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The transport and diffusion properties for the velocity of a Fermi-Ulam model were characterized using the decay rate of the survival probability. The system consists of an ensemble of non interacting particles confined to move along and experience elastic collisions with two infinitely heavy walls. One is fixed, working as a returning mechanism of the colliding particles while the other one moves periodically in time. The diffusion equation is solved and the diffusion coefficient is numerically estimated by means of the averaged square velocity. Our results show remarkably good agreement of the theory and simulation for the chaotic sea below the first elliptic island in the phase space. From the decay rates of the survival probability, we obtained transport properties that can be extended to other nonlinear mappings, as well to billiard problems.

PACS numbers: 05.45.Pq, 05.45.Tp

We study the dynamics of an ensemble of non interacting particles moving constrained by two infinitely heavy walls, where one of then is moving periodically in time and the other is fixed. This problem, also known as Fermi-Ulam model, has application in many areas, including astrophysics, atom-optics, quantum mechanics, among others. The diffusive behaviour of the velocity, here set as the way the transport of orbits occurs in the phase space, is investigated considering transport properties obtained from the decay rate of the survival probability, defined by means of escape formalism. Since the system present mixed dynamics, stickiness phenomenon may influence the transport causing anomalous diffusion. In this study we developed an analytical approach for the diffusion coefficient along the transport through the chaotic sea considering escape rate formalism and survival probability analysis. The numerical results we obtained are in good agreement with the theory, and confirm the robustness of the formalism. The results obtained here can be extended to other similar dynamical systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

Typical dynamics of Hamiltonian systems are non-integrable and non-ergodic [1]. Such behavior, leads the system to present mixed phase space, with chaotic seas, invariant tori and Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser (KAM) islands [2]. For strongly chaotic systems, the dynamics has a normal diffusive behavior, where particles move freely in the phase space like a Brownian motion [1, 2]. In a nearly-integrable system, an initial condition started in the chaotic sea may present a very complicate behavior. Stability islands influence directly the dynamics generating anomalous effects in the transport properties for a chaotic orbit [3]. In fact, thanks to the presence of cantori (fractal dimension tori) [2], and due to labyrinth islands and chains of them, generated by resonances; orbits originated in the chaotic sea, may be trapped for long, but finite time intervals, around these stability structures. Such effect is caused by a dynamical trapping which is called stickiness [3, 4]. This finite trapping can cause irregular diffusion of particles where an intermittent behavior may occur, alternating between normal diffusion (chaotic behavior) and irregular (stickiness influence). The stickiness phenomenon was originally proposed in early 70’s, by Contopoulos [5] in his study about galaxy dynamics. Nowadays, sticky orbits lead to a new scenario of modern science, where anomalous transport and statistical properties can be obtained in the dynamics of systems in different areas of research such as plasmas [6, 7], acoustic [8], astronomy [9], biology [10], among others (See Ref.[11] for a review).

In this study we propose to use diffusion and decay rates of the survival probability to investigate transport in the chaotic dynamical regime of the Fermi-Ulam model (FUM) [12]. The FUM was originally proposed by Ulam in early 60’s [12], as an attempt to produce a prototype that could explain the Fermi Acceleration [13] (unbounded energy growth). The system consists of an ensemble of non interacting particles confined to move between two infinitely heavy walls, which the particles collide elastically. One wall is assumed to be fixed while the other one oscillates periodically in time. Despite the simple mechanics of the model, it leads to a complex variety of nonlinear phenomena in both conservative and dissipative dynamics [14–18]. Also, one may find applications of its dynamics in different areas of research as astrophysics [19], atom-optics [20, 21], quantum effects [22–24], experimental devices [25, 26], among others. The phase space of the system is mixed and contains both periodic islands...
surrounded by a chaotic sea which is limited by a set of invariant curves. The lower one is analytically obtained as function of the control parameter [27] and works as a barrier blocking a flow of particles through it. This implies that we have a finite portion of the phase space for orbits to diffuse, and hence we have a mixed phase space dynamics, we are interested in study how the diffusive process and transport occur for this region and if the survival probability analysis would give us transport coefficients related with the escape formalism already known in the literature [28–33].

In many scenarios, we are not interested in the individual behavior of an initial condition or particle, but rather, in the average properties of the system, particularly when an ensemble of particles is taken into account [28]. This is the main reason to consider statistical techniques to evaluate the description of dynamical phenomena [29–33]. An intuitive example is to drop some colored ink in water, and study how the particles of ink move far away from each other in the liquid surface when this is also moving. When a physical system is setup, a leakage can be considered as the introduction of a hole or even a barrier [34, 35]. We introduce a hole in the system, a pre-defined region, related to the dynamical variable in study, where orbits can escape through it [11, 34, 35]. One can say the probability density of an ensemble of initial conditions to survive this leaking is \( \rho(\vec{r}, t) \), where \( \vec{r} \) represents a generic dynamical variable in study, for example the action, where \( \rho = 0 \) in the hole. Note that this is an approximate, coarse grained description, averaging over less relevant variables (the angle \( \phi \) in our case). Consider we can separate the “dynamical region” in two parts: (i) particles that have escaped through the hole and; (ii) particles that still have not escaped. Hence we can define a current of flow for the escape. Of course systems that present mixed phase space, with irregular diffusion due stickiness influence, the decay can be slower, presenting a mix of exponential with a power law [11, 36], or stretched exponential decay [37, 38]. Indeed, when a non-exponential decay is observed the dynamics would require a long range correlation, as for example a consequence of stickiness influence [11]. An equally important aspect is that the escape rate can have a strong dependence on the position and size of the hole [39–41].

The investigation of the transport and of the diffusion properties of FUM is done by solving Eq. (1) considering boundary conditions for the escape in different hole positions along the phase space, particularly on the velocity axis. Considering an ensemble of particles, when a particle reaches a hole, we consider it has escaped, and the time evolution of other particle from the ensemble is started. An analytical expression is obtained for the diffusion coefficient as function of the expansion in Fourier series [42]. Our theoretical findings are compared with numerical simulations obtained via the average squared velocity. The agreement of the theory with the simulation for the lower region in the phase space is remarkably well confirming the robustness of the formalism. The formalism used could be extended to other systems described by discrete mappings, particularly the billiard dynamical systems.

The paper is organized as follows: in Sec. II we describe the Fermi-Ulam model, its dynamical and some of its chaotic properties. Section III is devoted to discuss the analytical procedure and to solve the partial differential equation given in Eq. (1). We also do a comparison of the numerical results with the theory, confirming a good agreement of the two. Finally in Sec. IV, we present our final remarks and conclusions.

II. THE MODEL AND THE MAPPING

We start describing the model under consideration. It consists of a particle, or equivalently of an ensemble of non interacting particles moving constrained by two infinitely heavy walls with an absence of gravitational field. Collisions are considered to be elastic, hence there is no fractional loss of energy upon collision. One wall is fixed at \( x = \ell \) and works as a returning mechanism for the particle to suffer a further collision with a moving wall. This is described by a periodic oscillating function of the type \( x_w(t) = \varepsilon \cos(w t) \), where \( \varepsilon \) and \( w \) are respectively the amplitude and the frequency of oscillation. The dissipative dynamics is not from interest in this paper although it has been considered via inelastic collisions where a restitution coefficient was introduced to simulate the fraction loss of energy upon collision of the particles with the wall [16]. Kinetic friction was also taken into account in the literature [43] as well as in-flight dissipation [44].

The dynamics of the system we are investigating is described by a two-dimensional, nonlinear, measure preserving discrete mapping for the variables velocity of the particle \( v \) and time \( t \) immediately after the \( n^{th} \) collision of the particle with the moving wall. There are two distinct versions of the dynamics known in the literature: (i) the complete version and; (ii) static wall approximation. The case (i), i.e., the complete version, takes into account the full motion of the moving wall, leading the instant of each collision to be obtained via solution of transcendental equations. The static wall approximation, marked by...
FIG. 1: Phase space for the Fermi-Ulam model described by mapping (2). The control parameters used were: (a) $\epsilon = 10^{-2}$; (b) $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$; and (c) $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$. The gray (red) curve marks the position of the first invariant spanning curve (FISC) in the phase space.

case (ii) assumes both walls are fixed, however, after the impact with the one on the left, the particle experiences an exchange of energy and momentum as if the wall was moving. With such an approximation, the transcendental equations no longer need to be solved and, at the same time, the nonlinearity of the problem is kept. Such a version was very useful long time ago when computers were far slow. It also gives the huge advantage of making the analytical discussions easier as compared to the complete model. The scaling properties observed in the simplified model [27] are also present in the complete version. In this paper and from this point and beyond, we consider only the complete version of the model. All of our analytical results were obtained using the complete model.

To construct the mapping, let us suppose the initial condition for a moving particle is $v_0$ and $t_0$. We also assume that at $t = t_0$, the position of the particle is at $\epsilon \cos(\omega t_0)$. There are three control parameters, $\epsilon$, $\ell$ and $\omega$, and that not all of them are relevant for the dynamics. It is then convenient to define dimensionless and hence a more convenient set of variables. We define $V_n = v_n/\omega \ell$, $\epsilon = \epsilon/\ell$ and finally measure the time in terms of the number of oscillations of the moving wall $\phi_n = \omega t_n$. Starting with an initial condition $(V_n, \phi_n)$ with initial position of the particle given by $x_p(\phi_n) = \epsilon \cos(\phi_n)$, the dynamics is evolved by a map $\tilde{T}$ which gives the pair $(V_{n+1}, \phi_{n+1})$ in the $(n+1)$th collision with the moving wall. Taking these into account, we end up with the following mapping

$$
\tilde{T} : \begin{cases} 
V_{n+1} = V_n^* - 2\epsilon \sin(\phi_{n+1}) \\
\phi_{n+1} = [\phi_n + \Delta T_n] \mod(2\pi)
\end{cases}
$$

(2)

The expressions for $V_n^*$ and $\Delta T_n$ depend on what kind of collision happens: (i) multiple collisions and; (ii) single collisions. The multiple collisions are such that, after the particle enters in the collision zone, $x \in [-\epsilon, +\epsilon]$ and hits the moving wall, before it leaves the collision zone, the particle suffers a second and hence multiple collision. Further collisions can also be observed. They indeed are less probably to be observed. This imply that the probability of observing a second successive collision is smaller than observing one. Observing three successive is smaller than observing two and so on. In fact, such probability has the form $P(n_{sr}) \propto n_{sr}^{-3.76}$, where $n_{sr}$ denotes the number of successive reflections. For a further discussion, see Ref. [45], which discusses such reflections in a periodically corrugated waveguide, a model who has topological similarities with the complete Fermi-Ulam model. The expressions for both $V_n^*$ and $\Delta T_n$ are given by $V_n^* = -V_n$ and $\Delta T_n = \phi_c$. The numerical value of $\phi_c$ is obtained as the smallest solution of the equation $G(\phi_c) = 0$ with $\phi_c \in (0, 2\pi]$, where the function $G(\phi_c)$ is written as

$$
G(\phi_c) = \epsilon \cos(\phi_n + \phi_c) - \epsilon \cos(\phi_n) - V_n^* \phi_c.
$$

(3)

Let us now discuss the origin of the function $G(\phi_c)$ and its physical implications. Between two collisions of
the particle with the moving wall, the particle travels with a constant velocity, thanks to the absence of any potential gradient along the way the particle goes. Thus, the position of the particle is given by a linear equation in time. Besides, the vibrating motion of the moving wall turns out impossible to find an analytical expression of the instant of the impact. Therefore, the function $G(\phi_c)$ is obtained as an attempt to account the condition that the position of the particle is the same as the position of the moving wall at the instant of the impact.

If the function $G(\phi_c)$ does not have a root in the interval $\phi_c \in (0, 2\pi)$, we concluded the particle left the collision zone and a multiple collision no longer happened.

Let us move on and consider now the case of single collisions. In this case, after a collision, the particle leaves the collision zone without a further collision. It returns back due to the fixed wall, which rebound it back to the moving wall. The corresponding expressions used in mapping (2) are $V^*_n = V_n$ and $\Delta T_n = \phi_n + \phi_t + \phi_c$, where the auxiliary terms are given by $\phi_n = (1 - \epsilon \cos(\phi_n))/V_n$ and $\phi_t = (1 - \epsilon)/V_n$. The expression of $\phi_t$ denotes the time that the particle spends travelling to the right-hand side until it hits the fixed wall. The particle thus suffers an elastic collision and is reflected backwards with velocity $-V_n$. The term $\phi_t$ denotes the time that the particle spends to enter the collision zone. Finally, $\phi_c$ is numerically obtained as the smallest solution of the equation $F(\phi_c) = 0$ with $F(\phi_c)$ given by

$$F(\phi_c) = \epsilon \cos(\phi_n + \phi_t + \phi_c) - \epsilon + V^*_n \phi_c.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The same discussion used for the function $G(\phi_c)$ also holds here for the function $F(\phi_c)$. Thus Eq. (4) comes from the condition that the position of the particle is the same as that of the moving wall at the instant of the impact.

Figure 1 shows the phase space for three different values of $\epsilon$ and considering 50 different initial conditions. One sees the phase space presents a mixed structure for all values of $\epsilon$. In evidence, there is an existence of the chaotic sea in the low energy regime (below the invariant spanning curve), and then a chain of islands appear as the velocity is increased. After that, the presence of a first invariant spanning curve (FISC), limiting the growth of the chaotic sea. The position of the FISC varies with $\epsilon$, and an analytical estimation for its position, by using a connection with well known standard mapping [2] can be found in Refs. [46]. Considering the results obtained in the above mentioned papers, the position of FISC is estimated as

$$V_{FISC} = 2 \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{K_c}} \approx 2 \sqrt{\epsilon},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\epsilon$ is the control parameter and $K_c \approx 0.9716\ldots$ is the critical value for the parameter in the standard map [47], where the system suffers a transition from local chaos to globally chaotic dynamics.

Analyzing the mixed phase space of the model we can see that, depending of the initial condition, distinct kinds of dynamics may be observed. If a particle has an initial velocity above $V_{FISC}$, it can not cross the curve downwards and stays forever confined to a region of local chaos. The dynamics can then be periodic or chaotic. On the other hand, if the particle has initial velocity below $V_{FISC}$, the particle has access to more regions in the phase space. This last scenario, shows itself more interesting to study, since the dynamical trapping producing the stickiness phenomenon is observed and affects the dynamics and hence the diffusion. Still, we can set that in this dynamical regime, there is a limited region for the particle to have access. The upper barrier is near $V_{FISC}$ and lower limit is chosen to be 0, although there are few observations of velocities reaching $V_n < 0$, mostly dominated by successive collisions. Therefore in practical terms, we consider the two limits $V_u = V_{FISC}$ and $V_d = 0$.

In this limited phase space, the period-one fixed points, $(V^*\phi^*)$, are given by

$$\phi^* = \begin{cases} 0 \\ \frac{\pi}{m} \end{cases}, V^* = \frac{1 - \epsilon \cos(\phi^*)}{m\pi},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The elliptical fixed points (stability islands) are characterized by $\phi^* = \pi$, and $V^* = (1 + \epsilon)/m\pi$, where $m$ is an integer $m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. They are elliptic as soon as the condition

$$m \geq \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{1 + \epsilon}{\epsilon}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

is matched.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we proceed with a statistical analysis for the dynamics of the model. Because of the sine function present in the mapping (2), a direct average over an ensemble of different $\phi$ is not convenient. Instead, we look at the squared velocity, hence allowing us to estimate the behavior of average squared velocity as function of $n$. We also discuss the decay rates for the survival probability and, using a solution of the diffusion equation, we find out an expression for the diffusion coefficient. Our numerical results confirm well the robustness of the theory giving a good agreement of the two.

A. Root mean square velocity ($V_{RMS}$)

To start with, let us investigate the behavior of the averaged square velocity over the dynamical evolution in the number of collisions. From the first equation of mapping (2), and after applying square from both sides we have $(V_{n+1})^2 = (V_n)^2 - 4V_n\epsilon \sin(\phi_{n+1}) + 4\epsilon^2 \sin^2(\phi_{n+1})$. Defining $(\Delta V)^2 = (V_{n+1})^2 - (V_n)^2$, and considering the
average in the interval $\phi \in [0, 2\pi]$ for the terms depending of the phase, which is zero for $\sin(\phi_{n+1})$, and $1/2$ for $\sin^2(\phi_{n+1})$, we end up with

$$\langle (\Delta V)^2 \rangle = 2\epsilon^2. \tag{8}$$

Note that we here neglect correlations between $\phi_{n+1}$ and $V_n$, since $V$ changes very little from one collision to the next.

In our dynamical analysis, we check the velocity properties between collisions. So, taking the expression of $\langle (\Delta V)^2 \rangle$ in the interval between collisions, we may interpret this interval as an integration variable [48, 49], where one may set that

$$\frac{(V_{n+1})^2 - (V_n)^2}{(n+1) - n} \approx \frac{\partial V^2}{\partial n}. \tag{9}$$

Integrating both sides, we obtain

$$\int_{V_0}^{V_n} dV^2 = \int_0^n 2\epsilon^2 \, dn \rightarrow (V^n)^2 = (V_0)^2 + 2\epsilon^2 n. \tag{10}$$

For a better statistics, we set $V_{RMS} = \sqrt{V^n}$. Then, an analytical expression for the velocity as a function of $n$ is

$$V_{RMS} = \sqrt{(V_0)^2 + 2\epsilon^2 n}, \tag{11}$$

where $V_0$ is the initial velocity. Of course this expression is not valid for any $n$, particularly the larger ones. Equation (11) is valid only for small $n$. Once the phase space is limited by invariant curves, an orbit in the low velocity regime can not reach regions above the invariant curve for long time dynamics. If we literally take Eq. (11), as $n$ is increased, $V_{RMS}$ should also grows infinitely, and that is not what happens.

We can estimate the window of validity of Eq. (11) by using Eq. (5). Indeed, when $V_{FISC} = V_{RMS}$, we can estimate the number of collisions, $n_x$, critical to where the Eq. (11) is valid. If we choose $V_0 \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $n_x \approx 2/\epsilon$. The relevant scaling for this crossover is given by $n_x \propto \epsilon^{-1}$, obtained here by simplest way and which is in well agreement with the result known from the literature [27].

Let us move ahead and discuss the numerical behavior for $V_{RMS}$ and hence, compare with Eq. (11). First we evaluated numerically the following expression

$$\nabla^2 = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n V_{i,j}^2, \tag{12}$$

where $M$ is the ensemble of initial conditions, and $n$ is the number of collisions. In a statistical point of view, we take the average of the velocity $V_{i,j}$ along the orbit running $j$ and also along the ensemble of initial conditions running $i$. Initial conditions were always chosen in the chaotic sea with a low initial velocity $V_0 \approx \epsilon$. This was made to warrant a maximum diffusion for a chaotic particle.

![FIG. 2: Plot of the curves for $V_{RMS}$ as a function of $n$ for different values of $\epsilon$. We notice the curves start to grow for short $n$ according to the correct scaling exponent $\beta = 1/2$ and then suffer a changeover after a crossover $n_x$ and bend towards a stationary state $V_{SS}$ for long times.](image)

Figure 2 shows the behavior for the curves of $V_{RMS}$ as function of $n$ evaluated over an ensemble of 2,000 initial conditions. Each one of them was evolved up to $10^7$ collisions with the moving wall. For large enough $n$, all curves approach to the stationary state marked by $V_{SS}$. Our result obtained in Eq. (11) shows that when $n$ is small and, considering a negligible initial velocity, i.e. $V_0 \approx 0$, all curves must diffuse with a $\sqrt{n}$. Hence $V_{RMS} \propto n^{\beta}$, where $\beta \approx 1/2$. For large enough $n$, the curves must converge to the stationary state, which scales as $V_{SS} \propto \epsilon^{1/2}$, as dictated by the position of the lowest invariant spanning curve. The crossing of $V_{SS}$ with $V_{RMS}$ gives a crossover $n_x \propto \epsilon^{-1}$, as we mentioned above.

### B. Transport and Diffusion

To discuss the diffusion, let us introduce properly a hole in the system. Indeed we set up a velocity $V_{hole} < V_{FISC}$ that is used to terminate the dynamics. Starting an initial condition with $V_0 \approx 0$, the dynamics evolves and the orbit starts its diffusion along the phase space. When it reaches and hence crosses $V_{hole}$, the dynamics is terminated, the number of collisions until that point
is annotated and a new initial condition, with the same velocity and different initial phase is started. The procedure repeats until all the ensemble is exhausted. The diffusion equation, written specifically to investigate the dynamics of the system described by Eq. (2) is written from Eq. (1), is given by
\[ \frac{\partial \rho(V, n)}{\partial n} = D \nabla^2 \rho(V, n), \tag{13} \]
where the generic action variable is now set as the particle’s velocity \( V \), and the time is measured as the number of collisions \( n \). The diffusion equation setup in (13), only holds for \( n \gg 1 \), since diffusive process occurs in the chaotic sea for long times. To solve Eq. (13), we use the method of separation variables for a partial differential equation, yielding to \( \rho(V, n) = U(V) T(n) \). So, applying this to Eq. (13), we obtain as solution for the \( n \) variable
\[ T(n) = C_1 e^{-\zeta n}, \tag{14} \]
where \( C_1 \) is a constant. Also, considering the equation related with the velocity, one may find
\[ \frac{U''(V)}{U(V)} = -\frac{\zeta}{D} = -\eta^2. \tag{15} \]

Equation (15) is an ordinary second order differential equation with constant coefficients. Solutions are given in terms of sines and cosines. The boundary conditions are \( \frac{\partial \rho(V, n)}{\partial V} = 0 \) where, when \( V = 0 \) we have \( U'(0) = 0 \); and \( \rho(V, n) = 0 \), when \( V = V_{\text{hole}} \). This condition sets that \( U(V_{\text{hole}}) = 0 \), where \( V_{\text{hole}} \) is the pre-defined escape velocity.

Physically, we can interpret the boundary conditions as being the conservation of particles of the initial ensemble since no particle escaped yet; and the division of the phase space, in orbits that escaped and orbits that did not escaped yet.

Incorporating these into Eq.(15) and considering only odd solutions, we end up with the condition \( \eta V_l = l \pi/2 \), where \( l = 1, 3, 5, \ldots \) is sum index of the Fourier series expansion. Since \( \eta^2 = \zeta/D \), we obtain \( D = (4V_{\text{hole}}^2 \zeta)/(l^2 \pi^2) \). Considering yet \( V_{\text{hole}} = h \) and a change in the notation of the sum index from the Fourier series expansion, from \( l/2 \) to \( (k + 1/2) \), where odd and even terms are considered, one can obtain [42]
\[
\rho(V, n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k \cos \left[ \frac{V_h \pi}{h} \left( k + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \times \exp \left[ -\frac{\pi^2 D n}{h^2} \left( k + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right], \tag{16} 
\]
where the diffusion coefficient is defined as
\[
D = \frac{4h^2 \zeta_k}{\pi^2 (k + 1/2)^2}. \tag{17} 
\]

The expression given by Eq. (16) furnishes an analytical approximation for the survival probability, when a hole is introduced in the chaotic sea [42]. This expression holds for any value of \( k \), it just depends on how many terms one would consider in the Fourier series expansion. And for \( k = 0 \), one could obtain the slowest decay. Also, it is important to clarify, that the expression given in Eq. (16) describes well the behavior for the curves of \( P_{\text{surv}} \) only for an exponential decay. For the case, where it goes through a mixed phase space, the solution of the diffusion equations is more complicated, and is still considered an open problem.

C. Numerical Treatment

Let us now discuss our numerical results. When we consider transport properties and diffusion for chaotic dynamics [28–33], one can obtain the following expression
\[
\langle [r(n) - r(0)]^2 \rangle = \int r(n)^2 \rho(\vec{r}, n) d\vec{r} = 2Dn, \tag{18} 
\]
where \( r \) is the generic action variable of the system, \( D \) is the diffusion coefficient, \( n \) is the iteration number and \( \rho(\vec{r}, n) \) is the probability distribution of a system.

![FIG. 3: (a) Plot of the diffusion coefficient \( D \), Eq. (20) as a function of \( n \) for different values of \( \epsilon \), as labeled in the figure. (b) Plot of \( D \) vs \( \epsilon^2 \), where a power law fitting furnishes a slope \( \delta \approx 1 \), thus confirming the relation obtained in Eqs. (8) and (20).](image-url)
subsection, which is $\langle (\Delta V)^2 \rangle = 2\epsilon^2$. This procedure is made in order to obtain a numerical approximation for the diffusion coefficient. Thus, at each collision of the particle with the moving wall, we calculate the value of the root mean square velocity, or second moment of the dispersion, as

$$\langle \Delta V^2 \rangle = \lim_{NP \to \infty} \frac{1}{NP} \sum_{i=1}^{NP} (V_n^i - V_0)^2 , \quad (19)$$

where $NP$ is the number of particles, the index $i$ denotes the $NP$ particles and $V_n^i$ is the velocity after $n$ iteration of the $i^{th}$ particle. So, the diffusion coefficient, should be given as

$$D = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2n} \langle \Delta V^2 \rangle . \quad (20)$$

Figure 3(a) shows a plot of the diffusion coefficient obtained from Eq. (20) as a function of $n$ for $NP = 10^6$. When we compare the relation between the diffusion coefficient and $\langle (\Delta V)^2 \rangle$, i.e., $D = \epsilon^2$ as displayed in Fig.3(b) in a log-log plot, we found that a power law fitting, furnishes $D = 0.974(\epsilon^2)^\delta$, where $\delta \approx 1$. This result remarkably corroborates the linear dependence between $D$ and $\epsilon^2$, as predicted by Eqs. (8) and (20).

In order to give a more robust result, let us compare with the diffusion expression given in Eq. (17). We considered ten distinct holes equally distributed along the velocity axis, from $2\epsilon$ and the value of $V_{SS}$, i.e., the value of stationary state for $V_{RMS}$. Here we considered the evolution of $10^6$ different initial conditions distributed along the phase $\in [0, 2\pi]$ and with $V_0 = 1.1\epsilon$. The behavior of $\rho$ as a function of $n$ is shown in Fig. 4(a).

One can see that as we increase the position of the hole in the velocity axis, which means, that we are increasing the possibility of the particle to visit a larger region along the chaotic sea and that the particle has availability to diffuse before escape, the exponential decay $\zeta$ is slower. This is a clear confirmation that the orbits experience the dynamical trapping yielding in a stickiness, hence producing a slower decay. Indeed, any slower decay than a regular exponential, could be addressed to stickiness influence in the dynamics. One could fit an stretched exponential or a power law fit, according to the decay rate of the survival probability. It is still an open problem.
if a system will present decay rate as a power law or as a stretched exponential as stickiness influence. For the FUM system, we observed a power law decay.

In Figure 4(b) we show a plot of the diffusion coefficient as a function of the position of the hole for 10 different holes located along the phase space and considering different values of $\epsilon$. This was made using the analytical expression given by Eq. (17), for $k = 1$. Here we used the exponent $\zeta$ obtained from every exponential fit in the curves of $\rho$ shown in Fig. 4(a). One could notice that there is a remarkably good agreement between the values of the diffusion coefficient, for the same values of $\epsilon$, between Figs. 3(a) and 4(b), which gives support to the connection of the theory and the numerical data.

Finally, in Fig. 4(c), we rescale the vertical axis by the transformation $D \rightarrow D/\epsilon^2$ and the horizontal axis by $h \rightarrow h/\epsilon$. After this, we obtain an overlap all curves of the diffusion independent of the analyzed hole. We can see that the rescale in the average for $D/\epsilon^2$ relation is near by 1 (dashed line). Which is also in agreement with Eqs.(8) and (20).

The fluctuations around 1 observed in Fig.4(c) are due to the direct influence of the periodic islands in the phase space, producing then a stickiness near periodic regions in the dynamics. Once the holes were set between $2\epsilon$ and the $V_{SS}$, for higher holes, there are some stability islands near $V_{SS}$, so the dynamical trapping becomes inherent in the system. Also, these orbits cause anomalous diffusion influencing also the transport [3, 4] along the chaotic sea. A more complete analytical analysis of the influence of stickiness in the dynamics, particularly near the periodic islands is still lacking.

IV. FINAL REMARKS AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we studied the dynamics of a particle, or an ensemble of non interacting particles, confined between two walls, where one is fixed and the other one is periodically perturbed. The dynamics was described by a two-dimensional, nonlinear, transcendental and measure preserving mapping. The phase space is composed by chaotic seas, KAM islands and invariant tori, which separates different regions in the phase space. Analyzing the expression for the root mean square velocity as function of $n$ we estimated analytically the behavior of $V_{RMS}$.

Considering the transport properties and an analytical analysis of the survival probability $\rho$, we found an expression for the diffusion coefficient $D$. From a Fourier series expansion, we shown that $D$ depends on the exponential rate decay of $\rho$ and also from the hole position in the phase space. A numerical simulation was made and show to agree well with this expression, confirming the relation between the diffusion coefficient and $\epsilon^2$, as predicted by Eqs.(8) and (20). Also, we rescaled the behavior of the diffusion coefficient for 10 different holes, and found a normalization around 1 for $D/\epsilon^2$, which also agrees with the theory.

Both analytical and numerical results in this paper, give robustness to the theory of diffusion analysis, concerning the survival probability curves, as shown also in [42]. In the future, it would be interesting to try to expand this formalism to other more complex dynamical systems, like billiards for instance. Also, to investigate some possible higher order effects in the Fourier series expansion for the analytical expression of diffusion coefficient in Eq.(16), as for example $k > 1$, and its effects to Eq.(17), would be an extension of the formalism here presented. Besides, we could try to estimate numerically Eq.(16) behavior and possible stickiness influence to this analysis.
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