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Abstract: An ability to control the assembly of peptide nanotubes (PNTs) would provide biomaterials for applications in nanotechnology and synthetic biology. Recently, we presented a modular design for PNTs using α-helical barrels with tunable internal cavities as building blocks. These first-generation designs thicken beyond single PNTs. Herein we describe strategies for controlling this lateral association, and also for the longitudinal assembly. We show that PNT thickening is pH sensitive, and can be reversed under acidic conditions. Based on this, repulsive charge interactions are engineered into the building blocks leading to the assembly of single PNTs at neutral pH. The building blocks are modified further to produce covalently linked PNTs via native chemical ligation, rendering ca. 100 nm-long nanotubes. Finally, we show that small molecules can be sequestered within the interior lumens of single PNTs.

Self-assembling peptide-based materials have become progressively more established in biomedicine and nanotechnology, with potential applications as matrices for tissue-engineering, drug-delivery systems, and templates for mineralization and metalation.[8] Owing to their large internal surface areas, peptide nanotubes (PNTs) potentially expand the possible applications to filtration and storage devices, sensors, or even catalysts.[5] To date, PNT designs have used Fmoc-dipeptides,[3] cyclic β-sheet stacking peptides,[4] lock-washer α-helical bundles as the building blocks,[9] or short peptides that self-assemble into spiral tapes.[5] Recently, we presented a generic modular approach to assemble PNTs from α-helical barrels (αHBs), Figure 1.[7]

The application of peptide-based materials requires good control over self-assembly and material properties. For PNTs this is currently best achieved by self-organizing systems based on cyclic β-sheet stacking peptides.[8] In these cases, the inner diameter can be tailored via the ring size of the peptide.[2] The modular approach that we present to assemble PNTs from αHBs allows similar control mechanisms.[7] We have shown that the inner diameter varies with the oligomer state of the αHB, allowing PNTs with channels of 5–7 Å to be achieved. However, all of these PNTs assemble into broad-

Figure 1. Schematic representation for PNT assembly. a) Self-assembly of broadened PNTs based on CC-Hex-T; b) self-assembly of single PNTs based on CC-Hex-T+; c) covalent assembly of CC-Hex-T+co, where X and Y represent the ligatable thiol and thioester groups (in (a) and (b) red: positively charged N-terminus, blue: negatively charged C-terminus). Sequences for CC-Hex-T, CC-Hex-T+, and CC-Hex-T+co, are given in Table 1.
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ened fibers, Figure 1a. Controlling this broadening would represent a further step towards functional αHB-based PNTs. Herein we describe strategies for this, which include the use of pH and sequence redesigns to make single PNTs, Figure 1b. We compare spontaneous and covalent assembly of the PNTs, Figure 1bc, and, we show that different PNTs can discriminate in the encapsulation of small molecules.

Our first-generation PNT designs use designed blunt-ended αHBs. To promote end-to-end self-assembly, these are permutated to expose hydrophobic patches at the N-termini, and leave overall and complementary negative and positive charges at the C- and N-termini, respectively, Figure 1.[75] As mentioned, these redesigns associated both longitudinally and laterally to give broadened assemblies compared to the widths of the building blocks (Figure 2a,b). To address this herein, we focus on further redesign of the hexameric building block, CC-Hex-T. This is well-characterized with a 6 Å channel that is stable to certain mutations.[7b,10]

Initially, we investigated the pH-dependence of lateral assembly. We posited that fiber broadening of CC-Hex-based nanotubes should be pH sensitive as protonation of the glutamate (E) residues at low pH would leave the building blocks with a +30 charge from the 5 lysine residues (K) in the sequence, Table 1. As predicted, at low pH thin fibers were observed (Figure 2). Moreover, fibrils consistent with single PNTs (ca. 3–4 nm, Table S1 in the Supporting Information) were observed by negative-stain transmission electron microscopy (TEM) below pH 5.6 (Figure 2c). Circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy confirmed this in solution. Owing to chiral scattering, broadened fibrous α-helical systems, such as CC-Hex-T at pH 7.4, give red-shifted CD spectra of reduced intensity, Figure 2d, compared with typical α-helical spectra.[11] However, decreasing the pH for CC-Hex-T samples gave increased signal and loss of the red shift, with the transition complete by pH 5.6 (Figure 2d). This disassembly was reversible and thickened fibers returned upon increasing pH (Figure S2).

The observation of reduced fiber thickening at low pH suggested a redesign of CC-Hex-T to make single PNTs at neutral pH. We reasoned that increasing the positive charge on the outer surfaces of the fibrils should prevent bundling to form fibers, Figure 1b. In coiled-coil structures, the positions of the underlying sequence repeat, abcd[efg] (Table 1), fall on this outer surface.[12,13] For CC-Hex-T+, we mutated all but one of these to K giving an overall positive charge of +3 per peptide, and +18 per CC-Hex building block, at neutral pH, Table 1.

When equilibrated at pH 7.4, CC-Hex-T+ showed exclusively extended fibrils up to about 1 micron in length in TEM, with diameters of around 3–4 nm (Figures 2e and Table S2), that is, consistent with single PNTs; and without chiral scattering in the CD spectra, Figure 2f.

To improve stability of the single PNT fibrils, we attempted to link the CC-Hex-T building blocks covalently through native chemical ligation (NCL), Figure 1c.[13] To enable this, we made a third peptide, CC-Hex-T+ co, in which CC-Hex-T+ was modified to include an N-terminal cysteine (C) and a C-terminal thioester (Scheme 1, Table 1).

CD spectra before NCL showed stably folded α-helices (Figure 2h).[8a] Thus, we assume that polymerization occurs mostly via assembled CC-Hex-based building blocks. After 1 day of reaction, high-molecular-weight species appeared in

Table 1: Sequences of designed PNT-forming peptides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Register</th>
<th>abcdefg</th>
<th>abcdefg</th>
<th>abcdefg</th>
<th>abcdefg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CC-Hex-T</td>
<td>H-LKAIAKE LKAIAKE LKAIAKE LKAIAKE-OH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC-Hex-T+</td>
<td>H-LKAIAKE LKAIAKE LKAIAKE LKAIAKE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC-Hex-T+ co</td>
<td>H-LKAIAKE LKAIAKE LKAIAKE LKAIAKE-OH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] The nomenclature is based on the oligomeric state of the monomer building block, which is a coiled-coil hexamer.
SDS-PAGE (Figure S4c), and TEM revealed approximately 100 nm long fibrils (Figure 2g).

To explore the timeframe of oligomerization, we monitored the reaction by high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC), SDS-PAGE, TEM, and mass spectrometry (MS). HPLC showed over 80% conversion of the starting material after 5 h (Figure S5). This was confirmed by SDS-PAGE (Figure 3g): after 1 day, the monomer band was faint; the appearance and disappearance of a band consistent with dimeric non-self-assembling CC-Hex-T + co was visible (Figure S6); and a high-molecular-weight smear formed over time. MS revealed dimers to octamers after three hours (Figures 3h and Figure S7). However, small amounts of dimeric and trimeric cyclic species were also present. Corroborating this, TEM images revealed short fibrils of 30–40 nm in length after 30 min, with the average fiber length increasing up to 100 nm after 7 days (Figure 3a–f, Figure S8,S9). We suggest that the observation of a limiting length is due to many nucleation sites, and the precipitation of the covalent PNTs from solution.

We turned to linear dichroism (LD) spectroscopy to verify the secondary and quaternary structure of the PNTs in solution. This requires the alignment of molecules, usually by shear flow, and only gives signal for those with large aspect ratios. We observed LD signals for both heat-treated CC-Hex-T at low pH—which gave longer fibrils than untreated samples, Figure S9—and CC-Hex-T + at neutral pH, Figure 4a,b. The resulting spectra indicated aligned α-helical rods with the helices parallel to the long axis of the rods. Moreover, these correlated with fibril lengths observed in TEM: the longer CC-Hex-T + fibrils gave the more intense LD signal, and required lower alignment forces, Figure 4a. In contrast, any LD signals for spontaneously assembled CC-Hex-T, and for covalently linked CC-Hex-T + co were too weak to be observed; presumably, this reflects relatively short fibers formed by these systems, which were ≤100 nm and at the limit of the size required for LD spectroscopy, Figure S8–S10.

Finally, to probe the accessibilities of the inner channels of the single PNTs, and to assess their utility as components of sequestration, storage, and delivery devices, we tested the encapsulation of the small hydrophobic dye 1,6-diphenylhexatriene (DPH). In hydrophobic environments, DPH fluorescence...
mechanisms that we describe should be readily transferrable to other αHB building blocks of varying pore size.\textsuperscript{[7,8]} The inner lumens of these barrels are also mutable\textsuperscript{[9,10]} which should facilitate further the design of functional PNTs.
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**Figure 4.** Spectroscopic characterization of the PNTs. (a), (b) LD spectra for (a) CC-Hex-T heated and then cooled to pH 5.1, recorded at 6030 RPM; and (b) CC-Hex-T+ at pH 7.4, 1260 RPM. (c), (d) Binding of DPH into the channels of CC-Hex-T (triangles, solid line), CC-Hex-T+ (squares, dotted line), and CC-Hex-T+ co (circles, dashed line). (c) Fluorescence spectra, and (d) saturation binding curves recorded at 455 nm.
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