



Achard, F., & House, J. I. (2015). Reporting carbon losses from tropical deforestation with Pan-tropical biomass maps. *Environmental Research Letters*, 10(10), Article 101002.  
<https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/10/10/101002>

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

License (if available):  
CC BY

Link to published version (if available):  
[10.1088/1748-9326/10/10/101002](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/10/10/101002)

[Link to publication record on the Bristol Research Portal](#)  
PDF-document

## University of Bristol – Bristol Research Portal

### General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:  
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/brp-terms/>

## Reporting carbon losses from tropical deforestation with Pan-tropical biomass maps

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

2015 Environ. Res. Lett. 10 101002

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1748-9326/10/10/101002>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 137.222.114.247

This content was downloaded on 15/12/2015 at 17:54

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

## Environmental Research Letters



## PERSPECTIVE

## Reporting carbon losses from tropical deforestation with Pan-tropical biomass maps

## OPEN ACCESS

PUBLISHED  
21 October 2015

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence](#).

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

Frédéric Achard<sup>1</sup> and Joanna I House<sup>2</sup><sup>1</sup> European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Institute for Environment and Sustainability, Via E. Fermi 2749, I-21027 Ispra (VA), Italy<sup>2</sup> School of Geographical Sciences, University of Bristol, University Road, Bristol BS8 1SS, UK**Keywords:** UNFCCC, REDD+, tropical forest, national forest monitoring**Abstract**

The ‘Reduction of Emissions from deforestation and forest degradation’ (REDD+) activities under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) are expected to offer results-based payments to developing countries for reducing greenhouse gas emissions from forested lands. It is necessary to determine reference data on forest carbon losses against which future rates of change can be evaluated, and to have reliable methods for monitoring, reporting and verification of such changes. Advances in satellite remote sensing approaches and techniques for measuring purposes are therefore of tremendous interest. A robust example advancing such approaches, applied on the full tropical belt, is provided in the recent paper of Tyukavina *et al* 2015 (*Environ. Res. Lett.* **10** 074002). Data and methods are no longer an obstacle to the inclusion of REDD+ in a new climate agreement.

Emissions from tropical deforestation and forest degradation are estimated to account for 7%–14% of the total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from human activities (Harris *et al* 2012, Achard *et al* 2014). ‘Reduction of Emissions from deforestation and forest degradation (REDD+)’ activities can therefore significantly help reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from forested lands (UNFCCC 2014). To what extent and under what rules REDD+ will be included in the new international climate agreement to be agreed in Paris in December 2015 is a key issue. Establishing robust methodologies for assessing forest activities has been one of the sticking points to include land-based emissions and mitigation in the past. This is particularly so in developing countries where forests are often remote, and there is a lack of inventory data for assessing historical reference levels against which to measure change.

Satellite data is available at fine resolution (30 m) for measuring historical and current land cover change (Hansen *et al* 2013). More recently remote sensing data has been used to estimate aboveground biomass (AGB). Two widely known pan-tropical AGB datasets (Saatchi *et al* 2011, Baccini *et al* 2012) are now extensively used to derive carbon ‘emission factors’ from local (~100 km<sup>2</sup>) to continental levels (Langner *et al* 2014). The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate

Change (IPCC) guidelines to estimate GHG emissions and removals from forests, consist of three ‘Tier’ levels of increasingly sophisticated methods (IPCC 2006). Many developing countries have to rely on ‘Tier 1’ default emission factors due to missing data or capacities (Bucki *et al* 2012, Romijn *et al* 2012). Such new maps can provide more accurate alternative values to the IPCC Tier 1 defaults.

Researchers are developing increasingly sophisticated ways of using such satellite data. One example is the recent article by Tyukavina *et al* (2015) who present a new approach that employs recommended IPCC good practices and a combination of remote sensing data (De Sy *et al* 2012) to quantify tropical forest aboveground carbon (AGC) losses from 2000 to 2012. This paper is an important extension of earlier studies applied to the Democratic Republic of Congo and Peru (Tyukavina *et al* 2013, Pelletier and Goetz 2015). More specifically Tyukavina *et al* show three technical aspects which allow more accurate estimates of AGC losses:

- (1) Use of a sample-based approach combined with a wall to wall tree cover loss dataset (Hansen *et al* 2013) to estimate tropical forest area losses (‘activity data’)

- (2) Use of a pan-tropical biomass map (Baccini *et al* 2012) to derive 'emission factors'
- (3) Combination of activity data and emissions factors using a 'stratify and multiply' approach

A sample-based approach had already been applied by Achard *et al* (2014) to estimate forest area losses, with 4000 units systematically distributed over the tropics. Tyukavina *et al* produce an unbiased estimate of forest area loss using a stratified random sample of 3000 pixels ( $\sim 0.1$  ha size) distributed in tropical forested regions. Furthermore Tyukavina *et al* distinguish 'natural forests' (primary and mature secondary forests, and natural woodlands) from 'managed forests' (plantations, agroforestry systems and areas of subsistence agriculture with tree cover rotation). Tyukavina *et al* confirm that a sample-based approach can provide more accurate, and significantly higher estimate of forest cover losses than a wall-to-wall approach: the higher estimate (due to 85 sample pixels only) is explained by small-scale forest dynamics not depicted in the wall-to-wall tree cover loss map. Getting these small-scale dynamics right can be very important for individual countries in setting accurate reference levels.

The biomass data used in Tyukavina *et al* are derived from the original satellite data used in generating Baccini *et al* map (2012). The field-calibrated satellite-derived biomass data are employed as a substitute for field inventory data to calculate continent-specific mean strata AGC densities.

Tyukavina *et al* then quantify AGC losses in a 'stratify and multiply' (stock-difference) approach (Goetz *et al* 2009) in which areas of forest loss are combined with their associated AGC densities. One of the main originality of this paper is the characterization of tropical forests into seven AGC strata using remotely sensed-derived structural characteristics of tree canopy for year 2000: percent tree canopy cover (Hansen *et al* 2013), tree height and forest intactness (Potapov *et al* 2008).

The alarming estimate of natural tropical forest losses at  $6.5 \text{ Mha yr}^{-1}$  and emissions of  $1303 \text{ TgC yr}^{-1}$  was compared by Tyukavina *et al* with estimates for same period (2000 s) from more spatially explicit approaches:  $813 \text{ TgC yr}^{-1}$  (Harris *et al* 2012) and  $880 \text{ TgC yr}^{-1}$  (Achard *et al* 2014). It can also be compared with non-satellite estimates such as those from FAO (2015) of around  $660 \text{ TgC yr}^{-1}$  (2000–2012 average for tropics). This compares to global emissions from land-use changes of  $940 \text{ TgC yr}^{-1}$  (Le Quéré *et al* (2015)) using FAO data and a bookkeeping model for the time evolution of land-use change emissions (Houghton 2003) and emissions from tropical forest conversion of  $980 \text{ TgC yr}^{-1}$  (Federici *et al* 2015) using FAO data. The use of different definitions and methods can lead to very different estimates of forest area losses: for example Tyukavina *et al* is defining forests

as areas where tree canopy cover is  $\geq 25\%$  when FAO reporting is based on a tree cover threshold of 10% and a land-use definition. Moreover Tyukavina *et al* account only for gross forest losses when FAO reports net forest loss (including afforestation and forest regrowth) (Keenan *et al* 2015).

Tyukavina *et al* (2015) illustrate the current capabilities of satellite data for estimating forest cover losses in the tropics and related carbon losses. A new Earth observation satellite, Sentinel-2A, was launched on 23 June 2015<sup>3</sup>. Sentinel-2A will provide systematic and global coverage of land areas. Its finer spatial resolution (10 m) and higher temporal frequency (10 days revisit time) will allow to quantify tropical deforestation, forest gain and forest degradation more accurately and regularly than with satellite imagery currently available (Miettinen *et al* 2014). Combining forest area loss and gain data with spatially explicit biomass values and models will enable more accurate (higher) tier methods to be applied to REDD+. Methods using remote sensing data allow now to produce verifiable estimates of Carbon losses from land-use changes in the tropics.

## References

- Achard F *et al* 2014 Determination of tropical deforestation rates and related carbon losses from 1990 to 2010 *Glob. Change Biol.* **20** 2540–54
- Baccini A *et al* 2012 Estimated carbon dioxide emissions from tropical deforestation improved by carbon-density maps *Nat. Clim. Change* **2** 182–5
- Bucki M, Cuypers D, Mayaux P, Achard F, Estreguil C and Grassi G 2012 Assessing REDD+ performance of countries with low monitoring capacities: the matrix approach *Environ. Res. Lett.* **7** 014031
- De Sy V, Herold M, Achard F, Asner G P, Held A, Kellndorfer J and Verbesselt J 2012 Synergies of multiple remote sensing data sources for REDD+ monitoring *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustainability* **4** 696–706
- FAO 2015 FAOSTAT Emissions database (data available from FAO website at: [http://faostat3.fao.org/faostat-gateway/go/to/browse/G1/\\*/\\*/\\*](http://faostat3.fao.org/faostat-gateway/go/to/browse/G1/*/*/*))
- Federici S, Tubiello F N, Salvatore M, Jacobs H and Schmidhuber J 2015 New estimates of CO<sub>2</sub> forest emissions and removals: 1990–2015 *Forest Ecol. Manage.* **352** 89–98
- Goetz S J, Baccini A, Laporte N T, Johns T, Walker W, Kellndorfer J, Houghton R A and Sun M 2009 Mapping and monitoring carbon stocks with satellite observations: a comparison of methods *Carbon Balance Manage.* **4** 2
- Hansen M C *et al* 2013 High-resolution global maps of 21st century forest cover change *Science* **342** 850–3
- Harris N L, Brown S, Hagen S C, Saatchi S S, Petrova S, Salas W, Hansen M C, Potapov P V and Lotsch A 2012 Baseline map of carbon emissions from deforestation in tropical regions *Science* **336** 1573–6
- Houghton R A 2003 Revised estimates of the annual net flux of carbon to the atmosphere from changes in land use and land management 1850–2000 *Tellus B* **55** 378–90
- IPCC 2006 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (Hayama, Japan: Institute for Global Environmental

<sup>3</sup> [www.esa.int/Our\\_Activities/Observing\\_the\\_Earth/Copernicus/Sentinel-2](http://www.esa.int/Our_Activities/Observing_the_Earth/Copernicus/Sentinel-2).

- Strategies IGES) ([www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/index.html](http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/index.html))
- Keenan RJ, Reams G A, Achard F, de Freitas J, Grainger A and Lindquist E 2015 Dynamics of global forest area: results from the FAO global forest resources assessment 2015 *Forest Ecol. Manage.* **352** 9–20
- Langner A, Achard F and Grassi G 2014 Can recent pan-tropical biomass maps be used to derive alternative tier 1 values for reporting REDD+ activities under UNFCCC? *Environ. Res. Lett.* **9** 124008
- Le Quéré C *et al* 2015 Global carbon budget 2014 *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* **7** 47–85
- Miettinen J, Stibig H-J and Achard F 2014 Remote sensing of forest degradation in Southeast Asia—aiming for a regional view through 5–30 m satellite data *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* **2** 24–36
- Pelletier J and Goetz S J 2015 Baseline data on forest loss and associated uncertainty: advances in national forest monitoring *Environ. Res. Lett.* **10** 021001
- Potapov P *et al* 2008 Mapping the world's intact forest landscapes by remote sensing *Ecol. Soc.* **13** 51 ([www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol13/iss2/art51](http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol13/iss2/art51))
- Romijn E, Herold M, Kooistra L, Murdiyarto D and Verchot L 2012 Assessing capacities of non-Annex: I. Countries for national forest monitoring in the context of REDD+ *Environ. Sci. Policy* **19–20** 33–48
- Saatchi S S *et al* 2011 Benchmark map of forest carbon stocks in tropical regions across three continents *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108** 9899–904
- Tyukavina A, Stehman S V, Potapov P V, Turubanova S A, Baccini A, Goetz S J, Laporte N T, Houghton R A and Hansen M C 2013 National-scale estimation of gross forest aboveground carbon loss: a case study of the Democratic Republic of the Congo *Environ. Res. Lett.* **8** 044039
- Tyukavina A, Baccini A, Hansen M C, Potapov P V, Stehman S V, Houghton R A, Krylov A M, Turubanova S and Goetz S J 2015 Aboveground carbon loss in natural and managed tropical forests from 2000 to 2012 *Environ. Res. Lett.* **10** 074002
- UNFCCC 2014 Key decisions relevant for reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation in developing countries (REDD+) *Decision Booklet REDD+* (Bonn, Germany: United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change) ([http://unfccc.int/files/land\\_use\\_and\\_climate\\_change/redd/application/pdf/compilation\\_redd\\_decision\\_booklet\\_v1.1.pdf](http://unfccc.int/files/land_use_and_climate_change/redd/application/pdf/compilation_redd_decision_booklet_v1.1.pdf))