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Proxy Molecular Diagnosis from Whole-Exome Sequencing Reveals Papillon-Lefevre Syndrome Caused by a Missense Mutation in CTSC
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Abstract

Papillon-Lefevre syndrome (PLS) is an autosomal recessive disorder characterised by severe early onset periodontitis and palmoplantar hyperkeratosis. A previously reported missense mutation in the CTSC gene (NM_001814.4:c.899G>A:p.(G300D)) was identified in a homozygous state in two siblings diagnosed with PLS in a consanguineous family of Arabic ancestry. The variant was initially identified in a heterozygous state in a PLS unaffected sibling whose whole exome had been sequenced as part of a previous Primary ciliary dyskinesia study. Using this information, a proxy molecular diagnosis was made on the PLS affected siblings after consent was given to study this second disorder found to be segregating within the family. The prevalence of the mutation was then assayed in the local population using a representative sample of 256 unrelated individuals. The variant was absent in all subjects indicating that the variant is rare in Saudi Arabia. This family study illustrates how whole-exome sequencing can generate findings and inferences beyond its primary goal.

Introduction

Papillon-Lefevre syndrome (PLS, MIM# 245000) is an autosomal recessive disorder characterised by severe early onset periodontitis and palmoplantar hyperkeratosis, which consequently results in the premature loss of the primary and secondary dentitions [1]. PLS is caused by mutations in the CTSC gene which displays remarkably high allelic heterogeneity with over...
70 mutations reported hitherto [2]. CTSC encodes the cathepsin C protein, a lysosomal exo-
cysteine proteinase belonging to the peptidase C1 family [2].

We had investigated the family previously for a Primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD) study
where the whole-exome of the two children with PCD was sequenced (though the PCD causal
variant remains unknown). However, family history indicated that there were two other sib-
lings diagnosed with PLS and the family requested a molecular diagnosis for this disease also.
We reasoned that with a one-third chance of each PCD (PLS unaffected) sibling with whole-
exome sequencing (WES) data being a non-carrier for the PLS causal mutation, we would have
an 8/9 chance (1/3 x 1/3 = 1/9 = chance of both not being a carrier) of identifying the PLS causal
mutation (likely in CTSC) in a heterozygous state in at least one of the two available WES
data. This paper describes our findings. We also discuss the ethical and research implications
of this study.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement: Approval and consents

Ethical approval was obtained from the King Saud University/King Khalid Hospital, Riyadh
ethics committee (approval number: E-11–448). Family and individual consent was written,
with the recognition that positive findings would be diagnostically reconfirmed in conjunction
with clinical counselling and feedback. Consent was obtained following family/patient informa-
tion session (initially through telephonic conversation, and then by recap in clinic). Written
parental consent was obtained for minors. The information obtained from the collective family
visit to clinic is also added to hospital clinical notes as is the record of any buccal samplings for
DNA agreed and undertaken. For this family, parental consent was from the father: both pa-
rents and their children attended the clinic together.

As aforementioned, the participating family was previously analysed for a PCD study [3,4];
and this study was carried out after the family re-attended the clinic enquiring about the cause
of PLS present in other siblings within the family.

A local population reference DNA sample (n = 256) was set up, comprising of male and fe-
male student volunteers of Saudi Arabian ancestry at the King Saud University (Riyadh, King-
dom of Saudi Arabia). Inclusion for the mutation screening process was voluntary. The
informed written consent of these individuals for anonymised genetic studies was taken in
keeping with King Saud University College of Applied Medical Sciences guidelines.

Participants and Genetic Data Analysis

A male proband from a consanguineous family of Arabic descent with clinical features consist-
tent with PLS including loss of primary teeth and nail dystrophy was analysed. Additionally,
three siblings’ (one affected and two unaffected, including the PCD affected) and the parents’
blood samples were also collected for further analysis. DNA was extracted from peripheral
blood samples using the QIAamp DNA Mini kit provided by QIAGEN (Catalogue No: 51304)
using their protocol for “DNA Purification from Blood or Body Fluids”. The exome of the
PCD affected sibling was captured using the Agilent SureSelect Human All Exon 50M exon
capture kit (Agilent Technologies, Inc. Santa Clara, CA, 95051, USA) and WES data was ob-
tained by subsequent sequencing using the Illumina Hiseq2000 platform (Illumina, Inc. San
Diego, CA, 92122, USA). The Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) [5] software was used to align
the reads to the latest human genome reference sequence (hg19), filtering out reads which have
extensive low base quality (more than half of the bases which have a base quality of ≤ 5, includ-
ing no calls) and/or with a mapping score of zero. Picard (http://picard.sourceforge.net) was
used to mark duplicated reads and the alignment results were generated in BAM format. Single
nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were called using SOAPsnp [6] and small insertion/deletion events (indel) were detected by SAMtools and GATK, and exported in VCF format [7–9]. VCF annotations were obtained from the Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor (VEP) [10] and ANNOVAR [11]. Predictions for missense mutations were obtained from FATHMM [12], SIFT (via VEP) [13], Polyphen-2 (via VEP) [14] and Condel (via VEP plugin) [15]. The CTSC gene was screened for variants which are either rare (<0.1%) or absent in the 1000 Genomes project [16] and Exome Variant Server (EVS) [17].

**PCR amplification and Sanger sequencing**

Region specific primers (i.e. where the NM_001814.4:c.899G>A:p.(G300D) variant is located) were designed and PCR (annealing temperature: 49°C) was used to amplify a 220bp long region containing the c.899G>A:p.(G300D) variant in the parents and the siblings (Forward primer: 5’- AAGCTAAGAACAACCTTCAAGG-3’ and Reverse primer: 5’- TGGAGAATTCAATGCCTGTAGT-3’). These amplicons were purified and subsequently sequenced using Sanger sequencing.

**Screening for the c.899G>A:p.(G300D) variant in the local population**

DNA was extracted from 256 unrelated (and healthy) individuals living in Riyadh using methods described above. Four primers (Control forward primer: 5’-AACATGCAAAGAATAATGAG-3’, Common reverse primer: 5’-AGCTTCATCAGGCTTGTTTG-3’, Mutant allele-specific primer: 5’-TTCACTTCTAGCCTGTAACG-3’ and Wild-type allele-specific primer: 5’-TTCACTTCTAGGCTGTAACG-3’) were designed (see S2 Table for the primers used in ARMS-PCR for genotyping the variant) and ARMS-PCR (annealing temperature: 47°C, see Gaunt et al., 2001 for description of method [18]) was used to detect the presence of the c.899G>A:p.(G300D) variant in 256 unrelated individuals selected from the local population in Riyadh. Resulting PCR amplicons were then viewed using 96-well microplate array diagonal gel electrophoresis (MADGE) [19]. The same procedure was also repeated on the family members to ensure validity of the method. Nucleotide numbering system uses +1 as the A of the ATG translation initiation codon in the reference sequence, with the initiation codon (Met) as codon 1.

**Results**

**Whole-exome sequencing of PCD affected sibling**

The total length of all captured regions was 118,361,446 base pairs (50,599,905 bases on target and 67,761,541 bases near target, the latter being flanking regions within 200bp of exons). Coverage of target (i.e. exons) and flanking regions (e.g. introns, splice sites) was 98.2% and 92.5% respectively. The average sequencing depth on target was 60.75 and the fraction of target covered with at least 20, 10 and 4 reads was 79.4%, 88.6% and 94.6% respectively. There were a total of 51,084,667 (high quality) reads with a mapping rate of 99.39%.

**Identifying the PLS causal gene**

Whole-exome sequencing of the PCD affected sibling had previously been carried out (although no mutation causal of PCD has yet been identified) and her CTSC gene was analysed in follow up to the PLS presentation of two of her siblings [1]. 8 single nucleotide variations (intronic variants: rs217116, rs217060, rs580743, rs217075, rs217076, rs217077; missense mutations: rs217086 and c.899G>A:p.(G300D)) and a single nucleotide insertion (rs11426721) were identified in the CTSC gene. All except c.899G>A:p.(G300D) had a minor allele
frequency of over 7% in the 1000 Genomes Project and EVS (see Fig. 1 for alignment of reads) which are too common to be causal of a rare Mendelian disease such as PLS. FATHMM (damaging, -3.06), SIFT (deleterious, 0.01), Polyphen (probably damaging, 0.998) and ConDel-2 (deleterious, 0.880) all predicted the c.899G>A:p.(G300D) variant to be functionally disruptive.

The mutation also resides in a highly conserved region represented by a (36-way eutherian mammals) high GERP score of 1285.8 (also see S1 Table for local sequence alignment with other species) [21]. Searching the public mutation databases and the literature about the variant showed that it was previously identified in a homozygous state by Zhang et al in a single Saudi Arabian proband [22] and the variant was present in HGMD (Public version, ID: CM002939) and PhenCode (ID: CTSCbase_D0022:g.44271G>A) [23]. This provided strong evidence that this was the likely causal variant in the two PLS siblings. Thus the region containing the variant was amplified and sequenced using Sanger sequencing in both PLS affected siblings and the parents to confirm their status. In accordance with autosomal recessive mode of inheritance of PLS, the parents were heterozygous and the affected subjects were homozygous (see S1 Fig. for confirmation of variant status in other family members using Sanger

Fig 1. Reads (from PCD affected sibling) mapped to the human genome hg19 at the p.(G300D) mutation. The read depth is 46 (not all shown due to space restrictions) with twenty six of the reads having a G at the loci and twenty having an A. The image was created using IGV [20]. NB: The CTSC gene is oriented the reverse strand, therefore the codon change p.G300D (GGC>GAC) is exhibiting as C>T.
sequencing). The other PLS unaffected sibling was homozygous for the wild type allele. ARMS-PCR was also used in all family members to establish mutation status (Fig. 2).

Frequency of c.899G>A:p.(G300D) in Saudi Arabia

Allele-specific (AS) PCR amplicons (using primers in S2 Table) from the 256 participants were separated using 96-well MADGE (procedure was repeated three times). None showed the 207bp band characteristic of the mutant allele, whereas the band characteristic of the wild-type allele was present in all participants when wild type AS primer was used (S2A–F Fig. for the six 96-well MADGE images which show that none of the 256 individuals have the causal allele). The results for all 6 family members are shown in Fig. 2.

Discussion/Conclusion

The CTSC gene displays high allelic heterogeneity and over 70 variants have been shown to cause PLS [2]. The c.899G>A:p.(G300D) variant is one of those, previously being reported in a single proband by Zhang et al [22]. Our findings follow up their paper as we have replicated their results, confirming the highly penetrant nature of the variant, and found that the prevalence of the variant in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia is rare (0 out of 512 chromosomes analysed). We also present a straightforward and cost-effective assay to test for this mutation.

The c.899G>A:p.(G300D) variant was identified in a previously whole-exome sequenced and PLS unaffected sibling of the proband which shows how additional inferences can be made from WES (i.e. proxy molecular diagnoses). Although WES targets only the coding regions of the genome (i.e. exome), it is thought to capture ~85% of Mendelian disease-causal mutations [24]. Thus, where WES (or whole genome sequencing) data is available and consent is given, it
can be a pragmatic choice to screen for known mutations using databases such as HGMD (Public and Paid versions available), PhenCode (Public) and ClinVar (Public).

However, there are ethical issues surrounding incidental findings [24,25]. WES data can be a source for these findings as it provides a pool of all detected variants in all genes. Therefore informed consent and abiding by the consent obtained is crucial (see [24] for a discussion on the matter). Our finding however, was not incidental and the study was carried out only after the family had attended the clinic with a second disorder (i.e. PLS) and gave consent for the subsequent analysis. We did not screen the family’s previously available WES data other than for previously known/suspected PCD causal variants (in accordance with previous consent) before we were given further consent to search for the PLS causal variant. The CTSC gene was then screened using the available WES data and a missense variant which was previously reported as PLS causal was identified in a heterozygous state in one of the PCD affected siblings [22]. This then enabled us to make a proxy molecular diagnosis and confirm the variant’s homozygosity status in the PLS affected siblings.

Our study highlights the wider and longer-term value of sequence data in the context of family history and additional clinical data. If it is stored and easy to query, it provides considerable potential for future diagnostics within families at minimal additional cost. In this example, once the PLS was diagnosed in the proband it took only a few minutes before the causal variant was identified in the PCD affected sibling whose WES data was available—saving considerable time, effort and cost.
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