



Johnson, E. K., Cameron, A. M., Willis, P. B., Lloyd, L. E., & Smith, R. C. (2022). 'Extra hands' or the 'icing on the cake'? The boundaries of the volunteer role in formal care settings in England . *International Journal of Care and Caring*, 7(3), 425–440.
<https://doi.org/10.1332/239788221X16601489075317>

Peer reviewed version

License (if available):
CC BY

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1332/239788221X16601489075317](https://doi.org/10.1332/239788221X16601489075317)

[Link to publication record on the Bristol Research Portal](#)
PDF-document

This is the accepted author manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via University of Bristol Press at <https://doi.org/10.1332/239788221X16601489075317>. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol – Bristol Research Portal

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/brp-terms/>



Johnson, E. K., Cameron, A. M., Willis, P. B., Lloyd, L. E., & Smith, R. C. (2022). 'Extra hands' or the 'icing on the cake'? The boundaries of the volunteer role in formal care settings in England . *International Journal of Care and Caring*, 1-6.
<https://doi.org/10.1332/239788221X16601489075317>

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1332/239788221X16601489075317](https://doi.org/10.1332/239788221X16601489075317)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the accepted author manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via University of Bristol Press at <https://doi.org/10.1332/239788221X16601489075317>. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

International Journal of Care and Caring

'Extra hands' or the 'icing on the cake'? The boundaries of the volunteer role in formal care settings in England --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	IJCC-D-21-00096R2
Article Type:	Original article
Full Title:	'Extra hands' or the 'icing on the cake'? The boundaries of the volunteer role in formal care settings in England
First Author:	Eleanor K Johnson
Corresponding Author:	Eleanor K Johnson University of Bristol Bristol, Avon UNITED KINGDOM
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author E-Mail:	eleanor.johnson@bristol.ac.uk
Other Authors:	Ailsa Cameron Paul Willis Liz Lloyd Randall Smith
Abstract:	The adult social care sector in England has been encouraged to increase the role of volunteers in service delivery. To understand the volunteer role in care delivery and its impact upon paid care work, we undertook 94 qualitative interviews in seven care settings for older people in England. Whilst the boundaries between care worker and volunteer were clearly established in some organisations, they were more indistinguishable in others. We discuss how both clear and murky boundary making, especially regarding 'emotional' and 'bodily' aspects of care, can contribute towards paid care work's invisibility, lack of recognition and poor remuneration.
Keywords:	Paid care work; older people; Social care; volunteering
Additional Information:	
Question	Response
Conflicts of Interest Please declare any possible conflicts of interest, or state 'The Author(s) declare(s) that there is no conflict of interest' if there are none. Further information about conflicts of interest can be found in our Ethical Guidelines .	The Author(s) declare(s) that there is no conflict of interest
Word Count Original articles should be between 5000 and 8000 words in length (including tables, references and endnotes). Debates & Issues articles should be between 1500 and 2500 words in length (including tables, references and	7995

endnotes).		
Special issue Is this a submission for a special issue? If so please give details of the special issue, including the Editor if known.		
Funding Information:	National Institute for Health Research, School for Social Care Research (C088/CM/UBDA-P119)	Ailsa Cameron

'Extra hands' or the 'icing on the cake'? The boundaries of the volunteer role in formal care settings in England

Eleanor K. Johnson, University of Bristol, England

Ailsa Cameron, University of Bristol, England

Paul Willis, University of Bristol, England

Liz Lloyd, University of Bristol, England

Randall Smith, University of Bristol, England

Abstract:

The adult social care sector in England has been encouraged to increase the role of volunteers in service delivery. To understand the volunteer role in care delivery and its impact upon paid care work, we undertook 94 qualitative interviews in seven care settings for older people in England. Whilst the boundaries between care worker and volunteer were clearly established in some organisations, they were more indistinguishable in others. We discuss how both clear and murky boundary making, especially regarding 'emotional' and 'bodily' aspects of care, can contribute towards paid care work's invisibility, lack of recognition and poor remuneration.

Key words/short phrases:

Paid care work; older people; social care; volunteering

Word count:

7,995

Introduction

1 There is a growing international body of literature on paid care work for older people. Much
2 of this is produced by those interested in workforce issues associated with paid care work:
3 workforce demographics (Anderson and Shutes 2014; Duffy and Armenia 2019); recruitment
4 and turnover (Hussein and Manthorpe 2005; Hussein 2015); pay (Rubery 2017); training
5 (Gospel 2015); and job satisfaction (Lightman and Kevins 2019). Paid care workers do not
6 always carry out their activities separately from other workers and frequently work alongside
7 unpaid volunteers (Naylor *et al* 2013). However, little research has explored the roles that
8 volunteers play in care settings or the division of tasks and activities between paid care
9 workers and unpaid volunteers.
10
11
12
13
14

15 Drawing upon an in-depth qualitative study based upon interviews with managers and
16 volunteer coordinators, paid care workers, volunteers, and older people receiving care at
17 seven social care settings in England, we explored the implications of volunteer involvement
18 upon care provision and the organisation of paid care work. We discuss the different
19 boundaries between the roles of volunteers and those of paid care workers that we found in
20 the seven organisations. In some of the organisations, these boundaries were blurred, with
21 some recipients of care unable to make clear distinctions between them. In other
22 organisations, the distinction between paid care workers and volunteers was more obviously
23 established. It appeared, throughout the study, that working with volunteers is most
24 successful – although not without its challenges – when it is formalised in terms of
25 recruitment and training, and when there are clear boundaries between voluntary and paid
26 roles. Organisations where the boundaries between paid care work and volunteer roles were
27 more clearly defined tended to be larger and had dedicated resources and paid members of
28 staff to oversee the recruitment, training, and management of volunteers.
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 In what follows, we reflect upon what the existing literature says about the various roles
36 played by formal volunteers in social care settings, the boundaries between the roles of
37 volunteers and paid care workers, and the activities undertaken by each group. We then
38 introduce the ‘Exploring the Role of Volunteers in Care Settings for Older People’ project
39 (ERVIC) (2017-2019), before exploring how volunteer involvement shaped what tasks and
40 activities were carried out by paid care workers in the settings and consider what, in
41 consequence, was considered as ‘paid work’. Finally, reflecting upon our findings, we discuss
42 what impact the different treatment of the boundaries between paid and voluntary roles may
43 have upon how paid care work is regarded, respected, and rewarded.
44
45
46
47

Volunteers in social care

48 Over the last two decades, there has been a discernible shift in UK policy discourse towards
49 including volunteers in the delivery of social care services (Naylor *et al* 2013), which has
50 mirrored policy reform elsewhere in Europe (Verhoeven and Van Bonchove 2018) as well as
51 in the USA (Eikenberry 2019) and Canada (Baines (2004). In England, political calls for the
52 increased involvement of volunteers, alongside repeated cuts to public sector funding, which
53 require that care services do more with less, have resulted in heightened demand for
54 volunteer involvement, particularly in care settings for older people (Tingvold and Skinner
55 2019). In 2013, approximately three million individuals volunteered in health and social care
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 in England, of whom 1.9 million worked with older people (Naylor *et al* 2013). As part of the
2 mixed economy of social care, there is much variation in the roles performed by these
3 volunteers, not least because some organisations are composed entirely of volunteers
4 whereas, in other settings, volunteers work alongside paid workers (Hoad 2002).
5

6 Increased volunteer involvement in service delivery, particularly when viewed against the
7 recent backdrop of austerity policies and funding cutbacks, has led to concerns around job
8 substitution and calls for clarity regarding the boundaries between professional and volunteer
9 roles (Naylor *et al* 2013; Verhoeven and Van Bonchove 2018). In Northern Europe,
10 researchers and professionals have expressed fears that the caring professions are ‘under
11 attack’ by volunteers (Overgaard, Petrovski and Hermansen 2018).
12
13

14 Perhaps in response to fears about de-professionalisation, the focus of much research on the
15 boundaries between paid work and volunteering in health and social care settings is on
16 ‘professional’ workers who have specialist skills and knowledge; such as nurses (Overgaard
17 2015), psychologists (Hoad 2002), and social workers (Verhoeven and Van Bonchove 2018).
18 Here, volunteerism and professionalism are presented as distinct social systems, the
19 boundary between which is constructed and navigated in interactions between care
20 professionals and volunteers (McAllum 2018). In Van Bonchove *et al*’s (2018) study in the
21 Netherlands, for example, it was found that nurses and social workers working alongside
22 volunteers carried out both demarcation work (where differences in authority, reliability, and
23 knowledge were emphasised) and welcoming work (where they welcomed specific
24 volunteers into their professional domain).
25
26
27
28
29

30 McAllum (2018) describes professionalism as involving ‘clean’ cerebral work that is clinical
31 and detached, as opposed to hands-on, emotionally close, and nurturing. Here, professionals
32 are viewed as fundamentally different to volunteers, who are discursively presented as
33 ‘warm’ (Van Bonchove *et al* 2018), informal and friendly (see, for example, the UK
34 government funded ‘dementia friends’ initiative [Naylor *et al* 2013]). Van Bonchove *et al*
35 (2018) suggest that the weak professional status of social workers and nurses in the
36 Netherlands – based on the assumption that paid care roles require ‘natural’ feminine traits,
37 such as empathy – offers an explanation for the fact that such professionals have frequently
38 been found to engage in boundary work as means to prevent the further degradation of their
39 status. The reality of hands-on, direct care work in England, which is the focus of this study,
40 is perhaps even more at odds with the assumed dichotomy of the detached professional and
41 the informal, ‘warm’ volunteer. At present, paid direct care workers in the UK ‘do not enjoy
42 terms and conditions of work that are suggestive of their professionalism ... are not recruited
43 or trained as professionals, and are not, on the whole, respected as professionals’ (Author’s
44 own, 2019). This is despite the fact that, much like other professionals, paid care workers are
45 required to possess a number of skills and aptitudes and to engage in regular training
46 (Author’s own, 2019).
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 [The content of direct care work](#)

55 Care, and by extension paid care work and care volunteering, is complex and almost
56 impossible to define (Overgaard, Petrovski and Hermansen 2018). There is an emerging body
57 of research on how paid, direct care workers in different settings experience, negotiate, and
58 find meaning in their work (Stacey 2005; Twigg 2000). This literature moves beyond sanitised,
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 upbeat conceptualisations of care and places emphasis on the emotional and affective
2 aspects of paid care work (Author's own, 2015), its physical and 'dirty' nature (Stacey 2005;
3 Twigg 2000), and on how – given these conditions – paid care workers might be motivated by
4 and find dignity in their work (Stacey 2005).
5

6 In her analysis of care work as 'bodywork', Twigg (2000) recognises how the direct, hands-on
7 activities of washing, bathing, and other forms of personal care are the principal tasks carried
8 out by paid care workers in their day-to-day work. However, such 'bodywork' is downplayed
9 in accounts of care work which, instead, emphasise the social, emotional, and interpersonal
10 elements of the job. Twigg shows that in their everyday interactions with bodies and their
11 wastes, care workers negotiate and deal with 'aspects of bodily existence that modern society
12 is reluctant to acknowledge openly' (2000: 397). Stacey (2005) uses the term 'dirty work' to
13 describe these activities, yet reports how paid care workers derive pride and dignity from the
14 closeness and intimacy of direct care. For Stacey's care workers, the relational and intimate
15 nature of care activities helped them to establish honour in their work, as well as to humanise
16 and promote the wellbeing of their 'clients'.
17
18
19
20
21

22 Paid care work also necessarily has an emotional component; in Hochschild's (1983:7) terms,
23 it requires emotional labour - 'the management of feeling to create a publicly observable
24 facial and bodily display' - that, in the case of social care settings, produces the sense of being
25 cared for in a convivial and safe place. Some researchers have expressed concerns that the
26 privatisation and commodification of health and social care in the Global North has shorn
27 caregiving of its emotional elements, which are difficult to cost and measure (Smith 2012).
28 Others have found that, in some care settings, care workers' emotional labour *is* undervalued
29 and inadequately rewarded, but is still given by care workers in the form of an unpaid 'gift'
30 (Author's own, 2015).
31
32
33
34

35 There are many examples of paid care workers giving up their time voluntarily to ensure that
36 they provide 'good care' (Charlesworth, Baines and Cunningham 2015; Dodson and Zincavage
37 2007). It is interesting, then, that the UK social care sector also relies heavily on formal, unpaid
38 volunteers to work alongside paid care workers (Naylor *et al* 2013). Despite high volunteer
39 involvement in care provision, however, not much is known about the division of tasks and
40 activities between paid care workers and volunteers or what impact the involvement of
41 volunteers has upon paid care work. In the UK, direct care workers are not professionalised
42 and their work is less well regarded and well paid than that of nurses and social workers
43 (Author's own, 2019). For this reason, the boundaries between the role of paid care workers
44 and unpaid volunteers working in adult social care settings are, perhaps, more fragile, more
45 porous and, in turn, more worthy of empirical examination.
46
47
48
49

50 In this article, we consider the boundary between the role of unpaid volunteers and paid care
51 workers in seven adult social care organisations in the South West of England. Specifically,
52 our focus is on how the involvement of volunteers impacts upon the everyday work activities
53 of paid care workers and, in turn, shapes how paid care work is defined, understood and
54 regarded.
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

Methods

Over 18 months, we visited seven organisations that involved volunteers in the delivery of social care to older people. Our aim was to recruit sites which reflected the diversity the adult social care sector in England. We purposively approached and selected: small and large organisations; statutory, non-profit and private organisations; settings operating in rural and urban locations, and; residential and community-based organisations. At each setting, AC and EJ undertook interviews with volunteers, managers and volunteer coordinators, paid care workers, and older people receiving care, in order to better understand the contribution that volunteers were making. These interviews usually lasted between 20 minutes and one hour and were undertaken in a variety of settings, including in the organisations where care was provided, local community spaces, and some volunteers' own homes. Participants were recruited in four ways: during pre-fieldwork meetings at each organisation; by spending time in communal spaces of residential settings; via poster advertisements, and; via word-of-mouth. Different semi-structured interview schedules were used for each group of participants, with all interviews including questions about the tasks and activities undertaken by volunteers and paid care workers, the participants' experiences of volunteers or of volunteering themselves, and the opportunities and challenges associated with volunteers being involved in care delivery. Ethical review was provided by the UK National Social Care Research Ethics Committee, reference: 17/IEC08/0038.

Despite attempts to recruit statutory and private providers, all seven settings were registered charities. Two of these were local community-led charities, three were national charities, and two were regional charities. Six organisations had a longstanding tradition of involving volunteers and two organisations were located in a semi-rural setting. The organisations were as follows:

- Site 1: Befriending service, retirement village with longstanding volunteer programme
- Site 2: Day centre for older people
- Site 3: Day centre for older people from BAME group.
- Site 4: Volunteer agency running physical and musical activities programmes in residential care settings
- Site 5: Care home with nursing
- Site 6: Support at home service run by a national charity
- Site 7: Lunch club set up and run by a Timebank

The project adopted a qualitative design, with each of the seven sites being used as a case study to map and analyse how volunteers were participating in the provision of social care to older people.

Sample

Semi-structured interviews (n=94) were undertaken with managers/volunteer co-ordinators (n=17), volunteers (n=39), paid care staff (n=14), and older people receiving services (n=24). Of the 39 volunteers whom we spoke to, 27 were female and, of the 34 volunteers who disclosed their age, 27 were aged over 60 years and three were aged under 40. Of the 14 paid care and support workers we spoke to, 12 were female and, of the 9 who disclosed their age,

1 five were aged under 40 years and two were aged over 60 years. We also collected documents
2 related to volunteers, such as volunteer recruitment materials and induction packs. The table
3 below shows the make-up of our sample at each of the 7 sites

4
5 **TABLE 1 HERE**

6
7 **Analysis**

8 All interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed before being analysed, broadly following
9 Braun and Clarke's (2006) phases of reflexive thematic analysis. A sample of eight transcripts,
10 drawn from across the different samples of participants from three sites, were read and re-
11 read by AC and EJ to gain familiarisation with the data and generate initial codes. An initial
12 review of the literature on volunteering and social care was also used to create possible *a*
13 *priori* codes for this process. A discussion about themes arising in the initial sample of eight
14 transcripts resulted in the development of a more expansive coding frame which was
15 reviewed and supplemented with additional themes as they were identified when coding the
16 remaining data (managed through NVivo and undertaken by EJ). In this article, we focus on
17 themes related to: paid care worker and unpaid volunteer roles; boundaries between these
18 roles; tasks and activities such as socialising and befriending, personal care, cleaning; and
19 relationships between unpaid volunteers and paid care workers. For further information
20 about the ERVIC project, the methods we employed and our broader findings about the role
21 of volunteers in care settings for older people please see Cameron *et al* (2020) and Cameron
22 *et al* (2021). In this article, we begin by outlining the three main ways that volunteers
23 contributed to the provision of social care across the seven sites.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 **Findings**

32 The seven sites differed in terms of the nature of volunteer involvement in service delivery.
33 We grouped them into three categories according to the volunteer contribution: 1)
34 augmenting existing services; 2) assisting paid staff, and; 3) providing discrete services. The
35 first category included those sites where the volunteer role primarily enhanced the existing
36 care services provided by paid members of staff. This included the befriending service in the
37 retirement village (site 1) and the volunteer agency, which delivered activities to older people
38 living in residential care settings (site 4). Both of these organisations had a formal volunteer
39 programme with standardised recruitment, training, and dedicated volunteer coordinators.
40 At sites included in the second category, volunteers supported paid staff members and were
41 sometimes relied upon to fill gaps in provision, particularly in instances of short staffing. The
42 two day centres (sites 2 and 3) and the care home with nursing (site 5) fell in this category. In
43 all three of these settings, volunteer roles were not formalised and varied a great deal
44 between individual volunteers in the same settings. Finally, at the support at home service
45 (site 6) and the lunch club provided by a time bank (site 7), volunteers provided a discrete,
46 free-standing service and rarely encountered paid care workers. As the focus of this article is
47 on the boundaries between the roles of volunteers and paid care workers, we will
48 predominantly focus on accounts from managers/coordinators, care workers and volunteers
49 at sites 1-5. We begin by outlining the nature and boundaries of volunteer roles at these sites
50 in more detail.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Extra hands or the icing on the cake?

1 In settings where volunteers augmented existing services, clear boundaries separated their
2 duties from those associated with paid roles. Participants repeatedly stressed the location
3 and importance of these boundaries. This was particularly the case at the retirement village
4 (site 1), where volunteer coordinators and volunteers utilised a very similar language when
5 describing the purpose of the volunteer role. When asked to describe how she recruited
6 volunteers, one coordinator said:
7
8
9

10 We don't do any advertising, because of this notion, for us, our volunteers aren't
11 providing a frontline service, so there's never this sense, 'Oh we really need volunteers
12 to deliver something.' Because they are the icing on the cake. (Site 1, Manager 1)
13
14

15 Similarly, a volunteer at site 1 said:
16

17 We do the sort of things that put the icing on the cake really because the paid
18 members do the basics. The things that have to be done have to be done
19 professionally and properly and they're paid to do it...and we do things that they
20 haven't got time for and they couldn't justify paying anyone really, but it does enrich
21 the life here without a doubt. (Site 1, Volunteer 5)
22
23
24
25

26 The role of volunteers at sites 1 and 4, both categorised as augmenting existing services, was
27 a wholly supplementary one that, whilst benefitting older people, was not part of the core
28 service that an organisation was being paid to deliver. Here, the volunteer role was described
29 as something *extra* or, in the words of our respondents, 'the icing on the cake' of formal care
30 provision. This mirrors research by Overgaard (2015) in Denmark and Australia where some
31 managers, staff, and volunteers referred to the volunteer role as 'the nice extra'.
32
33
34

35 The boundary between the role of paid care workers and volunteers was reportedly the
36 subject of explicit conversations during the volunteers' induction training at these sites. When
37 asked about the difference in roles between care workers and volunteers, for example, one
38 coordinator recalled a conversation that she had with a volunteer:
39
40

41 There are specific tasks that care staff do, and are trained to do, and are paid to
42 do...With volunteers, there are clear things they shouldn't do and as part of their
43 induction, we guide them on that. In fact, I have just had this conversation with a new
44 volunteer...about what are the boundaries, and making sure that that volunteer has
45 the strength of character to stand up and say, 'I'm really sorry, but that's not what I'm
46 here to do.' (Site 1, Manager 2)
47
48
49

50 Another volunteer coordinator at site 1 was also explicit about the cultivation of boundaries;
51 volunteers 'don't provide care' and are not a 'replacement for paid staff' (Site 1, Manager 1).
52 This comment echoes findings in research on social care volunteers in Canada and the
53 Netherlands (Baines, Cunningham and Shields 2017; Verhoeven and Van Bonchove 2018).
54 Yet, as Naylor *et al* (2013: 15) identify, whilst the starting point might be that 'that volunteers
55 should always provide something additional to core services', in practice, 'this distinction may
56 not always be clear cut...[and] defining what is a core service is far from straightforward'.
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 At those sites categorised as using volunteers to assist paid members of staff, it was apparent
2 that, despite attempts being made to distinguish between paid and voluntary roles,
3 volunteers were sometimes required to plug gaps in care provision. Whilst volunteers at sites
4 1 and 4 were treated as the *icing on the cake*, those at sites 2, 3 and 5 were more often used
5 as an *extra pair of hands*. These volunteers were often asked to carry out tasks and activities
6 that, at sites 1 and 4, were more clearly defined as under the remit of paid care workers. One
7 volunteer at a day centre (site 2) recognised this blurring of roles:
8
9

10
11 It's not official, but sometimes I think we're treated...like cover if a member of staff
12 happened to be out, like because of holiday or sick leave or things like that, but if
13 everyone's in, we're usually just extra helping hands. (Site 2, Volunteer 3)
14
15

16 Similarly, a care worker at Site 5, the care home, described volunteers as 'taking the pressure
17 off':
18
19

20 It helps us out so much just takes the pressure off, because they'll say, 'Oh anything
21 we need to do'?...Or if someone is feeling really low and you're doing something,
22 they'll sit there and they'll chat to them...They can sit there, because if it's a staff
23 member and you're sat there and all this time with one resident, you can imagine,
24 can't you? 'Ooh' but if it's a volunteer then they can't be told, 'Oh you're spending too
25 much time'. (Site 5, Care Worker 3)
26
27
28

29 This care worker identifies the value of the volunteer not simply in terms of their helping with
30 practical tasks, but in terms of 'chatting' and 'spending time' with older people outside of
31 paid, formal care delivery. Interestingly, the care worker alludes to others having a negative
32 perception of paid care workers who might be seen to be spending a large amount of time
33 with a care recipient, even if that person was feeling 'really low'.
34
35
36

37
38 Volunteers played a crucial role in the everyday delivery of social care for older people in
39 these settings. There was a similar recognition from the volunteers in some settings that
40 managerial motivations to work with them were likely driven more by organisational *need*
41 than by *desire*; 'there's definitely too few [paid care workers] to actually deal with
42 everything...it is more of a need than it is a want' (Site 2, Volunteer 3). The blurred boundaries
43 between volunteers and paid care workers at sites 2, 3 and 5 were also recognised by
44 managers, with one praising the blending of paid care worker, volunteer, and even client roles
45 as contributing to the cultivation of a 'homely' site (Site 2, Manager 1). Managers at site 2, a
46 day centre, said that their service would have closed were it not for the contribution of
47 volunteers and observes the benefits of not paying for people who, in essence, are 'a member
48 of staff'. At site 2, one paid care worker expressed his appreciation of volunteers taking on
49 tasks he would otherwise have to balance himself:
50
51
52
53

54
55 If we didn't have any volunteers in, then our work would be ten times harder and it is
56 very valuable. For example, if I was needing an activity...then someone said 'oh I need
57 the toilet', I have to take them if I'm the only member of staff there, at that time I
58 know I can then leave the volunteer to just carry it on for 10 minutes and I'll come
59
60
61

1 back...it does make a difference because they do the little jobs that maybe we don't
2 have time to do (Care Worker 2, Site 2).
3

4 This care worker shares his gratitude and recognition for the unpaid volunteers at the day
5 centre; reducing staff workload and performing tasks that 'we don't have time to do'.
6 Similarly, in Van Bonchove *et al's* (2018) study of volunteers and professionals in the
7 Netherlands, professionals were found to engage in 'welcoming work' – that is, work which
8 involved the partial deconstruction of boundaries (and which was more likely to occur in
9 female-dominated, low-status professions) – in part because they acknowledged that they
10 could not manage without volunteers.
11
12
13

14
15 Having outlined the established or blurred boundaries between the volunteers and paid care
16 workers, we now consider what tasks, activities and behaviours are associated with each role
17 and, in turn, how paid care work is shaped, defined, and regarded in social care settings that
18 involve volunteers.
19
20
21

22 Emotional Labour 23

24
25 Aside from two volunteers at the support at home service (site 6), who had administrative
26 roles, all volunteers interacted with older people as part of their role. In the organisations
27 where volunteers were primarily used to augment existing services, this was the defining
28 feature of their role. One care worker at the retirement village (site 1), for example, described
29 volunteers as individuals who 'come and just chat...and give company', whilst care workers
30 were those who delivered 'personal care' (Site 1, Care Worker 4). Indeed, when asked to say
31 more about the purpose and content of the volunteer role, several participants at both sites
32 1 and 4 made a clear distinction between the chatting, befriending, and companionship role
33 of volunteers, and the physical or medical duties carried out by paid care or support workers.
34 Care worker 3 at site 1, for example, described the volunteer role as beyond 'giving care':
35
36
37
38

39 A volunteer is more of...a companion. Whereas we are the ones who do the personal
40 care. We do the intimate things, who would do the medication. I would never expect
41 a volunteer to do that. They're more of a companion than somebody who was giving
42 care...I would say they enhance people's lives more. (Site 1, Care Worker 3)
43
44
45

46 This notion of 'enhancement' and 'companionship' was clearly demarcated as distinct from
47 what the care worker above called 'the intimate things', such as personal care or the provision
48 of medication. Similarly, older people at these sites where volunteers had an augmenting role
49 often told us that they were able to talk to volunteers on a different level compared to the
50 paid care workers because volunteers were 'only there to chat to them'. According to a
51 volunteer coordinator at Site 1, volunteers tended to come from similar class backgrounds to
52 the older people receiving care and were explicitly matched with older people according to
53 the shared interests which they could talk about, such as sports or religion. Across all sites,
54 volunteers spoke about the careful efforts made to develop relationships with older people.
55 One volunteer at site 6 described his approach: 'when they relax, then I will become very
56 informal, very chatty, and I will match their conversation. It's called counselling, which...I was
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 trained to do in my paid work' (Site 6, Volunteer 7). Whilst this cultivation and management
2 of emotions is not unlike the emotional labour that has historically been a key component of
3 paid care work in England (Author's own, 2015), participants in this study described emotion
4 work as the responsibility of volunteers. One coordinator (Site 1, Manager 1), for example,
5 made a distinction between the 'more intimate' conversations between older people and
6 volunteers and the functional conversations which they had with care workers, which focused
7 on (physical) 'care needs'.
8
9

10 The primary driver for this – cited by managers, care workers, and volunteers – was time. In
11 a context where paid care workers do not have the time to perform duties and build
12 relationships, certain activities were reconfigured as under the remit of volunteers. It was
13 unquestioned that (trained) paid care workers would carry out physical tasks, but we heard
14 'they haven't got the time' to talk with older people receiving care or to 'sit and do a
15 crossword or have a cup of tea' with residents (Manager 1, Site 1). Though residents at site 5,
16 the care home with nursing, said that they could not always tell the difference between paid
17 care workers and volunteers, the care home manager described a similar distinction between
18 the role of paid care workers and volunteers, who did 'the nice bits':
19
20
21
22
23

24 The care staff can sometimes be very task-orientated. I don't think it's an intention.
25 It's the fact that they come on duty, and there's the task is stuff that needs to be
26 done...and sometimes we fall short of the...meaningful social interaction and the
27 stimulation and engagement...this is where the volunteer plugs that gap for us...I'm
28 not saying it's right, but...they can come in and do what we often say they can do the
29 'nice bits'. (Site 5, Manager 1)
30
31
32
33

34 Such task-oriented approaches to caregiving, which neglect notions of holistic care, have long
35 been reported in the UK and US; indicating that work 'speed-up' leads care workers to focus
36 on the 'hands-on' tasks required to produce 'clean, orderly, quiet resident[s]' (Lee-Treweek
37 1997: 54). Baines' (2004) work in Canada examines how processes of standardisation,
38 routinisation, and work speed-up, alongside the widespread use of volunteers, deskill care
39 work and remove opportunities for paid care workers to build positive relationships with
40 recipients of care.
41
42
43

44 In this study, unlike paid care workers, unpaid volunteers were seen as working 'flexibly' and
45 in a 'personalised' manner (Manager 2, Site 1) and offering 'more practical and emotional
46 support' (Manager 4, Site 6). The relationship between volunteers and older people,
47 particularly at sites 1 and 4, was commonly described as more like a 'friendship', with many
48 participants highlighting the crucial role that volunteers – who are 'in no hurry to go' (Older
49 Person 3, Site 1) – play in reducing loneliness. As one care worker said, it enables volunteers
50 to 'form a more personal relationship with somebody' to make them 'feel valued and give
51 them that sense of belonging'; this is not possible for care workers as they are 'rushed' with
52 other duties (Care Worker 4, Site 5).
53
54
55
56

57 In several sites, discursively at least, emotion-centred aspects of care became the mainstay
58 of the volunteer role and were not described as a key part of paid care work. One problem
59 with allocating emotion work to volunteers is the potential emotional burden it places on
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 them, especially if an older person with whom the volunteer interacts has high psychological
2 needs, is unwell, or dies. This burden was discussed by several volunteers and had led one
3 volunteer at site 6 to seek formal counselling. Another consequence is that the 'emotional'
4 aspects of paid care work are at risk of being undermined and hollowed out. Care work
5 requires emotional labour and acts of emotional labour require skill and experience (Author's
6 own, 2015). By making the emotional elements of caregiving the responsibility of volunteers,
7 there is a threat of reducing paid care work to a series of physical tasks to be performed and
8 formalised, and of regarding the 'emotional' aspects of caregiving as unworthy of recognition
9 and remuneration.
10

11 Personal Care 12

13 Whilst there was a great deal of variation in what was included in the volunteer role across
14 the seven sites, there was one activity which almost all volunteers whom we spoke to
15 described as strictly outside of their role: personal care. This was described by paid care
16 workers as 'assisting the [older person] out of bed...washing, showering, getting dressed,
17 giving any medication' (Site 1, Care Worker 1). At sites where volunteers assisted paid
18 members of staff and sometimes filled gaps in provision, personal care was often the primary
19 means of distinguishing between the role of volunteers and paid workers. When asked if there
20 was a key difference between the activities that paid workers and volunteers do, a
21 coordinator at Site 2 said:
22

23 I know they won't be doing any personal care. They won't be going with somebody to
24 the toilet etcetera. It's very much, I think, providing those extra hands that extra
25 person for empathetic kind of communication. (Site 2, Manager 2)
26

27 Previous research in Northern Europe similarly reports that there are often clear boundaries
28 between volunteer and paid roles when it comes to personal or medical care, even if there is
29 less clarity when it comes to who performs other tasks (Verhoeven and Van Bonchove 2018).
30 Volunteers at many of the settings that we visited insisted on establishing that their role did
31 not include personal care. For example, one volunteer said:
32

33 There are definitely some aspects of the job, the things the paid members of staff have
34 to do, that I don't know if I would be particularly comfortable doing...things involving
35 personal care, like toilet-related issues and stuff. (Site 2, Volunteer 3)
36

37 Others worried that such intimate activities would threaten their relationships with older
38 people; they are 'just there to have a chat' rather than providing physical support or
39 medication (Site 1, Volunteer 6). The discomfort expressed by participants about carrying out
40 direct care in our study appeared to be based upon its assumed 'dirty' nature (Stacey 2005).
41 It seemed that it was the intimacy and exposure to 'dirt'), rather than any perceived skill
42 involved in these activities, that volunteers felt should be remunerated. It is perhaps for this
43 reason that volunteers also described domestic cleaning as beyond their remit.
44

45 In Stacey's (2005: 849) study, paid care workers derived dignity from their work by reporting
46 a sense of pride, and even moral authority, from undertaking 'dirty work', work that 'most
47

1 people won't even talk about, let alone perform'. However, in expressing this dignity, care
2 workers emphasised the relational nature of their work, including the (often intimate)
3 interactions and constant contact which they had with the recipients of their care, as well as
4 the resulting bonds formed. The question remains, then, whether care workers can still find
5 dignity in 'dirty' work if the relational elements of caregiving are relegated to volunteers.
6

7 8 Unpaid Activities 9

10 The demarcation between the sped-up, task-based activities of paid care workers, and the
11 more social relationships which volunteers were able to build with older people, does not
12 mean that emotional labour was not performed by the care workers in this study. Indeed,
13 several care workers talked about the extra support they provided to older people which
14 could be categorised as emotional labour. When asked to describe her relationship with
15 residents, one care worker stated:
16
17

18
19 I tend to tailor my personality to the residents I know. Because you know who you can
20 be jolly, jolly, jolly, or calm, calm, calm. For example, this morning, somebody said to
21 me this morning, 'Do you know, you're the best getter upper I know.' Which is a lovely
22 thing to say, isn't it? (Site 1, Care Worker 2)
23
24

25
26 Care workers commonly detailed their attempts to build and maintain personal relationships
27 with older people and their attempts to put people receiving care at ease. Yet, care workers
28 did not perceive that these activities were recognised or valued, and they also described
29 performing such activities outside of paid working hours. We know from previous research
30 that paid care workers often give their time voluntarily, not least because of implicit threats
31 to their continued employment (Author's own, 2015). When asked how many hours she
32 worked a week, one care worker at Site 1 said:
33
34

35
36 Do you want the official or the unofficial?...Official it's about 35 hours a week.
37 Unofficial it can be anything...I don't count my hours. I am here for my team and for
38 the residents and if anything needs doing. (Site 1, Care Worker 3)
39
40

41 Some care workers went as far as defining the older people whom they provided care to as
42 'like family', with care worker 3 (site 1) saying 'we treat people how we would like to be
43 treated and how our family, we would like our mothers and fathers would want to be treated'.
44 This same care worker adds that these are duties 'we don't charge for'. As well as regularly
45 not being costed into older people's care fees, activities such as talking and interacting were
46 sometimes expected to take place outside of care workers' paid shifts. In some cases, care
47 workers were openly encouraged to volunteer in their spare time by managers – that is, urged
48 to undertake more interpersonal activities *unpaid and outside of (paid) work hours*. The
49 Managing Director at Site 3, which operated a home care service as well as a day centre,
50 claimed:
51
52

53
54 Although I am a paid staffer, I do volunteer work as well myself. On weekends or
55 during unsocial hours, I try to do at least four hours a week...with people who are very
56 lonely and predominantly through home care. Home care service is very task
57 orientated, staff don't have time to sit down and talk to them...I will go in and talk to
58
59
60
61

1 them and make them a cup of tea and then they talk to me about their life stories (Site
2 3, Manager 3)

3
4 When asked if any paid care workers also volunteered, the manager replied that a few did,
5 but this has 'dropped down'; 'I went to my last meeting and I told my home care staff "there
6 are about forty-five, fifty of you, if each one of you gives one hour a week, I have fifty
7 hours...and I can send you to someone who is local to your area". Practices at this
8 organisation, a day centre for members of an ethnic minority group, arguably reflect those at
9 other BAME voluntary organisations in the UK that have 'developed innovative, cost-effective
10 and sometimes unconventional ways of working...[resulting] in accessible, acceptable,
11 culturally sensitive services' (Tilki *et al* 2015). One offshoot of these practices, however, is that
12 they deliver a clear message to paid workers that (unpaid) volunteering constitutes a viable
13 and recommended option for developing and sustaining personal relationships with
14 recipients of care. As previously reported, care work organisations have increasingly relied
15 upon the altruism of paid workers to perform unpaid volunteer work and fill 'the caring gap'
16 (Baines 2004: 268). In other cases, a blurring of the boundaries between paid work and non-
17 work, and the implicit expectation that paid workers carry out unpaid 'voluntary' tasks to do
18 their job well, is consciously built into management strategies (Baines, Cunningham and
19 Shields 2017).
20
21
22
23
24

25 Discussion and conclusion

26 This article has argued that the cultivation and maintenance of boundaries between paid care
27 workers and (unpaid) volunteers in social care settings presents challenges for both parties.
28 We found that involving volunteers, even to enhance and augment existing services, was
29 never without consequence. Recruiting volunteers as 'befrienders' threatened to degrade
30 and relegate the emotional labour of paid care workers to volunteers. Research on emotional
31 labour/work predominantly focuses upon paid care workers. We contend, thus, that a major
32 contribution of this article is recognising how volunteers assume and perform such
33 responsibilities as part of their role, a feat rarely acknowledged within work on social care
34 volunteering.
35
36
37
38
39

40 In our study, the tasks of conversing, and building personal relationships, with older people
41 was cast as outside the realm of paid care workers. It was not that paid care workers did not
42 perform such activities, but rather that when they did, they were not financially or
43 symbolically valued. This resulted in some care workers enrolling as volunteers in their places
44 of employment. This reflects a gendered, racialised, and classed division of labour, where
45 women's work (and particularly the work of migrant women in the UK) becomes largely
46 invisible and poorly remunerated (Tronto 1993). As Baines, Dulhunty and Charlesworth (2021)
47 remind us, unpaid work is common and pivots on the perception that women's capacity to
48 care is elastic, innate, and expandable – in the community, home, or workplace.
49
50
51
52

53 In the case of service provision for older people in England, sustained pressure on the sector
54 to cut costs, whilst also responding to increased needs, is perhaps leading care itself to be re-
55 visualised and redefined. Volunteers are an important part of this story. Making emotion work
56 the remit of volunteers, rather than paid care workers, contracts the boundaries of paid care
57 so that it includes little more than 'bodywork' (Twigg 2000) or 'dirty work' (Stacey 2005), that
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 is, direct personal caregiving activities. The 'softer' aspects of caregiving are subsequently
2 undermined. Volunteers were directed away from physical, bodily caregiving activities, which
3 were viewed as the duty of paid workers. Rather than deriving dignity from these activities,
4 or them being overlooked (Twigg 2000), they became seen as care workers' primary duty,
5 thereby negating and concealing other (emotion-based) aspects of paid care. This relegation
6 of emotional labour risks reducing care workers' interactions with older people to
7 transactions, where physical tasks are performed *on bodies* rather than *with people*. That is,
8 the explicit imperative that volunteers are *befrienders* – and, by extension, are providing a
9 nice extra rather than 'care' itself – sends a troubling message that interpersonal relationships
10 between paid care workers and care recipients are unnecessary. Indeed, rather than being
11 afforded the resources needed to provide good care, care workers are, in these instances,
12 placed in an untenable position where they feel compelled to provide unpaid care. This
13 further masks the impact of austerity on older people's care and further devalues paid care
14 work.
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 It was not the case, then, that erecting and sustaining clear boundaries between the roles of
22 volunteers and paid care workers constituted a faultless strategy. While it would be
23 impossible to eliminate all role ambiguities, however, we do need to be conscious of the
24 consequences of such boundary-work. As well as devaluing and disregarding the labour of
25 (frequently female) paid care workers, the inclusion of volunteers in adult social care,
26 particularly when not formalised, threatens the possibility of exploitation. We recognise in
27 this article how, at times, volunteers breach the boundary between paid care worker and
28 volunteer to perform direct, bodily caregiving activities. Though rare, this may occur more if
29 volunteers start to assist (and sometimes replace), rather than augment, the roles of paid care
30 workers. Following her study of social services in Canada, Baines (2004) concluded that the
31 sector's increasing use of unpaid volunteers both reflected and permitted the deskilling and
32 exploitation of paid care workers. High levels of reliance on volunteers had the potential to
33 'depress wages and benefits, and increase workload demands as workers face the daily reality
34 that they could be replaced by someone who works for free' (Baines 2004: 283). Our study
35 shows that a similar process may be happening in the English care sector, and that this is
36 perhaps more of a slippery slope – where volunteers take on increasing aspects of the paid
37 care role, which is gradually reduced and redefined – than a clear-cut replacement of paid
38 care workers with unpaid volunteers.
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 The opportunity to access secure and well-paid care work is diminished in a context of UK
46 austerity where public sector work is subject to cuts, outsourcing, and privatisation. This is
47 most likely to impact upon women, and particularly migrant women who often occupy such
48 roles (Anderson and Schutes 2014). Indeed, certain women – and particularly migrant and
49 working-class women – may have little choice other than to accept roles that are insecure and
50 poorly-remunerated (or to be unemployed). Volunteering, however, is often premised on
51 freedom to choose; people *volunteer* their time and energies, reportedly without coercion or
52 necessity (reasons may include a desire for increased social contact or to 'give back' to the
53 community, as some volunteers in our study explained). What is interesting is that
54 volunteering roles in social care are also frequently undertaken by women (Overgaard 2015).
55 This appeared to be the case in our study, where 27 of the 39 volunteers were female. The
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 de-professionalisation of paid care work may mean that more caregiving is carried out
2 without pay by those often without sufficient power and resources. Indeed, the willingness
3 to work unpaid and unflinchingly on behalf of others echoes naturalised notions of women as
4 willing to care and make sacrifices for others regardless of personal or financial implications
5 (Baines, Cunningham and Shields 2017). Unpaid work, in an era of austerity, becomes both
6 compulsory and normalised. This shows how future work on volunteering and care work must
7 continue to attend to matters of gender, race, and class (e.g. Tronto 1993).
8
9

10 Naylor *et al* (2013) also warn that, if not addressed, the use of volunteers to substitute for
11 paid roles risks undermining the valuable contribution that volunteers make to social care.
12 Indeed, several volunteers in Naylor *et al*'s (2013) UK study explicitly said that they would
13 withdraw from their voluntary roles if they believed they were inadvertently affecting the
14 availability of paid roles. Paradoxically, then, it may be that the more we rely on volunteers
15 to carry out tasks previously undertaken by paid care workers, the further we diminish the
16 supply of volunteers which the sector has come to rely on. As Naylor et al. (2013) remind us,
17 there is no universal answer to the question of where the boundary should be drawn between
18 professional and volunteer roles. However, future research and policy making must recognise
19 that the impact of such boundaries is substantial and we must continually reflect on the
20 complications and contradictions which volunteer involvement in social care for older people
21 raises.
22
23
24
25
26

27 References

28 Anderson, B. and Shutes, I. 2014. *Migration and Care Labour: Theory, Policy and Politics*.
29 Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
30

31 Baines D. 2004. Caring for Nothing: Work Organization and Unwaged Labour in Social
32 Services. *Work, Employment and Society* 18(2):267-295.
33

34 Baines, D., Cunningham, I., Shields, J. (2017) Filling the gaps: Unpaid (and precarious) work in
35 the nonprofit social services. *Critical Social Policy* 37(4):625-645.
36

37 Baines, D., Dulhunty, A. and Charlesworth, S., 2021. Relationship-Based Care Work, Austerity
38 and Aged Care. *Work, Employment and Society*, p.0950017020980985.
39

40 Braun, V. and Clarke, V., 2006. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in*
41 *Psychology* 3(2):77-101
42

43 Cameron, A., Johnson, E.K., Lloyd, L., Willis, P.B. and Smith R. (2021) The contribution of
44 volunteers in social care services for older people. *Voluntary Sector Review*. 13 (2): 260-277.
45

46 Cameron, A., Johnson, E.K., Willis, P.B., Lloyd, L. and Smith R. (2020) Exploring the role of
47 volunteers in social care for older adults. *Quality in Ageing and Older Adults*. 21 (2): 29-39.
48

49 Charlesworth, S., Baines, D. and Cunningham, I., 2015. 'If I had a family, there is no way that I
50 could afford to work here': Juggling paid and unpaid care work in social services. *Gender,*
51 *Work & Organization* 22(6):596-613.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Dodson, L. and Zinbarg, R. 2007. "It's like a family": caring labor, exploitation, and race in nursing homes. *Gender and Society* 21(6):905–928.

Duffy, M. and Armenia, A., 2019. *Paid care work around the globe: A comparative analysis of 47 countries prepared for UN women* (No. 758). LIS Working Paper Series.

Eikenberry, A.M., 2019. Discourses of Volunteering and Civic Action in the USA. *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations* 30(1):54-61.

Gospel, H. 2015. Varieties of qualifications, training, and skills in long-term care: a German, Japanese, and UK Comparison. *Human Resource Management* 54(5):833–850.

Hoad, P. (2002), Drawing the line: The boundaries of volunteering in the community care of older people. *Health and Social Care in the Community* 10:239–246.

Hochschild, A.R. (1983) *The Managed Heart: Commercialization of Human Feeling*. London: University of California Press.

Hussein, S. and Manthorpe, J., 2005. An international review of the long-term care workforce: policies and shortages. *Journal of aging & social policy* 17(4):75-94.

Hussein, S., Ismail, M. and Manthorpe, J. 2016. Changes in turnover and vacancy rates of care workers in England from 2008 to 2010: panel analysis of national workforce data. *Health and Social Care in the Community* 24(5):547-556.

Lee-Treweek, G. 1997. Women, resistance and care: an ethnographic study of nursing auxiliary work. *Work, Employment and Society* 11(1):47-63.

Lightman, N. and Kevins, A., 2019. Bonus or burden? Care work, inequality, and job satisfaction in eighteen European countries. *European Sociological Review* 35(6):825-844.

McAllum, K., 2018. Volunteers as boundary workers: negotiating tensions between volunteerism and professionalism in nonprofit organizations. *Management Communication Quarterly* 32(4):534-564.

Naylor C, Mundle C, Weaks L, Buck D., 2013. *Volunteering in Health and Care: Securing A Sustainable Future*. London: Kings Fund.

Overgaard, C., 2015. The boundaries of care work: a comparative study of professionals and volunteers in Denmark and Australia. *Health & social care in the community* 23(4):380-388.

Overgaard, C., Petrovski, E. and Hermansen, J., 2018. Volunteer care workers: A case for challenging resource theories on volunteering. *Journal of Civil Society* 14(2):153-172.

Rubery, J., Hebson, G., Grimshaw, D., Carroll, M., Smith, L., Marchington, L. and Ugarte, S. 2011. *The Recruitment and Retention of a Care Workforce for Older People*. Manchester: European Work and Employment Research Centre (EWERC).

Smith, P. (2012) *The Emotional Labour of Nurses Revisited: Can Nurses Still Care?*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Stacey, C.L. 2005. Finding dignity in dirty work: the constraints and rewards of low-wage home care labour. *Sociology of Health and Illness* 27(6):831-854.

1 Tilki, M., Thompson, R., Robinson, L., Bruce, J., Chan, E., Lewis, O., Chinegwundop, F., Nelson,
2 H. (2015) The BME third sector: marginalised and exploited. *Voluntary Sector Review* 6(1):93-
3 101

4
5 Tingvold, L., Skinner, M.S. (2019) Challenges in the coordination of volunteer activities in long-
6 term care services. *International Journal of Care and Caring* 3(3):339-358.
7

8 Tronto, J. (1993) *Moral boundaries: A political argument for an ethics of care*. New York:
9 Routledge.

10
11 Twigg, J. 2000. Carework as a form of bodywork. *Ageing and Society* 20(4):389-411.

12
13 Van Bochove, M., Tonkens, E., Verplanke, L. and Roggeveen, S., 2018. Reconstructing the
14 professional domain: Boundary work of professionals and volunteers in the context of social
15 service reform. *Current Sociology* 66(3):392-411.
16
17

18
19 Verhoeven, I. and Van Bochove, M., 2018. Moving away, toward, and against: How front-line
20 workers cope with substitution by volunteers in Dutch care and welfare services. *Journal of*
21 *Social Policy*, 47(4):783-801.
22
23

24 25 26 [Funding details](#)

27 This work was supported by the NIHR School for Social Care under Grant Number
28 C088/CM/UBDA-P119. The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and
29 not necessarily those of the NIHR School for Social Care Research or the Department of Health
30 and Social Care, NIHR or NHS.
31
32

33
34
35
36 The Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table 1: Sample Composition

Site	Managers	Volunteers	Care Staff	Older People
1	2	6	4	3
2	2	5	4	4
3	3	5	1	5
4	2	6	0	6
5	1	4	4	4
6	5	7	1	0
7	2	6	0	2