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The rotationally inelastic scattering of methyl radical with Ar and N₂ is examined at collision energies of 330 ± 25 cm⁻¹ and 425 ± 50 cm⁻¹, respectively. Differential cross sections (DCSs) were measured for different final n' rotational levels (up to n' = 5) of the methyl radicals, averaged over k' sub-levels, using a crossed molecular beam machine with velocity mapping. For Ar as a collision partner, we present a newly constructed ab initio potential energy surface and quantum mechanical scattering calculations of state-resolved DCSs. These computed DCSs agree well with the measurements. The DCSs for both Ar and N₂ collision partners are strongly forward peaked for all spectroscopic lines measured. For scattering angles below 60°, the theoretical CD₃–Ar DCSs show diffraction oscillations that become less pronounced as n' increases, but these oscillations are not resolved experimentally. Comparisons are drawn with our recently reported DCSs for scattering of methyl radicals with He atoms. © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4904901]

I. INTRODUCTION

Comparisons between experimental and computed differential cross sections (DCSs) for inelastic collisions critically test ab initio calculations of the potential energy surfaces (PESs) governing the intermolecular interactions. We recently reported studies of inelastic scattering of methyl radicals with He,¹ H₂, and D₂,² in which we contrasted the outcomes of crossed molecular beam scattering experiments with close coupling quantum mechanical (QM) scattering calculations. These studies explored the scattering-angle and quantum-state resolved scattering dynamics for a polyatomic radical for the first time. The experiments used resonance enhanced multiphoton ionization (REMPI) and velocity map imaging (VMI),³,⁴ methods to capture angular scattering distributions for single final n' levels of the radical (with n the quantum number for rotational angular momentum of the molecular framework). However, the REMPI scheme limited the measurements to averaging over some or all of the final k' sub-levels corresponding to the projection of the rotational angular momentum on the threefold symmetry axis of the radical. Appropriate averaging of computed n, k → n', and k' state-to-state DCSs over the initial distribution of methyl radical levels populated in the molecular beam and final levels probed by REMPI and VMI allowed direct comparison of theory with experiment.

The excellent agreement validated the quality of the ab initio PESs for methyl–He and methyl–H₂ computed by Tkáč et al.,² and Dagdigian and Alexander.⁵ Furthermore, we were able to draw robust conclusions about the scattering dynamics and propensities for different n and k changing collisions, and to trace these effects to properties of the PES, such as the polar and azimuthal anisotropies about the C₃ symmetry axis. Scattering of planar CD₃ with He was contrasted with the state-resolved dynamics of collisions of He with pyramidal ND₃.⁶ This body of work extended the scope of inelastic scattering of labile free radicals, which had previously concentrated on collisions of diatomic radicals with atomic species.⁷–25 A recent article by Dagdigian reviewed collisional energy transfer calculations for small hydrocarbon intermediates²⁶ and highlighted computational studies of integral cross sections for collisions of methane (CH₄)²⁷,²⁸ and methyl radicals.²⁵,²⁸,²⁹ The methyl radical is also the first polyatomic free radical to be slowed in a Zeeman decelerator using a pulsed magnetic field,³⁰ opening up new possibilities to study inelastic and reactive scattering at very low collision energies.

The collisions between methyl radicals and He or H₂ are largely controlled by repulsive interactions at the collision energies studied. In the current work, we replace these light collision partners with Ar and N₂ to explore the effects on the scattering of greater mass as well as the influence of attractive interactions in the intermolecular PES. The comparisons between Ar and N₂ examine the consequences of adding a rotational degree of freedom to the collision partner. The experimental measurements used the same methyl radical REMPI and VMI techniques as in our previous studies, but the theoretical component required computation of a new PES for CH₃–Ar prior to conducting QM scattering calculations.

Previous studies of inelastic scattering with Ar concentrated mostly on NO,⁸–10,31–39 OH,¹₈,₂₁,₂₂,₂₄ and HCl,⁴₀ although scattering of a polyatomic molecule with Ar has been explored in the case of NH₃.⁴¹,⁴² Molecular nitrogen was previously used...
as a collider in studies of the inelastic scattering of HCl.\textsuperscript{43} Here, we present angular scattering distributions for CD\textsubscript{3}–Ar at a collision energy of 330 ± 25 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and for CD\textsubscript{3}–N\textsubscript{2} at 425 ± 50 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, measured by REMPI and VMI for final rotational levels of the methyl radical up to \( n' = 5 \). We adopt the same procedure as we used for CD\textsubscript{3} scattering with He, H\textsubscript{2}, and, D\textsubscript{2} to compare the CD\textsubscript{3}–Ar measurements with QM scattering calculations, and the good agreement confirms the quality of the newly developed PES.

II. METHOD

A. Experimental apparatus

Measurement of DCSs for inelastic scattering of methyl radicals with Ar and N\textsubscript{2} used a compact crossed molecular beam apparatus with VMI that has been described in detail previously.\textsuperscript{1} In brief, supersonic expansion of gas mixtures through a pair of pulsed valves (General Valve Series 9) and collimation by skimmers produced the two molecular beams. Methyl radicals were generated in the primary beam by 266-nm photolysis of CH\textsubscript{3}I or CD\textsubscript{3}I entrained as a 3\% mixture in Ar at a stagnation pressure of 4 bar. This photolysis occurred directly after the nozzle orifice to allow collisional cooling of the rotational and vibrational degrees of freedom of the radicals. Expansion of pure Ar or N\textsubscript{2} at 4 bar stagnation pressure produced the secondary beam of scattering partners. Experiments used a repeating mode of 50 shots with and 50 shots without the secondary beam, and the desired scattering signal was obtained by subtraction of a background image (obtained without Ar/N\textsubscript{2}) from the total signal image.

The two molecular beams intersected at 90\(^\circ\) within a high vacuum chamber, with the crossing region located in the extraction region of a 20-electrode stack of ion optics designed for dc slice-imaging.\textsuperscript{34} Inelastically, scattered methyl radicals were ionized by a probe laser focused by a 30 cm lens to the intersection of the molecular beams. The static electric field from the ion optics accelerated the ions towards a position-sensitive detector (Photek) which consisted of a pair of microchannel plates (MCPs), a phosphor screen (P46 phosphor), and a CCD camera. A 20-ns voltage pulse applied to the rear MCP time-gated the detection of ions for mass resolution and velocity sliced imaging.

(2 + 1) REMPI detection of methyl radicals used UV radiation in the wavelength range 285–288 nm. Frequency doubling the output of a tuneable pulsed dye laser provided the wavelength-selected UV, and the energy and linewidth of this probe laser light were 4.5 mJ/pulse and 0.32 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively. The resultant ions were accelerated towards the detector by a homogeneous electric field, which stretched the ion packet according to the initial velocity component of the neutral radicals perpendicular to the collision plane defined by the two molecular beams. Only a thin central slice of the ion packet was observed by the detector because of the short voltage pulse applied to the rear MCP. This slice corresponded to methyl radicals scattered within or close to the collision plane. The three-dimensional velocity distribution of the inelastically scattered methyl radicals was retrieved directly from this slice image without the need for image reconstruction.

The detection efficiency of the scattered products depended on their laboratory frame velocity, but this bias was corrected by a density-to-flux transformation using the method of Monte Carlo simulation of the experiment as described previously.\textsuperscript{1,43}

B. Methyl radical initial state distribution and REMPI detection

The methyl radical is an oblate symmetric top, with rotations described by quantum numbers for total rotational angular momentum \( n \) and its body-frame projection \( k \). As discussed in detail previously,\textsuperscript{1} the CH\textsubscript{3} and CD\textsubscript{3} radicals exist in two and three nuclear spin modifications, respectively, and these nuclear-spin types do not interconvert during molecular collisions. The energies of low-lying rotational levels of CH\textsubscript{3} and CD\textsubscript{3} were plotted in Fig. 3 of Ref. 1. Fine-structure and hyperfine splittings are very small for the methyl radical\textsuperscript{46} and are ignored in our theoretical treatment.

(2 + 1) REMPI detection of inelastically scattered CD\textsubscript{3} or CH\textsubscript{3} radicals used the well-established scheme of excitation via the 0\(^0\)\textsubscript{g} band of the 4\( p \) 2\( A\textsubscript{1}^\text{r} \leftarrow 2\( p \) 2\( A\textsubscript{2}^\text{r} \) transition.\textsuperscript{47,48} Determination of rotational level populations in the incident radical beam required comparison of experimental REMPI spectra with spectra simulated using the PGOPHER program.\textsuperscript{39} We previously showed that the distribution of methyl radicals in the beam corresponds to a rotational temperature of \( \sim 15 \text{ K} \), and relative populations of the rotational levels were presented in Table 1 of Ref. 1. The rovibrational levels of the excited 4\( p \) 2\( A\textsubscript{1}^\text{r} \) electronic state are predissociated, resulting in broader linewidths and poorer detection efficiencies for the CH\textsubscript{3} isotopologue than for CD\textsubscript{3}. Therefore, the work presented here concentrates on the inelastic scattering of CD\textsubscript{3}; measured images and corresponding DCSs for the CH\textsubscript{3}–Ar system can be found in the supplementary material (Figs. S1 and S2).\textsuperscript{50}

The REMPI spectral lines for methyl are resolved in the \( n \) rotational quantum number, but not in the \( k \) projection quantum number, and the \( k \) projection levels of a given \( n \) contribute differently to different \( \Delta n \) spectroscopic branches. As in our previous work,\textsuperscript{1,2,6} we employ the short-hand notation \( n_0 \) to label rotational levels. We denote the unresolved \( n_{1,2,6} \) levels associated with a particular spectroscopic transition by \( n_{k_1,k_2,...} \) and recognize that these levels all contribute to the measured DCSs. The relative contributions of the different \( k \) levels were determined by PGOPHER calculation of 2-photon line strength factors.

C. CH\textsubscript{3}–Ar potential energy surface

Similar to our previous treatment of the CH\textsubscript{3}–He PES,\textsuperscript{5} we describe the geometry of the CH\textsubscript{3}–Ar complex with three coordinates, namely, the distance \( R \) of the atom from the center of mass of the molecule and the orientation, given by the angles \(( \theta, \phi ) \). The body-frames \( z \) and \( x \) are chosen to lie along the C\textsubscript{3} and a C–H bond. This coordinate system is illustrated in Fig. 1 of Ref. 5. The potential is expanded as\textsuperscript{5}

\[
V(R, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{k, \mu \geq 0} V_{k\mu}(R)(1 + \delta_{\mu0})^{-1} x[Y_{k\mu}(R) + (-1)^{\mu}Y_{-k\mu}(R)], \quad (1)
\]
The three-fold symmetry of CH$_3$ requires that $\mu$ be a multiple of 3, and the planar geometry of CH$_3$ requires that $\lambda + \mu$ be even.\(^5\)

We performed explicitly correlated coupled-cluster calculations with inclusion of single, double, and (perturbatively) triple excitations [RCCSD(T)-F12a]\(^{31-33}\) for the CH$_3$–Ar PES. We employed the augmented correlation-consistent basis set aug-cc-pVTZ (aVTZ)\(^{54,55}\) A counterpoise correction was applied for basis-set superposition error.\(^{56,57}\) All calculations were carried out with the MOLPRO 2012.1 suite of programs.\(^{58,59}\) In our previous investigations of the CH$_3$–He PES,\(^5\) we employed conventional RCCSD(T) calculation using the aVQZ basis set with the addition of mid-bond functions\(^{59,60}\) [RCCSD(T)/aVQZ+ BF]. To check the accuracy of the RCCSD(T)-F12a calculations, we performed additional calculations on 10 random orientations each at 7 atom-molecule separations. We found that the RCCSD(T)-F12a/aVTZ method gives interaction energies closer to the CBS limit [extrapolated from conventional RCCSD(T) calculations with the aVDZ, aVTZ, and aVQZ basis sets] at short to moderate $R$ compared with the RCCSD(T)/aVQZ+ BF calculation. At larger $R$, the two methods give comparable interaction energies (differing by less than 0.1 cm$^{-1}$ at $R = 15$ bohr). In addition, the former method is less computationally demanding.

The CH$_3$–Ar interaction energies were determined on a three-dimensional grid. The $R$ grid includes 33 values of $R$ (3.5–9 bohr, in steps of 0.25 bohr, 9.5, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, and 20 bohr). The $\theta$ grid contains 11 values from 0° to 90° defined by $\cos \theta = 0$ to 1, in steps of 0.1. The $\phi$ grid contains 7 values ranging from 0° to 60°, in steps of 10°. The total number of geometries for which the interaction potential was computed was 2541. For all the computations, the C–H bond length was fixed at $r_0 = 2.037$ bohr, which is the equilibrium bond length from RCCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVQZ calculations.\(^5\)

In fitting the PES to Eq. (1), we included all symmetry allowed terms with $\lambda \leq 12$. The $ab$ initio points were fitted with this 19-term angular basis using the least squares method. The quality of the fit was good. For all $R > 4.5$ bohr, the RMS error of the fit was less than 1% of the absolute value of the $ab$ initio interaction energies averaged over the 77 orientations. At long range, the four larger expansion coefficients $V_{00}$, $V_{33}$, $V_{20}$, and $V_{40}$ were extrapolated to $AR^{-n}$, with $A$ and $n$ determined from the fitted coefficients at $R = 18$ and 20 bohr. The values of $n$ obtained for the four terms were 6.36, 6.29, 7.92, and 7.35, respectively. The expansion coefficient for the isotropic term is much larger (by a factor of >20 at $R = 20$ bohr) than the other coefficients at large $R$. An $R^{-6}$ dependence is a reasonable approximation for the isotropic term of the dispersion interaction \([c_6 R^{-6} + c_8 R^{-8} + \cdots]\)\(^{60}\) confirming that the RCCSD(T)-F12a interaction energies have a reasonable long-range behavior. The fitted coefficients were switched to the long-range forms with a switching function centered at $R = 18$ bohr to ensure their smoothness over $R$.

Figure 1 displays a contour plot of the dependence of the potential energy upon the orientation of the argon atom for an atom-molecule separation $R = 6.75$ bohr. Like the CH$_3$–He PES (see Fig. 3 of Ref. 5), the CH$_3$–Ar PES displays a three-fold symmetry in $\phi$, with maximum repulsion at orientations for which the atom is near one of the H atoms. The potential is most attractive when the Ar atom is in the plane of the radical and bisecting a H–C–H angle. The global minimum of the CH$_3$–Ar PES is at $R = 7.13$ bohr, $\theta = 90^\circ$, $\phi = 60^\circ$, with a dissociation energy $D_e = 120.1$ cm$^{-1}$. The CH$_3$–He PES has a global minimum at a smaller value of $R$ (6.52 bohr), with a much smaller $D_e$ (27.0 cm$^{-1}$).\(^5\) Since the center of mass of the methyl radical is at the carbon atom, the same PES can be used to describe the interaction of CD$_3$ with Ar.

Figure 2 presents a plot of the larger expansion coefficients as a function of the atom-molecule separation $R$. For moderate to large $R$, the isotropic $V_{00}$ coefficient dominates, and the $V_{33}$ and $V_{20}$ coefficients are the largest anisotropic coefficients, as is the case for the CH$_3$–He PES.\(^5\) In fact, the anisotropy of the two PESs is quite similar. The major differences lie in the larger magnitude of the expansion coefficients (hence stronger interactions) and the larger atom-molecule separation at which the minimum in the $V_{00}$ coefficient occurs for the CH$_3$–Ar PES.
D. Quantum scattering calculations

Calculations for collisions of the methyl radical with Ar atoms followed closely the procedures described previously for CH/CD–He scattering. A brief description is provided here. We used the HIBRIDON suite of programs to carry out time-independent quantum close-coupling spin-free calculations of state-resolved integral and differential cross sections. Rotational levels whose energies were less than 1000 cm\(^{-1}\) were included in the channel basis, and the scattering calculations included total angular momenta \(J \leq 130\). The convergence of the cross sections was carefully checked. Separate calculations were carried out for the three nuclear spin modifications of CD\(_3\).

Since the CD\(_3\) incident beam included several rotational levels, DCSs for formation of a specific final rotational level \(n'_f\), were determined by weighting the computed state-to-state DCSs at the experimental collision energy by the experimentally determined incident beam rotational populations presented in Table 1 of Ref. 1. Computed state-to-state differential cross sections for the \(J=1\) initial level are presented in the supplementary material (Fig. S3). As noted in Sec. II B, the \(K\) projection quantum number is not resolved in the REMPI spectra. Hence, theoretical DCSs for comparison with the experimental measurements were prepared by weighting the DCSs for the beam initial state distribution according to the 2-photon line strength factors for the given detection line.

It is interesting to compare the integral cross sections (ICSs) for CD\(_3\)-Ar and CD\(_3\)-He collisions. We present in Fig. 3 computed ICSs for transitions out of the lowest levels of the three CD\(_3\) nuclear spin modifications, namely, \(0,0\) (\(A_2\) nuclear spin symmetry), \(1,0\) (\(A_1\) nuclear spin symmetry), and \(1,1\) (\(E\) nuclear spin symmetry), in collisions with Ar at a collision energy of 440 cm\(^{-1}\). The final state propensities are almost identical to those for CD\(_3\)-He at the same collision energy (see Fig. 5 of Ref. 6). For both systems, the largest cross section for both the \(0,0\) and \(1,0\) initial levels is found for the transition to the \(3,1\) final level, while the \(2,2\) and \(4,4\) final levels have the largest cross sections for transitions from the \(1,1\) initial level. All these transitions are directly coupled by the \(V_{33}\) coefficient. The larger CD\(_3\)-Ar ICSs in Fig. 3 are approximately two times larger than the corresponding CD\(_3\)-He ICSs, consistent with the stronger interaction between CD\(_3\) and Ar. The \(V_{30}\) and other \(\mu=0\) terms directly couple \(\Delta K=0\) transitions. The relative magnitude of the \(V_{30}\) term is somewhat larger for CD\(_3\)-Ar than for CD\(_3\)-He (compare the expansion coefficients of the former in Fig. 2 with those for CD\(_3\)-He in Fig. 4 of Ref. 5). However, we do not observe significant differences in the relative cross sections for \(\Delta K=0\) transitions.

III. RESULTS

Figure 4 shows Newton diagrams for inelastic scattering of CD\(_3\) with Ar and N\(_2\) for the \(0-0\) transition. The Newton spheres are drawn for N\(_2\) final rotational angular momenta \(j'_f=0,6\), and \(10\) assuming an initial rotational angular momentum quantum number \(j_z=0\). This figure demonstrates that the Newton spheres for different final rotational states of N\(_2\) would not be distinguishable in the measured images. The Newton spheres for \(j'_z=0-6\) are almost overlapping because of the small rotational energy spacing. It is reasonable to assume that N\(_2\) molecules are populated in several rotational levels in the beam after the supersonic expansion of pure N\(_2\), which will further reduce the product kinetic energy resolution of the images. N\(_2\) rotational levels with \(j'_z\leq14\) are accessible following CD\(_3\) collision with N\(_2\)(\(j_z=0\)) at our experimental collision energy, and this limit on \(j'_z\) may increase for collisions with N\(_2\)(\(j_z>0\)) present in the secondary molecular beam. The experiment does not provide any information about the initial and final rotational angular momenta of N\(_2\), and it is of degree of freedom we cannot control beyond the effects of cooling in the expansion, but we expect the initial rotational temperature of the N\(_2\) molecules to be around 30 K. The higher mass of an Ar atom and lower velocity of the Ar beam with respect to He, H\(_2\), and D\(_2\) colliders used in our prior studies results in a smaller mean collision energy.

![FIG. 3. Integral cross sections for transitions out of the lowest levels of CD\(_3\) for each nuclear spin modification in collisions with Ar at a relativistic transverse energy of 440 cm\(^{-1}\). Since the cross sections for transitions to CD\(_3\) high \(n'\) levels are small, the plots show cross sections for final levels with \(n'\leq12\).](image-url)
$E_{\text{coll}} = 330 \pm 25 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Raw experimental images for inelastic scattering of CD$_3$ with Ar are shown in Fig. 5 for final rotational angular momentum levels up to $n' = 5$. Images for rotational levels with larger $n'$ could not be measured because of the low collision energy. The intense spot in the images at angles close to 0° results from imperfect subtraction of signals from CD$_3$ populating the probed rotational level in the primary molecular beam. The spot around 180° present in some of the images is background that originates from the secondary (Ar) beam. The unprocessed images directly demonstrate that the scattering is strongly forward peaked for all spectroscopic lines probed. This deduction is also clear from the DCSs extracted from the raw data. The latter factor was quantified by comparing the magnitudes of the DCSs obtained from the two separate halves of the image at selected scattering angles. For perfect transformation, the extracted DCSs should be symmetric about the relative velocity vector.

Raw experimental images for inelastic scattering of CD$_3$ with N$_2$ into final levels with $n' = 2–5$ are shown in Fig. 7 for $E_{\text{coll}} = 425 \pm 50 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Inspection of these raw images reveals that they closely resemble the images measured for the CD$_3$–Ar system. Indeed, all of these images are strongly forward peaked and extend only as far as $\theta \sim 60°$, as can also be seen from the extracted DCSs plotted in Fig. 8. The DCSs are normalized to their maximum values for each spectroscopic line measured, and the error bars are determined in the same manner as for CD$_3$–Ar scattering.

The measured images for CD$_3$–N$_2$ are broader in the radial coordinate than images measured for inelastic scattering of CD$_3$ with Ar. This broadening can be attributed to transitions involving a change in the rotational level of N$_2$, which reduce the kinetic energy resolution of the images. Because of the small rotational constant for N$_2$ [B(N$_2$) = 1.9982 cm$^{-1}$] transitions involving a change in the N$_2$ rotational level would be expected to make a significant contribution to state-to-state DCSs.
Scattering calculations were not performed for CD$_3$–N$_2$ since these calculations are extremely computationally demanding. Comparing with our earlier CD$_3$–H$_2$ calculations, the smaller rotational constant of the heavier N$_2$ results in a significantly larger number of rotational levels and hence channels in close-coupling calculations. In addition, a CH$_3$–N$_2$ PES is not available at present.

IV. DISCUSSION

The DCSs computed for inelastic scattering of CD$_3$ into $n' = 2$–5 by collisions with Ar agree well with those obtained from the experimental measurements. In both cases, the scattering peaks strongly in the forward direction, and there is very little flux for scattering angles greater than 60°. This weak sideways and backward scattering is slightly enhanced for larger $\Delta n$ collisions, reflecting a contribution from low impact parameters (or low angular momentum partial waves). The agreement between experimentally and theoretically derived DCSs confirms the high quality of the new CD$_3$–Ar PES at energies relevant to the current study.

There are subtle differences in the shapes of the DCSs obtained for CD$_3$ detection in a single $n'$ level via different spectroscopic transitions. For example, the DCSs for $n' = 4$, obtained from measurements using the $S(4)$, $P(4)$, and $O(4)$ REMPI lines differ in the sharpness of the forward scattering into angles less than 45°. These small differences are a consequence of the distribution of the $k'$ sub-levels probed by REMPI, and the labels in Fig. 6 identify the particular sub-sets of $k'$ contributing to each measured image. Changes in the scattering dynamics leading to population of different $k'$ sub-levels for a given $n'$ level can be explored by examination of the state-to-state DCSs obtained from the QM scattering calculations. We reported this type of analysis previously for CD$_3$–He scattering, and Fig. 9 compares the computed DCSs...
for CD$_3$–Ar for scattering from the initial state $n_k = 1_1$ into the $n' = 3_1$, 4$_1$, 4$_2$, and 4$_3$ final levels. The DCSs for 4$_1$ and 4$_2$ final levels are sharply forward peaked, with broad shoulders at intermediate scattering angles, whereas the forward-peaked DCS for the 4$_3$ product gradually decreases to larger scattering angles without these shoulders. Analysis of the QM results shows that the scattering into the 4$_3$ final level occurs, on average, over larger impact parameters (partial cross sections), consistent with this observation if we assume that the orbital angular momentum is approximately the same as the total angular momentum of the CD$_3$–Ar complex.

Figure 10 shows computed DCSs for inelastic scattering of CD$_3$ out of the $n_k = 1_0$ initial level into 3$_0$ and 5$_0$ final levels with both Ar and He as collision partners at the same collision energy of 440 cm$^{-1}$. This collision energy corresponds to experimental conditions for our earlier study of CD$_3$–He scattering.

The computed CD$_3$–Ar DCSs at a collision energy of 440 cm$^{-1}$ are not much changed from those obtained at 330 cm$^{-1}$, which is reasonable considering the steep repulsive wall of the CD$_3$–Ar PES. The spacings of the diffraction oscillations evident at small scattering angles are smaller for CD$_3$–Ar than for CD$_3$–He because of the larger mass of the collider Ar, as well as a larger impact parameter ($b$). In a simplified hard-sphere model, the spacing of the diffraction oscillations $\Delta \theta$ in atom-molecular collisions is approximately given by $\pi/(kb)$, where $k$ is the wavenumber. The oscillation period would be smaller for a collision system with a larger reduced mass at the same collision energy.

The most striking difference between the CD$_3$–Ar and CD$_3$–He DCSs is the much greater propensity for forward scattering for the former. For the collision of CD$_3$ with Ar, scattering into angles $\theta < 60^\circ$ dominates the measured and computed DCSs, whereas for collisions with the lighter He, the scattering extends across the full range of angles up to $180^\circ$, as demonstrated in Fig. 10(a), and peaks in the sideways or backward directions for $n' \geq 4$ [Fig. 10(b)]. According to our calculations, the lowest final rotational level produced by collisions of CD$_3$($1_0^0$) with Ar at a collision energy of 440 cm$^{-1}$ for which backward scattering dominates is $n'_{e'} = 8_3$. For CD$_3$ ($1_0^0$)–He, it is $n'_{e'} = 5_0$. The maximum in the partial cross section (PCS) distribution for this CD$_3$–Ar transition is at an impact parameter of $b = 5.5$ bohr.

The origin of the differences in DCSs for CD$_3$–Ar and CD$_3$–He lies in the PESs that govern the scattering dynamics. To visualize better the differences between the CH$_3$–He and CH$_3$–Ar PESs, we plot in Fig. 11 the dependence of these potentials upon $R$ and $\phi$, averaged over $\theta$, shown as contours in the plane containing the CH$_3$ molecule. In the plots, a C–H bond is aligned with the positive direction of the $x$ axis. The green contour corresponds to 440 cm$^{-1}$, which is the collision energy of the CH$_3$–He experiment and the calculations described above. We see in Fig. 11 that the CH$_3$–Ar PES is more attractive at larger atom-molecule separations and the gradient of the potential in the attractive regions of the PES is larger.

The more forward character of the CD$_3$–Ar DCSs in Fig. 10 is likely due to the more attractive nature of the PES for this system. While the collision energy is much greater than the well depth, glancing collisions at large impact parameters that sample the attractive part of the potential can induce changes in the rotational angular momentum and contribute to small-angle scattering. Collisions of CD$_3$ with He are instead dominated by repulsive interactions and have relatively broad DCSs.

We note also that the duration of the collision is greater for the heavier Ar collider, mainly because of the smaller relative velocity than for light He. The transfer of angular momentum is classically directly proportional to the duration of the collision and to the gradient of the potential. This difference in angular momentum transfer is reflected in the larger magnitudes of the CD$_3$–Ar state-to-state cross sections and, to a lesser extent, in the degree of rotational excitation of the CD$_3$ products [compare the CD$_3$–Ar state-to-state cross sections in Fig. 3 with the corresponding CD$_3$–He cross sections in Fig. 5 of Ref. 6].

Figures 10(c) and 10(d) compare PCSs for the $1_0^0$–3$_0$ and 5$_0$ transitions for both collision partners. Similar to our...
previous treatment, we assume the orbital angular momentum of the CD$_3$–Ar complex is approximately its total angular momentum, which allows us to show the contributions to the ICS from various ranges of classical impact parameters. The inelastic scattering occurs at much larger orbital angular momentum, and hence impact parameter, for Ar as a collision partner than for He. We see in Fig. 10(d) that the maxima in the PCSs for the $I_0$–$S_0$ transition occur for $b = 2.3$ and 5.5 bohr for He and Ar, respectively. This difference is mainly due to the greater radial extent of the CD$_3$–Ar PES [compare the contour plots of the CD$_3$–He and CD$_3$–Ar PESs in Fig. 11].

To judge how well the angular scattering can be interpreted in terms of the classical impact parameter distribution, we compare two distinct $n_k \rightarrow n'_k$, transitions for CD$_3$–Ar and CD$_3$–He that happen to occur over the same range of impact parameters. Figure 12 shows computed state-to-state DCSs and the corresponding PCSs for one such example. In this case, the $I_0 \rightarrow 3_3$ transition in CD$_3$–He and $I_0 \rightarrow 6_3$ transition for CD$_3$–Ar are compared. The two transitions both occur over a range of impact parameters that peaks at around 4.5 bohr, and the resulting DCSs are indeed very similar. Note that the CD$_3$–Ar DCS was multiplied by a factor of 4 and the partial cross section by a factor of 8 for the purposes of the comparison, and that both transitions involve $\Delta k = 3$.

The preceding comparison of inelastic scattering of methyl radical with heavy (Ar) and light (He) atomic colliders also holds for collisions with heavy (N$_2$) and light (D$_2$ or H$_2$) diatomic molecules. The broad DCSs measured for H$_2$ and D$_2$ collision partners contrast with the strongly forward peaking DCSs observed for collisions with N$_2$. The root cause of this greater propensity for forward scattering is again likely to be longer-range attractive interactions associated with the methyl–N$_2$ intermolecular potential. The larger polarizability of the N$_2$ collision partner results in a greater intermolecular well depth and range of the attractive potential than for D$_2$ or H$_2$, and at the lower collision energies in the CD$_3$–N$_2$ system, the colliders sample more of the longer range regions of the PES. Therefore, the scattering will be influenced more heavily by attractive forces.

V. CONCLUSION

Experimentally determined differential cross sections for the rotationally inelastic scattering of CD$_3$ radicals by Ar compare very favorably with theoretical predictions obtained from close-coupling QM scattering calculations on a newly computed potential energy surface. This agreement confirms the quality of the new CD$_3$–Ar PES. The experimental measurements are resolved at the level of the final rotational angular momentum of the CD$_3$ radical ($n'$) but are averaged over some or all of the final $k'$ levels corresponding to projection of the
angular momentum on the symmetry axis of the radical. The QM scattering results can be examined at the full state-to-state level to explore trends in the scattering dynamics that depend on the magnitudes of the collision-induced changes $\Delta n$ and $\Delta \ell$. The scattering calculations also reveal pronounced diffraction oscillations at scattering angles $\theta < 45^\circ$ that are averaged out under our experimental conditions.

The flux of CD$_3$ scattered by Ar peaks strongly in the forward direction, with little or no amplitude for $\theta > 60^\circ$ for the final levels $n^\prime = 2$–5 probed. This behavior contrasts with the broad angular scattering observed previously in CD$_3$–He collisions, which are dominated by repulsive interactions. The greater propensity for forward scattering in the case of CD$_3$–Ar is a consequence of the greater importance of long-range attractive interactions that induce changes in the rotational angular momentum of the CD$_3$ for glancing (large impact parameter) collisions. In contrast to CD$_3$–He scattering, the DCSs for CD$_3$–Ar at our chosen collision energy show only a weak dependence on the final values of $n^\prime$ and $k^\prime$ quantum numbers.

Velocity map images for CD$_3$–N$_2$ scattering reveal DCSs that are qualitatively very similar to those for CD$_3$–Ar collisions. However, the images are broadened in the radial (speed) coordinate because of unresolved changes to the rotational energy of the N$_2$ collider. Comparison with prior CD$_3$–D$_2$ measurements shows a similar trend to substitution of Ar for He in collisions with CD$_3$; the heavier and more polarizable diatomic molecule promotes stronger forward scattering of the CD$_3$ because of more attractive long-range interactions.
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