Are topical antibiotics an alternative to oral antibiotics for children with acute otitis media and ear discharge?
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Acute otitis media (AOM) is a common reason for childhood primary care visits and antibiotic prescription in the United Kingdom.1,2 Many randomised controlled trials (RCTs) have shown that symptoms settle within a few days, irrespective of antibiotic use,3 with one systematic review reporting that ear pain takes eight days to resolve fully in 90% of children.4 However observational data and an individual patient data meta-analysis showed that, among children with AOM, those with ear discharge have a worse prognosis5 and a more prolonged duration of ear pain or fever than those without ear discharge.6 Current guidance from the UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence recommends that general practitioners consider immediately prescribing oral antibiotics for children presenting with AOM and ear discharge.7 However, oral antibiotics commonly have side effects such as diarrhoea, vomiting, and rashes8 and increase the risk of antimicrobial resistance.9 For children with AOM and ear discharge, topical antibiotics are a possible alternative because they put less selective resistance pressure on bacteria and eardrum perforation allows direct entry of the antibiotic into the middle ear, without exposing children to systemic side effects.9 However the risk of ototoxicity is debated.10,11

What is the evidence of uncertainty?

To our knowledge, no RCTs or relevant systematic reviews of the effectiveness of topical antibiotics for children with AOM and ear discharge have been published.
chloramphenicol. Of these, quinolone containing drops are considered most appropriate because chloramphenicol has been known to cause sensitivity reactions in children and because of concerns about a link between aminoglycosides and ototoxicity. While animal studies have indicated that aminoglycoside antibiotics are potentially ototoxic when applied directly into the middle ear, the quality of the evidence in humans is debated. The risk of ototoxicity is generally thought to be low when topical antibiotics are used at the time of an active middle ear infection, and there seems to be no such risk for quinolone containing drops. The British National Formulary states that topical aminoglycosides or polymyxins are contraindicated in patients with perforated eardrums or patent ventilation tubes (grommets). By contrast, a UK ear, nose, and throat consensus statement recommends: in “a patient with a discharging ear, in whom there is a perforation or patent grommet: if a topical aminoglycoside is used, this should only be in the presence of obvious infection...[and] for no longer than two weeks.”

Is ongoing research likely to provide relevant evidence?

We searched the trial registries “Netherlands Trial Register” (NTR), ClinicalTrials.gov, ISRCTN Register, and metaRegister of Controlled Trials (mRCT) (on 1 June 2015) for completed or ongoing studies on this topic but found no relevant studies. Our group has submitted grant proposals for two (UK and Netherlands) primary care based, pragmatic, open, two arm, individually randomised, non-inferiority controlled trials to compare the clinical and cost effectiveness of topical and oral antibiotics in children with AOM and ear discharge.

What should we do in the light of the uncertainty?

There is currently no strong direct evidence to support the use of topical antibiotics in children with AOM and ear discharge caused by spontaneous perforation of the eardrum. On the basis of current evidence, and in line with current guidance, we recommend that doctors consider offering immediate oral antibiotics for these children. That said, doctors who are worried about the adverse effects of oral antibiotics could use the indirect evidence (from children with grommets) to justify the use of topical (non-aminoglycoside) antibiotics. Although anecdotal, our experience suggests that for systemically well children with otoscopic signs of ear discharge but no ear pain, a delayed antibiotic strategy with advice about the natural course of the discharge (median duration four days) may be appropriate.
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Methods
We systematically searched PubMed, Embase, NHS-CRD (including DARE, NHS-EED, and HTA), and the Cochrane Library from their inception to 1 June 2015 to identify any published studies comparing the effectiveness of topical antibiotics with oral antibiotics, placebo, or no treatment in children with AOM presenting with ear discharge caused by spontaneous perforation of the eardrum. No relevant published studies were found.

Recommendations for further research
Population: children aged 6 months to 16 years with ear discharge caused by spontaneous perforation of the eardrum and ear pain as the presenting symptoms of acute otitis media (AOM)
Interventions and comparisons: topical antibiotics versus oral antibiotics
Primary outcome: ear pain
Secondary outcomes: duration and severity of systemic (fever, distress or crying, disturbed sleep, interference with normal activity, appetite) and ear (discharge, odour, hearing loss) symptoms; rescue analgesia; adverse events including serious AOM complications (such as mastoiditis, meningitis); recurrences of AOM; NHS resource use; disease specific quality of life using OMQ-14 (for younger children, this can be modified to ear problem related quality of life); antimicrobial resistance; parental satisfaction with treatment allocation and future desire to use ear drops

How patients were involved in the creation of this article
Patient involvement was not sought for this article. However, we sought advice from a patient and public involvement group (including parents of children with ear infections) to formulate our research proposal. This group liked the idea of topical antibiotics as an alternative to oral treatment and thought that ear pain was the most important test of treatment effectiveness because of the distress it causes children and the disruption to family routines (sleep, work, and schooling).

Discussing the uncertainty with patients
Advise parents of children with AOM and ear discharge that guidelines recommend immediately starting oral antibiotics as these have been shown to reduce the duration of ear pain and fever. However, explain that these benefits need to be balanced against possible harms including the risk of side effects, such as diarrhoea, vomiting, and rashes, and increased risk of antimicrobial resistance. Topical antibiotics are associated with fewer side effects and lower risk of antibiotic resistance than oral antibiotics, but there is currently no strong direct evidence to support their use in this condition.