



Chatzilena, A., Hyams, C., Challen, R. J., Marlow, R. D., King, J. N., McGuinness, S., Maskell, N. A., Oliver, J. L., Finn, A. H. R., & Danon, L. (2024). Winter 2022–23 influenza vaccine effectiveness against influenza-related hospitalised aLRTD: A test-negative, case-control study. *Vaccines*, 42(23), Article 126073.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2024.06.040>

Peer reviewed version

License (if available):
CC BY

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1016/j.vaccine.2024.06.040](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2024.06.040)

[Link to publication record on the Bristol Research Portal](#)
PDF-document

This is the accepted author manuscript (AAM) of the article which has been made Open Access under the University of Bristol's Scholarly Works Policy. The final published version (Version of Record) can be found on the publisher's website. The copyright of any third-party content, such as images, remains with the copyright holder.

University of Bristol – Bristol Research Portal

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/brp-terms/>

1 **Winter 2022-23 influenza vaccine effectiveness against influenza-related hospitalised**

2 **aLRTD: a test-negative, case-control study**

3 Anastasia Chatzilena^{1,2*}, Catherine Hyams^{2*}, Rob Challen^{1,2}, Robin Marlow², Jade King³,
4 Serena McGuinness², Nick Maskell⁴, Jennifer Oliver², Adam Finn^{2*}, Leon Danon^{1,2*}, on
5 behalf of The AvonCAP Research Group⁵

6 1. School of Engineering Mathematics and Technology, University of Bristol, Bristol, United
7 Kingdom

8 2. Bristol Vaccine Centre, Schools of Population Health Sciences and Cellular and Molecular
9 Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

10 3. Clinical Research and Imaging Centre, UHBW NHS Trust, Bristol, United Kingdom

11 4. Academic Respiratory Unit, University of Bristol, Southmead Hospital, Bristol, United
12 Kingdom

13 5. The members of the group are listed under Acknowledgements

14 * These authors contributed equally to this work and share first/last authorship.

15

16 Corresponding Author: Leon Danon, PhD
17 Engineering Mathematics, University of Bristol
18 University Walk
19 Bristol BS8 1TW
20 United Kingdom
21 +44(0)117 455 7828
22 l.danon@bristol.ac.uk

23

24 Keywords: influenza, respiratory infection, vaccination

25

26

27

28

29 **Background**

30 Influenza activity in the UK started early during the winter 2022-23 season, with most
31 surveillance systems reporting high levels of hospitalisation, intensive care unit influenza
32 admission and GP influenza-like illness (ILI) consultation rates. Laboratory confirmed
33 positivity rates were comparable to those seen pre-pandemic, between the end of November
34 2022 and the end of January 2023, exceeding 25% as they did during the 2019-2020 season
35 [1,2]. Annual vaccination against influenza is recommended in the UK to eligible higher-risk
36 groups: adults ≥ 65 years(y); children and adults in at-risk groups (including during
37 pregnancy); and, pre-school, primary and secondary school-aged children[3]. However, in
38 2022-23 the offer of seasonal influenza immunisation was extended to healthy 50-64y
39 olds[4]. The vaccines used were quadrivalent, containing one influenza A(H1N1) virus, one
40 influenza A(H3N2) virus, one influenza B/Victoria lineage virus, and one influenza
41 B/Yamagata lineage virus[5]. Public health measures aiming to reduce the transmission of
42 SARS-CoV-2 had affected the transmission of respiratory viruses like influenza during the
43 previous two seasons, with the 22-23 season being the first one where social mixing returned
44 to pre-pandemic levels. Systematic monitoring of the effectiveness of the seasonal flu vaccine
45 (VE) is a public health priority as influenza activity returns to pre-pandemic levels.

46

47 **Methods**

48 VE against hospitalised virologically-confirmed influenza was estimated using a test-negative
49 case-control design (TND), with the study population consisting of patients with
50 signs/symptoms of respiratory infection, aged ≥ 18 y hospitalised with an acute lower
51 respiratory tract disease (aLRTD) between 1st October 2022 and 31st March 2023, in North
52 Bristol and University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Trusts [AvonCAP:

53 ISRCTN17354061]. Study eligible patients were identified from the medical admission list,
54 and data were collected from medical records using REDCap. Test-positive and test-negative
55 patients were identified using the results of standard-of-care NP swabs tested for influenza by
56 RT-PCR in the local UKHSA clinical microbiology lab using either the Hologic Panther
57 Fusion platform or the BioFire Diagnostics system. Patients hospitalised with aLRTD and a
58 positive admission influenza test result were classified as cases while those with a negative
59 result were classified as controls. To reduce the chance of including potentially false negative
60 admission influenza tests, patients with symptoms starting >10-days prior to admission were
61 excluded. Participants with current or suspected COVID-19 infection or previous proven
62 COVID-19 infection within the last 28 days were excluded from the analysis[6]. Additional
63 subgroup analysis was performed by including patients hospitalised with aLRTD only
64 through the Emergency Department.

65 Participants who had documented record of seasonal influenza vaccine administration in 22-
66 23 (vaccination between 1 September 2022 and 31 March 2023) were defined as vaccinated,
67 while those without record of vaccination in the 22-23 season were categorised as
68 unvaccinated. We define immunisation as having received the vaccine with >14 days having
69 elapsed between the vaccine and symptom onset, excluding those vaccinated <14 days before
70 their symptom onset.

71 Under the assumptions of the TND, we estimated the overall VE against influenza A and B
72 combined by comparing the odds of testing positive for influenza among vaccinated versus
73 unvaccinated participants, defining adjusted VE as $(1 - aOR) \times 100$, where aOR is the adjusted
74 odds ratio of testing positive among vaccinated participants compared with unvaccinated
75 participants using a multivariable logistic regression analysis adjusting for age, sex, ethnicity,
76 index of multiple deprivations (IMD) decile rank, Charlson comorbidity index (CCI),

77 smoking status, presence of pre-existing respiratory disease, presence of cardiovascular
78 disease, seasonal covid vaccination (autumn 2022 booster programme: 5 September 2022 -
79 12 February 2023) and week of admission (spline function).

80

81

82 **Results**

83 During the study period, 2,972 adult aLRTD hospitalisations occurred in Bristol, UK and
84 were eligible for this analysis. Among 196 (6.6%) who tested influenza positive, 189 were
85 influenza A virus subtypes and 7 were influenza B. Among the study sample, 47% of
86 influenza-positive patients (cases) had received a seasonal influenza vaccine, compared with
87 63% of influenza-negative patients (controls). The characteristics of cases and controls are
88 listed in Table 1. The overall adjusted VE against influenza-associated hospitalisation, as
89 shown in Table 2, was 55.9% (95% confidence interval [CI]: 31.4-71.5). Subgroup analysis
90 of aLRTD hospitalisations through the Emergency Department resulted in overall adjusted
91 VE against influenza-associated hospitalisation of 53.8% (95% CI: 24.3-71.6).

92

93 **Discussion**

94 In this analysis, we consider the effectiveness of seasonal influenza vaccination during the
95 2022-2023 season when the incidence of flu cases surpassed the levels observed in the
96 COVID-19 pandemic influenza seasons (2020-21, 2021-22). Our findings suggest that the
97 influenza vaccine programme in the UK provided substantial protection, reducing the risk of
98 hospitalised virologically-confirmed influenza by 56% among all adults, mainly against

99 circulating influenza A viruses and more specifically influenza H3N2 which accounted for
100 the majority of subtyped influenza A according to surveillance data for the UK. Notably, the
101 vaccines administered during this period included the dominant strain of influenza,
102 suggesting that it contributed to its effectiveness. Our estimates demonstrate higher values in
103 comparison to other studies. In a hospital emergency care department setting in England[7],
104 the overall VE has been estimated as 30% (95% CI: 21-38) and UKHSA[2] reported the age-
105 group-specific VE against influenza-associated hospitalisation following an emergency
106 department visit in England to be 32% (95% CI:13-47%) in 18-64y and 28% (95% CI:15-39)
107 in >65y. Primarily, this could be explained by the imperfect sensitivity and specificity of the
108 diagnostic tests used for the detection of influenza in these studies, which results in the
109 underestimation of vaccine effectiveness[8]. Secondly, our study employs a prospective
110 approach with thorough case ascertainment, minimizing the bias by inclusively capturing all
111 patient groups, which may also contribute to higher vaccine effectiveness estimates.

112 Vaccination remains one of the most effective ways to prevent influenza-associated severe
113 outcomes like hospitalisation.

114

115 **Ethics and permissions**

116 The Health Research Authority Research Ethics Committee (East of England, Essex),
117 REC20/EE/0157 approved this study, including using Section 251 of the 2006 NHS Act
118 under Confidentiality Advisory Group authorisation.

119

120 **Role of the funding source**

121 This study was conducted as a collaboration between The University of Bristol (study
122 sponsor) and Pfizer (study funder). The study funder did not undertake any data collection,
123 data analysis or manuscript preparation.

124

125 **Data Sharing**

126 The data used in this study are sensitive and cannot be made publicly available without
127 breaching patient confidentiality rules. The data dictionary is therefore unavailable.

128 **Acknowledgements:** We thank Jennifer Nguyen, Joanna Southern, Bradford Gessner, John
129 McLaughlin, Gillian Ellsbury, Kaijie Pan, Luis Jodar, Elizabeth Begier, the UKHSA Vaccine
130 Effectiveness Working Group and the University of Bristol UNCOVER group for guidance
131 in study design and data analysis. We thank colleagues for their support with this study,
132 including Rachel Davies, Paul Savage, Emma Foose, Susan Christie, Mark Mummé, and
133 Adam Taylor. We also thank the research teams at North Bristol and University Hospitals of
134 Bristol and Weston NHS Trusts for making this study possible, including Helen Lewis-
135 White, Rebecca Smith, Rajeka Lazarus, Mark Lyttle, Kelly Turner, Jane Blazebly, Diana
136 Benton, and David Wynick and all participants of the many studies undertaken to find
137 effective vaccines against SARS-CoV-2. LD and RC gratefully acknowledge support from
138 UKRI through the JUNIPER consortium (grant number MR/V038613/1). LD is further
139 supported through MRC (grant number MC/PC/19067), EPSRC (EP/V051555/1).

140

141 **Conflict of interest:** CH is Principal Investigator of the AvonCAP study which is an
142 investigator-led University of Bristol study funded by Pfizer and has previously received
143 support from the NIHR in an Academic Clinical Fellowship. JO and LD are Co-Investigators

144 on the AvonCAP Study. AF is a member of the Joint Committee on Vaccination and
145 Immunization (JCVI) and, until December 2022 was chair of the World Health Organization
146 European Technical Advisory Group of Experts on Immunization (ETAGE) committee. In
147 addition to receiving funding from Pfizer as Chief Investigator of this study, he leads another
148 project investigating transmission of respiratory bacteria in families jointly funded by Pfizer
149 and the Gates Foundation. The other authors have no relevant conflicts of interest to declare.

150

151 **Authors' contributions:** AC, CH, RC, RM, LD, JO, and AF generated the research
152 questions and analysis plan. CH, JK, SM and The AvonCAP team were involved in data
153 collection. AC, CH, RM, RC, LD, and AF undertook data analysis. All authors (AC, CH, RC,
154 RM, JK, SM, NM, JO, LD, AF) were involved in the final manuscript preparation and its
155 revisions before publication. The data was verified by CH, JK and SM. AF provided
156 oversight of the research.

157 **Collaborators:** The AvonCAP Research Group: Anna Morley, Amelia Langdon, Anabella
158 Turner, Anya Mattocks, Bethany Osborne, Charli Grimes, Claire Mitchell, David Adegbite,
159 Emma Bridgeman, Emma Scott, Fiona Perkins, Francesca Bayley, Gabriella Ruffino,
160 Gabriella Valentine, Grace Tilzey, James Campling, Johanna Kellett Wright, Julia
161 Brzezinska, Julie Cloake, Katarina Milutinovic, Kate Helliker, Katie Maughan, Kazminder
162 Fox, Konstantina Minou, Lana Ward, Leah Fleming, Leigh Morrison, Lily Smart, Louise
163 Wright, Lucy Grimwood, Maddalena Bellavia, Madeleine Clout, Marianne Vasquez, Maria
164 Garcia Gonzalez, Milo Jeenes-Flanagan, Natalie Chang, Niall Grace, Nicola Manning, Oliver
165 Griffiths, Pip Croxford, Peter Sequenza, Rajeka Lazarus, Rhian Walters, Robin Marlow,
166 Robyn Heath, Rupert Antico, Sandi Nammuni Arachchge, Seevakumar Suppiah, Taslima

167 Mona, Tawassal Riaz, Vicki Mackay, Zandile Maseko, Zoe Taylor, Zsolt Friedrich, Zsuzsa
168 Szasz-Benczur.

169

170 REFERENCES

- 171 [1] 'Surveillance of influenza and other seasonal respiratory viruses in the UK, winter 2022 to 2023', GOV.UK. Accessed:
172 Dec. 11, 2023. [Online]. Available: [https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/annual-flu-reports/surveillance-of-](https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/annual-flu-reports/surveillance-of-influenza-and-other-seasonal-respiratory-viruses-in-the-uk-winter-2022-to-2023)
173 [influenza-and-other-seasonal-respiratory-viruses-in-the-uk-winter-2022-to-2023](https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/annual-flu-reports/surveillance-of-influenza-and-other-seasonal-respiratory-viruses-in-the-uk-winter-2022-to-2023)
- 174 [2] Weekly national Influenza and COVID-19 surveillance report Week 14 report, Accessed: May 10, 2024. [Online].
175 Available: [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/64415bbd22ef3b000c66f677/Weekly_Flu_and_COVID-](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/64415bbd22ef3b000c66f677/Weekly_Flu_and_COVID-19_report_w14_-_CORRECTION.pdf)
176 [19_report_w14_-_CORRECTION.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/64415bbd22ef3b000c66f677/Weekly_Flu_and_COVID-19_report_w14_-_CORRECTION.pdf)
- 177 [3] '[ARCHIVED CONTENT] UK Government Web Archive - The National Archives'. Accessed: Dec. 20, 2023.
178 [Online]. Available:
179 [https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukgwa/20230515154700/https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/nati](https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukgwa/20230515154700/https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-flu-immunisation-programme-plan/national-flu-immunisation-programme-2022-to-2023-letter)
180 [onal-flu-immunisation-programme-plan/national-flu-immunisation-programme-2022-to-2023-letter](https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukgwa/20230515154700/https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-flu-immunisation-programme-plan/national-flu-immunisation-programme-2022-to-2023-letter)
- 181 [4] '[ARCHIVED CONTENT] UK Government Web Archive - The National Archives Update'. Accessed: Dec. 20, 2023.
182 [Online]. Available:
183 [https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukgwa/20230515154735/https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/nati](https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukgwa/20230515154735/https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-flu-immunisation-programme-plan/statement-of-amendments-to-annual-flu-letter-21-july-2022)
184 [onal-flu-immunisation-programme-plan/statement-of-amendments-to-annual-flu-letter-21-july-2022](https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukgwa/20230515154735/https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-flu-immunisation-programme-plan/statement-of-amendments-to-annual-flu-letter-21-july-2022)
- 185 [5] Green book chapter 19 Influenza Accessed: May 10, 2024. [Online]. Available:
186 [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/654cf306014cc90010677371/Green-book-chapter-19-influenza-](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/654cf306014cc90010677371/Green-book-chapter-19-influenza-3November2023.pdf)
187 [_3November2023.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/654cf306014cc90010677371/Green-book-chapter-19-influenza-3November2023.pdf)
- 188 [6] M. K. Doll, S. M. Pettigrew, J. Ma, and A. Verma, 'Effects of Confounding Bias in Coronavirus Disease 2019
189 (COVID-19) and Influenza Vaccine Effectiveness Test-Negative Designs Due to Correlated Influenza and COVID-19
190 Vaccination Behaviors', *Clin. Infect. Dis. Off. Publ. Infect. Dis. Soc. Am.*, p. ciac234, Mar. 2022, doi:
191 10.1093/cid/ciac234.
- 192 [7] E. Kissling *et al.*, 'Interim 2022/23 influenza vaccine effectiveness: six European studies, October 2022 to January
193 2023', *Eurosurveillance*, vol. 28, no. 21, p. 2300116, May 2023, doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2023.28.21.2300116.
- 194 [8] M. L. Jackson and K. J. Rothman, 'Effects of imperfect test sensitivity and specificity on observational studies of
195 influenza vaccine effectiveness', *Vaccine*, vol. 33, no. 11, pp. 1313–1316, Mar. 2015, doi:
196 10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.01.069.

197

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 9 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 9 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 9 pt