



Cornthwaite, K., Jefferys, A., Lenguerrand, E., Haase, A., Lynch, M., Johnson, A., Draycott, T., & Siassakos, D. (2016). Pregnancy after weight loss surgery: A commentary. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, 123(2), 165-170.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.13791>

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):  
[10.1111/1471-0528.13791](https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.13791)

[Link to publication record on the Bristol Research Portal](#)  
PDF-document

This is the final published version of the article (version of record). It first appeared online via Wiley at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.13791>. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

## University of Bristol – Bristol Research Portal

### General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:  
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/brp-terms/>

1 **Pregnancy after weight loss surgery: A commentary**

2

3 Katie Cornthwaite MBBS<sup>1,2</sup>, Amanda Jefferys BMBS<sup>1,2</sup>, Erik Lenguerrand PhD<sup>2</sup>,  
4 Anne Haase PhD<sup>2</sup>, Mary Lynch BSc<sup>1</sup>, Andrew Johnson MD<sup>3</sup>, Tim Draycott MD<sup>1,2</sup>,  
5 Dimitrios Siassakos MD<sup>1,2</sup>

6

7 <sup>1</sup>Women's Health, North Bristol NHS Trust, Southmead Hospital, Bristol, BS10 5NB,  
8 UK

9 <sup>2</sup>The University of Bristol, Senate House, Tyndall Avenue, Bristol, BS8 1TH, UK

10 <sup>3</sup>Department of Medicine, North Bristol NHS Trust, Southmead Hospital, Bristol,  
11 BS10 5NB, UK

12

13 **Corresponding author:**

14 Dr Katie Cornthwaite

15 Academic Centre for Women's Health,

16 Chilterns,

17 Southmead Hospital,

18 Westbury on Trym,

19 Bristol,

20 BS10 5NB,

21 UK

22 katiecornthwaite@gmail.com

23 0044 (0)117 4146835

24

25

26

27

28 The obesity epidemic poses increasing challenges to maternity services across the  
29 UK and the world; almost one fifth of women of childbearing age in the UK are  
30 classified obese (BMI  $\geq$  30) <sup>1</sup>. Optimisation of pre-pregnancy weight can improve  
31 maternal and perinatal outcomes and greater numbers are resorting to bariatric  
32 surgery pre-pregnancy to aid weight loss. Whilst the negative impact of obesity on  
33 maternal and perinatal health is well-established <sup>2</sup>, there is a dearth of evidence  
34 evaluating the effect of previous bariatric surgery on pregnancy. Given the likelihood  
35 of rising rates of pregnancies following bariatric surgery, it is essential that both  
36 patients and clinicians have evidence-based information to inform care. However, as  
37 yet, there are very few robust clinical trials that have directly assessed pregnancy  
38 outcomes following bariatric surgery. Consequently, the majority of our  
39 understanding comes from case reports and observational studies (Table 1). This  
40 commentary reviews recent and emerging evidence for the management of women  
41 who are pregnant after bariatric surgery.

42

43 'Bariatric surgery' is an umbrella term that encompasses several different types of  
44 weight loss procedures. In the UK, the most commonly performed procedures are  
45 Laparoscopic Adjustable Gastric Banding (LAGB), Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, and  
46 sleeve gastrectomy <sup>3</sup> (Table 2). Broadly, bariatric procedures can be categorised as  
47 malabsorptive, restrictive or a combination of both. Malabsorptive procedures bypass  
48 an absorptive part of the gastrointestinal tract, whereas restrictive procedures reduce  
49 food intake by limiting gastric volume. Each type of bariatric surgery has specific  
50 procedure related metabolic effects and complications. Nutritional deficiencies are  
51 more likely after malabsorptive surgery <sup>4</sup>. It is axiomatic that each method will have  
52 specific effects on pregnancy, maternal outcomes and perinatal health.

53

54 Therefore it is unhelpful that most published studies group all bariatric procedures  
55 together as a single intervention. There are other problems with the existing data too:

56 understandably for a previously uncommon intervention many studies to date have  
57 necessarily small sample sizes. To improve statistical power a great deal of the  
58 research has taken place over a protracted number of years, which makes firm  
59 conclusions difficult as there are likely to have been temporal changes in clinical  
60 practice and policy. Moreover, many studies have not accounted for important  
61 confounders. Finally, there is often a lack of adjustment for socio-demographics  
62 factors such as smoking, parity, and age. Other studies have compared outcomes in  
63 the same woman in pregnancies before and after bariatric surgery. This approach  
64 does not completely disentangle the impact of weight loss per se, from the complex  
65 effects of bariatric surgery and is also prone to confounding.

66

67 However, some broad conclusions can be drawn from the available data: after  
68 bariatric surgery <sup>4-6</sup> there is reduction in obesity-related maternal complications such  
69 as gestational diabetes and hypertension. However, the risk remains higher than for  
70 non-obese women<sup>7</sup>.

71

72 Improvements in some maternal outcomes notwithstanding, there have been  
73 concerns raised regarding the negative impact of bariatric surgery on perinatal  
74 outcomes, including small for gestational age infants and pre-term birth <sup>4,8</sup>. There has  
75 also been a suggestion of an increased risk of perinatal mortality <sup>6</sup>. Moreover, very  
76 few studies have exclusively explored the impact of gastric banding on pregnancy,  
77 and there has been no randomized controlled trial comparing the management  
78 (deflation versus inflation) of the gastric band in pregnancy <sup>9</sup>.

79

80 In addition to general risks, there are other specific complications including maternal  
81 intestinal hernias and nutritional deficiencies, as well as a greater risk of birth defects  
82 amongst pregnancies following gastric bypass surgery <sup>4</sup>.

83

84 We recently completed a national cohort study of Laparoscopic Adjustable Gastric  
85 Banding (LAGB) in pregnancy <sup>10, 11</sup> to understand the procedure related impact of  
86 LAGB on pregnancy outcomes. This study is currently awaiting publication. Data  
87 were collected on all pregnancies following LAGB in the UK over a discrete time  
88 period using an established surveillance system (UK Obstetric Surveillance System –  
89 UKOSS). Uniquely, the study compared outcomes according to index band  
90 management (maintenance of band inflation during pregnancy versus band deflation  
91 for some or all of pregnancy) and adjusted for important confounding or modifying  
92 factors. Outcomes were compared with controls without a gastric band, and national  
93 data, allowing comparison with the background population.

94

95 The study <sup>10, 11</sup> identified significant variation in band management across the UK,  
96 possibly related to the current paucity of evidence to inform decision-making for  
97 these women during pregnancy. In this cohort study, inflation of the gastric band was  
98 related to a reduction in gestational weight gain, and an associated improvement of  
99 some maternal outcomes.

100

101 However, our findings suggest that these maternal benefits may be at the expense of  
102 perinatal health. Moreover, some maternal outcomes such as gestational diabetes  
103 and caesarean birth were consistently worse in those with a gastric band compared  
104 to normal BMI controls, regardless of band management.

105

106 On the basis of our findings, we would not recommend a one-size-fits-all policy  
107 during pregnancy, but band adjustments should be made on a case-by-case basis.  
108 Irrespective of the band management, pregnancies after bariatric surgery remain  
109 high risk and it is essential that women are closely monitored. A multi-professional  
110 approach with close involvement of endocrinologists and nutritionists, monitoring of

111 gestational complications such as diabetes and hypertension, as well as regular fetal  
112 growth scans are recommended.

113

114 However, clinical judgment alone should not dictate management. It is important for  
115 professionals to involve women in decision-making, enabling them to remain in  
116 control of their pregnancy and thereby optimising pregnancy and birth experience <sup>12</sup>.

117 A woman's preference regarding band management is likely to be multifactorial.

118 Decision-making may not rest solely on the balance of the maternal and perinatal  
119 outcomes, but may have a more complex psychological element. Obesity has been  
120 associated with high rates of depression and poor body image, and bariatric surgery  
121 can lead to improvements in mental health <sup>13</sup>. However, it is unclear how pregnancy  
122 may influence the psychological wellbeing and mood of women with a gastric band,  
123 and what psychosocial support, if any, these women may require during pregnancy.

124 The ENGAGE (ENquiry into women with GAstric banding in pregnancy to Guide  
125 management and improve Experience) study aims to explore women's experience of  
126 pregnancy following LAGB and assess which factors drive a woman's decision  
127 regarding management of the band during pregnancy <sup>14</sup>. Most women interviewed  
128 thus far had their bands inserted in the private sector. Preliminary analysis suggests  
129 limited information, guidance and support in pregnancy, and need for clarity  
130 regarding band management during pregnancy.

131

132 A national prospective cohort study, using the same UKOSS methodology, is  
133 currently ongoing to better understand the effects of gastric bypass surgery on  
134 pregnancy <sup>15</sup>. All women who are pregnant following gastric bypass surgery are  
135 included. Epidemiology, management and outcomes, will be evaluated to assess  
136 current practice. The findings will help to inform obstetricians, endocrinologists,  
137 nutritionists, and bariatric surgeons for pre-pregnancy counseling, suitable monitoring  
138 and appropriate nutritional supplementation.

139

140 Furthermore, there is a paucity of data regarding how long to delay pregnancy  
141 following weight loss surgery. American guidelines recommend a surgery to  
142 conception interval of at least one year <sup>16</sup> however, there is no firm evidence to  
143 support such advice <sup>17</sup>. Data from the UKOSS gastric banding and bypass studies  
144 are currently being analysed to investigate the impact of time to conception on  
145 maternal and fetal outcomes; the results will be informative.

146

147 In conclusion, the rates of bariatric surgery in women are likely to increase further,  
148 but there are very few data available to guide clinicians. Much of the evidence is from  
149 registry-based studies and case reports. Furthermore, there has been a tendency to  
150 group all bariatric procedures together in studies evaluating associated pregnancy  
151 outcomes, despite clear mechanistic differences as well as different procedure-  
152 related effects on pregnancy. However, there is emerging evidence that will inform  
153 both the understanding of different types of bariatric surgery, and the effect of  
154 management options on patient outcome and experience.

155

156 In the meantime, we would advocate multi-professional care for all women who have  
157 undergone bariatric surgery (Table 3). Ideally, these women should receive pre-  
158 pregnancy counseling; until further advice is available, we would suggest that women  
159 delay pregnancy for one year following surgery. Women should be screened and  
160 treated for nutritional deficiencies prior to conception <sup>18</sup> and receive prompt specialist  
161 dietary advice during early pregnancy. They should be advised about the associated  
162 maternal and fetal risks antenatally. We would recommend low dose aspirin and  
163 vitamin supplementation; close blood pressure and urinalysis surveillance; and  
164 universal testing of gestational diabetes. Importantly, fetal wellbeing should be  
165 carefully monitored with serial growth scans. Clinicians may consider the role of

166 induction at term to reduce the risk of placental dysfunction and intrauterine fetal  
167 death.

168

169 Clearly, the findings from ongoing studies will refine these recommendations and  
170 provide the clarity clinicians need, and women want, to make appropriate decisions  
171 together.

172

173 Insert Table 3

174

#### 175 **Acknowledgements**

176 None

#### 177 **Disclosure of Interests**

178 None

#### 179 **Contribution to Authorship**

180 Katie Cornthwaite conceived the idea, wrote the manuscript, is principal investigator  
181 of UKOSS gastric bypass study, and co-investigator of UKOSS gastric banding and  
182 ENGAGE studies.

183 Amanda Jefferys edited the manuscript and is principal investigator of UKOSS  
184 gastric banding and ENGAGE studies.

185 Erik Lenguerrand edited the manuscript and conducted the UKOSS gastric banding  
186 analyses.

187 Anne Haase edited the manuscript and is co-investigator of ENGAGE study.

188 Mary Lynch edited the manuscript and is co-investigator of ENGAGE study.

189 Andrew Johnson provided funding for the two UKOSS studies and approved the  
190 manuscript.

191 Tim Draycott edited and approved the manuscript.

192 Dimitrios Siassakos conceived the idea, co-wrote and edited the manuscript, and  
193 supervises the UKOSS gastric bypass, gastric banding and ENGAGE studies.



194 **Ethics Approval**

195 Data for UKOSS gastric banding (NRES 11/SW/0227), UKOSS gastric bypass  
196 (NRES 14/LO/0491), and ENGAGE studies (13/SW/0285) were collected with ethics  
197 approval

198 **Funding**

199 Bristol Bariatric Pregnancy Research Hub  
200 North Bristol Trust Springboard Fund

201

202 **References**

- 203 1. National Obesity Observatory. Bariatric surgery for obesity: Department of  
204 Health, 2010. Available at <http://www.noo.org.uk>
- 205 2. Sebire NJ, Jolly M, Harris JP, Wadsworth J, Joffe M, Beard RW, et al.  
206 Maternal obesity and pregnancy outcome: a study of 287,213 pregnancies in  
207 London. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2001;25:1175-82.
- 208 3. National Bariatric Surgery Registry Report. BOMSS, 2014. Available at  
209 [http://www.bomss.org.uk/wp-](http://www.bomss.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/04/Extract_from_the_NBSR_2014_Report.pdf)  
210 [content/uploads/2014/04/Extract\\_from\\_the\\_NBSR\\_2014\\_Report.pdf](http://www.bomss.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/04/Extract_from_the_NBSR_2014_Report.pdf)
- 211 4. Guelinckx I, Devlieger R, Vansant G. Reproductive outcome after bariatric  
212 surgery: a critical review. *Hum Reprod Update*. Oxford University Press; 2009  
213 Mar 1;15(2):189–201.
- 214 5. Kjær MM, Nilas L. Pregnancy after bariatric surgery – a review of benefits and  
215 risks. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2013 Mar  
216 1;92(3):264–71.
- 217 6. Johansson K, Cnattingius S, Näslund I, Roos N, Trolle Lagerros Y, Granath F,  
218 et al. Outcomes of Pregnancy after Bariatric Surgery. *N Engl J Med*. 2015  
219 Feb 26;372(9):814–24.

- 220 7. Vrebosch L, Bel S, Vansant G, Guelinckx I, Devlieger R. Maternal and  
221 Neonatal Outcome After Laparoscopic Adjustable Gastric Banding: a  
222 Systematic Review. *Obes Surg*. Springer-Verlag; 2012;22(10):1568–79.
- 223 8. Roos N, Neovius M, Cnattingius S, Lagerros YT, Sääf M, Granath F, et al.  
224 Perinatal outcomes after bariatric surgery: nationwide population based  
225 matched cohort study. *BMJ*. BMJ Publishing Group Ltd; 2013 Nov  
226 12;347:f6460.
- 227 9. Jefferys AE, Siassakos D, Draycott T, Akande VA, Fox R. Deflation of gastric  
228 band balloon in pregnancy for improving outcomes. *Cochrane Database of*  
229 *Systematic Reviews* 2013, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD010048. DOI:  
230 10.1002/14651858.CD010048.pub2.
- 231 10. Cornthwaite K, Jefferys A, Lenguerrand E, Hyde J, Lynch M, Draycott T, et al.  
232 One size does not fit all. Management of the laparoscopic adjustable gastric  
233 band in pregnancy: a national prospective cohort study. Poster abstract.  
234 *Lancet*, Elsevier; 2015 Feb 26;385:S32.
- 235 11. Cornthwaite K, Jefferys A, Lenguerrand E, Hyde J, Lynch M, Draycott T, et al.  
236 Striking the balance between maternal and perinatal outcomes for pregnant  
237 women with gastric banding: national cohort study (UKOSS). Oral  
238 presentation. Annual academic meeting. RCOG. Jan 2015
- 239 12. Waldenström U, Hildingsson I, Rubertsson C, Rådestad I. A Negative Birth  
240 Experience: Prevalence and Risk Factors in a National Sample. *Birth*.  
241 Blackwell Science Inc; 2004 Mar 1;31(1):17–27.
- 242 13. Herpertz S, Kielmann R, Wolf AM, Langkafel M, Senf W, Hebebrand J. Does  
243 obesity surgery improve psychosocial functioning? A systematic review.  
244 *International Journal of Obesity*. Nature Publishing Group; 2003 Nov  
245 1;27(11):1300–14.
- 246 14. ENGAGE. *ENquiry into women with GAstric banding in pregnancy to Guide*  
247 *management and improve Experience*.

- 248 <http://www.bristol.ac.uk/sps/research/projects/current/engage/> (accessed 31  
249 April 2015)
- 250 15. UKOSS. *Pregnancy following gastric bypass surgery*.  
251 <https://www.npeu.ox.ac.uk/ukoss/current-surveillance/gby> (accessed 31 April  
252 2015)
- 253 16. Armstrong C. "ACOG guidelines on pregnancy after bariatric surgery." (2010):  
254 905-906.
- 255 17. Kjær MM, Nilas L. Timing of Pregnancy After Gastric Bypass—a National  
256 Register-Based Cohort Study. *Obes Surg*. Springer US; 2013;23(8):1281–5.
- 257 18. O’Kane M, Aasheim E, Barth J, Batterham R, Welbourn R. GP Guidance:  
258 Management of nutrition following bariatric surgery. British Obesity and  
259 Metabolic Surgery Society; Aug 2014
- 260
- 261