207.
- Lourenco, M., De Smet, S., Raes, K., & Fievez, V. (2007). Effect of botanical composition of silages on rumen fatty acid metabolism and fatty acid composition in longissimus muscle and subcutaneous fat of lambs. *Animal*, 1(6), 911-921.
- Lourenco, M., Van Ranst, G., De Smet, S., Raes, K., & Fievez, V. (2007). Effect of grazing pastures with different botanical composition by lambs on rumen fatty acid metabolism and fatty acid pattern of longissimus muscle and subcultaneous fat. *Animal*, 1(4), 537-545.
- Lourenco, M., Van Ranst, G., Vlaeminck, B., De Smet, S., & Fievez, V. (2008). Influence of different dietary forages on the fatty acid composition of rumen digesta as well as ruminant meat and milk. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, *145*(1-4), 418-437.

- Mahecha, L., Dannenberger, D., Nuernberg, K., Nuernberg, G., Hagemann, E., & Martin, J. (2010). Relationship between Lipid Peroxidation and Antioxidant Status in the Muscle of German Holstein Bulls Fed n-3 and n-6 PUFA-Enriched Diets. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 58*(14), 8407-8413.
- Mahecha, L., Nuernberg, K., Nuernberg, G., Ender, K., Hagemann, E., & Dannenberger, D. (2009). Effects of diet and storage on fatty acid profile, micronutrients and quality of muscle from German Simmental bulls. *Meat Science*, *82*(3), 365-371.
- Mapiye, C., Dugan, M. E., Juarez, M., Basarab, J. A., Baron, V. S., Turner, T., Yang, X., Aldai, N., & Aalhus, J. L. (2012). Influence of alpha-tocopherol supplementation on trans-18:1 and conjugated linoleic acid profiles in beef from steers fed a barley-based diet. *Animal*, 6(11), 1888-1896.
- Mapiye, C., Turner, T. D., Rolland, D. C., Basarab, J. A., Baron, V. S., McAllister, T. A., Block, H. C., Uttaro, B., Aalhus, J. L., & Dugan, M. E. R. (2013). Adipose tissue and muscle fatty acid profiles of steers fed red clover silage with and without flaxseed. *Livestock Science*, 151, 11-20.
- Mir, P. S., Mir, Z., Kuber, P. S., Gaskins, C. T., Martin, E. L., Dodson, M. V., Calles, J. A. E., Johnson, K. A., Busboom, J. R., Wood, A. J., Pittenger, G. J., & Reeves, J. J. (2002). Growth, carcass characteristics, muscle conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) content, and response to intravenous glucose challenge in high percentage Wagyu, Wagyu x Limousin, and Limousin steers fed sunflower oil-containing diets. *Journal of Animal Science*, *80*(11), 2996-3004.
- Mitchell, P. L., Karakach, T. K., Currie, D. L., & McLeod, R. S. (2012). t-10, c-12 CLA dietary supplementation inhibits atherosclerotic lesion development despite adverse cardiovascular and hepatic metabolic marker profiles. *PLoS One*, *7*(12), e52634.
- Moloney, A. P. (2011). Altering animal diet to reduce saturated fat in milk and meat. In G. Talbot (Ed.), *Reducing saturated fat in foods* (pp. 234-265): Woodhead Publishing Ltd.
- Moloney, A. P., Fievez, V., Martin, B., Nute, G. R., & Richardson, R. I. (2008). Botanically diverse forage-based rations for cattle: implications for product composition abd quality and consumer health. *Grassland Science in Europe, 13*, 361-374.
- Moloney, A. P., McGilloway, D. A., & French, P. (2007). Fatty acid composition of muscle from cattle grazing perennial ryegrass/white clover swards prior to slaughter. In *Proceedings of the Agricultural Research Forum* (pp. 84). Tullamore, Ireland.
- Moloney, A. P., Mooney, M. T., Kerry, J. P., Stanton, C., & O'Kiely, P. (2013). Colour of fat, and colour, fatty acid composition and sensory characteristics of muscle from heifers offered alternative forages to grass silage in a finishing ration. *Meat Science*, *95*(3), 608-615.
- Moloney, A. P., Mooney, M. T., Kerry, J. P., & Troy, D. J. (2001). Producing tender and flavoursome beef with enhanced nutritional characteristics. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society, 60*(2), 221-229.
- Moloney, A. P., Shingfield, K. J., & Dunne, P. (2011). Fatty acid composition of *longissimus dorsi* muscle of early or late maturing heifers offered supplements containing either safflower oil or ruminally-protected tuna oil while at pasture. *Advances in Animal Biosciences*, 2(2), 275.
- Morgan, S., Huws, S. A., & Scollan, N. D. (2012). Progress in forage-based strategies to improve the fatty acid composition of beef. *Grassland Science in Europe*, *17*, 295-307.
- Nassu, R. T., Dugan, M. E. R., Juarez, M., Basarab, J. A., Baron, V. S., & Aalhus, J. L. (2011). Effect of alpha-tocopherol tissue levels on beef quality. *Animal*, *5*(12), 2010-2018.
- Noci, F., Monahan, F. J., & Moloney, A. P. (2011). The fatty acid profile of muscle and adipose tissue of lambs fed camelina or linseed as oil or seeds. *Animal, 5*(1), 134-147.
- Noci, F., Monahan, F. J., Scollan, N. D., & Moloney, A. P. (2007). The fatty acid composition of muscle and adipose tissue of steers offered unwilted or wilted grass silage supplemented with sunflower oil and fishoil. *British Journal of Nutrition*, *97*(3), 502-513.

- Nortjé, G. L., & Shaw, B. G. (1989). The effect of ageing treatment on the microbiology and storage characteristics of beef in modified atmosphere packs containing 25% CO2 plus 75% O2. *Meat Science*, *25*(1), 43-58.
- Nuernberg, K. (2009). Optimising the nutritional profile of beef. In J. P. Kelly & D. Ledward (Eds.), Improving the sensory and nutritional quality of fresh meat (pp. 321-341): Woodhead Publishing Ltd.
- O'keeffe, M., & Hood, D. E. (1981). Anoxic Storage of Fresh Beef .2. Color Stability and Weight-Loss. *Meat Science*, 5(4), 267-281.
- O'Quinn, T. G., Brooks, J. C., Polkinghorne, R. J., Garmyn, A. J., Johnson, B. J., Starkey, J. D., Rathmann, R. J., & Miller, M. F. (2012). Consumer assessment of beef strip loin steaks of varying fat levels. *Journal of Animal Science*, 90(2), 626-634.
- O'Sullivan, A., O'Sullivan, K., Galvin, K., Moloney, A. P., Troy, D. J., & Kerry, J. P. (2002). Grass silage versus maize silage effects on retail packaged beef quality. *Journal of Animal Science*, *80*(6), 1556-1563.
- Oliveira, E. A., Sampaio, A. A. M., Henrique, W., Pivaro, T. M., Rosa, B. L., Fernandes, A. R. M., & Andrade, A. T. (2012). Quality traits and lipid composition of meat from Nellore young bulls fed with different oils either protected or unprotected from rumen degradation. *Meat Science*, *90*(1), 28-35.
- Palmquist, D. L. (2009). Omega-3 fatty acids in metabolism, health, and nutrition and for modified animal product foods. *The Professional Animal Scientist*, *25*, 207-249.
- Pestana, J. M., Costa, A. S., Alves, S. P., Martins, S. V., Alfaia, C. M., Bessa, R. J., & Prates, J. A. (2012). Seasonal changes and muscle type effect on the nutritional quality of intramuscular fat in Mirandesa-PDO veal. *Meat Science*, 90(3), 819-827.
- Pestana, J. M., Costa, A. S., Martins, S. V., Alfaia, C. M., Alves, S. P., Lopes, P. A., Bessa, R. J., & Prates, J. A. (2012). Effect of slaughter season and muscle type on the fatty acid composition, including conjugated linoleic acid isomers, and nutritional value of intramuscular fat in organic beef. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 92(12), 2428-2435.
- Pethick, D. W., Barendse, W., Hocquette, J. F., Thompson, J. M., & Wang, Y. H. (2007). Regulation of marbling and body composition - Growth and development, gene markers and nutritional biochemistry. In E. Publication (Ed.), *Energy and Protein Metabolism and Nutrition* (Vol. 124, pp. 75-88). Vishy, France: Wageninen Academic Publishers.
- Pethick, D. W., Harper, G. S., & Oddy, V. H. (2004). Growth, development and nutritional manipulation of marbling in cattle: a review. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 44(7), 705-715.
- Raes, K., de Smet, S., & Demeyer, D. (2001). Effect of double-muscling in Belgian Blue young bulls on the intramuscular fatty acid composition with emphasis on conjugated linoleic acid and polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Animal Science*, *73*, 253-260.
- Realini, C. E., Duckett, S. K., Brito, G. W., Dalla Rizza, M., & De Mattos, D. (2004). Effect of pasture vs. concentrate feeding with or without antioxidants on carcass characteristics, fatty acid composition, and quality of Uruguayan beef. *Meat Science, 66*(3), 567-577.
- Richardson, R. I., Hallett, K. G., Robinson, A. M., Nute, G. R., Enser, M., Wood, J. D., & Scollan, N. D. (2004). Effect of free and ruminally-protected fish oils on fatty acid composition, sensory and oxidative characteristics of beef loin muscle. In *Proceedings of the 50th International Conference on Meat Science and Technology* (pp. 2.43). Helsinki, Finland.
- Richardson, R. I., Nute, G. R., Wood, J. D., Scollan, N. D., & Warren, H. E. (2004). Effects of breed, diet and age on shelf life, muscle vitamin E and eating quality of beef. In *Proceedings of the British Society of Animal Science, Winter meeting* (pp. 86): BSAS.
- Richardson, R. I., Wood, J. D., Ball, R., Nute, G. R., & Scollan, N. D. (2007). Influence of grass and concentrate feeding systems on lipid and colour shelf life of loin steaks from Charolais steers. In *Proceedings of the British Society of Animal Science, Winter meeting* (pp. 109). Southport: BSAS.

- Rohrle, F. T., Moloney, A. P., Black, A., Osorio, M. T., Sweeney, T., Schmidt, O., & Monahan, F. J.
   (2011). alpha-Tocopherol stereoisomers in beef as an indicator of vitamin E supplementation in cattle diets. *Food Chemistry*, 124(3), 935-940.
- Rowe, J. B., Choct, M., & Pethick, D. W. (1999). Processing cereal grain for animal feeding. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*, *50*, 721-736.
- Russo, G. L. (2009). Dietary n-6 and n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids: From biochemistry to clinical implications in cardiovascular prevention. *Biochemical Pharmacology*, 77(6), 937-946.
- Salter, A. M. (2013). Dietary fatty acids and cardiovascular disease. Animal, 7, 163-171.
- Scollan, N. D., Choi, N. J., Kurt, E., Fisher, A. V., Enser, M., & Wood, J. D. (2001). Manipulating the fatty acid composition of muscle and adipose tissue in beef cattle. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 85(1), 115-124.
- Scollan, N. D., Costa, P., Hallett, K. G., Nute, G. R., Wood, J. D., & Richardson, R. I. (2006). The fatty acid composition of muscle fat and relationships to meat quality in Charolais steers: influence of level of red clover in the diet. In *Proceedings of the British Society of Animal Science, Winter* (pp. 23): BSAS.
- Scollan, N. D., Enser, M., Richardson, R. I., Gulati, S., Hallett, K. G., Nute, G. R., & Wood, J. D. (2004). The effect of ruminally protected dietary lipid on the lipid composition and quality of beef muscle. In *Proceedings of the 50th International Conference on Meat Science and Technology* (pp. 2.50). Helsinki, Finland.
- Scollan, N. D., Gibson, K., Ball, R., & Richardson, R. I. (2008). Meat quality of Charolais steers: influence of feeding grass versus red clover silage during winter followed by finish off grass. *Proceedings of the British Society of Animal Science*, 52.
- Scollan, N. D., Hocquette, J. F., Nuernberg, K., Dannenberger, D., Richardson, I., & Moloney, A.
   (2006). Innovations in beef production systems that enhance the nutritional and health value of beef lipids and their relationship with meat quality. *Meat Science*, 74(1), 17-33.
- Shen, X. Z., Dannenberger, D., Nuernberg, K., Nuernberg, G., & Zhao, R. Q. (2011). Trans-18:1 and CLA Isomers in Rumen and Duodenal Digesta of Bulls Fed n-3 and n-6 PUFA-Based Diets. *Lipids*, 46(9), 831-841.
- Shingfield, K. J., Bonnet, M., & Scollan, N. D. (2013). Recent developments in altering the fatty acid composition of ruminant-derived foods. *Animal, 7*, 132-162.
- Simopoulos, A. P. (1991). Omega-3 fatty acids in health and disease and in growth and development. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 54(3), 438-463.
- Siri-Tarino, P. W., Sun, Q., Hu, F. B., & Krauss, R. M. (2010a). Meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies evaluating the association of saturated fat with cardiovascular disease. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 91(3), 535-546.
- Siri-Tarino, P. W., Sun, Q., Hu, F. B., & Krauss, R. M. (2010b). Saturated fat, carbohydrate, and cardiovascular disease. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *91*(3), 502-509.
- Smith, S. B., Kawachi, H., Choi, C. B., Choi, C. W., Wu, G., & Sawyer, J. E. (2009). Cellular regulation of bovine intramuscular adipose tissue development and composition. *Journal of Animal Science*, 87(14 Suppl), E72-82.
- Taniguchi, M., Mannen, H., Oyama, K., Shimakura, Y., Oka, A., Watanabe, H., Kojima, T., Komatsu, A., Harper, G. S., & Tsuji, S. (2004). Differences in stearoyl-CoA desaturase mRNA levels between Japanese Black and Holstein cattle. *Livestock Production Science*, 87(2-3), 215-220.
- Taniguchi, M., Utsugi, T., Oyama, K., Mannen, H., Kobayashi, M., Tanabe, Y., Ogino, A., & Tsuji, S. (2004). Genotype of stearoyl-CoA desaturase is associated with fatty acid composition in Japanese Black cattle. *Mammalian Genome*, 15(2), 142-148.
- Tansawat, R., Maughan, C. A. J., Ward, R. E., Martini, S., & Cornforth, D. P. (2013). Chemical characterisation of pasture- and grain-fed beef related to meat quality and flavour attributes. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, *48*(3), 484-495.
- van Vuuren, A. M., van Wikselaar, P. G., van Riel, J. W., Klop, A., & Bastiaans, J. A. H. P. (2010). Persistency of the effect of long-term administration of a whey protein gel composite of

soybean and linseed oils on performance and milk fatty acid composition of dairy cows. *Livestock Science*, *129*(1-3), 213-222.

- Verbeke, W., Van Wezemael, L., de Barcellos, M. D., Kugler, J. O., Hocquette, J. F., Ueland, O., & Grunert, K. G. (2010). European beef consumers' interest in a beef eating-quality guarantee Insights from a qualitative study in four EU countries. *Appetite*, *54*(2), 289-296.
- Vestergaard, M., Oksbjerg, N., & Henckel, P. (2000). Influence of feeding intensity, grazing and finishing feeding on muscle fibre characteristics and meat colour of semitendinosus, longissimus dorsi and supraspinatus muscles of young bulls. *Meat Science*, *54*(2), 177-185.
- Vitale, M., Perez-Juan, M., Lloret, E., Arnau, J., & Realini, C. E. (2014). Effect of aging time in vacuum on tenderness, and color and lipid stability of beef from mature cows during display in high oxygen atmosphere package. *Meat Science*, *96*(1), 270-277.
- Wang, Y., Jacome-Sosa, M. M., & Proctor, S. D. (2012). The role of ruminant trans fat as a potential nutraceutical in the prevention of cardiovascular disease. *Food Research International, 46*(2), 460-468.
- Warren, H. E., Scollan, N. D., Nute, G. R., Hughes, S. I., Wood, J. D., & Richardson, R. I. (2008). Effects of breed and a concentrate or grass silage diet on beef quality in cattle of 3 ages. II: Meat stability and flavour. *Meat Science*, *78*(3), 270-278.
- Whittington, F. W., Dunn, R., Nute, G. R., Richardson, R. I., & Wood, J. D. (2006). Effect of pasture type on lamb product quality. In J. D. Wood (Ed.), *New developments in sheep meat quality, Proceedings of the 9th Annual Langford Industry Conference* (pp. 27-32). Edinburgh, UK: British Society of Animal Science.
- Woods, V. B., & Fearon, A. M. (2009). Dietary sources of unsaturated fatty acids for animals and their transfer into meat, milk and eggs: A review. *Livestock Science*, *126*(1-3), 1-20.
- World Health Organisation. (2003). *Diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic diseases. Report of the joint WHO/FAO expert consultation*. (Vol. 916). Geneva.
- Wyness, L. (2013). Nutritional aspects of red meat in the diet. In J. D. Wood & C. Rowlings (Eds.), *Nutrition and Climate Change: Major issues confronting the meat industry* (pp. 1-22): Nottingham University Press.
- Yang, A., Lanari, M. C., Brewster, M., & Tume, R. K. (2002). Lipid stability and meat colour of beef from pasture- and grain-fed cattle with or without vitamin E supplement. *Meat Science*, 60(1), 41-50.